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PANEL DISCUSSION: COMMENTARIES ON THE PROSPECTS FOR THE ABATEMENT OF WHITE COLLAR CRIME IN MEXICO

MODERATOR: LIC. MIGUEL JÁUREGUI ROJAS*

PANELISTS: ALEJANDRO POSADAS, LUZ NUÑEZ CAMACHO, AND LORETTA ORTIZ*

JÁUREGUI ROJAS: Many steps must be taken to abate white collar-crime. First, there is a need to determine how deep and how important white-collar crime is in Mexico.

Next, when Mexico reaches out for assistance, it is injured by the lack of concerted effort on the part of the United States. Typically, Mexico reaches out for debt securities and for equity securities in the U.S. stock market or registration of American Depository Receipts (ADR’s)¹ and registration of securities at large. To the extent that white-collar crime from that vantage point is not abated the sources of wealth to Mexican companies will be stalemated. In addition, situations similar to the development of FOBAPROA² will continue. This is the salvage fund for the bank institutions that failed during the 1994 peso crisis. FOBAPROA and its successor entity, IPAB³, are charged with the task of preventing white-collar crime from permeating the Mexican financial system. In doing so, the financial institutions of Mexico function in a healthy manner. A second related issue is the relationship between white-collar crime and money laundering, assistance to drug trafficking, and other such crimes.

Finally, there is great concern that the changes made thus far might be done away with should the political scenario of Mexico change yet again. There is also great concern in Mexican society at large as to the role of the district attorneys, both federal and local because they are the first door of entry to the justice system in Mexico.

In that regard, Mexican society is making a big effort. They are putting together, through the good offices of a counselor of my law firm, Ignacio Gomez Palacio⁴, the Mexican Institute for Justice.⁵ The Mexican Institute for Justice’s first objective is to look at the behavior, the training and the control of the district attorney. That is also the focus of Mexican society. We need good district attorneys for justice to be imparted, or the beginnings of justice, that will go to the judiciary.

LORETTA ORTIZ: The University of Mexico Iberoamerica, also the Tecnológico de Monterey, and the Instituto de Investigaciones Juridicas⁶ have been asked to

---

1. American Depository Receipts enable investors to trade foreign stocks as if U.S. companies issued them. They are bought and sold through regular Wall Street brokers and pay dividends in U.S. dollars. See ADRGuide.com
2. Fondo Bancario de Proteccion al Ahorro. This is the now defunct salvage fund for the bank institutions that failed during the 1994 peso crises. It has since been replaced with IPAB, the Instituto Para El Ahorro Bancario.
4. Jauregui, Navarrete, Nader y Rojas, Torre Arcos, Paseo de los Tamarindos 400B, Pisos 8 y 9, Col. Bosque de las Lomas, 05120 Mexico, D.F. Telephone: 52-5-267-4500. Fax: 52-5-258-0348
5. Instituto Mexicano Para la Justicia, A.C. (IMEJ). Avenida Tlaxcala 193-206 A, Colonia Hipodromo Condensa, Mexico, D.F. Tel. 52.67.45.23, e-mail igp@innr.com.mx
6. The Instituto de Investigaciones Juridicas is based out of Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, at http://www.juridicas.unam.mx/ last visited 02/10/03.
investigate the jurisdictional administration in all the Mexican territory. The Iberoamericana University is conducting its study on the administration of justice in the states of Colima, Zacatecas, Estado de México, and three others. The World Bank who will allocate resources to all these universities to study our system and isolate the main problems initiated these investigations. For example, the prosecutors need more training capacity. It is telling that students from the Iberoamericana do not want to be judges or work as ministerio públicos, government prosecutors. They prefer to live and work as a lawyer in a luxury office near Santa Fe. It is difficult to have a good life as a lawyer, as a judge or as a ministerio público. We have to change this situation. The only way to do so is to make the work of a judge one of the most important in our country.

Jimmie Reyna, Washington, D.C.: I have a very basic question to ask our Mexican colleagues. What is the standard of proof that must be satisfied in order to achieve a criminal conviction in Mexico? And are there different standards for federal case, state case, white-collar crime, blue-collar?

Núñez Camacho: I think there are some problems that affect both countries. One of them consists of outlining the elements required for a behavior to be deemed as a white-collar crime in Mexico. Take for example “fiscal fraud.” In the U.S. it is not considered a crime, it is a matter that can only be tried in the civil arena. In Mexican legislation “fiscal fraud” is criminalized as an offense. In practice, when a case is opened for fiscal fraud and the criminal suspects become aware of the investigation, these persons will most likely go to the United States. In such case, it is very difficult to obtain extradition from the United States to Mexico, since the American authorities will reject the extradition of these persons because the illicit behavior is sanctioned in a different manner in both countries. To solve these problems, it would be necessary that both countries have similar laws and penalties. However, it is more important that fiscal fraud be considered a crime in both countries, and furthermore that it be deemed a predicate offense in cases of money laundering, since in Mexico when tracking the resources from fiscal fraud it is often discovered that the resources are used for other illicit conducts.

Posadas: There is no exact equivalent to standard of proof in Mexico. You have to prove fully all the elements of the crime. The judge, of course, has a pre-evaluation of the evidence in general terms. Many times the problem is simply getting before the judge because the ministerio público has complete discretion to press charges and will not initiate a proceeding if they feel that there is not sufficient evidence. Another evidentiary challenge is that Mexico is a very low trust society, which creates problems with testimonies. Witness statements are not highly valued, and many times they are needed to provide crucial evidence in cases.

Jáuregui Rojas: I am not a criminal lawyer or an expert in criminal law, however, in working with many criminal lawyers in the corporate context to defend companies against white-collar crime offenses, I have realized that the integración del cuerpo de delito or integration of the corpus delicto creates a serious stumbling block in the Mexican legal system. The corpus delicto is almost impossible to get integrated within a reasonable period of time and within reasonable terms.
Therefore, the prosecution and the jailing of white-collar criminals is difficult in Mexico. It may be easier if you catch them stealing money from financial institutions or defrauding financial institutions. Maybe it is easier if you catch them evading taxes or with contraband. However, in fraud of management of corporations it is extremely difficult to have the required standard of proof to integrate the corpus delicto.

**Nuñez Camacho:** Regarding the investigation and prosecution of money laundering offense, the advances in Mexico shall be recognized because of their success not only within the country, but also in the investigations that involve other countries, including the US, where Mexico has provided witnesses in several Court cases or hearings concerning these investigations.

On the other hand, currently, the Attorney General's Office has an investigation for money laundering, where the predicate offense is corruption.

Regarding the measures to prevent the offense of money laundering, there have also been advances in Mexico; last year (2001) several amendments to the financial legislation were issued in order to regulate “money remitters”, which are different to money exchange offices, which establish the obligation to report suspicious transactions to the Secretariat of Finance and Public Credit.
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