## Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

Volume 60 *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 60, 2023* 

Article 21

11-20-2023

# e-Open Maps, e-Closed Maps and e-Homeomorphisms in N-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

P. Thangaraja

A. Vadivel

C. John Sundar

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\_journal

#### **Recommended Citation**

Thangaraja, P.; A. Vadivel; and C. John Sundar. "e-Open Maps, e-Closed Maps and e-Homeomorphisms in N-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 60, 1 (2024). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\_journal/vol60/iss1/21

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



University of New Mexico



### *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

P. Thangaraja<sup>1</sup>, A. Vadivel<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and C. John Sundar<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mahendra Engineering college, Namakkal - 637 503, India; thangarajap1991@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Arignar Anna Government Arts College, Namakkal - 637 002, India; avmaths@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, India;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sri Venkateshwaraa College of Engineering and Technology, Puducherry - 605

102, India;

johnphdau@hotmail.com

**Abstract**. The concept of  $N_{nc}eO$  and  $N_{nc}eC$  mappings in  $N_{nc}ts$  are introduced and studied some of their related properties in this article. In addition,  $N_{nc}eHom$ ,  $N_{nc}eCHom$  and  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space in  $N_{nc}ts$  are discussed and establishes some of their related characterizations.

**Keywords:**  $N_{nc}e$ -open map,  $N_{nc}e$ -closed map,  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space,  $N_{nc}e$ -homeomorphism,  $N_{nc}e$ -C homeomorphism.

#### 1. Introduction

Smarandache [14] defined the neutrosophic set on three component neutrosophic sets (T-Truth, F-Falsehood, I-Indeterminacy). Lellis Thivagar et al. [11] was the first given the geometric existence of N topology and in his paper [10] introduced the notion of  $N_n$ -open (closed) sets and  $N_n$  continuous in N-neutrosophic topological spaces. The concept of N-neutrosophic crisp topological spaces from neutrosophic crisp topological spaces was first explored and investigated by Al-Hamido [1]. As a generalization of closed sets, e-closed sets were introduced and studied by Ekici [7–9]. In 2020, Vadivel and Sundar introduced the concept of  $N_{nc} \gamma$ open [15],  $N_{nc} \beta$ -open [16] and  $N_{nc} \delta$ -open sets [18] and their continuous functions [17, 20, 28]

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

and open mappings [19, 21, 22]. The new  $N_{nc}$  open sets called  $N_{nc}$  e-open sets and its continuous functions are introduced in  $N_{nc}ts$  by Vadivel et al. [23–27]. Recently, Das et al. [2–6] introduced *b*-open sets in different types of neutrosophic topological spaces. In this paper,  $N_{nc}e$ open mapping,  $N_{nc}e$  closed mapping,  $N_{nc}e$  homeomorphism and  $N_{nc}e$ -C homeomorphism are introduced and some results in  $N_{nc}ts$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [13] Let X be a non-empty set. Then F is called a neutrosophic crisp set (in short, *ncs*) in X if F has the form  $F = (F_{01}, F_{02}, F_{03})$ , where  $F_{01}, F_{02}$ , and  $F_{03}$  are subsets of X, then neutrosophic crisp set of types

- (i)  $F_{01} \cap F_{02} = F_{02} \cap F_{03} = F_{03} \cap F_{01} = \phi$
- (ii)  $F_{01} \cap F_{02} = F_{02} \cap F_{03} = F_{03} \cap F_{01} = \phi$  and  $F_{01} \cup F_{02} \cup F_{03} = X$
- (iii)  $F_{01} \cap F_{02} \cap F_{03} = \phi$  and  $F_{01} \cup F_{02} \cup F_{03} = X$

**Definition 2.2.** [13] Let  $F = (F_{01}, F_{02}, F_{03}), G = (G_{01}, G_{02}, G_{03}) \in ncs(X)$ . Then

- (i)  $\phi_n = (\phi, \phi, X),$ (ii)  $X_n = (X, X, \phi),$ (iii)  $F \subseteq G, \text{ if } F_{01} \subseteq G_{01}, F_{02} \subseteq G_{02} \text{ and } F_{03} \supseteq G_{03}.$ (iv)  $F = G, \text{ if } F \subseteq G \text{ and } F \subseteq H$ (v)  $F^c = (F_{03}, F_{02}^c, F_{01})$ (vi)  $F \cap G = (F_{01} \cap G_{01}, F_{02} \cap G_{02}, F_{03} \cup G_{03})$
- (vii)  $F \cup G = (F_{01} \cup G_{01}, F_{02} \cup G_{02}, F_{03} \cap G_{03}).$

**Definition 2.3.** [12] A neutrosophic crisp topology (briefly, nct) on a non-empty set X is a family  $\Gamma$  of nc subsets of X satisfying the following axioms

- (i)  $\phi_n, X_n \in \Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \Gamma \ \forall \ F_1 \ \& \ F_2 \in \Gamma$ .
- (iii)  $\bigcup_{L} F_b \in \Gamma$ , for any  $\{F_b : b \in K\} \subseteq \Gamma$ .

Then  $(X, \Gamma)$  is a neutrosophic crisp topological space (briefly, *ncts*) in X. The  $\Gamma$  elements are called neutrosophic crisp open sets (briefly, *ncos*) in X and its complement is called neutro-sophic crisp closed set (briefly, *nccs*).

**Definition 2.4.** [1] Let X be a non-empty set. Then  ${}_{nc}\Psi_1, {}_{nc}\Psi_2, \cdots, {}_{nc}\Psi_N$  are N-arbitrary crisp topologies defined on X and the collection  $N_{nc}\Psi = \{B \subseteq X : B = (\bigcup_{k=1}^N F_k) \cup (\bigcap_{k=1}^N L_k), F_k, L_k \in {}_{nc}\Psi_k\}$  is called  $N_{nc}$ -topology on X if the axioms are satisfied:

(i) 
$$\phi_n, X_n \in N_{nc}\Psi$$
.  
(ii)  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} K_k \in N_{nc}\Psi \ \forall \ \{K_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in N_{nc}\Psi$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

(iii)  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} K_k \in N_{nc} \Psi \ \forall \ \{K_k\}_{k=1}^{n} \in N_{nc} \Psi.$ 

Then  $(X, N_{nc}\Psi)$  is called a  $N_{nc}$ -topological space (briefly,  $N_{nc}ts$ ) on X. The  $N_{nc}\Psi$  elements are called  $N_{nc}$ -open sets  $(N_{nc}os)$  on X and its complement is called  $N_{nc}$ -closed sets  $(N_{nc}cs)$ on X. The elements of X are known as  $N_{nc}$ -sets  $(N_{nc}s)$  on X.

**Definition 2.5.** [1,18] Let  $(X, N_{nc}\Psi)$  be  $N_{nc}ts$  on X and F be a  $N_{nc}s$  on X, then the  $N_{nc}$  interior of F (briefly,  $N_{nc}int(F)$ ),  $N_{nc}$  closure of F (briefly,  $N_{nc}cl(F)$ ),  $N_{nc}\delta$  interior of F (briefly,  $N_{nc}\delta int(F)$ ) and  $N_{nc}\delta$  closure of F (briefly,  $N_{nc}\delta cl(F)$ ) are defined as

$$N_{nc}int(F) = \bigcup \{C : C \subseteq F \& C \text{ is a } N_{nc}os \text{ in } X \}$$
$$N_{nc}cl(F) = \cap \{D : F \subseteq D \& D \text{ is a } N_{nc}cs \text{ in } X \}$$
$$N_{nc}\delta int(F) = \bigcup \{C : C \subseteq F \& C \text{ is a } N_{nc}ros \text{ in } X \}$$
$$N_{nc}\delta cl(F) = \cap \{D : F \subseteq D \& D \text{ is a } N_{nc}rcs \text{ in } X \}.$$

**Definition 2.6.** [1,15,18,26,28] Let  $(X, N_{nc}\Gamma)$  be any  $N_{nc}ts$ . Let F be a  $N_{nc}s$  in  $(X, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then F is said to be a

- (i)  $N_{nc}$ -regular (resp.  $N_{nc}$ -semi,  $N_{nc}$ -pre,  $N_{nc}$ - $\alpha \& N_{nc}$ - $\beta$ ) open set (briefly,  $N_{nc}$ ros (resp.  $N_{nc}Sos$ ,  $N_{nc}Pos$ ,  $N_{nc}\alpha os \& N_{nc}\beta os$ )) if  $F = N_{nc}int(N_{nc}cl(F))$  (resp.  $F \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(F)), F \subseteq N_{nc}int(N_{nc}cl(F)), F \subseteq N_{nc}int(N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(F))) \&$  $F \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(N_{nc}cl(F)))$ ).
- (ii)  $N_{nc}\delta$  (resp.  $N_{nc}\delta$ -pre,  $N_{nc}\delta$ -semi &  $N_{nc}e$ ) open set (briefly,  $N_{nc}\delta os$  (resp.  $N_{nc}\delta \mathcal{P}os$ ,  $N_{nc}\delta \mathcal{S}os \& N_{nc}eos$ )) if  $F = N_{nc}\delta int(F)$  (resp.  $F \subseteq N_{nc}int(N_{nc}\delta cl(F)), F \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}\delta int(F)) \& F \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}\delta int(F)) \cup N_{nc}int(N_{nc}\delta cl(F))).$

**Definition 2.7.** [10,19,21,22,27] Let  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  and  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  be any two  $N_{nc}ts$ 's. A map  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is said to be

- (i) N<sub>nc</sub> (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>α, N<sub>nc</sub> semi, N<sub>nc</sub> pre, N<sub>nc</sub>γ, N<sub>nc</sub>β, N<sub>nc</sub>δ, N<sub>nc</sub>δ semi & N<sub>nc</sub>δ pre)open mapping (briefly, N<sub>nc</sub>O (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>αO, N<sub>nc</sub>SO, N<sub>nc</sub>PO, N<sub>nc</sub>γO, N<sub>nc</sub>βO, N<sub>nc</sub>δO, N<sub>nc</sub>δSO & N<sub>nc</sub>δPO) if the inverse image of every N<sub>nc</sub>os in (X<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>nc</sub>Ψ) is a N<sub>nc</sub>αos (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>Sos, N<sub>nc</sub>Pos, N<sub>nc</sub>γos, N<sub>nc</sub>βos, N<sub>nc</sub>δos, N<sub>nc</sub>δSos & N<sub>nc</sub>δPos) in (X<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>nc</sub>τ).
- (ii) N<sub>nc</sub> (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>α, N<sub>nc</sub> semi, N<sub>nc</sub> pre, N<sub>nc</sub>γ, N<sub>nc</sub>β, N<sub>nc</sub>δ, N<sub>nc</sub>δ semi & N<sub>nc</sub>δ pre)-closed mapping (briefly, N<sub>nc</sub>C (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>αC, N<sub>nc</sub>SC, N<sub>nc</sub>PC, N<sub>nc</sub>γC, N<sub>nc</sub>βC, N<sub>nc</sub>δC, N<sub>nc</sub>δC, N<sub>nc</sub>δC & N<sub>nc</sub>δPC)) if the inverse image of every N<sub>nc</sub>cs in (X<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>nc</sub>Ψ) is a N<sub>nc</sub>αcs (resp. N<sub>nc</sub>Scs, N<sub>nc</sub>βcs, N<sub>nc</sub>βcs, N<sub>nc</sub>βcs, N<sub>nc</sub>δScs & N<sub>nc</sub>δPcs) in (X<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>nc</sub>τ).
- (iii)  $N_{nc}$  (resp.  $N_{nc}e$ )-continuous (briefly,  $N_{nc}Cts$  (resp.  $N_{nc}eCts$ )) if the inverse image of every  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  (resp.  $N_{nc}eos$ ) in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ .
- (iv)  $N_{nc}$ -homeomorphism (briefly,  $N_{nc}Hom$ ) if  $\zeta \& \zeta^{-1}$  are  $N_{nc}Cts$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

Throughout this article, let  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ ,  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  are  $N_{nc}ts$ 's and  $\zeta$ :  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and  $\eta: (X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \to (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  are mappings.

#### 3. N-Neutrosophic crisp e-open mapping

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $\zeta$  is *N*-neutrosophic crisp *e*-open (briefly,  $N_{nc}eO$ ) if image of every  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\zeta$  be a function. Then Every

- (i)  $N_{nc}O$  is a  $N_{nc}\alpha O$ .
- (ii)  $N_{nc}\alpha O$  is a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}O$ .
- (iii)  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}O$  is a  $N_{nc}\gamma O$ .
- (iv)  $N_{nc}\gamma O$  is a  $N_{nc}\beta O$ .
- (v)  $N_{nc}\delta O$  is a  $N_{nc}O$ .
- (vi)  $N_{nc}\delta O$  is a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{S}O$ .
- (vii)  $N_{nc}\delta SO$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ .
- (viii)  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}O$  is a  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}O$ .
- (ix)  $N_{nc}\delta \mathcal{P}O$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ .
- (x)  $N_{nc}eO$  is a  $N_{nc}\beta O$ .

*Proof.* Proof of (i) to (iii), (iv) and (v) to (vi) are proved in [19], [21] and [22]. We prove only (vii) to (ix).

(vii) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\delta SO$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta Sos$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\delta Sos$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping.

(viii) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}O$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}os$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}os$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}os$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}os$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}O$  mapping.

(ix) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}O$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}os$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}os$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping.

(x) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}eos$  is  $N_{nc}\beta os$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\beta os$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}\beta O$ mapping.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.3.** The following diagram shows  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping function in  $N_{nc}ts$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces



None of these implication is reversible as shown in the following examples.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{a_o, b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} = Y$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_1 = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_2 = \{\phi_n, X_n\}$ .  $A_o = \langle \{a_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\Psi = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ . Let  $_{nc}\tau_1 = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\tau_2 = \{\phi_n, Y_n\}$ .  $B_o = \langle \{c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $C_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $D_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o, c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\tau = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ . Define  $\zeta : (X, 2_{nc}\Psi) \to (Y, 2_{nc}\tau)$ as identity map, then  $2_{nc}eO$  map but not  $2_{nc}\delta SO$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{a_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle) = \langle \{a_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}eos$  but not  $2_{nc}\delta Sos$  in Y.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{a_o, b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} = Y$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_1 = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_2 = \{\phi_n, X_n\}$ .  $A_o = \langle \{c_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\Psi = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ . Let  $_{nc}\tau_1 = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\tau_2 = \{\phi_n, Y_n\}$ .  $B_o = \langle \{c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $C_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $D_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o, c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\tau = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ . Define  $\zeta : (X, 2_{nc}\Psi) \to (Y, 2_{nc}\tau)$ as identity map, then  $2_{nc}eO$  map but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}O$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{c_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, e_o\} \rangle) = \langle \{c_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, e_o\} \rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}eos$  but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}os$  in Y.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{a_o, b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\} = Y$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_1 = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\Psi_2 = \{\phi_n, X_n\}$ .  $A_o = \langle \{a_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\Psi = \{\phi_n, X_n, A_o\}$ . Let  $_{nc}\tau_1 = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ ,  $_{nc}\tau_2 = \{\phi_n, Y_n\}$ .  $B_o = \langle \{c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, b_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $C_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{c_o, d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ ,  $D_o = \langle \{a_o, b_o, c_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{d_o, e_o\} \rangle$ , then  $2_{nc}\tau = \{\phi_n, Y_n, B_o, C_o, D_o\}$ . Define  $\zeta : (X, 2_{nc}\Psi) \to (Y, 2_{nc}\tau)$ as identity map, then  $2_{nc}\beta O$  map but not  $2_{nc}eO$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{a_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, e_o\} \rangle) = \langle \{a_o, d_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{b_o, c_o, e_o\} \rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}\beta os$  but not  $2_{nc}eos$  in Y.

**Theorem 3.7.** A mapping  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  iff for every  $N_{nc}s \varphi$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi), \zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi)) \subseteq N_{nc}eint(\zeta(\varphi)).$ 

Proof. Necessity: Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}eO$  &  $\varphi$  be a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Now,  $N_{nc}int(\varphi) \subseteq \varphi$ implies  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi)) \subseteq \zeta(\varphi)$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ ,  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi))$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  such that  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi)) \subseteq \zeta(\varphi)$  therefore  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi)) \subseteq N_{nc}eint(\zeta(\varphi))$ .

Sufficiency: Assume  $\varphi$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\zeta(\varphi) = \zeta(N_{nc}int(\varphi)) \subseteq N_{nc}eint(\zeta(\varphi))$ . But  $N_{nc}eint(\zeta(\varphi)) \subseteq \zeta(\varphi)$ . So  $\zeta(\varphi) = N_{nc}eint(\varphi)$  which implies  $\zeta(\varphi)$  is a  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and hence  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping then  $N_{nc}int(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}eint(\lambda))$  for every  $N_{nc}s \lambda$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

Proof. Let  $\lambda$  be a  $N_{nc}s$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Then  $N_{nc}int(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda))$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Since  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ ,  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda)))$  is  $N_{nc}eo$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and hence  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda))) \subseteq N_{nc}eint(\zeta(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda))) \subseteq N_{nc}eint(\lambda)$ . Thus  $N_{nc}int(\zeta^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}eint(\lambda))$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** A mapping  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  iff for each  $N_{nc}s \mu$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and for each  $N_{nc}cs \rho$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  containing  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  there is a  $N_{nc}ecs \mu$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni \mu \subseteq \rho \& \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \rho$ .

Proof. Necessity: Assume  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ . Let  $\mu$  be the  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  &  $\rho$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \ni \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \rho$ . Then  $\mu = (\zeta^{-1}(\rho^c))^c$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \rho$ .

Sufficiency: Assume  $\nu$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\zeta^{-1}((\zeta(\nu))^c \subseteq \nu^c \& \nu^c$  is  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . By hypothesis there is a  $N_{nc}ecs \ \mu$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni (\zeta(\nu))^c \subseteq \mu \& \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \nu^c$ . Therefore  $\nu \subseteq (\zeta^{-1}(\mu))^c$ . Hence  $\mu^c \subseteq \zeta(\nu) \subseteq \zeta((\zeta^{-1}(\mu))^c) \subseteq \mu^c$  which implies  $\zeta(\nu) = \mu^c$ . Since  $\mu^c$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Hence  $\zeta(\nu)$  is  $N_{nc}eo$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and thus  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.10.** A mapping  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  iff  $\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\rho)) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(\rho))$  for every  $N_{nc}s \rho$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

Proof. Necessity: Assume  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ . For any  $N_{nc}s \rho$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau), \zeta^{-1}(\rho) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(\rho))$ . Therefore by Theorem 3.9 there exists a  $N_{nc}ecs \mu$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni \rho \subseteq \mu \& \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(\rho))$ . Therefore we obtain that  $\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\rho)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(\rho))$ .

Sufficiency: Assume  $\rho$  is a  $N_{nc}s$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  &  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  containing  $\zeta^{-1}(\rho)$ . Put  $\alpha = N_{nc}cl(\rho)$ , then  $\rho \subseteq \alpha$  and  $\alpha$  is  $N_{nc}ec$  &  $\zeta^{-1}(\alpha) \subsetneq N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(\rho)) \subseteq \mu$ . Then by Theorem 3.9,  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.11.** If  $\zeta \& \eta$  be two neutrosophic crisp mappings and  $\eta \circ \zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \rightarrow (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ . If  $\eta : (X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \rightarrow (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  is  $N_{nc}eIrr$  then  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \rightarrow (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  mapping.

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\eta \circ \zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  because  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ . Since  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eIrr \& \eta \circ \zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  therefore  $\eta^{-1}(\eta \circ \zeta(\mu)) = \zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Hence  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** If  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}O$  and  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$  mappings then  $\eta \circ \zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\zeta(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  because  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}O$ . Since  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ ,  $\eta(\zeta(\mu)) = (\eta \circ \zeta)(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$ . Hence  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .

#### 4. N-Neutrosophic crisp e-closed mapping

**Definition 4.1.** A mapping  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is *N*-neutrosophic crisp *e*-closed (briefly,  $N_{nc}eC$ ) if image of every  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\zeta$  be a function. Then Every

- (i)  $N_{nc}C$  is a  $N_{nc}\alpha C$ .
- (ii)  $N_{nc}\alpha C$  is a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}C$ .
- (iii)  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}C$  is a  $N_{nc}\gamma C$ .
- (iv)  $N_{nc}\gamma C$  is a  $N_{nc}\beta C$ .
- (v)  $N_{nc}\delta C$  is a  $N_{nc}C$ .
- (vi)  $N_{nc}\delta C$  is a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{S}C$ .
- (vii)  $N_{nc}\delta SC$  is a  $N_{nc}eC$ .
- (viii)  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}C$  is a  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}C$ .
- (ix)  $N_{nc}\delta \mathcal{P}C$  is a  $N_{nc}eC$ .
- (x)  $N_{nc}eC$  is a  $N_{nc}\beta C$ .

*Proof.* Proof of (i) to (iii), (iv) and (v) to (vi) are proved in [19], [21] and [22]. We prove only (vii) to (ix).

(vii) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\delta SC$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta Scs$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\delta Scs$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$  mapping.

(viii) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}C$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}cs$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\mathcal{P}cs$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}cs$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}cs$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}C$  mapping.

(ix) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}C$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}cs$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}cs$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$  mapping.

(x) Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}eC$  mapping and K is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $X_1$ . Then  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $X_2$ . Since every  $N_{nc}ecs$  is  $N_{nc}\beta cs$  by Proposition 3.1 in [26],  $\zeta(K)$  is  $N_{nc}\beta cs$  in  $X_2$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}\beta C$ mapping.  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.3.** In Example 3.4, then  $2_{nc}eC$  map but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{S}C$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o\}\rangle) = \langle \{b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o\}\rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}ecs$  but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{S}cs$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

**Example 4.4.** In Example 3.5, then  $2_{nc}eC$  map but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}C$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{a_o, b_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{c_o, d_o\}\rangle) = \langle \{a_o, b_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{c_o, d_o\}\rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}ecs$  but not  $2_{nc}\delta\mathcal{P}cs$ .

**Example 4.5.** In Example 3.6, then  $2_{nc}\beta C$  map but not  $2_{nc}eC$  map, then  $\zeta(\langle \{b_o, c_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, d_o\}\rangle) = \langle \{b_o, c_o, e_o\}, \{\phi\}, \{a_o, d_o\}\rangle$  is a  $2_{nc}\beta cs$  but not  $2_{nc}ecs$ .

**Remark 4.6.** The following diagram shows  $N_{nc}eC$  mapping function in  $N_{nc}ts$ .



None of these implication is reversible as shown in the following examples.

**Theorem 4.7.** A mapping  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eC$  iff for each  $N_{nc}s \mu$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  and for each  $N_{nc}os \lambda$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  containing  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  there is a  $N_{nc}eos \rho$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni \mu \subseteq \rho \& \zeta^{-1}(\rho) \subseteq \lambda$ .

Proof. Necessity: Assume  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eC$ . Let  $\mu$  be the  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  &  $\lambda$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \ni \zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$ . Then  $\rho = X_2 - \zeta^{-1}(\lambda^c)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \ni \zeta^{-1}(\rho) \subseteq \lambda$ .

Sufficiency: Assume  $\nu$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $(\zeta(\nu))^c$  is a  $N_{nc}s$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau) \& \nu^c$ is  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \ni \zeta^{-1}((\zeta(\nu))^c) \subseteq \nu^c$ . By hypothesis there is a  $N_{nc}eos \ \rho$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  $\ni (\zeta(\nu))^c \subseteq \rho \& \zeta^{-1}(\rho) \subseteq \nu^c$ . Therefore  $\nu \subseteq (\zeta^{-1}(\rho))^c$ . Hence  $\rho^c \subseteq \zeta(\rho) \subseteq \zeta((\zeta^{-1}(\rho))^c) \subseteq \rho^c$ which implies  $\zeta(\nu) = \rho^c$ . Since  $\rho^c$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Hence  $\zeta(\nu)$  is  $N_{nc}ec$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ and thus  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.8.** If  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}C \& \eta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ . Then  $\eta \circ \zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  because  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}C$ . Now  $(\eta \circ \zeta)(\mu) = \eta(\zeta(\mu))$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  because  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ . Thus  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** If  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}eC$  map, then  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta(\rho)) \subsetneq \zeta(N_{nc}cl(\rho))$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $\zeta \& \eta$  are  $N_{nc}eC$  mappings. If every  $N_{nc}ecs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is  $N_{nc}c$  then,  $\eta \circ \zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $\zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  because  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ mapping. By hypothesis  $\zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}cs$  of  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Now  $\eta(\zeta(\mu)) = (\eta \circ \zeta)(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  because  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ . Thus  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.11.** The following statements are equivalent for a mapping  $\zeta$ :

- (i)  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ .
- (ii)  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eC$ .
- (iii)  $\zeta^{-1}$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ .

#### 5. N-Neutrosophic crisp e-homeomorphism

**Definition 5.1.** A bijection  $\zeta$  is called a  $N_{nc}e$ -homeomorphism (briefly  $N_{nc}eHom$ ) if  $\zeta \& \zeta^{-1}$  are  $N_{nc}eCts$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Each  $N_{nc}Hom$  is a  $N_{nc}eHom$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be  $N_{nc}Hom$ , then  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$  are  $N_{nc}Cts$ . But every  $N_{nc}Cts$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ . Therefore,  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eHom$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\zeta$  be a bijective mapping. The following statements are equivalent, if  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ :

- (i)  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eC$ .
- (ii)  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eO$ .
- (iii)  $\zeta^{-1}$  is a  $N_{nc}eHom$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A  $N_{nc}ts$   $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  is said to be a neutrosophic crisp  $eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$  (briefly,  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ )-space if every  $N_{nc}ecs$  is  $N_{nc}c$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}eHom$ , then  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}Hom$  if  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  and  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  are  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Proof. Assume that  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ , then  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Since  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  is a  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space,  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Therefore,  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}Cts$ . By hypothesis,  $\zeta^{-1}$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ . Let  $\nu$  be a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then,  $(\zeta^{-1})^{-1}(\nu) = \zeta(\nu)$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ , by presumption. Since  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space,  $\zeta(\nu)$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Hence,  $\zeta^{-1}$  is  $N_{nc}Cts$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}Hom$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.6.** The following statements are equivalent for  $\zeta$ , if  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space:

(i)  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

- (ii) If  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ , then  $\zeta(\mu)$  is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .
- (iii)  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu)) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(\zeta(\mu)))$  for every  $N_{nc}s \ \mu$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Obvious.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}s$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then,  $N_{nc}int(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then,  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu))$  is a  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Since  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, so  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu))$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Therefore,  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu)) = N_{nc}int(\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu))) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(\zeta(\mu)))$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then,  $\mu^c$  is a  $N_{nc}os$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . From,  $\zeta(N_{nc}int(\mu^c)) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(\zeta(\mu^c)))$ . Hence,  $\zeta(\mu^c) \subseteq N_{nc}cl(N_{nc}int(\zeta(\mu^c)))$ . Therefore,  $\zeta(\mu^c)$ is  $N_{nc}eos$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Therefore,  $\zeta(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}C$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $\zeta \& \eta$  be  $N_{nc}eC$ , where  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$  and  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  are two  $N_{nc}ts$ 's and  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  a  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, then the composition  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Since  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}ec \& \zeta(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ , by assumption,  $\zeta(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Since  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}ec$ , then  $\eta(\zeta(\mu))$  is  $N_{nc}ec$  in  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$  &  $\eta(\zeta(\mu)) = (\eta \circ \zeta)(\mu)$ . Therefore,  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eC$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.8.** The following statements are hold for  $\zeta \& \eta$ :

- (i) If  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO \& \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}Cts$ , then  $\eta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .
- (ii) If  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is  $N_{nc}O \& \eta$  is  $N_{nc}eCts$ , then  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eO$ .

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\Box$ 

#### 6. N-Neutrosophic crisp e-C Homeomorphism

**Definition 6.1.** A bijection  $\zeta$  is called a  $N_{nc}e$ -C homeomorphism (briefly,  $N_{nc}eCHom$ ) if  $\zeta \& \zeta^{-1}$  are  $N_{nc}eIrr$  mappings.

**Theorem 6.2.** Each  $N_{nc}eCHom$  is a  $N_{nc}eHom$ .

Proof. Let us assume that  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . This shows that  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . By assumption,  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eCts$ . Hence,  $\zeta \& \zeta^{-1}$  are  $N_{nc}eCts$ . Hence  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eHom$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 6.3.** If  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  is a  $N_{nc}eCHom$ , then  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))$  for each  $N_{nc}ts \ \mu$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}ts$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Then,  $N_{nc}cl(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}cs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ , and every  $N_{nc}cs$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Assume  $\zeta$  is  $N_{nc}eIrr$ ,  $\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\lambda))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ , then  $N_{nc}cl(\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))) = \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))$ . Here,  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))) = \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))$ . Therefore,  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}cl(\mu))$  for every  $N_{nc}s \ \mu$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $\zeta : (X_1, N_{nc}\Psi) \to (X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$  be a  $N_{nc}eCHom$ , then  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) = \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))$  for each  $N_{nc}s \ \mu$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ .

Proof. Since  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eCHom$ , then  $\zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eIrr$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $N_{nc}s$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Clearly,  $N_{nc}ecl(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then  $N_{nc}ecl(\mu)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Since  $\zeta^{-1}(\mu) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))$ , then  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))) = \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))$ . Therefore,  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a  $N_{nc}eCHom$ .  $\zeta^{-1}$  is a  $N_{nc}eIrr$ . Let us consider  $N_{nc}s \zeta^{-1}(\mu)$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ , which implies  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Hence,  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . This implies that  $(\zeta^{-1})^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))) = \zeta(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . This proves  $\mu = (\zeta^{-1})^{-1}(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) \subseteq (\zeta^{-1})^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))) = \zeta(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)))$ . Therefore,  $N_{nc}ecl(\mu) \subseteq N_{nc}ecl(\zeta(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)))) = \zeta(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)))$ , since  $\zeta^{-1}$  is a  $N_{nc}ecl(r)$ . Hence,  $\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu)) \subseteq \zeta^{-1}(\zeta(N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)))) = N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))$ . That is,  $\zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu)) \subseteq$  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu))$ . Hence,  $N_{nc}ecl(\zeta^{-1}(\mu)) = \zeta^{-1}(N_{nc}ecl(\mu))$ .

#### **Theorem 6.5.** If $\zeta \& \eta$ are $N_{nc}eCHom$ 's, then $\eta \circ \zeta$ is a $N_{nc}eCHom$ .

Proof. Let  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  to be two  $N_{nc}eCHom$ 's. Assume  $\mu$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$ . Then,  $\eta^{-1}(\mu)$ is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $\zeta^{-1}(\eta^{-1}(\mu))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Hence,  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eIrr$  mapping. Now, let  $\nu$  be a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_1, N_{nc}\Psi)$ . Then, by presumption,  $\zeta(\eta)$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_2, N_{nc}\tau)$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $\eta(\zeta(\nu))$  is a  $N_{nc}ecs$  in  $(X_3, N_{nc}\rho)$ . This implies that  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eIrr$ . Hence,  $\eta \circ \zeta$  is a  $N_{nc}eCHom$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 7. Conclusions

In this paper, the new concept of a  $N_{nc}eO$  and  $N_{nc}eC$  mappings,  $N_{nc}Hom$  and a  $N_{nc}eHom$ in  $N_{nc}ts$  are studied and discussed their properties. Also, we extended to  $N_{nc}eCHom$ 's and  $N_{nc}eT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space with some of their properties.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which have helped immensely in improving the quality of the paper.

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Al-Hamido, R.K., Gharibah, T., Jafari, S., & Smarandache, F. (2018). On neutrosophic crisp topology via N-topology. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 23, 96-109.
- Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Generalized neutrosophic b-open sets in neutrosophic topological space. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 35, 522-530.
- Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Neutrosophic simply soft open set in neutrosophic soft topological space. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 38, 235-243.
- Das, S., & Tripathy, B. C. (2020). Pairwise neutrosophic-b-open set in neutrosophic bitopological spaces. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 38, 135-144.
- Das, S., & Tripathy, B. C. (2021). Neutrosophic simply b-open set in neutrosophic topological spaces. Iraqi Journal of Science, In Press
- Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Neutrosophic Φ-open sets and neutrosophic Φ-continuous functions. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 38, 355-367.
- Ekici, E. (2008). On e-open sets, DP\*-sets and DPε\*-sets and decomposition of continuity. The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33 (2A), 271-282.
- Ekici, E. (2008). On a-open sets, A<sup>\*</sup>-sets and decompositions of continuity and super continuity. Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, 51, 39-51.
- 9. Ekici, E. (2008). New forms of contra continuity. Bull. Carpathian J. Math., 24 (1), 37-45.
- Lellis Thivagar, M., Jafari, S., Antonysamy, V., & Sutha Devi, V. (2018). The ingenuity of neutrosophic topology via N-topology. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 19, 91-100.
- Lellis Thivagar, M., Ramesh, V., & Arockia, M. D. (2016). On new structure of N-topology. Cogent Mathematics (Taylor and Francis), 3, 1204104.
- Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F., & Kroumov, V. (2014). Neutrosophic crisp sets and neutrosophic crisp topological spaces. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2, 25-30.
- Salama, A. A. & Smarandache, F. (2015). Neutrosophic crisp set theory. Educational Publisher, Columbus, Ohio, USA.
- Smarandache, F. (2002). Neutrosophy and neutrosophic logic. First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics, University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2020). γ-open sets in N<sub>nc</sub>-topological spaces. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9 (4), 2197-2202.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2020). N<sub>nc</sub>β-open sets. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9 (4), 2203-2207.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2022). On Almost γ-Continuous Functions in N-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces. Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 11 (3), 424-432.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2022). N<sub>nc</sub>δ-Open Sets. South East Asian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 18 (3), 207-216.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2022). N<sub>nc</sub>γ Maps in N<sub>nc</sub>-Topological Spaces. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 18 (3), 30-40.
- Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. (2023). Some Types of Continuous Function Via N-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces. Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal, 18 (1), 12.
- 21. Vadivel, A., & John Sundar, C. Some maps on  $\delta$ -open sets in  $N_{nc}$ -topological spaces. Submitted.
- P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

- Vadivel, A., Nauvluri, M., & Thangaraja, P. (2020). On N<sub>nc</sub> DP\*-sets and decomposition of continuity in N<sub>nc</sub>-topological spaces. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9 (11), 9559-9564.
- Vadivel, A., Nauvluri, M., & Thangaraja, P. (2021). Completely N<sub>nc</sub> e(weakly N<sub>nc</sub> e)-irresolute functions via N<sub>nc</sub> e-open sets. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1724, 012010.
- 25. Vadivel, A., Nauvluri, M., & Thangaraja, P. (2021). Characterization of completely  $N_{nc}$  e(weakly  $N_{nc}$  e)-irresolute functions via  $N_{nc}$  e-open sets. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1724, 012009.
- Vadivel, A., & Thangaraja, P. (2021). e-open sets N<sub>nc</sub> Topological Spaces. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1724, 012007.
- Vadivel, A., & Thangaraja, P. (2021). e-continuous and Somewhat e-continuity in N<sub>nc</sub>-Topological Spaces. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1724, 012008.
- Vadivel, A., Thangaraja, P., & John Sundar, C. (2022). N<sub>nc</sub>β-Continuous Maps. South East Asian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 18 (2), 275-288.

Received: July 11, 2023. Accepted: Nov 23, 2023

P. Thangaraja, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, *e*-Open Maps, *e*-Closed Maps and *e*-Homeomorphisms in *N*-Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces