

# Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

---

Volume 59 *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,  
Vol. 59, 2023 - Special Issue on Symbolic  
*Plithogenic Algebraic Structures*

Article 10

---

10-28-2023

## Plithogenic and Neutrosophic Markov Chains: Modeling Uncertainty and Ambiguity in Stochastic Processes

Suhar Massassati

Mohamed Bisher Zeina

Yasin Karmouta

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Massassati, Suhar; Mohamed Bisher Zeina; and Yasin Karmouta. "Plithogenic and Neutrosophic Markov Chains: Modeling Uncertainty and Ambiguity in Stochastic Processes." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 59, 1 (2023). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol59/iss1/10](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol59/iss1/10)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [disc@unm.edu](mailto:disc@unm.edu).



# Plithogenic and Neutrosophic Markov Chains: Modeling Uncertainty and Ambiguity in Stochastic Processes

Suhar Massassati<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Bisher Zeina<sup>2</sup> and Yasin Karmouta<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Science, Dept. of Mathematical Statistics, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria, e-mail:

[suharmassasati1987@gmail.com](mailto:suharmassasati1987@gmail.com).

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, Dept. of Mathematical Statistics, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria, e-mail: [bisher.zeina@gmail.com](mailto:bisher.zeina@gmail.com).

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Science, Dept. of Mathematical Statistics, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria, e-mail:

[yassinkarmouta@gmail.com](mailto:yassinkarmouta@gmail.com).

**Abstract:** In this work we present for the first time the concept of literal neutrosophic markov chains and literal plithogenic markov chains. Also, we presented many theorems related to the properties of transition matrix. In literal neutrosophic markov chains we proved that a neutrosophic matrix  $M = A + BI$  is a transition matrix if and only if  $A$  is a classical transition matrix and  $A + B$  is a classical transition matrix. We also proved that multiplication of two neutrosophic transition matrices is again a neutrosophic transition matrix and that the power of a neutrosophic transition matrix is a neutrosophic transition matrix. Finally, we proved that the  $(n)$  step neutrosophic transition matrix is equivalent to raising the main neutrosophic transition matrix to the power  $n$ . In literal plithogenic markov chains which is a generalization of the previous case we proved that  $M = A + BP_1 + CP_2$  is a plithogenic transition matrix if and only if all of the matrices  $A, A + B, A + B + C$  are transition matrices in classical concept. We also proved that multiplication of two plithogenic transition matrices is a plithogenic transition matrix and that raising a plithogenic transition matrix to a power  $r$  will produce a new plithogenic transition matrix. Also, as in neutrosophic case, the  $(n)$  step plithogenic transition matrix is equivalent to the main plithogenic matrix raised to

the power  $n$ . Theorems were provided with suitable solved examples and problems.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic; Plithogenic; Markov Chains; Transition Matrix; Chapman-Kolmogorov.

---

## 1. Introduction

In the realm of stochastic processes and probability theory, Markov chains stand as a foundational model for understanding the dynamics of sequential events. These chains provide a powerful framework for analyzing various systems, ranging from biological processes to financial markets. However, traditional Markov chains often struggle to capture the inherent uncertainties and ambiguities present in many real-world scenarios. [1]–[5]

This paper delves into the intriguing fusion of two distinct conceptual frameworks, namely plithogenic and neutrosophic, with the well-established Markov chain theory. Plithogenic and neutrosophic concepts extend the conventional notions of truth and falsity to encompass the realm of partial truth and indeterminacy, respectively. [6]–[21] This unique blend of theories offers a promising avenue to model complex systems where inherent vagueness and uncertainty play a significant role.

Throughout this paper, we aim to elucidate the theoretical foundations of plithogenic and neutrosophic Markov chains, shedding light on their mathematical underpinnings and conceptual implications. We will explore how these novel extensions can be seamlessly integrated into traditional Markov chain models which

have many practical applications across diverse domains such as decision-making, risk assessment, and artificial intelligence.

By merging the realms of classical Markov chains, plithogenic reasoning, and neutrosophic logic, this paper strives to contribute to the advancement of probabilistic modeling in situations where uncertainty and ambiguity are central. Through comprehensive exploration and illustrative examples, we endeavor to demonstrate the utility and significance of these novel frameworks in tackling the intricacies of real-world systems. In doing so, we aim to provide researchers and practitioners with a deeper understanding of the capabilities and limitations of plithogenic and neutrosophic Markov chains, paving the way for more nuanced and accurate modeling in complex and uncertain scenarios.

This work can be considered as a complement to previous works in probability theory and stochastic processes built under symbolic neutrosophic structures and can be also considered as an introduction to related fields such as queueing theory, reliability theory, dynamic systems, etc.[11], [17], [22]–[41]

## 2. Preliminaries

### Definition 2.1

Let  $R(I) = \{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}I; I^2 = I\}$ , we call  $R(I)$  the neutrosophic field of reals.

### Definition 2.2

Let  $R(I)$  be the neutrosophic field of reals, and let  $a_N = a_1 + a_2I, b_N = b_1 + b_2I \in R(I)$ . We can say that  $a_N \geq_N b_N$  if:  $a_1 \geq b_1$  and  $a_1 + a_2 \geq b_1 + b_2$

### Definition 2.3

One-dimensional isometry between  $R(I)$  and  $R \times R$  and its inverse are defined as follows:

$$T: R(I) \rightarrow R \times R; T(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}I) = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}).$$

$$T^{-1}: R \times R \rightarrow R(I); T^{-1}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})I.$$

### Definition 2.4

Let  $R(P_1, P_2) = \{a_0 + a_1P_1 + a_2P_2; P_1^2 = P_1, P_2^2 = P_2, P_1P_2 = P_2P_1 = P_2\}$ , we call  $R(P_1, P_2)$  Plithogenic field of reals.

**Definition 2.5**

Let  $R(P_1, P_2)$  be the Plithogenic field of reals, and let  $a_p = a_0 + a_1P_1 + a_2P_2, b_p = b_0 + b_1P_1 + b_2P_2 \in R(P_1, P_2)$ . We say that  $a_p \geq_p b_p$  if:

$$a_0 \geq b_0, a_0 + a_1 \geq b_0 + b_1 \text{ and } a_0 + a_1 + a_2 \geq b_0 + b_1 + b_2$$

**Definition 2.6**

One-dimensional isometry between  $R(P_1, P_2)$  and the space  $R \times R \times R$  is defined as follows:

$$T: R(P_1, P_2) \rightarrow R \times R \times R; T(a_0 + a_1P_1 + a_2P_2) = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_1 + a_2)$$

$$T^{-1}: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R(P_1, P_2); T^{-1}(a_0, a_1, a_2) = a_0 + (a_1 - a_0)P_1 + (a_2 - a_1)P_2$$

**3. Literal Neutrosophic Markov chains**

**Definition 3.1**

A set of random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfying:

$$Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = i_0\} = Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n\}$$

is called a literal or symbolic neutrosophic markov chain if the last probability

takes the form  $Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n\} = a + bI; 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq a + b \leq 1, I^2 = I$

**Definition 3.2**

We call  $p_{ij}^{(n,n+1)} = Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) \in R(I)$  literal or symbolic neutrosophic one-step transition probability.

**Definition 3.3**

A squared neutrosophic matrix

$$M_N = A + BI = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}I]_{n \times n}$$

Is called a neutrosophic markov transition matrix if its elements satisfy:

$$1. \sum_j a_{ij} + b_{ij}I = 1 \quad ; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$2. 0 \leq_N a_{ij} + b_{ij}I \leq_N 1 \quad ; i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

**Example 3.1**

let's take: 
$$M_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3I & 1 - 0.3I \\ 0.4 + 0.2I & 0.6 - 0.2I \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $M_N$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix because:

$$0.3I + 1 - 0.3I = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0.4 + 0.2I + 0.6 - 0.2I = 1$$

Also, according to the definition of comparison between Neutrosophic numbers we have:

- $0 + 0.3I \leq_N 1 + 0I$  because  $0 \leq 1$  &  $0.3 \leq 1$
- $1 - 0.3I \leq_N 1 + 0I$  because  $1 \leq 1$  &  $0.7 \leq 1$
- $0.4 + 0.2I \leq_N 1 + 0I$  because  $0.4 \leq 1$  &  $0.6 \leq 1$
- $0.6 - 0.2I \leq_N 1 + 0I$  because  $0.6 \leq 1$  &  $0.4 \leq 1$
- $0 + 0I \leq_N 0 + 0.3I$  because  $0 \leq 0$  &  $0 \leq 0.3$
- $0 + 0I \leq_N 1 - 0.3I$  because  $0 \leq 1$  &  $0 \leq 0.7$
- $0 + 0I \leq_N 0.4 + 0.2I$  because  $0 \leq 0.4$  &  $0 \leq 0.6$
- $0 + 0I \leq_N 0.6 - 0.2I$  because  $0 \leq 0.6$  &  $0 \leq 0.4$

**Theorem 3.1**

The matrix  $M_N = A + BI$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix if and only if  $A$  is a crisp transition matrix and  $A + B$  is a crisp transition matrix.

**Proof**

Let's assume that  $M_N$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix and prove that  $A$  and  $A + B$  are two transition matrices:

we have  $0 + 0I \leq_N a_{ij} + b_{ij}I \leq_N 1 + 0I$  so  $a_{ij} \leq 1$  ,  $a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$  ,  $0 \leq a_{ij}$  and  $0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij}$  which means that:

$$0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$$

Also, we have  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}I) = 1 = 1 + 0I$  which means that  $\sum_j b_{ij} =$

$$0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j a_{ij} = 1$$

So, we can conclude that:

$0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1$  and  $\sum_j a_{ij} = 1 \Rightarrow A$  is a transition matrix.

$0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$  and  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = 1 \Rightarrow A + B$  is a transition matrix.

Now, let's assume that both  $A$  and  $A+B$  are transition matrices and prove that  $M_N = A + BI$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix:

since  $A, A + B$  are transition matrices then  $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1, 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$  which

means that  $0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij}I \leq 1$

Also, we have  $\sum_j a_{ij} = 1$  and  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = 1$  that yields to the fact

that  $\sum_j b_{ij} = 0$

Then we conclude that  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}I) = 1$  and this proves the theorem.

**Example 3.2**

Let's take the matrix:

$$M_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3I & 1 - 0.3I \\ 0.4 + 0.2I & 0.6 - 0.2I \end{bmatrix}$$

that is:

$$M_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & -0.3 \\ 0.2 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix} I$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

we note that  $A$  and  $A+B$  are two transition matrices fulfill conditions

$$\sum_j a_{ij} = 1 ; i = 1,2 \qquad 0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 ; i, j = 1,2$$

$$\sum_j a_{ij} + b_{ij} = 1 ; i = 1,2 \qquad 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1 ; i, j = 1,2$$

**Theorem 3.2**

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two neutrosophic transition matrices, then their multiplication is a neutrosophic transition matrix.

**Proof**

$$\text{Let } M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11}I & a_{12} + b_{12}I \\ a_{21} + b_{21}I & a_{22} + b_{22}I \end{bmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} + d_{11}I & c_{12} + d_{12}I \\ c_{21} + d_{21}I & c_{22} + d_{22}I \end{bmatrix}$$

$M_1 \cdot M_2$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (a_{11} + b_{11}I)(c_{11} + d_{11}I) + (a_{12} + b_{12}I)(c_{21} + d_{21}I) & (a_{11} + b_{11}I)(c_{12} + d_{12}I) + (a_{12} + b_{12}I)(c_{22} + d_{22}I) \\ (a_{21} + b_{21}I)(c_{11} + d_{11}I) + (a_{22} + b_{22}I)(c_{21} + d_{21}I) & (a_{21} + b_{21}I)(c_{12} + d_{12}I) + (a_{22} + b_{22}I)(c_{22} + d_{22}I) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's check the first condition:

$$(a_{11} + b_{11}I)(c_{11} + d_{11}I) + (a_{12} + b_{12}I)(c_{21} + d_{21}I) + (a_{11} + b_{11}I)(c_{12} + d_{12}I) + (a_{12} + b_{12}I)(c_{22} + d_{22}I) =$$

$$(a_{11} + b_{11}I)[(c_{11} + d_{11}I) + (c_{12} + d_{12}I)] + (a_{12} + b_{12}I)[(c_{21} + d_{21}I) + (c_{22} + d_{22}I)] =$$

$$(a_{11} + b_{11}I) + (a_{12} + b_{12}I) = 1$$

Similarly, we find that sum of elements of the second row of matrix  $(M_1 \cdot M_2)$  is 1

Also, since all elements of the matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are positive and since that sum of each row of the matrix  $M_1 \cdot M_2$  is 1 then we conclude that each element lays between 0 and 1

### Example 3.3

$$\text{Let } M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 + 0.3I & 0.8 - 0.3I \\ 0.2I & 1 - 0.2I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \cdot M_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 + 0.3I & 0.8 - 0.3I \\ 0.2I & 1 - 0.2I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.32I + 0.06I^2 & 1 - 0.32I - 0.06I^2 \\ 0.6 + 0.25I + 0.01I^2 & 0.94 - 0.25I - 0.01I^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.38I & 1 - 0.38I \\ 0.6 + 0.26I & 0.31 - 0.26I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the matrix  $M_1 \cdot M_2$  It is a neutrosophic transition matrix because it satisfies the assumed conditions.

### Definition 3.4

Let  $M_N = A + BI$  be a neutrosophic matrix and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , then:

$$M_N^r = A^r + I[(A + B)^r - A^r]$$

### Theorem 3.3

If  $M_N$  neutrosophic transition matrix, then  $M_N^r$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix.

### Proof

Straight forward by mathematical induction according to theorem 3.2.



**Example 3.4:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } M_N &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix} \\ M_N^2 &= M_N \cdot M_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 - 0.08I + 0.3I^2 & 0.7 + 0.08I - 0.3I^2 \\ 0.21 + 0.22I + 0.05I^2 & 0.79 - 0.22I - 0.05I^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 - 0.38I & 0.7 + 0.38I \\ 0.21 + 0.27I & 0.79 - 0.27I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $M_N^2$  is a neutrosophic transition matrix, also:

$$\begin{aligned} M_N^3 &= M_N^2 \cdot M_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 - 0.38I & 0.7 + 0.38I \\ 0.21 + 0.27I & 0.79 - 0.27I \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.6I & 1 - 0.6I \\ 0.3 + 0.1I & 0.7 - 0.1I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.21 + 0.364I - 0.190I^2 & 0.79 - 0.364I + 0.190I^2 \\ 0.237 + 0.124I + 0.135I^2 & 0.763 - 0.124I - 0.135I^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.21 + 0.174I & 0.79 - 0.174I \\ 0.237 + 0.259I & 0.763 - 0.259I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $M_N^3$  is also a neutrosophic transition matrix.

**Theorem 3.4**

Let  $M_N = A + BI$  be a neutrosophic transition matrix and let  $M_N^{(n)}$  be the (n) steps transition matrix then:

$$M_N^{(n)} = M_N^n$$

**Proof**

By takin the isometric image we have:

$$T(M_N^{(n)}) = (A^{(n)}, (A + B)^{(n)})$$

Since both  $A^{(n)}, (A + B)^{(n)}$  are transition matrices in classical scene then by the well-known Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem we have:

$$A^{(n)} = A^n, (A + B)^{(n)} = (A + B)^n$$

Which means that:

$$T(M_N^{(n)}) = (A^n, (A + B)^n)$$

Now, taking inverse isometry yields to:

$$T^{-1}(T(M_N^{(n)})) = A^n + [(A + B)^n - A^n]I = M^n$$

### 4. Literal Plithogenic Markov chains

#### Definition 4.1

A set of random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfying:

$$Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = i_0\} = Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n\}$$

is called a literal or symbolic neutrosophic markov chain if the last probability takes the form  $Pr \{X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n\} = a + bP_1 + cP_2; 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq a + b \leq 1, 0 \leq a + b + c \leq 1; P_1^2 = P_1, P_2^2 = P_2,$

$$P_1P_2 = P_2P_1 = P_2$$

#### Definition 4.2

We call  $p_{ij}^{(n,n+1)} = Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) \in R(P_1, P_2)$  literal or symbolic plithogenic one-step transition probability.

#### Definition 4.3

A squared plithogenic matrix

$$M_N = A + BP_1 + CP_2 = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2]_{n \times n}$$

Is called a plithogenic markov transition matrix if its elements satisfy:

1.  $\sum_j a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2 = 1 \quad ; i = 1,2,3, \dots, n$
2.  $0 \leq_p a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2 \leq_p 1 \quad ; i, j = 1,2,3, \dots, n$

#### Example 4.1

let's take:  $M_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 & 1 - 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 \\ 0.4 + 0.2P_1 - 0.6P_2 & 0.6 - 0.2P_1 + 0.6P_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Then  $M_p$  is a plithogenic transition matrix because:

$$0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 + 1 - 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0.4 + 0.2P_1 - 0.6P_2 + 0.6 - 0.2P_1 + 0.6P_2 = 1$$

Also, according to the definition of comparison between plithogenic numbers we have:

$$0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 \leq_p 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \text{ because } 0 \leq 1 \text{ \& } 0.3 \leq 1$$

$$1 - 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 \leq_p 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \text{ because } 1 \leq 1 \text{ \& } 0.7 \leq 1$$

$$0.4 + 0.2P_1 - 0.6P_2 \leq_p 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \text{ because } 0.4 \leq 1 \text{ \& } 0.6 \leq 1$$

$$0.6 - 0.2P_1 + 0.6P_2 \leq_p 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \text{ because } 0.6 \leq 1 \text{ \& } 0.4 \leq 1$$

$$0 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \leq_p 0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 \text{ because } 0 \leq 0 \text{ \& } 0 \leq 0.3$$

$$0 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \leq_p 1 - 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 \text{ because } 0 \leq 1 \text{ \& } 0 \leq 0.7$$

$$0 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \leq_p 0.4 + 0.2P_1 - 0.6P_2 \text{ because } 0 \leq 0.4 \text{ \& } 0 \leq 0.6$$

$$0 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \leq_p 0.6 - 0.2P_1 + 0.6P_2 \text{ because } 0 \leq 0.6 \text{ \& } 0 \leq 0.4$$

**Theorem4.1**

The matrix  $M_p = A + BP_1 + CP_2$  is a plithogenic transition matrix if and only if  $A$  is a crisp transition matrix,  $A + B$  is a crisp transition matrix and  $A + B + C$  is a crisp transition matrix.

**Proof**

Let's assume that  $M_p$  is a plithogenic transition matrix and prove that  $A, A + B$  and  $A + B + C$  are transition matrices:

we have  $0 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 \leq_p a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2 \leq_p 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2$  so  $a_{ij} \leq 1$  ,  $a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$  and  $a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} \leq 1$

$0 \leq a_{ij}$  ,  $0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij}$  and  $0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij}$  which means that:

$$0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1$$

Also, we have  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2) = 1 = 1 + 0P_1 + 0P_2$  which means

$$\text{that } \sum_j c_{ij} = 0 \quad \sum_j b_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } \sum_j a_{ij} = 1$$

So, we can conclude that:

$$0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_j a_{ij} = 1 \Rightarrow A \text{ is a transition matrix.}$$

$$0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = 1 \Rightarrow A + B \text{ is a transition matrix.}$$

$0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} \leq 1$  and  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij}) = 1 \Rightarrow A + B + C$  transition matrix.

Now, let's assume that both  $A, A+B$  and  $A+B+C$  are transition matrices and prove that  $M_P = A + BP_1 + CP_2$  is a plithogenic transition matrix:

since  $A, A + B, A + B + C$  are transition matrices then  $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1, 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1, 0 \leq$

$a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} \leq 1$  which means that  $0 \leq_P a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2 \leq_P 1$

Also, we have  $\sum_j a_{ij} = 1, \sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = 1$  and  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij}) = 1$  that yields to the fact that  $\sum_j b_{ij} = 0$  and  $\sum_j c_{ij} = 0$

Then we conclude that  $\sum_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij}P_1 + c_{ij}P_2) = 1$  and this proves the theorem.

**Example 4.2**

Let's take the matrix:

$$M_P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 & 1 - 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 \\ 0.4 + 0.2P_1 - 0.6P_2 & 0.6 - 0.2P_1 + 0.6P_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

that is:

$$M_P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & -0.3 \\ 0.2 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix} P_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & -0.1 \\ -0.6 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} P_2$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A + B + C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

we note that  $A, A + B$  and  $A + B + C$  are transition matrices fulfill conditions

$$\sum_j a_{ij} = 1 ; i = 1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1 ; i, j = 1,2$$

$$\sum_j a_{ij} + b_{ij} = 1 ; i = 1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} \leq 1 ; i, j = 1,2$$

$$\sum_j a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} = 1 ; i = 1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} \leq 1 ; i, j = 1,2$$

**Theorem 4.2**

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two plithogenic transition matrices, then their multiplication is a plithogenic transition matrix.

**Proof**

Let

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2 & a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2 \\ a_{21} + b_{21}P_1 + c_{21}P_2 & a_{22} + b_{22}P_1 + c_{22}P_2 \end{bmatrix} M_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} + e_{11}P_1 + f_{11}P_2 & d_{12} + e_{12}P_1 + f_{12}P_2 \\ d_{21} + e_{21}P_1 + f_{21}P_2 & d_{22} + e_{22}P_1 + f_{22}P_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_1.M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

$$x = (a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2)(d_{11} + e_{11}P_1 + f_{11}P_2)$$

$$+ (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2)(d_{21} + e_{21}P_1 + f_{21}P_2)$$

$$y = (a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2)(d_{12} + e_{12}P_1 + f_{12}P_2) + (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2)(d_{22} + e_{22}P_1 + f_{22}P_2)$$

$$z = (a_{21} + b_{21}P_1 + c_{21}P_2)(d_{11} + e_{11}P_1 + f_{11}P_2) + (a_{22} + b_{22}P_1 + c_{22}P_2)(d_{21} + e_{21}P_1 + f_{21}P_2)$$

$$w = (a_{21} + b_{21}P_1 + c_{21}P_2)(d_{12} + e_{12}P_1 + f_{12}P_2) + (a_{22} + b_{22}P_1 + c_{22}P_2)(d_{22} + e_{22}P_1 + f_{22}P_2)$$

Let's check the condition:

$$(a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2)(d_{11} + e_{11}P_1 + f_{11}P_2) + (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2)(d_{21} + e_{21}P_1 + f_{21}P_2) + (a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2)(d_{12} + e_{12}P_1 + f_{12}P_2) + (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2)(d_{22} + e_{22}P_1 + f_{22}P_2)$$

$$= (a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2)[(d_{11} + e_{11}P_1 + f_{11}P_2) + (d_{12} + e_{12}P_1 + f_{12}P_2)] + (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2)$$

$$[(d_{21} + e_{21}P_1 + f_{21}P_2) + (d_{22} + e_{22}P_1 + f_{22}P_2)]$$

$$= (a_{11} + b_{11}P_1 + c_{11}P_2) + (a_{12} + b_{12}P_1 + c_{12}P_2) = 1$$

Similarly, we find that sum of elements of the second row of matrix  $(M_1.M_2)$  is 1

Also, since all elements of the matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are positive and since that sum of each row of the matrix  $M_1.M_2$  is 1 then we conclude that each element lays between 0 and 1

### Example 4.3

Let  $M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6P_1 + 0.2P_2 & 1 - 0.6P_1 - 0.2P_2 \\ 0.3 + 0.1P_1 - 0.5P_2 & 0.7 - 0.1P_1 + 0.5P_2 \end{bmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 + 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 & 0.8 - 0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 \\ 0.2P_1 + 0.3P_2 & 1 - 0.2P_1 - 0.3P_2 \end{bmatrix}$

$M_1 \cdot M_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6P_1 + 0.2P_2 & 1 - 0.6P_1 - 0.2P_2 \\ 0.3 + 0.1P_1 - 0.5P_2 & 0.7 - 0.1P_1 + 0.5P_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 + 0.3P_1 - 0.1P_2 & 0.8 - 0.3P_1 + 0.1P_2 \\ 0.2P_1 + 0.3P_2 & 1 - 0.2P_1 - 0.3P_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.06P_1^2 + (0.32 - 0.22P_2)P_1 + 0.34P_2 - 0.08P_2^2 & -0.06P_1^2 + (-0.32 + 0.22P_2)P_1 - 0.34P_2 + 0.08P_2^2 \\ 0.01P_1^2 + (0.25 - 0.09P_2)P_1 + 0.06 + 0.08P_2 + 0.20P_2^2 & -0.01P_1^2 + (-0.25 + 0.09P_2)P_1 + 0.94 - 0.09P_2 + 0.19P_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.06P_1 + (0.32P_1 - 0.22P_2) + 0.34P_2 - 0.08P_2 & -0.06P_1 + (-0.32P_1 + 0.22P_2) - 0.34P_2 + 0.08P_2 \\ 0.01P_1 + (0.25P_1 - 0.09P_2) + 0.06 + 0.08P_2 + 0.20P_2 & -0.01P_1 + (-0.25P_1 + 0.09P_2) + 0.94 - 0.09P_2 + 0.19P_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.38P_1 + 0.04P_2 & -0.38P_1 - 0.04P_2 + 1 \\ 0.26P_1 + 0.06 + 0.19P_2 & -0.26P_1 + 0.94 - 0.19P_2 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the matrix  $M_1 \cdot M_2$  It is a plithogenic transition matrix because it satisfies the assumed conditions.

**Definition 4.4**

Let  $M_p = A + BP_1 + CP_2$  be a plithogenic matrix and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , then:

$$M_p^r = A^r + P_1[(A + B)^r - A^r] + P_2[(A + B + C)^r - (A + B)^r]$$

**Theorem 4.3**

If  $M_p$  plithogenic transition matrix, then  $M_p^r$  is a plithogenic transition matrix.

**Proof**

Straight forward by mathematical induction according to theorem 4.2.

**Example 4.4**

Let  $M_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6P_1 + 0.2P_2 & 1 - 0.6P_1 - 0.2P_2 \\ 0.3 + 0.1P_1 - 0.5P_2 & 0.7 - 0.1P_1 + 0.5P_2 \end{bmatrix}$

$M_p^2 = M_p \cdot M_p$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6P_1 + 0.2P_2 & 1 - 0.6P_1 - 0.2P_2 \\ 0.3 + 0.1P_1 - 0.5P_2 & 0.7 - 0.1P_1 + 0.5P_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.6P_1 + 0.2P_2 & 1 - 0.6P_1 - 0.2P_2 \\ 0.3 + 0.1P_1 - 0.5P_2 & 0.7 - 0.1P_1 + 0.5P_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.30P_1^2 + (0.52P_2 - 0.08)P_1 + 0.14P_2^2 + 0.3 - 0.56P_2 & -0.30P_1^2 + (0.08 - 0.52P_2)P_1 + 0.56P_2 - 0.08P_2^2 \\ 0.05P_1^2 + (0.22 - 0.18P_2)P_1 - 0.14P_2 - 0.35P_2^2 + 0.21 & -0.05P_1^2 + (-0.22 + 0.18P_2)P_1 + 0.79 + 0.09P_2 - 0.19P_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.30P_1 + (0.52P_2 - 0.08P_1) + 0.14P_2 + 0.3 - 0.56P_2 & -0.30P_1 + (0.08P_1 - 0.52P_2) + 0.56P_2 - 0.08P_2 \\ 0.05P_1 + (0.22P_1 - 0.18P_2) - 0.14P_2 - 0.35P_2 + 0.21 & -0.05P_1 + (-0.22P_1 + 0.18P_2) + 0.79 + 0.09P_2 - 0.19P_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.22P_1 + 0.1P_2 + 0.3 & -0.22P_1 + 0.1P_2 + 0.7 \\ 0.27P_1 - 0.67P_2 + 0.21 & -0.27P_1 + 0.79 + 0.67P_2 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $M_p^2$  is a plithogenic transition matrix.

**Theorem 4.4**

Let  $M_p = A + BP_1 + CP_2$  be a plithogenic transition matrix and let  $M_p^{(n)}$  be the (n) steps transition matrix then:

$$M_p^{(n)} = M_p^n$$

**Proof**

By taking the isometric image we have:

$$T(M_p^{(n)}) = (A^{(n)}, (A + B)^{(n)}, (A + B + C)^{(n)})$$

Since  $A^{(n)}, (A + B)^{(n)}, (A + B + C)^{(n)}$  are transition matrices in classical scene then by the well-known Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem we have:

$$A^{(n)} = A^n, (A + B)^{(n)} = (A + B)^n, (A + B + C)^{(n)} = (A + B + C)^n$$

Which means that:

$$T(M_p^{(n)}) = (A^n, (A + B)^n, (A + B + C)^n)$$

Now, taking inverse isometry yields to:

$$T^{-1}(T(M_p^{(n)})) = A^n + [(A + B)^n - A^n]P_1 + [(A + B + C)^n - (A + B)^n]P_2 = M^n$$

**5. Conclusion**

In conclusion, this paper pioneers the integration of symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic concepts into the well-established framework of Markov chains, yielding a profound extension that encapsulates the nuances of uncertainty and ambiguity. Through a meticulous presentation of eight theorems, we have established a bridge between these novel matrices and their classical counterparts, revealing their intrinsic alignment. The introduced operations of matrix exponentiation and multiplication further amplify the versatility of these frameworks, enabling the exploration of complex system dynamics under varying degrees of indeterminacy. Moreover, the adaptation of Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem to the symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic domains augments our ability to analyze state transitions in environments laden with partial truth. This

study not only advances the theoretical frontiers of probabilistic modeling but also lays a fertile ground for practical applications across disciplines such as decision analysis, risk assessment, and artificial intelligence. As the confluence of traditional and innovative theories continues to shape the landscape of uncertainty modeling, symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic Markov chains stand poised to offer invaluable insights into the intricate fabric of real-world systems.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** Authors are thankful for the editorial team specially the editor-in-chief professor Florentin Smarandache and the guest editor Mohamad Abobala.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] S. Agboola and O. O. Adebisi, "APPLICATION OF POWER NUMERICAL METHOD FOR THE STATIONARY DISTRIBUTION OF MARKOV CHAIN," *FUDMA JOURNAL OF SCIENCES*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 19–24, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.33003/fjs-2023-0702-1625.
- [2] R. V. Presentación, H. R. Perfecto, R. O. L. Ruiz, W. A. Valencia, and J. S. Rojas, "PROBABILITY OF CONTINUOUS USE OF THE DELIVERY SERVICE IN A LIMA COMMUNITY: AN APPLICATION OF THE MARKOV CHAINS," *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, vol. 8, no. 5, 2023, doi: 10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i5.1848.
- [3] M. Valenzuela, "Markov chains and applications," *Selecciones Matemáticas*, vol. 9, no. 01, pp. 53–78, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.17268/sel.mat.2022.01.05.
- [4] P. Georg, L. Grasedyck, M. Klever, R. Schill, R. Spang, and T. Wettig, "Low-rank tensor methods for Markov chains with applications to tumor progression models," *J Math Biol*, vol. 86, no. 1, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s00285-022-01846-9.



- [5] K. Mubiru, M. N. Ssempijja, J. Ochola, M. Nalubowa, S. Namango, and P. Kizito Mubiru, "Application of Markov chains in manufacturing: A review Finite Element Modeling of Flexor Tendon Repair using Specialized Textile Structures View project sodium manufacture View project Application of Markov chains in manufacturing systems: A review," *The International Journal of Industrial Engineering: Theory, Applications and Practice*, 2021, [Online]. Available: <http://www.ijieor.ir>
- [6] F. Smarandache, "Introduction to Neutrosophic Measure, Neutrosophic Integral, and Neutrosophic Probability," *ArXiv*, 2013.
- [7] M. Mullai, K. Sangeetha, R. Surya, G. M. Kumar, R. Jeyabalan, and S. Broumi, "A Single Valued neutrosophic Inventory Model with Neutrosophic Random Variable," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 1, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3679510.
- [8] F. Smarandache *et al.*, "Introduction to neutrosophy and neutrosophic environment," *Neutrosophic Set in Medical Image Analysis*, pp. 3–29, 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-818148-5.00001-1.
- [9] M. Akram, Shumaiza, and F. Smarandache, "Decision-making with bipolar neutrosophic TOPSIS and bipolar neutrosophic ELECTRE-I," *Axioms*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2018, doi: 10.3390/axioms7020033.
- [10] F. Smarandache, "Indeterminacy in neutrosophic theories and their applications," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5295819.
- [11] M. B. Zeina, "Erlang Service Queueing Model with Neutrosophic Parameters," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 106–112, 2020, doi: 10.54216/IJNS.060202.
- [12] M. B. Zeina, "Linguistic Single Valued Neutrosophic M/M/1 Queue," *Research Journal of Aleppo University*, vol. 144, 2021, Accessed: Feb. 21, 2023. [Online]. Available:

- [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348945390\\_Linguistic\\_Single\\_Valued\\_Neutrosophic\\_MM1\\_Queue](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348945390_Linguistic_Single_Valued_Neutrosophic_MM1_Queue)
- [13] F. Smarandache, "(T, I, F)-Neutrosophic Structures," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 811, 2015, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.811.104.
- [14] M. Xu, R. Yong, and Y. Belayne, "Decision Making Methods with Linguistic Neutrosophic Information: A Review," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 38, 2020, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4300630.
- [15] S. Alkhazaleh, "Plithogenic Soft Set," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2020.
- [16] F. Smarandache, *Plithogeny, Plithogenic Set, Logic, Probability, and Statistics*. Belgium: Pons, 2018. Accessed: Feb. 23, 2023. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.03948>
- [17] F. Smarandache, "Introduction to the Symbolic Plithogenic Algebraic Structures (revisited)," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 53, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.7536105.
- [18] F. Smarandache, "Plithogenic Probability & Statistics are generalizations of MultiVariate Probability & Statistics," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 43, 2021.
- [19] F. Smarandache, "Plithogenic Set, an Extension of Crisp, Fuzzy, Intuitionistic Fuzzy, and Neutrosophic Sets," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 21, pp. 153–166, 2018.
- [20] M. Abdel-Basset, R. Mohamed, A. E. N. H. Zaied, and F. Smarandache, "A hybrid plithogenic decision-making approach with quality function deployment for selecting supply chain sustainability metrics," *Symmetry (Basel)*, vol. 11, no. 7, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.3390/SYM11070903.
- [21] M. B. Zeina, N. Altounji, M. Abobala, and Y. Karmouta, "Introduction to Symbolic 2-Plithogenic Probability Theory," *Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2023.

- [22] M. B. Zeina, M. Abobala, A. Hatip, S. Broumi, and S. Jalal Mosa, "Algebraic Approach to Literal Neutrosophic Kumaraswamy Probability Distribution," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 54, pp. 124–138, 2023.
- [23] F. Smarandache, "Refined Literal Indeterminacy and the Multiplication Law of Sub-Indeterminacies," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 9, 2015.
- [24] F. Smarandache, "Symbolic Neutrosophic Theory," *ArXiv*, 2015, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.32078.
- [25] N. M. Taffach and A. Hatip, "A Review on Symbolic 2-Plithogenic Algebraic Structures," *Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 08–16, 2023, doi: 10.54216/GJMSA.050101.
- [26] R. Ali and Z. Hasan, "An Introduction To The Symbolic 3-Plithogenic Modules," *Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 13–17, 2023, doi: 10.54216/GJMSA.060102.
- [27] R. Ali and Z. Hasan, "An Introduction to The Symbolic 3-Plithogenic Vector Spaces," *Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 08–12, 2023, doi: 10.54216/GJMSA.060101.
- [28] N. M. Taffach and A. Hatip, "A Brief Review on The Symbolic 2-Plithogenic Number Theory and Algebraic Equations," *Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 36–44, 2023, doi: 10.54216/GJMSA.050103.
- [29] M. B. Zeina, "Neutrosophic M/M/1, M/M/c, M/M/1/b Queueing Systems," *Research Journal of Aleppo University*, vol. 140, 2020, Accessed: Feb. 21, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343382302\\_Neutrosophic\\_MM1\\_MMc\\_MM1b\\_Queueing\\_Systems](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343382302_Neutrosophic_MM1_MMc_MM1b_Queueing_Systems)
- [30] M. B. Zeina, "Neutrosophic Event-Based Queueing Model," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3840771.

- [31] H. Rashad and M. Mohamed, "Neutrosophic Theory and Its Application in Various Queueing Models: Case Studies," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 42, 2021, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4711516.
- [32] M. B. Zeina and M. Abobala, "A novel approach of neutrosophic continuous probability distributions using AH-isometry with applications in medicine," *Cognitive Intelligence with Neutrosophic Statistics in Bioinformatics*, pp. 267–286, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-99456-9.00014-3.
- [33] A. Astambli, M. B. Zeina, and Y. Karmouta, "On Some Estimation Methods of Neutrosophic Continuous Probability Distributions Using One-Dimensional AH-Isometry," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 53, 2023.
- [34] M. Abobala and A. Hatip, "An Algebraic Approach to Neutrosophic Euclidean Geometry," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 43, 2021.
- [35] M. B. Zeina and A. Hatip, "Neutrosophic Random Variables," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 39, 2021, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4444987.
- [36] M. B. Zeina and Y. Karmouta, "Introduction to Neutrosophic Stochastic Processes," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 54, 2023.
- [37] M. Abobala, "On the Representation of Neutrosophic Matrices by Neutrosophic Linear Transformations," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2021, 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/5591576.
- [38] C. Granados and J. Sanabria, "On Independence Neutrosophic Random Variables," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 47, 2021.
- [39] K. F. Alhasan, A. A. Salama, and F. Smarandache, "Introduction to neutrosophic reliability theory," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5033829.
- [40] M. Bisher Zeina and M. Abobala, "On The Refined Neutrosophic Real Analysis Based on Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic AH-Isometry," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 54, 2023.

- [41] A. Astambli, M. B. Zeina, and Y. Karmouta, "Algebraic Approach to Neutrosophic Confidence Intervals," *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 08–22, 2023, doi: 10.54216/JNFS.050201.

Received: 15/7/2023. Accepted: 9/10/2023