

10-5-2023

BS-Neutrosophic Structures in BCK/BCI-Algebras

B. Satyanarayana

Shake Baji

U. Bindu Madhavi

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Satyanarayana, B.; Shake Baji; and U. Bindu Madhavi. "BS-Neutrosophic Structures in BCK/BCI-Algebras." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 58, 1 (2023). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol58/iss1/6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



BS-Neutrosophic Structures in BCK/BCI-Algebras

B. Satyanarayana¹, Shake Baji^{2*} and U. Bindu Madhavi³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India,
E-mail: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in

²Department of Mathematics, Sir C.R. Reddy College of Engineering, Eluru-534007, Andhra Pradesh, India,
E-mail: shakebaji6@gmail.com

³ Department of Applied Mathematics, K. U. Dr. MRAR College of Post-Graduation Studies, Nuzvid, Andhra Pradesh, India.
E-mail: bindumadhaviu@gmail.com

* Correspondence: shakebaji6@gmail.com

Abstract: In this article we generalized a Smarandache's neutrosophic set as BS-neutrosophic set and it is applied to BCK/BCI-algebras. The concept of BS-neutrosophic subalgebra, BS-neutrosophic ideal and related properties are investigated.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set (NSS); BS-neutrosophic set (BS-NSS); BS-neutrosophic subalgebra (BS-NSSA); BS-neutrosophic ideal (BS-NSI).

1. Introduction

L.A.Zadeh [1], a professor of computer science at the University of California, introduced the concept of fuzzy set (FS) in 1965. Fuzzy sets analyzed the degree of membership of elements of set. In 1986 Atanassov [2] generalized a fuzzy set to an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) by including another function called a non-membership function. The neutrosophic Set (NS) concept was developed by Smarandache ([3],[4]) and is a more general framework that extends the concepts of Classical Set, fuzzy set, Intuitionistic fuzzy set, Interval valued fuzzy(Intuitionistic) set. Neutrosophic algebraic structures in BCK/BCI-algebras are described in articles [5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15] we know that Smarandache's NSS have many generalizations. The purpose of this paper is to consider a new generalization of the NS. A NS has true, false and indeterminate membership functions which are fuzzy sets. When we considering the generalization of a NS, an interval-valued fuzzy set is used as non-membership function, since the interval-valued fuzzy set is a generalization of the fuzzy set. We introduce the concept of BS-neutrosophic set, and we apply it to BCK/BCI-algebras. Also, the concept of BS-neutrosophic subalgebra, BS-neutrosophic ideal are introduced and the associated properties are investigated. We consider homomorphic inverse image of the BS-neutrosophic subalgebra and discuss the translation of the BS- neutrosophic Subalgebra. In a BCI-algebra, we provide conditions for a BS- neutrosophic ideal to be a BS-neutrosophic subalgebra.

2. Preliminaries

Definition: 2.1([16],[17],[18]) Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty set with a binary operation " $*$ " and a constant " 0 " is called a BCI-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

- i. $((p_0 * r_0) * (p_0 * u_0)) * (u_0 * r_0) = 0$
- ii. $(p_0 * (p_0 * r_0)) * r_0 = 0$
- iii. $p_0 * p_0 = 0$
- iv. $p_0 * r_0 = 0, r_0 * p_0 = 0 \Rightarrow p_0 = r_0$.

If a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following identity

- v. $0 * p_0 = 0$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ then $\mathcal{K}$ is called a BCK-algebra

The following properties are hold in any BCK/BCI-algebra

- i. $p_0 * 0 = 0$
- ii. $p_0 \leq r_0 \Rightarrow p_0 * u_0 \leq r_0 * u_0, u_0 * r_0 \leq u_0 * p_0$
- iii. $(p_0 * r_0) * u_0 = (p_0 * u_0) * r_0$
- iv. $(p_0 * u_0) * (r_0 * u_0) \leq p_0 * r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Where $p_0 \leq r_0$ if and only if $p_0 * r_0 = 0$.

The following conditions are hold in any BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ [16]

- i. $p_0 * (p_0 * (p_0 * r_0)) = p_0 * r_0$
- ii. $0 * (p_0 * r_0) = (0 * p_0) * (0 * r_0)$

Definition: 2.2[16] A BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be p-semisimple if $0 * (0 * p_0) = p_0$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

In a p-semisimple BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, the following holds for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

- a. $0 * (p_0 * r_0) = r_0 * p_0$
- b. $p_0 * (p_0 * r_0) = r_0$

Definition: 2.3[16] A BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be associative if $(p_0 * r_0) * u_0 = (p_0 * u_0) * r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

Definition: 2.4 [18] An (s)-BCK-algebra, we mean a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ such that, for any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ the set $\{u_0 \in \mathcal{K} / u_0 * p_0 \leq r_0\}$ has the greatest element, written by $p_0 \circ r_0$.

Definition: 2.5 A non-empty sub set $\mathcal{H}$ of a BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is called a sub algebra of $\mathcal{K}$ if $p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition: 2.6 A non-empty sub set $\mathcal{H}$ of a BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is called an ideal of $\mathcal{K}$ if $0 \in \mathcal{H}$, and $r_0, p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow p_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition: 2.7 A non-empty sub set $\mathcal{H}$ of a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is called a closed ideal of $\mathcal{K}$ if it is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}$ which satisfies $p_0 \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow 0 * p_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

Definition: 2.8[1] Let $\mathcal{K}$ be non-empty set. A fuzzy set in $\mathcal{K}$ is a mapping $\mathcal{N}_T: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$

Definition: 2.9[1] The complement of fuzzy set $\mathcal{N}_T$ denoted by $(\mathcal{N}_T)^c$ is also a fuzzy set defined as $(\mathcal{N}_T)^c = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Also $((\mathcal{N}_T)^c)^c = \mathcal{N}_T$.

Definition: 2.10 A fuzzy set $\mathcal{N}_T: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called fuzzy sub-algebra of $\mathcal{K}$, if $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.

By an interval number we mean a closed subinterval $\hat{m} = [m^-, m^+]$ of $[I]$ where $0 \leq m^- \leq m^+ \leq 1$. Denote by $[I]$ the set of all interval numbers. Let us define what is known as refined minimum (briefly, rmin) and refined maximum (briefly, rmax) of two elements in $[I]$. We also define the symbols " $\leq$ ", " $\geq$ ", " $=$ " in case of two elements in $[I]$. Consider two interval numbers $\hat{m}_1 = [m_1^-, m_1^+]$ and $\hat{m}_2 = [m_2^-, m_2^+]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} rmin\{\hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2\} &= [\min\{m_1^-, m_2^-\}, \min\{m_1^+, m_2^+\}], \\ rmax\{\hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2\} &= [\max\{m_1^-, m_2^-\}, \max\{m_1^+, m_2^+\}], \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{m}_1 \succcurlyeq \hat{m}_2 \Leftrightarrow m_1^- \geq m_2^-, m_1^+ \geq m_2^+$, and similarly, we may have $\hat{m}_1 \preccurlyeq \hat{m}_2$ and $\hat{m}_1 = \hat{m}_2$. To say $\hat{m}_1 > \hat{m}_2$ (resp. $\hat{m}_1 < \hat{m}_2$) we mean $\hat{m}_1 \succcurlyeq \hat{m}_2$ and $\hat{m}_1 \neq \hat{m}_2$ (resp. $\hat{m}_1 \preccurlyeq \hat{m}_2$ and $\hat{m}_1 \neq \hat{m}_2$). Let $\hat{m}_i \in [I]$ where $i \in \Pi$. We define

$$\inf_{i \in \Pi} \hat{m}_i = [\inf_{i \in \Pi} m_i^-, \inf_{i \in \Pi} m_i^+] \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{i \in \Pi} \hat{m}_i = [\sup_{i \in \Pi} m_i^-, \sup_{i \in \Pi} m_i^+].$$

Definition: 2.11[19] Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty set. A function $\hat{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [I]$ is called an interval-valued fuzzy set (briefly, an IVF set) in $\mathcal{K}$. Let $[I]^{\mathcal{K}}$ stand for the set of all IVF sets in $\mathcal{K}$. For every $\hat{\mathcal{N}} \in [I]^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $\hat{\mathcal{N}}(p_0) = [N^-(p_0), N^+(p_0)]$ is called the degree of membership of an element $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, where $N^-: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [I]$ and $N^+: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [I]$ are fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{K}$ which are called a lower fuzzy set and an upper fuzzy set in $\mathcal{K}$, respectively. For simplicity, we denote $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = [N^-, N^+]$.

Definition: 2.12[4] Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty set. A neutrosophic set (NS) in $\mathcal{K}$ is a structure of the form $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle p_0; \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \rangle : p_0 \in \mathcal{K} \}$ where $\mathcal{N}_T: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a degree of membership, $\mathcal{N}_I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a degree of indeterminacy, and $\mathcal{N}_F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$ degree of non-membership. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ for the neutrosophic set $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle p_0; \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \rangle : p_0 \in \mathcal{K} \}$.

3. BS-Neutrosophic Structures

Definition: 3.1 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty set. BS-neutrosophic set in $\mathcal{K}$, is a structure of the form $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle p_0; \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \rangle : p_0 \in \mathcal{K} \}$ where $\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i$ are fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{K}$, which are called a degree of indeterminacy and degree of non-membership, respectively, and $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_f$ is an interval valued fuzzy set in $\mathcal{K}$ which is called an interval valued degree of non-membership

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ for the BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle p_0; \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \rangle : p_0 \in \mathcal{K} \}$.

In a BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ if we take $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_f: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [I]$, $p_0 \mapsto [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)]$ with $\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)$ then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a neutrosophic set in $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition: 3.2 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a BCK/BCI algebra. A BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is called a BS-neutrosophic subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ if it satisfies

(BS-NSSA 1) $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$

(BS-NSSA 2) $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$

(BS-NSSA 3) $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) \preccurlyeq \max\{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Example: 3.3 Consider a set $\mathcal{K} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with the binary operation $*$ which is given in table.1

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	a	0	b
c	c	c	c	0

Table.1 BCK-algebra

Then $(\mathcal{K}; *, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by table.2

$\mathcal{K}$	$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$	$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$	$\hat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$
0	0.9	1	[0.1,0.4]
a	0.4	0.5	[0.3,0.5]

b	0.3	0.3	[0.2,0.6]
c	0	0.1	[0.4,1]

Table.2 BS-NSSA

It is routine to verify that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proposition: 3.4 If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$ then $\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$ $\mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

Proof: For any $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(0) &= \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \\ \mathcal{N}_i(0) &= \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) &= \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * p_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = rmax\{[\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)], [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)]\} \\ &= [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)] = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Proposition: 3.5 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$ if there exists a sequence $\{p_{0_n}\}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_t(p_{0_n}) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_i(p_{0_n}) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_{0_n}) = [0,0]$, then $\mathcal{N}_t(0) = 1$, $\mathcal{N}_i(0) = 1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) = [0,0]$.

Proof: Using the proposition 3.4, we know that $\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_{0_n})$ $\mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_{0_n})$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_{0_n})$ for every positive integer n. Note that

$$1 \geq \mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_t(p_{0_n}) = 1,$$

$$1 \geq \mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}_i(p_{0_n}) = 1,$$

$$[0,0] \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_{0_n}) = [0,0].$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N}_t(0) = 1$, $\mathcal{N}_i(0) = 1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) = [0,0]$.

Theorem: 3.6 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA in $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c$ and $(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c$ are fuzzy subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA in $\mathcal{K}$ then for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq rmin\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0)] &\leq rmax\{[\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)], [\mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)]\} \\ &= [max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\}, max\{\mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\}] \\ \text{Therefore } \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0) &\leq max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 1 - max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0) &\geq min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0 * r_0) &\geq min\{(\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0), (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(r_0)\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0) &\leq max\{\mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 1 - max\{\mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0) &\geq min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\} \\ \Rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(p_0 * r_0) &\geq min\{(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(p_0), (\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c$ and $(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c$ are fuzzy subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$.

Converse part is obvious.

Definition: 3.7 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$, we define the following sets

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l) = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq l\}$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m) = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq m\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2]) = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq [n_1, n_2]\}$$

Where $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$

Theorem: 3.8 A BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if the non-empty sets $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$ for all $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$ be such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are non-empty. For any $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ if $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ then

$$\mathcal{N}_t(a_1 * a_2) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_1), \mathcal{N}_t(a_2)\} \geq \min\{l, l\} = l$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(b_1 * b_2) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(b_1), \mathcal{N}_i(b_2)\} \geq \min\{m, m\} = m$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_1 * c_2) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_1), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_2)\} \leq \max\{[n_1, n_2], [n_1, n_2]\} = [n_1, n_2]$$

Therefore $a_1 * a_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $b_1 * b_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $c_1 * c_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$

Hence $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$.

Conversely, assume that the non-empty sets $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$ for all $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$

If $\mathcal{N}_t(a_0 * b_0) < \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_0), \mathcal{N}_t(b_0)\}$ for some $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, then $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l_0)$ but $a_0 * b_0 \notin \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l_0)$ for $l_0 = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_0), \mathcal{N}_t(b_0)\}$. This is a contradiction, and thus

$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Similarly, we can show that $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0) > \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0)\}$ for some $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) = [\delta_1, \delta_2]$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0) = [\delta_3, \delta_4]$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0) = [n_1, n_2]$

Then $[n_1, n_2] > \max\{[\delta_1, \delta_2], [\delta_3, \delta_4]\} = [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}]$ and so $n_1 > \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}$ and $n_2 > \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}$

$$\text{Taking } [\eta_1, \eta_2] = \frac{1}{2} [\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0) + \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0)\}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [[n_1, n_2] + [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}]]$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2} (n_1 + \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}), \frac{1}{2} (n_2 + \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}) \right]$$

It follows that

$$n_1 > \eta_1 = \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}) > \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\} \text{ and } n_2 > \eta_2 = \frac{1}{2} (n_2 + \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}) > \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}$$

Hence $[\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2] < [n_1, n_2] = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0)$

Therefore $a_0 * b_0 \notin \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$. On the other hand

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) = [\delta_1, \delta_2] \leq [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2]$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0) = [\delta_3, \delta_4] \leq [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2]$ that is $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$. This is a contradiction and therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Consequently $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Corollary: 3.9 If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$, then the sets $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}_t} = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_t(0)\}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}_i} = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_i(0)\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f} = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0)\}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{K}$.

We say that the subalgebras as $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are BS-subalgebras of $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$

Theorem: 3.10 Every subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ can be realized as BS-subalgebra of a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ and let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = \begin{cases} [\eta_1, \eta_2] & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ [1, 1] & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Where $l, m \in (0, 1]$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $\eta_1 < \eta_2$. It is clear that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l) = \mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m) = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [\eta_1, \eta_2]) = \mathcal{J}$. Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. If $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ then $p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &= l = \min\{l, l\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &= m = \min\{m, m\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &= [\eta_1, \eta_2] = \text{rmax}\{[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\eta_1, \eta_2]\} = \text{rmax}\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If any one of p_0 and r_0 is contained in $\mathcal{J}$, say $p_0 \in \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = m, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = 0, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = 0$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [1, 1]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 0 = \min\{l, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 0 = \min\{m, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq [1, 1] = \text{rmax}\{[\eta_1, \eta_2], [1, 1]\} = \text{rmax}\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p_0, r_0 \notin \mathcal{J}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) &= 0, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = 0, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = [1, 1], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = 0, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = 0, \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [1, 1] \text{ it follows that} \\ \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 0 = \min\{0, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq 0 = \min\{0, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq [1, 1] = \text{rmax}\{[1, 1], [1, 1]\} = \text{rmax}\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 3.11 For any non-empty set $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = \begin{cases} [\eta_1, \eta_2] & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ [1, 1] & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Where $l, m \in (0, 1]$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $\eta_1 < \eta_2$. If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{J}$ is subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = m, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = m$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} = l \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} = m \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq \text{rmax}\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} = [\eta_1, \eta_2] \text{ and therefore } p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{J}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 3.12 Given a BS-NSSA $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ of a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, Let $\mathcal{N}^* = (\mathcal{N}_t^*, \mathcal{N}_i^*, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*)$ is a BS-NSS defined by $\mathcal{N}_t^*(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_t(0 * p_0)$, $\mathcal{N}_i^*(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_i(0 * p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*(p_0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * p_0)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ then $\mathcal{N}^* = (\mathcal{N}_t^*, \mathcal{N}_i^*, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Note that $0 * (p_0 * r_0) = (0 * p_0) * (0 * r_0)$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t^*(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_t(0 * (p_0 * r_0)) = \mathcal{N}_t((0 * p_0) * (0 * r_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0 * p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(0 * r_0)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t^*(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t^*(r_0)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_i^*(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_i(0 * (p_0 * r_0)) = \mathcal{N}_i((0 * p_0) * (0 * r_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0 * p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(0 * r_0)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i^*(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i^*(r_0)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*(p_0 * r_0) &= \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * (p_0 * r_0)) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((0 * p_0) * (0 * r_0)) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * r_0)\} = \\ &= \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N}^* = (\mathcal{N}_t^*, \mathcal{N}_i^*, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^*)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 3.13 Let $\Psi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras. If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{Y}$, then $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) = (\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t), \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i), \Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f))$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$. Where $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t)(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_t(\Psi(p_0))$, $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i)(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_i(\Psi(p_0))$ and $\Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)(p_0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(\Psi(p_0))$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t)(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_t(\Psi(p_0 * r_0)) = \mathcal{N}_t(\Psi(p_0) * \Psi(r_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(\Psi(p_0)), \mathcal{N}_t(\Psi(r_0))\} = \\ &= \min\{\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t)(p_0), \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t)(r_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i)(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_i(\Psi(p_0 * r_0)) = \mathcal{N}_i(\Psi(p_0) * \Psi(r_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(\Psi(p_0)), \mathcal{N}_i(\Psi(r_0))\} = \\ &= \min\{\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i)(p_0), \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i)(r_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)(p_0 * r_0) &= \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(\Psi(p_0 * r_0)) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(\Psi(p_0) * \Psi(r_0)) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(\Psi(p_0)), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(\Psi(r_0))\} = \\ &= \max\{\Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)(p_0), \Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) = (\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t), \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_i), \Psi^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f))$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$. We denote

$$\mathfrak{S} = 1 - \sup\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0): p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{K} = 1 - \sup\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0): p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \inf\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0): p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

For any $a \in [0, \mathfrak{S}]$, $b \in [0, \mathfrak{K}]$ and $\widehat{c} \in [[0, 0], \mathfrak{B}]$ we define $\mathcal{N}_t^a(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) + a$, $\mathcal{N}_i^b(p_0) =$

$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) + b$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) - \widehat{c}$ then $\mathcal{N}^T = (\mathcal{N}_t^a, \mathcal{N}_i^b, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}})$ is a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$, which is called a

$(a, b, \widehat{c})$ - translative BS-NSS of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 3.14 If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$, then the $(a, b, \widehat{c})$ - translative BS-NSS of $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is also a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: For any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t^a(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) + a \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} + a = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) + a, \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) + a\} = \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t^a(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t^a(r_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_i^b(p_0 * r_0) &= \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) + b \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} + b = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) + b, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) + b\} = \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i^b(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i^b(r_0)\}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(p_0 * r_0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) - \widehat{c} \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} - \widehat{c} = rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) - \widehat{c}, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) - \widehat{c}\} = rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(r_0)\}. \text{ Therefore } \mathcal{N}^T = (\mathcal{N}_t^a, \mathcal{N}_i^b, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}) \text{ is a BS-NSSA of } \mathcal{K}.$$

Theorem: 3.15 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ such that its $(a, b, \widehat{c})$ – translatable BS-NSS is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$ for $a \in [0, \mathfrak{S}]$, $b \in [0, \mathfrak{R}]$ and $\widehat{c} \in [[0, 0], \mathfrak{B}]$. Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{N}^T = (\mathcal{N}_t^a, \mathcal{N}_i^b, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}})$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$ for $a \in [0, \mathfrak{S}]$, $b \in [0, \mathfrak{R}]$ and $\widehat{c} \in [[0, 0], \mathfrak{B}]$. Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) + a = \mathcal{N}_t^a(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t^a(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t^a(r_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) + a, \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) + a\} = rmin\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} + a,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) + b = \mathcal{N}_i^b(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i^b(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i^b(r_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) + b, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) + b\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} + b, \text{ and}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) - \widehat{c} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(p_0 * r_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f^{\widehat{c}}(r_0)\} = rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) - \widehat{c}, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) - \widehat{c}\} = rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} - \widehat{c}. \text{ It follows that}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

4. BS-Neutrosophic Ideal (BS-NSI)

Definition:4.1 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a BCK/BCI-algebra. A BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is called a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$ if it satisfies

$$(BS-NSI 1) \mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(x) \text{ for all } p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$$

$$(BS-NSI 2) \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$(BS-NSI 3) \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$(BS-NSI 4) \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Example:4.2 Consider a set $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2, a\}$ with the binary operation $'*'$ which is given in the table:3
Then $(\mathcal{K} ; *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra.

*	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	1
a	a	0	0	1
b	b	b	0	1
1	1	1	1	0

Table.3 BCI-algebra

Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined in table:4

$\mathcal{K}$	$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$	$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$	$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$
0	0.9	0.8	[0.2, 0.5]

a	0.7	0.6	[0.4,0.7]
b	0.4	0.3	[0.7,0.9]
1	0.2	0.1	[0.9,1]

Table.4 BS-NSI

It is routine to verify that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proposition: 4.3 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a BCK/BCI-algebra. Then every BS-NSI $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ of $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following assertion $p_0 * r_0 \leq u_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Let $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $p_0 * r_0 \leq u_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t((p_0 * r_0) * u_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(u_0), \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i((p_0 * r_0) * u_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(u_0), \text{ and} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((p_0 * r_0) * u_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\} = r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(u_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(u_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) &\leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Theorem: 4.4 Every BS-NSS in a BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying (BS-NSI 1) and assertion $p_0 * r_0 \leq u_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying (BS-NSI 1) and assertion $p_0 * r_0 \leq u_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Note that $p_0 * (p_0 * r_0) \leq r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) &\leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

There fore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 4.5 Given a BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ in a BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI if and only if $\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c$, and $(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c$ are fuzzy ideals of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: suppose that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSI in $\mathcal{K}$. Then we have $\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(x)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) &\leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K} \\ \text{Now } \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) &\Rightarrow [\mathcal{N}_f^-(0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(0)] \leq [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)] \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_f^-(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_f^+(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0) \\ &\Rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(0) \geq (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0) \text{ and } (\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(0) \geq (\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(p_0) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) &\leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \\ &\Rightarrow [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)] \leq r\max\{[\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0)], [\mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)]\} \\ &= [\max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\}, \max\{\mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\}]\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(r_0)\} \\
& \Rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \\
& \Rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0) \geq \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0 * r_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_f^-(r_0)\} \\
& \Rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0) \geq \min\{(\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(p_0 * r_0), (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c(r_0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(p_0) \geq \min\{(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(p_0 * r_0), (\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c(r_0)\}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, (\mathcal{N}_f^-)^c$ and $(\mathcal{N}_f^+)^c$ are fuzzy ideals of $\mathcal{K}$.

Converse part is obvious.

Theorem: 4.6 A BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if the non-empty sets $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are ideals of $\mathcal{K}$ for all $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$ be such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are non-empty.

Obviously $0 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $0 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $0 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$

For any $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ if $a_1 * a_2, a_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $b_1 * b_2, b_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $c_1 * c_2, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ then

$$\mathcal{N}_t(a_1) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_1 * a_2), \mathcal{N}_t(a_2)\} \geq \min\{l, l\} = l$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(b_1) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(b_1 * b_2), \mathcal{N}_i(b_2)\} \geq \min\{m, m\} = m$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_1) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_1 * c_2), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(c_2)\} \leq r\max\{[n_1, n_2], [n_1, n_2]\} = [n_1, n_2]$$

Therefore $a_1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $b_1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $c_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$

Hence $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are ideals of $\mathcal{K}$.

Conversely, assume that the non-empty sets $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$ are ideals of $\mathcal{K}$ for all $l, m \in [0, 1]$ and $[n_1, n_2] \in [I]$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{N}_t(0) < \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$, $\mathcal{N}_i(0) < \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) > \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$ for some $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Then $0 \notin \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)) \cap \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0))$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$, $\mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(x)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

If $\mathcal{N}_t(a_0) < \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_0 * b_0), \mathcal{N}_t(b_0)\}$ for some $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, then $a_0 * b_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l_0)$ but $a_0 \notin \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l_0)$ for $l_0 = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a_0 * b_0), \mathcal{N}_t(b_0)\}$. This is a contradiction, and thus

$$\mathcal{N}_t(a) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a * b), \mathcal{N}_t(b)\} \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\mathcal{N}_i(a) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(a * b), \mathcal{N}_i(b)\}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{K}$.

Suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) > r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0)\}$ for some $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0) = [\delta_1, \delta_2]$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0) = [\delta_3, \delta_4]$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) = [n_1, n_2]$

Then $[n_1, n_2] > r\max\{[\delta_1, \delta_2], [\delta_3, \delta_4]\} = [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}]$ and so

$$n_1 > \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\} \text{ and } n_2 > \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}$$

$$\text{Taking } [\eta_1, \eta_2] = \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) + r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0)\}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[[n_1, n_2] + (\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\})]$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}(n_1 + \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}), \frac{1}{2}(n_2 + \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\})\right]$$

It follows that

$$n_1 > \eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}) > \max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\} \text{ and } n_2 > \eta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(n_2 + \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}) > \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}$$

Hence $[\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2] < [n_1, n_2] = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0)$

Therefore $a_0 \notin \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$. On the other hand

$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0) = [\delta_1, \delta_2] \leq [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2]$
 $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0) = [\delta_3, \delta_4] \leq [\max\{\delta_1, \delta_3\}, \max\{\delta_2, \delta_4\}] < [\eta_1, \eta_2]$ that is $a_0 * b_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [n_1, n_2])$. This is a contradiction and therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(a_0 * b_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(b_0)\}$ for all $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Consequently $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem: 4.7 Given an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of a BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be an BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$

defined by $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ and

$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = \begin{cases} [\eta_1, \eta_2] & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ [1, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Where $l, m \in (0, 1]$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $\eta_1 < \eta_2$. Then

$\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l) = \mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m) = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [\eta_1, \eta_2]) = \mathcal{J}$.

Proof: Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

If $p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ then $p_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ and so

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = l = \min\{l, l\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = m = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2] = r\max\{[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\eta_1, \eta_2]\} = r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}.$$

If any one of $p_0 * r_0$ and r_0 is contained in $\mathcal{J}$, say $p_0 * r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) = m, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = 0, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = 0$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [1, 1]$. Hence

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq 0 = \min\{l, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq 0 = \min\{m, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq [1, 1] = r\max\{[\eta_1, \eta_2], [1, 1]\} = r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}.$$

If $p_0 * r_0 \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $r_0 \notin \mathcal{J}$, then

$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) = 0, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) = 0, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) = [1, 1], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = 0, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = 0$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [1, 1]$ it follows that

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq 0 = \min\{0, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq 0 = \min\{0, 0\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq [1, 1] = r\max\{[1, 1], [1, 1]\} = r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}.$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(x)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Obviously, we have $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_t; l) = \mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_i; m) = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f; [\eta_1, \eta_2]) = \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem: 4.8 For any non-empty set $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by

$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) =$

$\begin{cases} [\eta_1, \eta_2] & \text{if } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}, \\ [1, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Where $l, m \in (0, 1]$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $\eta_1 < \eta_2$. If $\mathcal{N} =$

$(\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{J}$ is ideal of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Obviously, $0 \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $p_0 * r_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) = m, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2], \mathcal{N}_t(r_0) = l, \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) = m$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2]$. Thus

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} = l$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} = m$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} = [\eta_1, \eta_2] \text{ and therefore } p_0 \in \mathcal{J}. \text{ Hence } \mathcal{J} \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{K}.$$

Theorem:4.9 In a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, every BS-NSI is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSI of a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

Since $(p_0 * r_0) * p_0 \leq r_0$ for $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows from proposition 4.3 that

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSSA of a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

The converse of the above theorem may not be true as seen in the following example.

Example: 4.10 Consider a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with a binary operation $'*'$ which is given in table.5 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by table.6 then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$, but it is not an BS-NSI of a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_t(a) \not\geq s\min\{\mathcal{N}_t(a * b), \mathcal{N}_t(b)\}$

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	a	0	b
c	c	c	c	0

Table.5 BCK-algebra

$\mathcal{K}$	$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$	$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$	$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$
0	1	0.8	[0.2,0.4]
a	0.3	0.5	[0.4,0.6]
b	0.3	0.8	[0.5,0.7]
c	0.5	0.5	[0.7,0.9]

Table.6 BS-NSSA

We give a condition for a BS-NSSA to be a BS-NSI in a BCK-algebra

Theorem:4.11 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSSA of a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying the conditions $p_0 * r_0 \leq u_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: For any $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\mathcal{N}_t(0) = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(0) = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) &= \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \leq r\max\{[\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)], [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)]\} \\ &= [\mathcal{N}_f^-(p_0), \mathcal{N}_f^+(p_0)] = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_0 * (p_0 * r_0) \leq r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq r\max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI in a BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition:4.12 A BS- neutrosophic ideal of $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ of a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be closed if $\mathcal{N}_t(0 * p_0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$, $\mathcal{N}_i(0 * p_0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * p_0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(x)$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Theorem:4.13 In a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, every closed BS-NSI is a BS-NSSA

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a closed BS-NSI of a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$

We have for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t((p_0 * r_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a BS - neutrosophic ideal}) \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t((p_0 * p_0) * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} \quad (\because (p_0 * r_0) * u_0 = (p_0 * u_0) * r_0) \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} \quad (\because p_0 * p_0 = 0) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a closed BS - neutrosophic ideal}) \\ \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i((p_0 * r_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a BS - neutrosophic ideal}) \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i((p_0 * p_0) * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} \quad (\because (p_0 * r_0) * u_0 = (p_0 * u_0) * r_0) \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} \quad (\because p_0 * p_0 = 0) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a closed BS - neutrosophic ideal})\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0) &\leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((p_0 * r_0) * p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a BS - neutrosophic ideal}) \\ &= \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((p_0 * p_0) * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \quad (\because (p_0 * r_0) * u_0 = (p_0 * u_0) * r_0) \\ &= \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \quad (\because p_0 * p_0 = 0) \\ &\leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \quad (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ is a closed BS -}\end{aligned}$$

neutrosophic ideal)

Hence $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem:4.14 In a weakly BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, every BS-NSI is closed.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSI of a weakly BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$. For any $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_t(0 * p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t((0 * p_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \\ \mathcal{N}_i(0 * p_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i((0 * p_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * p_0) &\leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((0 * p_0) * p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a closed BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Corollary: 4.15 In a weakly BCK-algebra, every BS-NSI is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

In a following example we show that any BS-NSSA is not an BS-NSI in a BCI-algebra.

Example: 4.16 Consider a BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with binary operation $'*'$ in table.7

Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in $\mathcal{K}$ defined by table.8 It is routine to verify that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$. But it is not a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_t(4) < \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(4 * 3), \mathcal{N}_t(3)\}$.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	3	2	3	3
1	1	0	3	2	3	3
2	2	2	0	3	0	0
3	3	3	2	0	2	2
4	4	2	1	3	0	1
5	5	2	1	3	1	0

Table.7 BCI-algebra

$\mathcal{K}$	$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$	$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$	$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)$
0	0.9	0.8	[0.2, 0.6]
1	0.3	0.4	[0.5, 0.9]

2	0.9	0.8	[0.2,0.6]
3	0.9	0.8	[0.2,0.6]
4	0.3	0.4	[0.5,0.9]
5	0.3	0.4	[0.5,0.9]

Table.8 BS-NSSA

Theorem: 4.17 In a p-semi simple BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, the following are equivalent

(i). $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a closed BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

(ii). $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) see theorem 4.12

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$. For any $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\mathcal{N}_t(0) = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(0) = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * p_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0).$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathcal{N}_t(0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(0 * p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * p_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \text{ for all } p_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Let $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ then

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_t(r_0 * (r_0 * p_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0 * p_0)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(0 * (p_0 * r_0))\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_i(r_0 * (r_0 * p_0)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0 * p_0)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(0 * (p_0 * r_0))\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0 * (r_0 * p_0)) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0 * p_0)\}$$

$$= rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0 * (p_0 * r_0))\} \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a closed BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

Since every associative BCI-algebra is a p-semisimple, we have the following are corollary

Corollary:4.18 In a associative BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$, the following are equivalent

(i). $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a closed BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

(ii). $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSSA of $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition: 4.19 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an (s)-BCK-algebra. A BS-NSS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is called a BS-neutrosophic $\circ$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ if the following assertions are valid

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 \circ r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 \circ r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 \circ r_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Lemma:4.20 Every BS-NSI of BCK/BCI-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following assertion

$$p_0 \leq r_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \mathcal{N}_i(r_0) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Proof: Assume that $p_0 \leq r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ then $p_0 * r_0 = 0$ and so

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} = rmax\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0) \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Theorem:4.21 In a (s)-BCK-algebra, every BS-NSI is a BS-neutrosophic $\circ$ -subalgebra.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSI of a (s)-BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Note that $(p_0 \circ r_0) * p_0 \leq r_0$ for $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. We have

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 \circ r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t((p_0 \circ r_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 \circ r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i((p_0 \circ r_0) * p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 \circ r_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f((p_0 \circ r_0) * p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-neutrosophic $\circ$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem:4.22 Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in a (s)-BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if the following assertions are valid $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ with $p_0 \leq r_0 \circ u_0$

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$ and let $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $p_0 \leq r_0 \circ u_0$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * (r_0 \circ u_0)), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0 \circ u_0)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0 \circ u_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(r_0 \circ u_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\} \text{ (By theorem 4.20)}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * (r_0 \circ u_0)), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0 \circ u_0)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0 \circ u_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(r_0 \circ u_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\} \text{ (By theorem 4.20)}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * (r_0 \circ u_0)), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0 \circ u_0)\}$$

$$= \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0 \circ u_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0 \circ u_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\} \text{ (By theorem 4.20)}$$

Conversely, let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ be a BS-NSS in a (s)-BCK-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying the conditions $\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(u_0)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(u_0)\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(u_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ with $p_0 \leq r_0 \circ u_0$

Since $0 \leq p_0 \circ p_0$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_t(0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0), \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_t(p_0)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0), \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)\} = \mathcal{N}_i(p_0)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0)\} = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0).$$

Since $p_0 \leq (p_0 * r_0) \circ r_0$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_t(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_t(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_t(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_i(p_0 * r_0), \mathcal{N}_i(r_0)\}$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0) \leq \max\{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(p_0 * r_0), \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_f)$ is a BS-NSI of $\mathcal{K}$.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Inf. Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 87–96, 1986, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3).
- [3] F. Smarandache, "Neutrosophic set - a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set," in *2006 IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing*, 2006, pp. 38–42. doi: 10.1109/GRC.2006.1635754.
- [4] F. Smarandache, "A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability (fourth edition)".
- [5] M. M. Takallo, R. A. Borzooei, and Y. B. Jun, "MBJ-neutrosophic structures and its applications in BCK/BCI-algebras," *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, vol. 23, no. December, pp. 72–84, 2018, doi:

- 10.5281/zenodo.2155211.
- [6] R. Borzooei, X. Zhang, F. Smarandache, and Y. Jun, "Commutative Generalized Neutrosophic Ideals in BCK-Algebras," *Symmetry (Basel)*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 350, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.3390/sym10080350.
 - [7] M. Sa and B. C. K. Bci, "Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in BCK/BCI -algebras," vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 75–86, 2017.
 - [8] Y. Jun, S. Kim, and F. Smarandache, "Interval Neutrosophic Sets with Applications in BCK/BCI-Algebra," *Axioms*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 23, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.3390/axioms7020023.
 - [9] Y. Jun, F. Smarandache, and H. Bordbar, "Neutrosophic N-Structures Applied to BCK/BCI-Algebras," *Information*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 128, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.3390/info8040128.
 - [10] Y. Jun, F. Smarandache, S.-Z. Song, and M. Khan, "Neutrosophic Positive Implicative N -Ideals in BCK-Algebras," *Axioms*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 3, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.3390/axioms7010003.
 - [11] M. Khan, S. Anis, F. Smarandache, and Y. B. Jun, "@ FMI @ FMI Reprinted from the," no. January 2018, 2017.
 - [12] M. Ali Oztürk and Y. Bae Jun, "Neutrosophic Ideals in Bck/Bci-Algebras Based on Neutrosophic Points," *J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. Issn*, vol. 8, pp. 1–17, 2018, doi: 10.7251/JIMVI1801001.
 - [13] M. Sa, A. B. Saeid, and Y. B. Jun, "Neutrosophic subalgebras of BCK / BCI -algebras," vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 87–97, 2017.
 - [14] F. Smarandache and S. P. Editors, *Florentin Smarandache , Surapati Pramanik*.
 - [15] S. Song, F. Smarandache, and Y. Jun, "Neutrosophic Commutative N -Ideals in BCK-Algebras," *Information*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 130, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.3390/info8040130.
 - [16] Y. S. Huang, *BCI-algebra*. Beijing: Science Press, 2006.
 - [17] K. Iseki, "On BCI-algebras," *Math. Semin. Notes* 8, pp. 125–130, 1980.
 - [18] J. M. and Y.B.Jun, "BCK-algebras," *Kyung Moon Sa Co, Seoul*, 1994.
 - [19] L. A. Zadeh, "The Concept of a linguistic variable and its applications to approximate reasoning-I," *Information.Sci Control*, vol. 8, pp. 199–249, 1975.

Received: June 1, 2023. Accepted: Sep 27, 2023