

8-20-2023

An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

Mohammed Abu-Saleem

Omar almallah

Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Abu-Saleem, Mohammed; Omar almallah; and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh. "An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 57, 1 (2023). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol57/iss1/15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³

¹Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; m_abusaleem@bau.edu.jo

²Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; oamallah@bau.edu.jo

³Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; aloushoush@bau.edu.jo

*Correspondence: m_abusaleem@bau.edu.jo

ABSTRACT. This paper presents an investigation into the mathematical concepts of neutrosophic folding and neutretraction on neutrosophic manifolds, specifically focusing on their application in hyperspace. Through the application of specific transformations on a neutrosophic manifold situated in hyperspace, we can obtain neutrosophic manifolds in lower dimensions. Based on our research, we can accurately establish the connection between neutrosophic folding and neutretraction on a neutrosophic manifold. Furthermore, we can determine the relationship between neutretraction and neutrosophic folding.

Keywords: neutrosophic folding; neutretraction; neutrosophic hyperspace; neutrosophic manifold.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophy is a scientific field that combines neutrality and philosophy. Samaransache founded various fields in 1980, such as set theory, probability, and logic, with numerous applications that highlight the deep interaction between mathematics and other scientific disciplines. [19]. The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh as a novel method for elucidating intricate concepts by including the concept of membership. Scholars in the fields of mathematics and computer science developed this theory, which possesses a broad spectrum of expedient applications [22]. Neutrosophy is basically rooted in the fundamental concepts of fuzzy set theory (*NS*) and intuitionistic fuzzy set theory (*IFS*) [8, 10, 13, 22]. The concept of neutrosophic sets was introduced by Smarandache with the aim of representing uncertain or vague information. This is achieved through the utilization of three distinct functions, namely

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

truth, indeterminacy, and falsity. Unlike other theories, the function of indeterminacy is independent of the functions of truth and falsity [12,18,19]. Smarandache's (*NS*) theory expanded the scope of (*IFS*), offering novel perspectives on how to effectively manage uncertainty when making decisions based on personal experience, as stated in reference [20]. The values of the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity functions are within $]^{-0, 1^+}$, making it difficult to apply to practical problems [17].

Due to this, Wang created the single-valued neutrosophic sets (*SVNS*), such that the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity maps are real elements of the $[0, 1]$ space [12,21]. Further investigation on a (*SVNG*) and a neutrosophic topology was debated in [1,4,6,7,9,11,14,16]. Additional insights on the applications of homotopy theory were provided in [2,3]. The paper aims to contribute to the field of mathematics by exploring and providing a deeper understanding of the neutrosophic transformation in the context of neutrosophic manifold theory.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [19] Assume that \mathcal{W} is a finite set of objects, and that (t) stands for a generic component in \mathcal{W} . A (*NS*) E in \mathcal{W} is comprised of three membership functions, a truth-membership function $v_E(t)$, an indeterminacy-membership function $\rho_E(t)$ and a falsity-membership function $\sigma_E(t)$. Also, $v_E(t)$, $\rho_E(t)$ and $\sigma_E(t)$ are the elements of $]^{-0, 1^+}$. E can be represented as

$$E = \{t, (v_E(t), \rho_E(t), \sigma_E(t)) : t \in \mathcal{W}, v_E(t), \rho_E(t), \sigma_E(t) \in]^{-0, 1^+}\}. \text{ Indeed, } -0 \leq v_E(t) + \rho_E(t) + \sigma_E(t) \leq 3^+.$$

Definition 2.2. [21] Assume that \mathcal{W} is a finite set of objects, and that (t) stands for a generic component in \mathcal{W} . A (*SVNS*) E in \mathcal{W} is comprised of three membership functions, a truth-membership function $v_E(t)$, an indeterminacy-membership function $\rho_E(t)$ and a falsity-membership function $\sigma_E(t)$. Also each, $v_E(t)$, $\rho_E(t)$ and $\sigma_E(t)$ are elements in $]0, 1[$. E can be represented as $E = \{t, (v_E(t), \rho_E(t), \sigma_E(t)) : t \in \mathcal{W}, v_E(t), \rho_E(t), \sigma_E(t) \in]0, 1[\}$. In this approach, $0 \leq v_E(t) + \rho_E(t) + \sigma_E(t) \leq 3$. In the interest of clarity and concision, we refer to a neutrosophic set $\langle v_E, \rho_E, \sigma_E \rangle$ and $\langle v_E(t), \rho_E(t), \sigma_E(t) \rangle$ as ω and $\omega(t)$ respectively.

Definition 2.3. [15] A topological space that satisfies the T_2 separation axiom and is locally homeomorphic to an open n -dimensional disk U^n is referred to as an n -dimensional manifold.

Definition 2.4. [5] Let X be a topological space and C be a subspace of X , where $i : C \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. if there exists a continuous map $r : X \rightarrow C$ satisfying the condition $r \circ i = 1|_C$. Then, C is referred to a retract of X . The existence of a map r is denoted as a retraction of X into C .

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

Theorem 2.5. [5] The n -dimensional closed disk $L^n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \leq 1\}$ is a retract of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.6. [15] Consider two topological spaces X_1 and X_2 , and let φ_0 and φ_1 denote continuous mappings from X_1 to X_2 . The homotopy between φ_0 and φ_1 is established when a continuous map $\varphi : X_1 \times I \rightarrow X_2$ exists, and satisfying the conditions $\varphi(s, 0) = \varphi_0(s)$ and $\varphi(s, 1) = \varphi_1(s)$ for all $s \in X_1$.

3. Neutrosophic manifolds and their transformations

Our study introduces a collection of important concepts that support our paper and enable us to arrive at significant conclusions.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic n -dimensional manifold is characterized as a pair $\langle M^n, \omega \rangle$ in which, M^n is n -dimensional manifold.

Example 3.2. A neutrosophic Euclidean n -space $\langle \mathbb{R}^n, \omega \rangle$ can be regarded as a neutrosophic n -dimensional manifold. Additionally, a neutrosophic unit n -dimensional sphere $\langle S^n, \omega \rangle$ can be considered as a neutrosophic n -dimensional manifold.

Definition 3.3. The neutrosophic arc $\zeta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is called a simple neutrosophic arc if, for each $z_j, z_k \in [0, 1]$, $\xi((z_j, \omega_j)) \neq \xi((z_k, \omega_k))$ whenever $(z_j, \omega_j) \neq (z_k, \omega_k)$.

Now, we will delve into the notion of neutrosophy homotopic and describe two types of it.

Definition 3.4. A neutrosophic homotopy is a collection of neutrosophic maps $h_t : \langle M, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$, $t \in [0, 1]$, in which the associated neutrosophic map $\Phi : \langle M, \omega \rangle \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$ given by $\Phi((x, \omega), t) = h_t(x, \omega)$, and the two neutrosophic maps $h_0, h_1 : \langle M, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$ are called neutrosophy homotopic if there is a neutrosophic homotopy h_t that connects them and is represented by $h_0 \approx h_1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two neutrosophic arcs. Then, there are two types of neutrosophy homotopic arcs.

Proof. The initial category encompasses a pair of neutrosophic arcs, ξ_1 and ξ_2 with specific values for ω_1 and ω_2 namely, $\omega_1 = b_1$ and $\omega_2 = b_2$ for all points of the arcs as shown in Fig.1a. The second category encompasses a pair of neutrosophic arcs, ξ_1 and ξ_2 with specific values for ω_1 and ω_2 , where ξ_1 is a neutrosophic arc that has values for ω_j in the form of $\langle v_j, \rho_j, \sigma_j \rangle$ and ξ_2 is a neutrosophic arc that has values for ω_k in the form of $\langle v_k, \rho_k, \sigma_k \rangle$ for which $\max \omega_j \rightarrow 0$ or $\max \omega_k \rightarrow 0$ where, $\omega_j, \omega_k \in [0, 1]$, as shown in Fig.1b. \square




FIGURE 1. neutrosophy homotopic

Definition 3.6. Let $\langle M, \omega \rangle$ be a neutrosophic manifold with a neutrosophic submanifold $\langle \mathcal{C}, \omega \rangle$, and let us consider the existence of a continuous neutrosophic map $\mathfrak{d} : \langle M, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{C}, \omega \rangle$ for which $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c}))$, $\forall \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, \mathfrak{d} is called neutretraction.

Example 3.7. $\langle S^1, \omega \rangle$ is neutretraction of $\langle R^2 - \{0\}, \omega \rangle$.

Based on Definition 3.6, we can conclude that any of the following situations qualify as neutretraction:

- Definition 3.8.** (a) $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \min(v), \min(\rho), \min(\sigma))$
 (b) $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \max(v), \max(\rho), \max(\sigma))$
 (c) $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \omega \in (0, 1))$. Now, for the rest of our discussion, and for simplicity, we shall denote the neutrosophic manifold $\langle M, \omega \rangle$ by the symbol M .

To show that isometry exists on both the upper and lower neutrosophic hypermanifolds, we shall use the potent framework of neutrosophic theory in the concept that follows.

Definition 3.9. A map $\mathcal{F} : \cup M \rightarrow \cup M$ is said to be an isoneutrosophic folding if $\mathcal{F}(M) = M$ and for each member of the upper neutrosophic hypermanifold \overline{M}_g , there is a \underline{M}_g lower M for which $\overline{\omega}_g = \underline{\omega}_g$ for any corresponding point, i.e., $\overline{\omega}_g(\overline{c}) = \underline{\omega}_g(\underline{c})$ as shown in Fig.2




FIGURE 2. Isoneutrosophic folding

Theorem 3.10. *Assuming M is a neutrosophic hyperspace in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Then, we conclude that there are two types of neutrosophication that coincide in M .*

- (a) For every $\mathbf{c} \in M$, $\omega(\mathbf{c}) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Geometrically, parallel neutrosophic manifolds, which is known as the "crisp property."
- (b) For each distinct point $\mathbf{c}_{t_1}, \mathbf{c}_{t_2} \in M$ and, $\omega(\mathbf{c}_{t_1}) \neq \omega(\mathbf{c}_{t_2})$, there is a chain of homeomorphic neutrosophic manifolds connected at a common point.

Proof. (a) In "a crisp property." For all $y_{t_1}, y_{t_2} \in M$, we have $\omega(y_{t_1}) = \omega(y_{t_2}) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ also, all neutrosophic hypermanifolds M_s are parallel, $\forall \mathbf{c}_s \in \underline{M}_s, \omega(\mathbf{c}_s) < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\forall \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in M_s, \omega(\mathbf{c}_1) = \omega(\mathbf{c}_2)$, $M_s = \bar{M}_s$ or $M_s = \underline{M}_s$ as shown in Fig.3. In this situation, we can define ω as

$\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle$ where

$$\langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle = \left\langle \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_1} \text{ if } l_1 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_1} \text{ if } l_1 < 0 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_2} \text{ if } l_2 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_2} \text{ if } l_2 < 0 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_3} \text{ if } l_3 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_3} \text{ if } l_3 < 0 \end{array} \right\} \right\rangle,$$

the list $(l_i, i = 1, 2, 3)$ can be represented in Fig.3. Moreover, we have $\omega = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ whenever $l_i \rightarrow \pm \infty$. However, this illustrates the degree of neutrosophication in the crisp case of M . In fact, $\forall \gamma$ there is a neutrosophic strip at γ , specifically ζ_γ for which $\omega(\mathbf{c}) < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ whenever $\mathbf{c} \in \zeta_\gamma$ and decreases if $l_i \rightarrow \pm \infty$.




FIGURE 3. parallel hyperspaces and their isoneutrosophic folding

(b) Let M be a neutrosophic hyperspace for which $\omega(\mathbf{c}_s) \neq \omega(\mathbf{c}_t)$, $\mathbf{c}_s \neq \mathbf{c}_t$ in M , and suppose that \mathbf{q} is a point at which $\omega(\mathbf{q}) = (\max \omega_s, s \in \mathbb{N})$. For all point $\gamma \in M$, \exists neutrosophic strip ζ_γ such that $\omega(\mathbf{c}_1) < \omega(\mathbf{c}_2) < \omega(\gamma)$, whenever $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \zeta_\gamma$. If there is no other common neutrosophic point than \mathbf{q} , then \exists a point $\mathbf{c}_j \in M_j, j = 1, 2, 3$. For all horizontal neutrosophic strips, q has a maximum value (neutrosophic point) in the neutrosophic strip. \square

The sequence of neuretraction within a neutrosophic hyperspace will be inferred from the data that follow.

Theorem 3.11. *If M is a neutrosophic hyperspace in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , and $\mathfrak{d} : M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, is a neuretraction. Then, there exists a sequence $\langle \mathfrak{d}_i : \cup M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \rangle$ of a neuretraction. Also, if we consider $\dim(\cup M) = \dim \mathcal{C}_i$, then all \mathfrak{d}_i are special types of isoneutrosophic folding.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a isoneutrosophic folding of $\cup \underline{M}$ into $\cup \overline{M}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M) = M$ $\omega(\underline{M}) = \omega(\overline{M})$. Thus, we conclude $\mathcal{F}(\underline{M}) = \overline{M}$ as shown in Fig.4. Now for each neuretraction $\mathfrak{d} : M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (in a case of no common point) we obtain the induced neuretractions $\mathfrak{d}_i : M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i, \dim \mathcal{C}_i = \dim M$. But if $\mathfrak{d} : M \rightarrow p$, there are induced neuretractions $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}_i : \underline{M}_i \rightarrow p_i$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}_i : \overline{M}_i \rightarrow p_i$. However, these neuretractions are not types of neutrosophic folding, because $\dim \mathcal{C}_i \neq \dim M_i$. For example, in Fig.5, \exists an isoneutrosophic folding, whereas there is no isoneutrosophic folding as a type of neuretraction in $\mathfrak{d} : \cup M \rightarrow p$, since $\dim p \neq \dim M_i$. \square




FIGURE 4. Isoneutrosophic folding on parallel hyperspaces




FIGURE 5. Neuretraction on hyperspaces with common point

The advanced results in this study reveal multiple occurrences of neuretractions corresponding to a set of neutrosophic manifolds that exhibit homeomorphism to a set of neutrosophic unit spheres with n dimensions, all of which possess a shared center.

Theorem 3.12. Suppose that M is a neutrosophic manifold of dimension m , which is homeomorphic to a neutrosophic unit sphere, with $\omega(y_i) = 1$ for each $y_j \in \mathcal{S}^m$, else $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle$ where

$$\langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle = \left\langle \left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_1, \quad 0 < l_1 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{l_1}, \quad l_1 > 1 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_2, \quad 0 < l_2 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{l_2}, \quad l_2 > 1 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_3, \quad 0 < l_3 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{l_3}, \quad l_3 > 1 \end{array} \right\} \right\rangle \text{ where, } y_j \in$$

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

$\cup \mathcal{S}_j^m$ as a union of m -dimensional neutrosophic spheres with a common center and let $H = \{(y, \omega) : |y| \leq 1\}$ be an n -dimensional neutrosophic closed ball. Then, for every neutretraction of $(H - \mathfrak{q})$ onto \mathcal{S}^{m-1} there are induced neutretractions of $H_j - \mathfrak{q}$ onto \mathcal{S}_j^{m-1} . Moreover, under the condition $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}^m) = \mathcal{S}^m$, we get an isoneutrosophic folding $\mathcal{F} : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m$.

Proof. Assume M is a neutrosophic manifold, \mathcal{S}^n is a neutrosophic unit sphere, and M is homeomorphic to \mathcal{S}^n as shown in Fig.6. If there is a neutrosophic sphere \mathcal{S}^m inside the neutrosophic system, say $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m$ (Neutrosophication will be reduced, $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ if $l_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ if $l_i \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$). Indeed, for all neutrosophic points $(c, \omega = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) \exists$ (a neutrosophic) strip of neutrosophic points $(\overline{c}_j, \overline{\omega}_j < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m$, and $(\underline{c}_j, \underline{\omega}_j < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m$. However, for the isoneutrosophic folding $\mathcal{F} : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}_j^m$, in which $\overline{\omega}_j = \underline{\omega}_j$ there is an induced isoneutrosophic folding $\overline{\mathcal{F}} : \overline{H}_j \rightarrow \underline{H}_j$, as well as neutretractions $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}_j : (\overline{H}_j - \mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_j^{m-1}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}_j : (\underline{H}_j - \mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}_j^{m-1}$. \square




FIGURE 6. Neutretraction and neutrosophic folding on a spheres

Theorem 3.13. Suppose that \mathcal{N} is a neutrosophic manifold with $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a neutretraction, then the geometric neutretraction $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces a neutretractions $\mathfrak{d}_v, \mathfrak{d}_\rho, \mathfrak{d}_\sigma$. On the other hand, the converse is not true.

Proof. Let us consider $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as a neutretraction, such that $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{N}_v, \mathcal{N}_\rho, \mathcal{N}_\sigma \rangle$ and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Now, consider the geometric neutretraction of $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ into $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then we get the induced neutretractions $\mathfrak{d}_v : \mathcal{N}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_v, \mathfrak{d}_\rho : \mathcal{N}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\rho, \mathfrak{d}_\sigma : \mathcal{N}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ as shown in Fig.7. On the other hand, consider the neutretractions $\mathfrak{d}_v : \mathcal{N}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_v, \mathfrak{d}_\rho : \mathcal{N}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\rho, \mathfrak{d}_\sigma : \mathcal{N}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ as the identity neutretractions for all membership degrees, which have no impact on the geometric manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ as shown in Fig.8 .

\square




FIGURE 7. A neutretraction of type (I)




FIGURE 8. A neutretraction of type (II)

4. Conclusion

The present study aimed to develop a theoretical basis for neutretraction on a neutrosophic manifold. The neutrosophic folding and neutretraction on a neutrosophic manifold are achieved

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

geometrically and topologically. The sequence of neutretractions in a neutrosophic hyperspace is obtained. The relationship between some types of transformations is deduced. An area that necessitates additional investigation pertains to the establishment and exploration of a fitting notion of neutrosophic homotopy groups, in conjunction with a thorough examination of their consequent neutrosophic homomorphism.

References

- [1] Abu-Saleem, M. A neutrosophic folding and retraction on a single-valued neutrosophic graph, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 2021, 40 (3), 5207-5213.
- [2] Abu-Saleem, M.; Taani, A. The Boundary Homotopy Retract on the Scalar Hairy Charged Black Hole Spacetime, *Axioms*, 2022, 11, 745.
- [3] Abu-Saleem, M.; Vieira, H.S. Some aspects of the five-dimensional Lovelock black hole spacetime: Strong homotopy retract, perihelion precession and quasistationary levels, *Annals of Physics*, 2021, 433, 168583.
- [4] Abu-Saleem, M. A neutrosophic folding on a neutrosophic fundamental group, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2021, 46 290-299.
- [5] , Adhikari, M. R. Basic Topology 3, *Algebraic Topology and Topology of Fiber Bundles*, Springer, Singapore, 1st ed, 2022.
- [6] Al Hamido, R. K. Separation Axioms for Intuitionistic Neutrosophic Crisp supra and Infra Topological Spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2023, 54(1) 225-237.
- [7] Arar, M. Neutrosophic n-Valued Refined Sets and Topologies, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, University of New Mexico, 2023, Vol. 55, 316-328.
- [8] Atanassov, K. T. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1986, 20, 87–96.
- [9] El-Ghoul, M.; Shamara, H. M., Folding of some types of fuzzy manifolds and their retractions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1998, 97, 387-391.
- [10] Govindan, K.; R. Khodaverdi; Vafadarnikjoo, A. Intuitionistic fuzzy based dematel method for developing green practices and performances in a green supply chain, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2015, 42 (20), 7207–7220.
- [11] Hashmi, M. R.; Riaz, M.; Smarandache F., m-Polar neutrosophic topology with applications to multi-criteria decision-making in medical diagnosis and clustering analysis, *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 2020, 22, 273–292.
- [12] Ji, P.; Wang, J.; Zhang, H. Frank prioritized bonferroni mean operator with single-valued neutrosophic sets and its application in selecting third-party logistics providers, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 2018, 30, (3) 799-823.
- [13] Kauffmann, A. *Introduction to the theory of fuzzy sets*, Vol.1, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Florida 1973.
- [14] Khaklary, J. K.; Witzczak, T., Introduction to anti-bitopological spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2023, Vol. 55, University of New Mexico, 529-543.
- [15] Massey, W. S. *Algebraic topology, an introduction*, Harcourt Brace and World New York, 1967.
- [16] Pal, G.; Tripathy, B. C.; Dhar, R. On Continuity in Minimal Structure Neutrosophic Topological Space, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2022, 51, 361-370.
- [17] Riviuccio, U. Neutrosophic logics, Prospects and problems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 2008, 159 (14),1860-1868.
- [18] Smarandache, F. *Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set, and logic*, American, Research Press, Rehoboth, 1998.

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

-
- [19] Smarandache, F. *A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logic. Neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, probability*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 1999.
- [20] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, *Granular computing*. In: 2006 IEEE International Conference, 2006, 38-42.
- [21] Wang, H.; Smarandache, F.; Zhang, Y.Q. ; Sunderraman, R. Single-valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace and Multistructure*, 2010, 4, 410-413.
- [22] Zadeh, A. L. Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 1965, 8 (3), 338–353.

Received: April 30, 2023. Accepted: Aug 18, 2023