

7-29-2023

A Contemporary approach on Generalised NB Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces

S. S. Surekha

G. Sindhu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Surekha, S. S. and G. Sindhu. "A Contemporary approach on Generalised NB Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 56, 1 (2023).
https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol56/iss1/23

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



A Contemporary approach on Generalised NB Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces

S.S.Surekha¹ and G.Sindhu²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, (TN) India; surekhasuresh97@gmail.com

² Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, (TN) India; sindhukannan23@gmail.com

*Correspondence: surekhasuresh97@gmail.com

Abstract. The paper, concentrated on the introduction of neutrosophic binary α gs-closed sets and neutrosophic binary α gs-open sets in Neutrosophic binary topological Space. The properties of neutrosophic binary α gs-closed sets and neutrosophic binary α gs-open sets have been studied. Furthermore, we examined its relationship with other framed neutrosophic binary sets. Also, some of the theorems were contemplated and the contrary part have been analyzed using examples.

Keywords: Neutrosophic Binary α gs-closed sets, Neutrosophic Binary α gs-open sets.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophic Topological Space was initiated and formulated by A.A.Salama [14] in 2012 by using Smarandache [10] neutrosophic sets which was introduced in 2002, a generalisation of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. The neutrosophic sets consists of the degree of truth membership, degree of indeterminacy and the degree of false membership which are self-supporting and defines uncertainty. Neutrosophic α closed sets were introduced by I.Arockiarani [1] in 2017. In 2016, P.Ishwarya [12] defined the neutrosophic semi open and closed sets in neutrosophic topological space. Also, they studied the neutrosophic semi interior and closure operators. Neutrosophic α -generalized closed sets was established by R. Dhavaseelan et al., V.K.Shanthi [15] in 2018 initiated neutrosophic

generalised semi closed sets. Furthermore, neutrosophic α gs closed sets were initiated by V.Banu Priya [2] in 2019. S.N.Jothi [5] in 2011 introduced the topology between two universal sets which is defined to be binary topology. The binary topology is a binary structure from $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ to $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ which consists of ordered pairs (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) where $A \subseteq \dot{\mathcal{U}}$ and $B \subseteq \dot{\mathcal{V}}$. In continuation, S.S.Surekha, J.Elekiah and G.Sindhu [16] in 2022 introduced Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space which consists of two universal sets and each universal set contain its own truth, indeterminacy and false membership values. Also, in 2022, S.S.Surekha and G.sindhu [17] formulated binary α gs closed sets in binary topological space. In this article, we defined Neutrosophic Binary regular, semi and α open and closed sets. Also, Neutrosophic Binary α gs closed sets was defined and some characteristics have been framed.

2. Motivation

Neutrosophic Topological Space was formulated by A.A.Salama [14] in 2012. The neutrosophic sets consists of the degree of truth membership, degree of indeterminacy and the degree of false membership. S.N.Jothi [5] in 2011 introduced the binary topology. Later, S.S.Surekha, J.Elekiah and G.Sindhu [16] in 2022 introduced Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space consisting of two universal sets and each has its own truth, indeterminacy and false membership values. Also, in 2022, S.S.Surekha and G.sindhu [17] formulated binary α gs-closed sets in binary topological space. Also, neutrosophic α gs closed sets were initiated by V.Banu Priya [2] in 2019. Hence these implications motivated the researcher to investigate the role of neutrosophic binary α gs closed and open sets in neutrosophic binary topological spaces.

3. Preliminaries

Definition 3.1. [16] A Neutrosophic binary topology is a binary structure consisting of two universal sets $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ where $\mathcal{MN} \subseteq P(\dot{\mathcal{U}}) \times P(\dot{\mathcal{V}})$ and it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $(\dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, \dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}}) \in \mathcal{MN}$ and $(\dot{1}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, \dot{1}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}}) \in \mathcal{MN}$.
- (2) $(\dot{S}_1 \cap \dot{Q}_2, \dot{S}_1 \cap \dot{Q}_2) \in \mathcal{MN}$ whenever $(\dot{S}_1, \dot{Q}_1) \in \mathcal{MN}$ and $(\dot{S}_2, \dot{Q}_2) \in \mathcal{MN}$.
- (3) If $(\dot{S}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ is a family of members of \mathcal{MN} , then $(\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \dot{S}_\alpha, \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \dot{Q}_\alpha) \in \mathcal{MN}$.

The triplet $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ is called Neutrosophic Binary Topological space.

Definition 3.2. [16] $(\dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, \dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}})$ can be defined as

- (0₁) $\dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$
- (0₂) $\dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 0, 1, 1 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 0, 1, 1 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$
- (0₃) $\dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 0, 1, 0 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \dot{0}_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 0, 1, 0 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$

$$(0_4) \quad 0_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 0, 0, 0 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \quad 0_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 0, 0, 0 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$$

$(1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}})$ can be defined as

$$(1_1) \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 1, 0, 0 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 1, 0, 0 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$$

$$(1_2) \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 1, 0, 1 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 1, 0, 1 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$$

$$(1_3) \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$$

$$(1_4) \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}} = \{ \langle \dot{U}, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{\mathcal{U}} \}, \quad 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}} = \{ \langle \dot{V}, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}} \}$$

Definition 3.3. [16] Let $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{ \langle \mu_S, \sigma_S, \gamma_S \rangle, \langle \mu_Q, \sigma_Q, \gamma_Q \rangle \}$ be a neutrosophic binary set on $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$, then the complement of the set $\tilde{C}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$ may be defined as

$$(\tilde{C}_1) \quad \tilde{C}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{ \dot{U}, \langle 1 - \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), 1 - \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{U}, \\ \langle \dot{V}, 1 - \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), 1 - \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{V} \}$$

$$(\tilde{C}_2) \quad \tilde{C}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{ \dot{U}, \langle \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{U}, \\ \langle \dot{V}, \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{V} \}$$

$$(\tilde{C}_3) \quad \tilde{C}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{ \dot{U}, \langle \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), 1 - \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}), \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \rangle : \dot{u} \in \dot{U}, \\ \langle \dot{V}, \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), 1 - \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}), \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \rangle : \dot{v} \in \dot{V} \}$$

Definition 3.4. [16] Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) and (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) be two neutrosophic binary sets.

Then $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R})$ can be defined as

$$(1) \quad (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \iff \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \leq \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \leq \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \geq \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \forall \dot{u} \in \dot{U} \\ \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \leq \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \leq \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \geq \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \forall \dot{v} \in \dot{V}$$

$$(2) \quad (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \iff \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \leq \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \geq \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \geq \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \forall \dot{u} \in \dot{U} \\ \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \leq \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \geq \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \geq \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \forall \dot{v} \in \dot{V}$$

Definition 3.5. [16] Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) and (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) be two neutrosophic binary sets.

(1) $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cap (\dot{T}, \dot{R})$ can be defined as

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cap (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \rangle \\ \langle \dot{V}, \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \rangle \}$$

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cap (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \rangle \\ \langle \dot{V}, \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \rangle \}$$

(2) $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cup (\dot{T}, \dot{R})$ can be defined as

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cup (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \rangle \\ \langle \dot{V}, \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \rangle \}$$

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cap (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, \mu_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \vee \mu_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \sigma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \sigma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}), \gamma_{\dot{S}}(\dot{U}) \wedge \gamma_{\dot{T}}(\dot{U}) \rangle \\ \langle \dot{V}, \mu_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \vee \mu_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \sigma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \sigma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}), \gamma_{\dot{Q}}(\dot{V}) \wedge \gamma_{\dot{R}}(\dot{V}) \rangle \}$$

Definition 3.6. [16] Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then,

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{1N} = \cap \{S_\alpha : (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \text{ is neutrosophic binary closed and } (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha)\}$$

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{2N} = \cap \{Q_\alpha : (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \text{ is neutrosophic binary closed and } (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha)\}.$$

The ordered pair $((\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{1N}, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{2N})$ is called the neutrosophic binary closure of (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) .

Definition 3.7. [16] Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then,

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{1N} = \cup \{S_\alpha : (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \text{ is neutrosophic binary open and } (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})\}$$

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{2N} = \cup \{Q_\alpha : (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \text{ is neutrosophic binary open and } (S_\alpha, Q_\alpha) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})\}.$$

The ordered pair $((\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{1N}, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^{2N})$ is called the neutrosophic binary interior of (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) .

Definition 3.8. Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \tau_N)$ be a Neutrosophic topological space. Then the subset A is said to be

- (1) neutrosophic α open [1] if $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$.
- (2) neutrosophic semi open [12] if $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$.

Definition 3.9. [1] Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \tau_N)$ be a Neutrosophic Topological Space. Let A be the subset of neutrosophic topological space. The intersection of all the neutrosophic α closed sets which contains A is called the neutrosophic α closure of A and is denoted by $N\alpha cl(A)$.

Definition 3.10. [2] Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \tau_N)$ be a Neutrosophic topological space. Then the subset A is said to be neutrosophic α gs closed if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is neutrosophic semi open.

4. Neutrosophic Binary α gs-closed sets

Definition 4.1. Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is called

- (1) Neutrosophic binary regular open if $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \mathcal{N}^b int(\mathcal{N}^b cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))$ and the complement of neutrosophic binary regular open sets are called as neutrosophic binary regular closed (shortly \mathcal{N}^b -regular closed).
- (2) Neutrosophic binary semiopen if $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b cl(\mathcal{N}^b int(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))$ and the complement of neutrosophic binary semi open sets are called as neutrosophic binary semi closed sets (shortly \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed).

- (3) Neutrosophic binary α open if $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b \text{int}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})))$ and the complement of neutrosophic binary α open sets are called as neutrosophic binary α closed sets (shortly \mathcal{N}^b - α closed).

Definition 4.2. Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{1*} = \cap \{S_{\alpha} : (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) \text{ is neutrosophic binary } \alpha \text{ closed and } (\dot{S}, \dot{T}) \subseteq (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha})\}$
 $(\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{2*} = \cap \{T_{\alpha} : (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) \text{ is neutrosophic binary } \alpha \text{ closed and } (\dot{S}, \dot{T}) \subseteq (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha})\}$.
 The ordered pair $((\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{1*}, (\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{2*})$ is called the neutrosophic binary α closure of (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) and is denoted by $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha \text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$.

Definition 4.3. Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{10} = \cup \{S_{\alpha} : (S_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}) \text{ is neutrosophic binary } \alpha \text{ open and } (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{T})\}$
 $(\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{20} = \cup \{T_{\alpha} : (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) \text{ is neutrosophic binary } \alpha \text{ open and } (S_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{T})\}$.
 The ordered pair $((\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{10}, (\dot{S}, \dot{T})_{\alpha}^{20})$ is called the neutrosophic binary α interior of (\dot{S}, \dot{T}) and it is denoted by $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha \text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{T})$.

Example 4.4. Let $\dot{\mathcal{U}} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. Let

$$(A_1, A_2) = \{ \langle \dot{\mathcal{U}}, (0.4, 0.5, 0.2), (0.3, 0.2, 0.1), (0.9, 0.6, 0.8) \rangle, \\ \langle \dot{\mathcal{V}}, (0.2, 0.4, 0.5), (0.1, 0.1, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.8) \rangle \}$$

$$(B_1, B_2) = \{ \langle \dot{\mathcal{U}}, (0.5, 0.6, 0.2), (0.4, 0.3, 0.1), (0.7, 0.6, 0.7) \rangle, \\ \langle \dot{\mathcal{V}}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.4), (0.3, 0.2, 0.1), (0.7, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle \}.$$

The Neutrosophic Binary Topological space is given by $\mathcal{M}_N = \{(0_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, 0_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}}), (1_{\dot{\mathcal{U}}}, 1_{\dot{\mathcal{V}}}), (A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2)\}$. Let

$$(C_1, C_2) = \{ \langle \dot{\mathcal{U}}, (0.5, 0.6, 0.1), (0.4, 0.3, 0.1), (0.9, 0.8, 0.5) \rangle, \\ \langle \dot{\mathcal{V}}, (0.3, 0.4, 0.5), (0.9, 0.3, 0.1), (0.7, 0.6, 0.7) \rangle \}.$$

Clearly, (C_1, C_2) is Neutrosophic Binary semi open. Also, it is Neutrosophic Binary alpha open.

Theorem 4.5. In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$,

- (1) Every \mathcal{N}^b -regular closed sets are \mathcal{N}^b closed sets.
- (2) Every \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed sets are $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha$ closed sets.

Proof. (1) Since, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is Neutrosophic Binary-regular closed set, we have $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))$. Obviously, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is Neutrosophic Binary closed set.

(2) Since, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is Neutrosophic Binary Semi closed, we have $\mathcal{N}^b \text{int}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. This implies, $\mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{int}(\mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. Since, every neutrosophic binary semi closed sets are closed, we have $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \mathcal{N}^b \text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. Therefore,

$\mathcal{N}^b cl(\mathcal{N}^b int(\mathcal{N}^b cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. Hence, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is neutrosophic binary α closed in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 4.6. Since, every \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed sets are $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ closed sets, it is obvious that $\mathcal{N}^b scl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$.

Definition 4.7. Let $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Let $(\dot{S}, \dot{T}) \subseteq (\dot{U}, \dot{V})$. Then (\dot{S}, \dot{T}) is called a Neutrosophic Binary α generalised semiclosed set (shortly $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ -closed set) if $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{T}) \subseteq (P, V)$ whenever (P, V) is Neutrosophic Binary Semiopen.

Theorem 4.8. *The union of two $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ -closed set is also a $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ -closed set.*

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) and (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) be two $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then by definition 4.7, we have $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ whenever $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ and (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is \mathcal{N}^b semiopen. Also, $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ whenever $(\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ and (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is \mathcal{N}^b semiopen. This implies $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cup \mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) \implies \mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl[(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cup (\dot{T}, \dot{R})] \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. Therefore, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cup (\dot{T}, \dot{R})$ is a $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 4.9. The intersection of two $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ -closed sets need not be a $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha gs$ -closed set.

It is demonstrated by the following example.

Example 4.10. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ be the universe. Let $\mathcal{M}_N = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2), (D_1, D_2)\}$ be the neutrosophic binary topological space. Here

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1, A_2) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.4, 0.5, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.9, 0.6, 0.8) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.5), (0.1, 0.5, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.8) \rangle \} \\ (B_1, B_2) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.5, 0.6, 0.2), (0.4, 0.5, 0.1), (0.7, 0.6, 0.7) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.4), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.7, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle \} \\ (C_1, C_2) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.5, 0.5, 0.2), (0.4, 0.5, 0.1), (0.9, 0.6, 0.7) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.4), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.7, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle \} \\ (D_1, D_2) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.4, 0.6, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.7, 0.6, 0.8) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.5), (0.1, 0.5, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.8) \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.3, 0.4, 0.2), (0.3, 0.1, 0.1), (0.6, 0.4, 0.9) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.3, 0.6), (0.1, 0.1, 0.3), (0.4, 0.4, 0.9) \rangle \} \text{ and} \\ (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.4, 0.5, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.9, 0.4, 0.8) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.5), (0.1, 0.5, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.8) \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

be two $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed sets in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. The intersection of the two subsets

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \cap (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) &= \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5, 0.1), (0.6, 0.4, 0.9) \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.6), (0.1, 0.5, 0.3), (0.4, 0.5, 0.9) \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

which is not $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Theorem 4.11. *In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$, every \mathcal{N}^b -closed sets are $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set.*

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be a \mathcal{N}^b -closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Let us consider a neutrosophic binary set $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ where (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is neutrosophic binary semiopen in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Since $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$ and also (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is neutrosophic binary closed set, we have $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ which implies $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha cl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ whenever (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is neutrosophic binary semiopen. Hence (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set. \square

Remark 4.12. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as illustrated by the following example.

Example 4.13. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the universe.

Let $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V, W)\}$ be the neutrosophic binary topological space.

$$(V, W) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.3), (0.6, 0.5, 0.4) \rangle, \langle \dot{V}, (0.6, 0.5, 0.4), (0.7, 0.5, 0.3) \rangle \}.$$

$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{ \langle \dot{U}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.8), (0.3, 0.5, 0.7) \rangle, \langle \dot{V}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.8), (0.2, 0.4, 0.7) \rangle \}$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs closed set but not \mathcal{N}^b closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Theorem 4.14. *Every \mathcal{N}^b -Regular closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.*

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be a \mathcal{N}^b -regular closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. By theorem 4.5, every \mathcal{N}^b -regular closed set is \mathcal{N}^b -closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. This implies, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b -closed set. Also, by theorem 4.11, we have (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 4.15. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as proved in the following example.

Example 4.16. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the universe.

Let $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V, W)\}$ be the neutrosophic binary topological space.

$(V, W) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.6, 0.5, 0.4), (0.6, 0.5, 0.4) >, < \dot{V}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.3), (0.8, 0.5, 0.4) >\}$.

Let $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.7), (0.2, 0.5, 0.8) >, < \dot{V}, (0.4, 0.5, 0.8), (0.3, 0.5, 0.7) >\}$ is \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed but not \mathcal{N}^b regular closed in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Theorem 4.17. Every \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set is \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be a \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. By remark 4.6, we have $\mathcal{N}^b scl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b acl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. Since (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set, we have $\mathcal{N}^b acl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$, where (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is \mathcal{N}^b - semiopen. This implies $\mathcal{N}^b Scl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. Hence, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed. \square

Remark 4.18. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as illustrated in the following example.

Example 4.19. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the universe.

Let $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V, W)\}$ be the neutrosophic binary topological space where $(V, W) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.6, 0.5, 0.2), (0.3, 0.5, 0.2) >, < \dot{V}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.1), (0.3, 0.5, 0.3) >\}$.

Let $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.1, 0.5, 0.7), (0.1, 0.5, 0.5) >, < \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.6), (0.2, 0.5, 0.7) >\}$ is \mathcal{N}^b semi closed but not \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Theorem 4.20. Every \mathcal{N}^b - α closed set is \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Proof. Let $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a neutrosophic binary topological space. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be a \mathcal{N}^b - α closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Let $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ where (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) is \mathcal{N}^b semiopen. Since (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b - α closed set, we have $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}acl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ which implies $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}acl(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. Hence (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 4.21. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 4.22. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the universe.


Let $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V_1, W_1), (V_2, W_2)\}$ be the neutrosophic binary topological space. Here

$(V_1, W_1) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.4, 0.5, 0.5), (0.3, 0.5, 0.6) >, < \dot{V}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.5), (0.4, 0.5, 0.7) >\}$

$(V_2, W_2) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.3, 0.5, 0.6), (0.2, 0.5, 0.7) >, < \dot{V}, (0.2, 0.5, 0.6), (0.3, 0.5, 0.7) >\}$.

$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.8, 0.5, 0.1), (0.8, 0.5, 0.1) >, < \dot{V}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.1) >\}$ is \mathcal{N}^b - α gs closed set but not \mathcal{N}^b - α closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Remark 4.23. The following diagram shows the above implications.



5. Neutrosophic Binary αgs open sets

Definition 5.1. A neutrosophic binary set (\dot{S}, \dot{T}) in a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ is said to be neutrosophic binary αgs -open sets (shortly \mathcal{N}^b - αgs open) if the complement $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is \mathcal{N}^b - αgs closed in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Theorem 5.2. In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$, every \mathcal{N}^b -open sets are $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -open sets.

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be the \mathcal{N}^b -open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is \mathcal{N}^b -closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then by theorem 4.11, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -closed set, which implies (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 5.3. The converse of the above theorem is not true as illustrated in the following example.

Example 5.4. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$. The neutrosophic binary topological space is given by $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V, W)\}$ where $(V, W) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.8, 0.5, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5, 0.4) >, < \dot{V}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.1), (0.6, 0.5, 0.3) >\}$.

Consider the neutrosophic binary set

$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.9, 0.5, 0.1), (0.7, 0.5, 0.3) >, < \dot{V}, (0.8, 0.5, 0.1), (0.8, 0.5, 0.3) >\}$. Here, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is neutrosophic binary αgs closed set set. This implies that (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is neutrosophic binary αgs open set. But (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is not the neutrosophic binary open set.

Theorem 5.5. In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$, every \mathcal{N}^b -regular open sets are $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -open sets.

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be the \mathcal{N}^b -regular open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is \mathcal{N}^b -regular closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then by theorem 4.14, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -closed set, which implies (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b \alpha gs$ -open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 5.6. The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen in the following example.

Example 5.7. Let $\dot{U} = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\dot{V} = \{b_1, b_2\}$. The neutrosophic binary topological space is given by $\mathcal{MN} = \{(0_{\dot{U}}, 0_{\dot{V}}), (1_{\dot{U}}, 1_{\dot{V}}), (V, W)\}$ where

$$(V, W) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.6, 0.5, 0.4), (0.6, 0.5, 0.4) >, < \dot{V}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.3), (0.6, 0.5, 0.4) >\}.$$

Consider the neutrosophic binary set

$$(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) = \{< \dot{U}, (0.7, 0.5, 0.3), (0.8, 0.5, 0.2) >, < \dot{V}, (0.8, 0.5, 0.3), (0.8, 0.5, 0.2) >\}.$$

Here, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is neutrosophic binary α gs closed set set. This implies that (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is neutrosophic binary α gs open set. But (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is not the neutrosophic binary regular open set.

Theorem 5.8. In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$, every $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ - open sets are $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-open sets.

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be the $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ - open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ -closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then by theorem 4.20, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set, which implies (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 5.9. The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen in the example 4.22.

Theorem 5.10. In a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$, every $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-open sets are \mathcal{N}^b semi open sets.

Proof. Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be the $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs- open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-closed set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. Then by theorem 4.17, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is \mathcal{N}^b semi-closed set, which implies (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is \mathcal{N}^b - semi open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Remark 5.11. The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen in the example 4.19.

Theorem 5.12. A neutrosophic binary set (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) of a neutrosophic binary topological space $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs-open set if and only if $(\dot{E}, \dot{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ -int (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) whenever (\dot{E}, \dot{F}) is \mathcal{N}^b -semi closed in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ and $(\dot{E}, \dot{F}) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$.

Proof. Necessary Part:

Let (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) be a $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$.

Let (\dot{E}, \dot{F}) be a $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs closed set and also $(\dot{E}, \dot{F}) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$. This implies, $(\dot{E}, \dot{F})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs open set in $(\dot{U}, \dot{V}, \mathcal{MN})$ and $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{E}, \dot{F})^c$. Since, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ gs closed set, we have $\mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ cl $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{E}, \dot{F})^c$. Hence, $(\dot{E}, \dot{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b\alpha$ int (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) .

Sufficient Part:

Let $(\dot{E}, \dot{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})$.

This implies, $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{E}, \dot{F})^c$ whenever $(\dot{E}, \dot{F})^c$ is \mathcal{N}^b -semi open. Therefore, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ closed set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$. Hence, (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) is $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ open set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

Theorem 5.13. *Let $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ be a Neutrosophic Binary Topological Space. Then for every $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ open set (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$ and for every Neutrosophic Binary set (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$, $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) \subseteq (\dot{S}, \dot{Q}) \implies (\dot{T}, \dot{R})$ is a $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ open set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c \subseteq (\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))^c$. Let $(\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$ and (\dot{P}, \dot{V}) be a \mathcal{N}^b -semiopen set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$. Since $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c$, we have $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. But $(\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ closed. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}((\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. Also, $(\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c \subseteq (\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{int}(\dot{S}, \dot{Q}))^c = \mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}((\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c)$. That implies, $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}((\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}((\dot{S}, \dot{Q})^c) \subseteq (\dot{P}, \dot{V})$. Therefore, $(\dot{T}, \dot{R})^c$ is $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ closed set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$. Hence (\dot{T}, \dot{R}) is $\mathcal{N}^b\text{-}\alpha\text{gs}$ open set in $(\dot{\mathcal{U}}, \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{MN})$. \square

6. Conclusion

The Neutrosophic Binary αgs closed and open sets were introduced in this article. Also, its relationship with other sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces were analyzed. The characteristics of such sets are closely examined and studied with the examples. In future, the decision making problems in real life will be analyzed using the neutrosophic binary sets.

7. Acknowledgement

The authors were thankful to the editors for their comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

1. I. Arokiarani, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari, M. Parimal, On Some New Notions and Functions In Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 16 (2017), 16–19.
2. V. Banu priya, S. Chandrasekhar, Neutrosophic α generalized semi closed set, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 28 (2019), 162–170.
3. R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari, Generalized Neutrosophic Closed Sets, New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, 2 (2018), 261–273.

S.S.Surekha and G.Sindhu, A Contemporary approach on Generalised NB Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces

4. Mani, Parimala, et al. "Decision-making via neutrosophic support soft topological spaces." *symmetry* 10.6 (2018): 217.
5. S. Nithyanantha Jothi and P.Thangavelu, On binary topological spaces, *Pacific-Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 5(2)(2011), 133-138.
6. S. Nithyanantha Jothi and P. Thangavelu, Topology between two sets, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Applications*,1 (3): 95–107, 2011.
7. Parimala, Mani, et al. "Neutrosophic $\alpha\psi$ -Homeomorphism in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces." *Information* 9.8 (2018): 187.
8. Parimala.M and Udhaya Kumar R, β -g-Compactness and β -g-stability in ditopological texture spaces, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 12, No. 01, 1950004 (2019).
9. Parimala , M., Karthika, M. ., Jafari, S. ., Smarandache, F., and Udhayakumar, R. (2019). Neutrosophic Nano ideal topological structure. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 24, 70-76.
10. F. Smarandache Neutrosophic and Neutrosophic Logic, *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability and Statistics*, University of New Mexico-Gallup, 2002.
11. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set, Generalization of Intuitionistic Fuzzy set, *Journal of Defense Resources Management*, 1 (2010), 107–116.
12. P. Ishwarya, K. Bageerathi, On Neutrosophic semi-open sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, 37(3) (2016), 214–223.
13. D. Jayanthi, α Generalized Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, Special Issue-ICRMIT, (2018), 88–91.
14. A. A. Salama, S. A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 3(4) (2012), 31–35.
15. V. K. Shanthi, S. Chandrasekhar, K. Safina Begam, Neutrosophic Generalized Semi Closed Sets In Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*, 6(7) (2018), 1739–1743.
16. S.S.Surekha, J.Elekiah and G.Sindhu, A study on Neutrosophic Binary Topological space, *Stochastic Modelling and applications*, Vol 26(3), 479-486.
17. S.S.Surekha and G.Sindhu, A new class of binary open sets in binary topological spaces, *International journal of creative research thoughts(IJCRT)*, Volume 10, Issue 5, pp. c101-c104.
18. Vadivel, M. Seenivasan and C. John Sundar, An introduction to δ -open sets in a neutrosophic topological spaces, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1724 (2021), 012011.
19. A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, Neutrosophic δ -Open Maps and Neutrosophic δ -Closed Maps, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 13 (2) (2021), 66-74.
20. A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, New Operators Using Neutrosophic δ -Open Set, *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 1 , No. 2 , pp. 61-70, 2021.
21. A. Vadivel, P. Thangaraja and C. John Sundar, Neutrosophic e-continuous maps and neutrosophic e-irresolute maps, *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12 (1S) (2021), 369-375.
22. A. Vadivel, P. Thangaraja and C. John Sundar, Neutrosophic e-Open Maps, Neutrosophic e-Closed Maps and Neutrosophic e-Homeomorphisms in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2364 (2021), 020016.

S.S.Surekha and G.Sindhu, A Contemporary approach on Generalised NB Closed Sets in Neutrosophic Binary Topological Spaces

-
23. A. Vadivel, C. John Sundar, K. Kirubadevi and S. Tamilselvan, More on Neutrosophic Nano Open Sets, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 18, No. 4, (2022) : 204-222.

Received: April 6, 2023. Accepted: July 18, 2023