

4-11-2023

## A Review Study on Some Properties of The Structure of Neutrosophic Ring

Adel Al-Odhari

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Al-Odhari, Adel. "A Review Study on Some Properties of The Structure of Neutrosophic Ring." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 54, 1 (). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol54/iss1/12](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol54/iss1/12)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [disc@unm.edu](mailto:disc@unm.edu).



# A Review Study on Some Properties of The Structure of Neutrosophic Ring

Adel Al-Odhari

Faculty of Education, Humanities and Applied Sciences ( khawlan) and Department of Foundations of Sciences,  
Faculty of Engineering, Sana'a University. Box:13509, Sana'a, Yemen; e-mail: [a.aleidhri@su.edu.ye](mailto:a.aleidhri@su.edu.ye)

\* Correspondence: [a.aleidhri@su.edu.ye](mailto:a.aleidhri@su.edu.ye); ; Tel.: 00967777654885)

**Abstract:** determinacy is a philosophical concept which introduced by Smarandache and used in structure of mathematical systems. In this article we use this concept to introduced Particular Structure of neutrosophic ring and studied some theorem and properties according to classical axiomatic ring theory.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic rings; Neutrosophic rings of Integers; Neutrosophic rings of Complex; Neutrosophic rings of Integers of modulo n.

---

## 1. Introduction

Neutrosophic ring established first time by Kandasamy and Smarandache in 2006 see [19], in this paper we introduced particular neutrosophic ring depend on classical axioms of ring theory and studied some theorems and properties of neutrosophic ring theory.

## 2. Neutrosophic Rings and Their Examples

In this section we introduced the concept of neutrosophic ring was introduced in 2006 by Kandasamy and Smarandache see [19] with examples, but by applying the axioms of

classical ring theory with concept of indeterminate. The neutrosophic element as  $I$  where  $I$  is an indeterminate and  $I$  is such that  $I^2 = I$ . If  $I^2 = I \neq I(I - 1) = 0$  or any relation is just saying  $I^2 = I$

**Definition 2.1.** [19] Let  $R$  be any ring. The neutrosophic ring  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  is also a ring generated by  $R$  and  $I$  under the operations of  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [19] Let  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring.  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  is a ring.

Note. In sated of notation  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  and  $\langle R \setminus \{0\} \cup I \rangle$ , we use notation  $R[I]$  and  $R^*[I]$  respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a nonempty set and the triple  $(R, +, \bullet)$  be a ring, and consider the neutrosophic (NS):  $R[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in R\}$ , then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):

$N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called the neutrosophic associative ring which is a generated by  $I$  and  $R$  under operations  $+$  "addition" and  $\bullet$  "multiplications" respectively if satisfies the axiomatic conditions of ring:

NR1: For all  $x, y$  and  $z \in N(R)$ ,  $N(R) = \langle R[I], + \rangle$  is a neutrosophic an abelian group under addition;

NR2: For all  $x, y$  and  $z \in N(R^*)$ ,  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  is a mathematical associative neutrosophic system under multiplications, that is,  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic semi group and

NR3:  $x \bullet (y + z) = (x \bullet y) + (x \bullet z)$  and  $(y + z) \bullet x = (y \bullet x) + (z \bullet x)$  "left and right distribution laws".

### Observations.

- If  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  has neutrosophic identity (or unit), then  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called a neutrosophic ring with a neutrosophic identity (or neutrosophic unit).
- If  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  has neutrosophic inverse, then  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called a neutrosophic ring with a neutrosophic inverse and the neutrosophic structure  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  is called neutrosophic group.
- If  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  is a neutrosophic abelian, that is, all  $x, y \in N(R)$ , we have

$x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ , in addition,  $N(R^*) = \langle R^*[I], \bullet \rangle$  is called a neutrosophic abelian group, consequently, the  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called a filed and denoted by  $N(F) = \langle F[I], +, \bullet \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a finite set and the triple  $(R, +, \bullet)$  be a finite ring, then  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called a finite neutrosophic ring, otherwise,  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called is an infinite neutrosophic ring.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring. Define the neutrosophic set:  $N(C(R)) = \{x \in N(R) : xy = yx, \forall y \in N(R)\}$  which is called the neutrosophic center of  $N(R)$ . Also,  $N(R)$  is abelian iff  $N(R) = N(C(R))$ .

**Definition 2.5.**[19] Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be a set of integer numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a neutrosophic- integer set, where  $a + bI$  is a neutrosophic integer number.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \bullet)$  be a ring of integers under usual addition and multiplication, then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is called the neutrosophic integer ring which is generated by  $I$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \bullet)$  be a ring of integers under usual addition and multiplication and  $\langle \mathbb{Z} \cup I \rangle = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a neutrosophic- integer set, where  $a + bI$  is a neutrosophic integer number. Then by proposition 2.1 in [5],  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], + \rangle$  is a neutrosophic abelian group, so NR1 axioms is hold. Now Let  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], \bullet \rangle$  such that all  $x, y \in N(\mathbb{Z})$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= ((x_1 + x_2I) + (y_1 + y_2I)) \\ &= \left( (x_1 \cdot y_1) + \left( ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1)) + (x_2 \cdot y_2) \right) I \right) \in N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], \cdot \rangle, \text{ it's a closure,} \end{aligned}$$

moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot y) \cdot z &= ((x_1 + x_2I) + (y_1 + y_2I)) \cdot (z_1 + z_2I) \\ &= \left( (x_1 \cdot y_1) + \left( ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1)) + (x_2 \cdot y_2) \right) I \right) \cdot (z_1 + z_2I) \\ &= \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c} (x_1 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_1 \\ + \\ ((x_1 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_2 + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot y_2)) \cdot z_1 + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot y_2)) \cdot z_2 \end{array} \right) I \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \\
&\left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1) \\ + \\ ((x_1 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_2 + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot y_2)) \cdot z_1 + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot y_2)) \cdot z_2) \end{array} I \right) \\
&= \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1) \\ + \\ ((x_1 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_2 + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) \cdot z_1 + (x_2 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_1 + (x_2 \cdot y_2) \cdot z_1) + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) \cdot z_2 + (x_2 \cdot y_1) \cdot z_2 + (x_2 \cdot y_2) \cdot z_2)) \end{array} I \right) \\
&= \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1) \\ + \\ (x_1 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_2) + (x_1 \cdot (y_2 \cdot z_1) + x_2 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_1) + x_2 \cdot (y_2 \cdot z_1)) + (x_1 \cdot (y_2 \cdot z_2) + x_2 \cdot (y_1 \cdot z_2) + x_2 \cdot (y_2 \cdot z_2))) \end{array} I \right) \\
&= (x_1 + x_2 I) \left( (y_1 \cdot z_1) + \left( (y_1 \cdot z_2) + (y_2 \cdot z_1) + (y_2 \cdot z_2) \right) \right) = x \cdot (y \cdot z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the associative law is hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Finally, } x \cdot (y + z) &= (x_1 + x_2 I) \cdot ((y_1 + y_2 I) + (z_1 + z_2 I)) \\
&= (x_1 + x_2 I) \cdot ((y_1 + z_1) + (y_2 + z_2) I) \\
&= (x_1 \cdot (y_1 + z_1) + (x_1 \cdot (y_2 + z_2) + x_2 \cdot (y_1 + z_1) + x_2 \cdot (y_2 + z_2)) I) \\
&= \left( ((x_1 \cdot y_1) + (x_1 \cdot z_1)) + \left( ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_1 \cdot z_2)) + ((x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot z_1)) + ((x_2 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot z_2)) \right) \right) I \\
&= \left( (x_1 \cdot y_1) + ((x_1 \cdot y_2) + (x_2 \cdot y_1) + (x_2 \cdot y_2)) I \right) + \left( (x_1 \cdot z_1) + ((x_1 \cdot z_2) + (x_2 \cdot z_1) + (x_2 \cdot z_2)) I \right) \\
&= ((x_1 + x_2 I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2 I)) + ((y_1 + y_2 I) \cdot (z_1 + z_2 I)) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z). \quad \text{By similar}
\end{aligned}$$

procedure, we can deduce that:  $(y + z) \cdot x = (y \cdot x) + (z \cdot x)$ . Moreover there exists  $1 \in N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], \bullet \rangle$  such that  $1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x$ . Hence  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic integer ring with identity ■. The neutrosophic integer ring will plays an important role in the study of neutrosophic ring theory.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}, +, \bullet)$  be a unit ring of positive integers under neutrosophic addition and multiplication, then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{Z}^+) = \{(\mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}) \cup I, +, \bullet\}$  is called the neutrosophic unit integer ring which is a generated by  $I$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

**Defintione2.6.** (Number theory) Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x$  is called even number if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = 2k$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and both are even

numbers, then  $x + y$  and  $x \cdot y$  are even numbers. Because,  $x + y = 2k_1 + 2k_2 = 2(k_1 + k_2) = 2k_3$ , where,

$k_3 = (k_1 + k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also,  $x \cdot y = (2k_1) \cdot (2k_2) = 2(k_1 \cdot (2k_2)) = 2k_3$ , where,  $k_3 = (k_1 \cdot (2k_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Defintione2.7.** (Neutrosophic Number Theory) Let  $\mathbb{Z}[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the set of neutrosophic integers and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ , then  $x = x_1 + x_2I$  is called the neutrosophic even number if,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are even number. So,  $0, 2I, 4I, \dots, 2 + 2I, 2 + 4I, \dots$  etc, are neutrosophic even integers.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_{even}, +, \cdot)$  be a ring of even integers without unit under neutrosophic addition and multiplication, then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{Z}_{even}) = \{(\mathbb{Z}_{even} \cup I), +, \cdot\}$  is called the neutrosophic integer ring which is a generated by  $I$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{even}$ . This is a neutrosophic integer ring without neutrosophic unit elements.

**Definition 2.8.**[19] Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a set of real numbers and  $(\mathbb{R} \cup I) = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a neutrosophic- real set, where  $a + bI$  is a neutrosophic real number.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be a ring of real numbers under usual addition, then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R}[I], +, \cdot)$  is called the neutrosophic real ring with identity which is a generated by  $I$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . In addition,  $N(\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R}[I], +, \cdot)$  is a neutrosophic real field.

**Proof.** By the same argument of preceding preposition 2.1. In addition,  $N(\mathbb{R}^*) = (\mathbb{R}^*[I], \cdot)$  is a commutative group. consider  $a = a_1 + a_2I \in \mathbb{R}(I)$ . Suppose that  $x = x_1 + x_2I \in \mathbb{R}(I)$  is the neutrosophic inverse of  $a$ , that is,

$$a \cdot x = 1 \Leftrightarrow (a_1 + a_2I) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I) = 1 + 0I$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((a_1 \cdot x_1) + ((a_1 \cdot x_2) + (a_2 \cdot x_1) + (a_2 \cdot x_2))I) = 1 + 0I.$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 \cdot x_1 = 1 \text{ and } (a_1 \cdot x_2) + (a_2 \cdot x_1) + (a_2 \cdot x_2) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} \text{ and } (a_1 + a_2)x_2 + a_2 \cdot \frac{1}{a_1} = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} \text{ and } x_2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1(a_1+a_2)}. \text{ To check}$$

the axiom of inverse,  $a \cdot x = (a_1 + a_2I) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{a_2}{a_1(a_1+a_2)}I\right)$

$$= \left( \left(a_1 \cdot \frac{1}{a_1}\right) + \left(-\frac{a_1 \cdot a_2}{a_1(a_1+a_2)}\right) + \left(a_2 \cdot \frac{1}{a_1}\right) - \left(\frac{a_2^2}{a_1(a_1+a_2)}\right)I \right)$$

$$= 1 + \left( \frac{-a_1 \cdot a_2 + a_2 \cdot (a_1 + a_2) - a_2^2}{a_1(a_1 + a_2)} \right) I.$$

$$= 1 + \left( \frac{-a_1 \cdot a_2 + a_2 \cdot a_1 + a_2^2 - a_2^2}{a_1(a_1 + a_2)} \right) I.$$

$= 1 + 0I = 1$ . By similar way we have  $x \cdot a = 1$ . Also for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}(I)$ , we

have

$ab = ba$ . Hence  $N(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathbb{R}[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is the neutrosophic field of real ■.

**Definition 2.9.**[19] Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a set of complex numbers and  $\mathbb{C}[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$  be a neutrosophic- complex set, where  $a + bI$  is a neutrosophic complex number.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$  be a ring of complex numbers under usual addition, then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is called the neutrosophic complex ring with identity which is a generated by  $I$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover,  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is the neutrosophic field of complex numbers.

**Proof.** Let  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  be the neutrosophic algebra structure and let

$a = a_1 + a_2I$ ,  $b = b_1 + b_2I$  and  $c = c_1 + c_2I$  be three elements in  $\mathbb{C}[I]$  Then  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], + \rangle$  is a neutrosophic complex abelian group by prop2.3 in [5]. Also,  $N(\mathbb{C}^*) = \langle \mathbb{C}^*[I], \cdot \rangle$  is a neutrosophic commutative complex group, 1 is the neutrosophic identity element, now if we consider

$a = a_1 + a_2I \in \mathbb{C}(I)$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then suppose that  $a^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_1} - \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1(a_1 + a_2)} \right) I$  is the neutrosophic inverse element of  $a$  by the same argument in pervious proposition 2.2. Hence  $N(\mathbb{C}^*) = \langle \mathbb{C}^*[I], \cdot \rangle$  is a commutative neutrosophic complex group and consequently,  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is neutrosophic field of complex ■.

**Theorem 2.2.** Consider  $N(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\mathbb{Z}_n \cup I, \oplus_n, \otimes_n\}$  is a finite neutrosophic ring under addition and multiplication with modulo  $n$ . Moreover  $N(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\mathbb{Z}_n \cup I, \oplus_n, \otimes_n\}$  is a finite neutrosophic ring under addition and multiplication with modulo  $n$ . In addition it is a field.

**Proof.** See theorems 2.4 and 2.5. in [5].

**Example 2.3.**  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3 [I], \oplus_3, \otimes_3 \rangle$  is a finite neutrosophic ring under addition and multiplication with modulo 3. Moreover, it's a finite neutrosophic field. As we know,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and,

$Z_3[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in Z_3\} = \{0, 1, 2, I, 2I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I\}$ , to construct then the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(Z_3) = \langle Z_3[I], \oplus_3 \rangle$  by the visualizing table as shown in table.2.1.

Table.2.1, of (NAS of  $N(Z_3) = \langle Z_3[I], \oplus_3 \rangle$ ).

$\oplus_3$	0	1	2	$I$	$2I$	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$
0	0	1	2	$I$	$2I$	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$
1	1	2	0	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$	$I$	$2I$
2	2	0	1	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$	$I$	$2I$	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$
$I$	$I$	$1 + I$	$2 + I$	$2I$	0	$1 + 2I$	1	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$
$2I$	$2I$	1	$2 + 2I$	0	$I$	1	$2 + I$	2	$2 + 2I$
$1 + I$	$1 + I$	$2 + I$	$I$	$1 + 2I$	1	$2 + 2I$	2	$2I$	0
$1 + 2I$	$1 + 2I$	2	$2I$	1	$1 + I$	2	$2 + I$	0	$I$
$2 + I$	$2 + I$	$I$	$1 + I$	$2 + 2I$	2	$2I$	0	$1 + 2I$	1
$2 + 2I$	$2 + 2I$	$2I$	$1 + 2I$	2	$2 + I$	0	$I$	1	$1 + I$

The (NAS) is a closure under operation  $\oplus_3$  modulo 3 and associative, there exists identity element is zero and for any elements in  $x$  has inverse as shown in the table 2.2.



Table 2.2, of inverse element.

$x$	0	1	2	$I$	$2I$	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$
$x^{-1}$	0	2	1	$2I$	$I$	$2 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$1 + I$

The (NAS)  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3[I], \oplus_3 \rangle$  is represents a neutrosophic commutative group (NS). In addition,

$\mathbb{Z}_3^* = \{1, 2\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3^*[I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\} = \{1 + I, 1 + 2I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I\}$ , to construct the neutrosophic algebra structure (NAS):  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3^*) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3^*[I], \otimes_3 \rangle$  by the visualizing table as shown in table.2.3.

Table.2.3, of (NAS of  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3^*) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3^*[I], \otimes_3 \rangle$ ).

$\otimes_3$	$1 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	$2 + 2I$
$1 + I$	1	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	2
$1 + 2I$	$1 + 2I$	$1 + 2I$	$2 + I$	2
$2 + I$	$2 + I$	$2 + I$	$1 + 2I$	$1 + 2I$
$2 + 2I$	2	$2 + I$	$1 + 2I$	1

We see that  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3^*) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3^*[I], \otimes_3 \rangle$  is a neutrosophic semigroup, but in classical ring theory  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_3^*, \otimes_3 \rangle$  is a group. Also, this table is a correction of table 2.1 in [5]. Moreover, NR3 is hold, for instance,  $(1 + I) \cdot ((2 + I) + (2 + 2I)) = (1 + I) \cdot (4 + 3I) = 4 + 10I$  and,  $(1 + I) \cdot (2 + I) + (1 + I) \cdot (2 + 2I) = (2 + 4I) + (2 + 6I) = 4 + 10I$ . Hence  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3[I], \oplus_3, \otimes_3 \rangle$  is a neutrosophic ring.

**Theorem 2.3.** [6] Let  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be three neutrosophic matrices of the same capacity, and consider  $x$  and  $y$  are two neutrosophic scalars, then:

- i.  $A + B = B + A$ ;
- ii.  $(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$  " " associative law";
- iii.  $A + 0 = A$ ;
- iv.  $x(A + B) = xA + xB$ ;

- v.  $(x + y)A = xA + yA$  ;
- vi.  $x(yA) = (xy)A$ , and
- vii.  $1.A = A$

**Theorem 2.4.**[6]. Let  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be three neutrosophic matrices which are defined under multiplication, with  $x$  is a neutrosophic scalars, then:

- i.  $(AB)C = A(BC)$  " associative law";
- ii.  $A(B + C) = AB + AC$  " left distributive law";
- iii.  $(B + C)A = BA + CA$  "right distributive law" and
- iv.  $x(AB) = (xA)B = A(xB)$ .
- v.  $0A. = 0, B.0 = 0$ . Where  $0$  is a neutrosophic zero matrix.

**Theorem 2.5.** Consider the  $n - square$  neutrosophic matrix set

$M_{n \times n} = \{a_{ij} + b_{ij}I : a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, 0I = 0 \text{ \& } I^2 = I\}$ , such that  $M_{n \times n}$  has inverse, that is  $\det([a_{ij} + b_{ij}I]) \neq 0$ , then  $N(M_{n \times n}) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +, \times\}$ , where "+" defined as definition 2.11 and " $\times$ " defined as definition 2.13 respectively in [4,6]. Then  $N(M_{n \times n}) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +, \times\}$  is non- commutative neutrosophic ring with unit.

**Proof.**

NR1:  $N(M) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +\}$  is a commutative group under  $+$ . By theorem 2.2.[6].

From (i) to (iii) the neutrosophic inverse element:

$$\begin{aligned} A + (-A) &= [a_{ij} + b_{ij}I] + [(-a_{ij}) + (-b_{ij})I] \\ &= [a_{ij} + (-a_{ij}) + (b_{ij} + (-b_{ij}))I], \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \\ &= [0 + 0I] = 0. \text{ By the same argument we have } -A + A = 0. \text{ Hence,} \end{aligned}$$

$N(M_{n \times n}) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +\}$  is a neutrosophic abelian group.

NR2:  $N(M) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], \times\}$  is monoid according to theorems 2.2. and 2.3.[6].

NR3: From part (ii) and (iii) in theorem 2.4, the neutrosophic distributive law is hold.

Hence

$N(M_{n \times n}) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +, \times\}$  is non- commutative neutrosophic ring with unit ■.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the following two matrices:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \\ 0 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 0 + 0I \\ 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then:  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \\ 0 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 0 + 0I \\ 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + 0I & 1 + 0I \\ 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix}$ , and,

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 0 + 0I \\ 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \\ 0 + 0I & 1 + 0I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0I & 1 + 0I \\ 1 + 0I & 2 + 0I \end{bmatrix}, \text{ we see that } AB \neq BA.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring contains a neutrosophic unit element and  $x = (x_1 + x_2I) \neq 0 \in N(R)$  (not necessarily to be a commutative neutrosophic ring), then  $x$  is called a neutrosophic unit in  $N(R)$  if there exists a multiplication inverse  $y$  such that

$$xy = yx = 1 \text{ and } y \text{ denoted by } x^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Consider  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is a neutrosophic ring contains a neutrosophic unit Let  $U(N(R)) = \{x \in N(R) : \exists y \in N(R) \ni xy = yx = 1\}$  be the set of all units. Then:

$\langle U(N(R)), \cdot \rangle$  is a neutrosophic group under multiplication.

**Proof.** Since  $1 \in N(R)$ , then  $1 \in U(N(R))$  and  $U(N(R)) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $x, y \in U(N(R))$ , then there exists  $x^{-1}, y^{-1} \in N(R)$  such that  $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = 1$  and  $yy^{-1} = y^{-1}y = 1$ . Now,

$(y^{-1}x^{-1})(xy) = 1$  and  $(xy)(y^{-1}x^{-1}) = 1$  by theorem 3.2. part.2 in [5], hence  $x \cdot y \in U(N(R))$ . Also, if  $x \in U(N(R))$ , then  $x^{-1} \in U(N(R))$ , therefore for all if  $x \in U(N(R))$ , there is a multiplication neutrosophic inverse  $x^{-1} \in U(N(R))$ . Moreover,  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \cdot \rangle$  is a neutrosophic ring, then the multiplication is associative in particular of elements of  $U(N(R))$  and consequently,  $\langle U(N(R)), \cdot \rangle$  is a neutrosophic group.

**Definition 2.9.**[19]: Let  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring. A proper subset  $P$  of  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$  is said to be a neutrosophic subring if  $P$  itself is a neutrosophic ring under the operations of  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$ . It is essential that  $P = \langle S \cup nI \rangle$ ,  $n$  a positive integer where  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ . i.e.  $\{P$  is generated by the subring  $S$  together with  $nI$ . ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ )}. Note: Even if  $P$  is a ring and cannot be represented as  $\langle S \cup nI \rangle$  where  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  then we do not call  $P$  a neutrosophic subring of  $\langle R \cup I \rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Consider  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic ring and  $N(S) \neq \emptyset \subseteq N(R)$ , then  $N(S)$  is called a neutrosophic subring of  $N(R)$  iff :

1.  $\forall a, b \in N(S) \Rightarrow a - b \in N(S)$ , and,
2.  $\forall a, b \in N(S) \Rightarrow ab \in N(S)$ .

Note. If  $N(S)$  is a neutrosophic subring of  $N(R)$ , then denoted by:  $N(S) \leq N(R)$ .

**Proof.** Frist direction, consider  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic ring and  $N(S) \neq \emptyset \subseteq N(R)$ . Assume that  $a, b \in N(S) = \{a + bI : a, b \in S\}$

$$\Rightarrow a - b = (a_1 + a_2I) - (b_1 + b_2I) = ((a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2)I) \in N(S). \text{ Also,}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot b = (a_1 + a_2I) \cdot (b_1 + b_2I) = (a_1 \cdot b_1) + ((a_1 \cdot b_2) + (a_2 \cdot b_1) + (a_2 \cdot b_2))I \in N(S).$$

Conversely,

Suppose that  $a + b$  and  $ab \in N(S)$  for all  $a, b \in N(S)$ , then  $N(S)$  its closure under addition, since  $N(R) = \langle R[I], + \rangle$  is a commutative neutrosophic group,, then  $N(S) = \langle R[I], + \rangle$  in particular elements is commutative neutrosophic group. Also,  $N(R) = \langle R[I], \bullet \rangle$  is a neutrosophic semigroup, so

$N(S) = \langle S[I], \bullet \rangle$  is a neutrosophic semigroup in particular elements of  $N(S)$ . Finally,

$N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  has the property of NR3, so NR3 is hold in  $N(S) = \langle S[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  for particular elements, therefore  $N(S) = \langle S[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is a neutrosophic ring ■.

**Example 2.5.** Consider  $N(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_6 [I], \oplus_6, \otimes_6 \rangle$  is a finite neutrosophic ring under addition and multiplication with modulo 6, where  $\mathbb{Z}_6 [I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$ , that is,

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 [I] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1 + I, \dots, 1 + 5I, 2 + I, \dots, 2 + 5I, 3 + I, \dots, 3 + 5I, 4 + I, \dots, 4 + 5I, 5 + I, \dots, 5 + 5I\}, \text{ and}$$

Take  $S[I] = \{0, 2I, 4I\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_6 [I]$ . Then  $S[I] \leq \mathbb{Z}_6 [I]$ .

Table.2.4, of (NAS of  $N(S[I]) = \langle S[I], \oplus_6 \rangle$ ).

$\oplus_6$	0	2I	4I
0	0	2I	4I
2I	2I	4I	0
4I	4I	0	2I

We see that  $S[I]$  is closed under addition modulo 6.

Table.2.5, of (NAS of  $NS[I] = \langle S[I], \otimes_6 \rangle$ ).

$\oplus_6$	0	2I	4I
0	0	0	0
2I	0	4I	2I
4I	0	2I	4I

Since  $S[I]$  is closed under multiplication modulo 6.

If  $S[I] = \{0, 2, 4, 2I, 4I, 2 + 2I, 2 + 4I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 4I\}$ , then  $S[I] \leq \mathbb{Z}_6[I]$ , because  $S[I]$  is closed under addition and multiplication of modulo 6.

**Defintion2.11.** Let  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic ring, then the center of neutrosophic ring is denoted by  $C(N(R))$  and defined by:  $C(N(R)) = \{x \in R[I]: xy = yx, \forall y \in R[I]\}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** If  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  is neutrosophic ring contains a neutrosophic unit element, then  $C(N(R)) \leq R[I]$ .

**Proof.** Since  $1 = 1 + 0I \in C(N(R))$ , then  $C(N(R)) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in C(N(R))$ , now, since

$$a \in C(N(R)) \Rightarrow ax = xa, \forall x \in R[I]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a_1 + a_2I)(x_1 + x_2I) = (x_1 + x_2I)(a_1 + a_2I), \forall x \in R[I]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a_1 \cdot x_1) + ((a_1 \cdot x_2) + (a_2 \cdot x_1) + (a_2 \cdot x_2)I) = (x_1 \cdot a_1) + ((x_2 \cdot a_1) + (x_1 \cdot a_2) + (x_2 \cdot a_2)I), \forall x \in R[I].$$

$$\text{Also, } b \in C(N(R)) \Rightarrow bx = xb, \forall x \in R[I]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (b_1 + b_2I)(x_1 + x_2I) = (x_1 + x_2I)(b_1 + b_2I), \forall x \in R[I]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (b_1 \cdot x_1) + ((b_1 \cdot x_2) + (b_2 \cdot x_1) + (b_2 \cdot x_2)I) = (x_1 \cdot b_1) + ((x_2 \cdot b_1) + (x_1 \cdot b_2) + (x_2 \cdot b_2)I), \forall x \in R[I].$$

$$\text{Hence } (a - b)x = ((a_1 + a_2I) - (b_1 + b_2I)) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I)$$

$$= ((a_1 + a_2I) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I) - (b_1 + b_2I) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I))$$

$$= (a_1 \cdot x_1) + ((a_1 \cdot x_2) + (a_2 \cdot x_1) + (a_2 \cdot x_2)I) - (x_1 \cdot b_1) + ((x_2 \cdot b_1) + (x_1 \cdot b_2) +$$

$$(x_2 \cdot b_2)I)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (x_1 \cdot a_1) + ((x_2 \cdot a_1) + (x_1 \cdot a_2) + (x_2 \cdot a_2)I) - (x_1 \cdot b_1) + ((x_2 \cdot b_1) + (x_1 \cdot b_2) + \\
&(x_2 \cdot b_2)I) \\
&= (x_1 + x_2I)(a_1 + a_2I) - (x_1 + x_2I)(b_1 + b_2I) \\
&= (x_1 + x_2I)((a_1 + a_2I) - (b_1 + b_2I)) \\
&= x(a - b). \text{ Hence } (a - b) \in C(N(R)). \text{ Moreover,} \\
(ab)x &= (a_1 + a_2I)((b_1 + b_2I) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I)). \\
&= (a_1 + a_2I)((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (b_1 + b_2I)). \\
&= ((a_1 + a_2I) \cdot (x_1 + x_2I)) \cdot (b_1 + b_2I). \\
&= ((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (a_1 + a_2I)) \cdot (b_1 + b_2I). \\
&= (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot ((a_1 + a_2I) \cdot (b_1 + b_2I)). \\
&= x(ab). \text{ Therefore } ab \in C(N(R)). \text{ By theorem 2.6. } C(N(R)) \leq R[I] \blacksquare.
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.6.** Consider  $N(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_3 [I], \oplus_3, \otimes_3 \rangle$  is a finite neutrosophic ring under addition and multiplication with modulo 3, where  $\mathbb{Z}_3 [I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ , then  $C(N(\mathbb{Z}_3)) = \mathbb{Z}_3 [I]$ , because  $\mathbb{Z}_3 [I]$  is a commutative neutrosophic ring. Also  $U(N(\mathbb{Z}_3)) = \{1 + I, 2 + 2I\}$ .

### 3. Properties of Neutrosophic Elements in Neutrosophic Ring

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  be a neutrosophic commutative ring and  $x \neq 0 \in N(R)$ , then  $x$  is said to be a zero-divisor, if there exists  $y \neq 0 \in N(R)$  such that  $x \cdot y = 0$ .

**Example 3.1.**  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], +, \bullet \rangle, N(\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \mathbb{Q}[I], +, \bullet \rangle, N(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathbb{R}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  and  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  has no zero divisor. Also  $N(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4 [I], \oplus_4, \otimes_4 \rangle$  and  $N(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_6 [I], \oplus_6, \otimes_6 \rangle$  has no zero divisor, but

$\langle \mathbb{Z}_4, \oplus_4, \otimes_4 \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_6, \oplus_6, \otimes_6 \rangle$  in classical ring theory has zero divisor.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $N(R) = \langle R[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  be a neutrosophic commutative ring, then  $N(R)$  is called a neutrosophic integral domain, if  $N(R)$  it has no zero divisor.

**Example 3.2.** All neutrosophic ring structure in pervious example are neutrosophic integral domain.

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider  $N(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_p [I], \oplus_p, \otimes_p \rangle$  is a neutrosophic ring, then  $N(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_p [I], \oplus_p, \otimes_p \rangle$

Is not a neutrosophic field.

**Proof.** By pervious example 2.3.

**Example 3.3.** Consider the  $N(M_{n \times n}) = \{[a_{ij} + b_{ij}I], +, \times\}$  is non- commutative neutrosophic ring with unit. Take  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2I \\ 0 & 4I \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 + 2I \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ , then:  $AB =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2I \\ 0 & 4I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 + 2I \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence  $A$  and  $B$  are zero dvisors.

**Definition 3.3.**[19] Let  $N(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathbb{R}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring. A characteristic of  $N(\mathbb{R})$  is the smallest positive integer  $n$  (if there is one) such that  $nx = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}[I]$ . If there is no such integer, we say that neutrosophic ring  $\mathbb{R}[I]$  has characteristic zero, otherwise  $\mathbb{R}[I]$  has characteristic  $n$  and denoted by  $N(ch\mathbb{R}[I]) = n$ .

**Example 3.4.**  $N(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbb{Z}[I], +, \bullet \rangle, N(\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \mathbb{Q}[I], +, \bullet \rangle, N(\mathbb{R}) = \langle \mathbb{R}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  and  $N(\mathbb{C}) = \langle \mathbb{C}[I], +, \bullet \rangle$  have characteristic zero.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $N(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \langle \mathbb{Z}_n [I], \oplus_n, \otimes_n \rangle$  be a neutrosophic ring. Then  $N(ch \mathbb{Z}_n [I]) = n$ .

**Proof.** By Principle of Mathematical Induction.

First, If  $n = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_1 [I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_1\} = \{0 + 0I\}$  and  $1.(0 + 0I) = 0$ , hence  $N(ch \mathbb{Z}_1 [I]) = 1$ . Hence is true statement when  $n = 1$ . If  $n = 2$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_2 [I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} = \{0, 1, I + 1 + I\}$  and  $2.0 = 0, 2.1 = 0 \pmod{2}, 2.I = 2I = 0 \pmod{2}, 2.(1 + I) = 2 + 2I = 0 \pmod{2}$ . herefore  $N(ch \mathbb{Z}_2 [I]) = 2$ . Hence is true statement when  $n = 2$ .

Second. Suppose that,  $n = k$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_k [I] = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_k\}$

$\mathbb{Z}_k [I] = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1, I, 2I, \dots, (k - 1)I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, \dots, 1 + (k - 1)I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I, \dots, 2 + (k - 1)I, \dots, (k - 1) + I, (k - 1) + 2I, \dots, (k - 1) + (k - 1)I\}$ . Such that  $k.x = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_k [I]$  is true stamen.

Third, to show that the statement  $n = k + 1$  is also true, that is  $(k + 1).x = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_{k+1} [I]$ . Now

$(k + 1).x = k.x + 1.x = 0 + x = x \pmod{(k + 1)} \Rightarrow (k + 1).x = 0 \pmod{(k + 1)}$ . Hence,

$N(\text{ch } Z_{k+1}[I]) = k + 1$ . Is also true, we deduced that  $N(\text{ch } Z_n[I]) = n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  ■.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $N(R)$  be a neutrosophic ring and  $x, y$  and  $z \in N(R)$ . Then:

1.  $x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$ ;
2.  $x \cdot (-y) = (-x) \cdot y = -(xy)$ ;
3.  $(-x) \cdot (-y) = xy$ , and,

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad x \cdot 0 &= (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (0 + 0I) = (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (0 + 0I) \\ &= (x_1 \cdot 0 + (x_1 \cdot 0 + x_2 \cdot 0 + x_2 \cdot 0)I) \\ &= 0 + 0I = 0. \text{ By similar way } 0 \cdot x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad \text{We have from (1) } 0 &= x \cdot 0 = (x_1 + x_2I)((-y_1 - y_2I) + (y_1 + y_2I)) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (-y_1 - y_2I) + (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I) \end{aligned}$$

(1).

$$\text{Also, } 0 = -(xy) + (xy) = -((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I)) + ((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I))$$

(2).

From (1) and (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (-y_1 - y_2I) + (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I) \\ &= -((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I)) + ((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I)). \text{ By theorem 3.2, part 3 in [5],} \end{aligned}$$

this is

$$\text{implying that } (x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (-y_1 - y_2I) = -((x_1 + x_2I) \cdot (y_1 + y_2I)) \Leftrightarrow x \cdot (-y) = -(xy).$$

3. By the same procedure we can deduced that  $(-x) \cdot y = -(xy)$ . From (2), we have

$$(-x) \cdot y = -(xy) \Rightarrow (-x) \cdot (-y) = -(x(-y)) = -((-x) \cdot y) = xy \blacksquare.$$

## References

1. M. Abobala, Foundations of Neutrosophic Number Theory, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 39, 2021.
2. M. Abobala, "On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 4, pp. 72-81, 2020.



3. Merkepçi, H., and Ahmad, K., " On The Conditions Of Imperfect Neutrosophic Duplets and Imperfect Neutrosophic Triplets", *Galoitica Journal Of Mathematical Structures And Applications*, Vol.2, 2022..
4. A. Al-Odhari, Some Algebraic Structure of Neutrosophic Matrices, *Prospects for Applied Mathematics and data Analysis (PAMDA)*, Vol. 01, No. 02, PP. 37-44, 2023.
5. A. Al-odhari, Particular Structure of Neutrosophic Group, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. 54, pp. 2023.  
A. Al-odhari, The Computations of Algebraic Structure of Neutrosophic Determinants , *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. 54, pp. 2023.
6. A.A.A. Agboola, A.D. Akwu and Y.T. Oyebo, Neutrosophic Groups and Subgroups, *International Math. Combine*. Vol.3(2012), 1-9.
7. R. Ali, A Review Study On Neutrosophic Groups and Their Generalizations, *Hall open Science*,2021, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03232679>.
8. Y. A. Alhasan, Concepts of Neutrosophic Complex Numbers, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, Vol. 8 No. 1, PP. 9-18, 2020.
9. M. Ali, and F. Smarandache. Complex neutrosophic set. *Neural Computing & Applications*,28(7) (2021,1-18.
10. K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, 1983.
11. K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20 (1986) 87-96.
12. J. B. Fraleigh, *A first Course in Abstract Algebra*, third edition, Addison Wesley Publishing. Company 1982.
13. Eyal Z. Gooren, Group theory, Notes for the course Algebra 3, Math 370, MCGILL UNIVERSITY,Fal2003,Version:November,2003,<https://www.math.mcgill.ca/goren/Algebra3/Groups.pdf>.
14. J. J. Rotman, *Advanced Modern Algebra*, Pearson Education 2002.
15. J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Theory of Group Theory*, Fourth Edition, Springer-Verlag New York. NC 1995.
16. D. L. Kreher, March 18, 2020, Group theory notes, <https://pages.mtu.edu/~kreher/ABOUTME/syllabus/GTN.pdf>

- 
17. W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Basic Neutrosophic and their Applications to Fuzzy and Neutrosophic models, Hexis, Church Rock, 2004.  
<http://fs.unm.edu/NAS.pdf>
  18. W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures, Hexis, Phoenix, Arizona 2006.  
<https://arxiv.org/ftp/math/papers/0603/0603581.pdf>.
  19. W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Rings, Hexis, Phoenix, Arizona, 2006.  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308949184\\_NEUTROSOPHIC\\_RINGS](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308949184_NEUTROSOPHIC_RINGS).
  20. W.B. V. Kandasamy and F. Smarandache, Finite Neutrosophic Complex Numbers, Ohio: Zip Publishing, 2011.
  21. Abobala, M., "On The Characterization of Maximal and Minimal Ideals In Several Neutrosophic Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 45, 2021.
  22. Abobala, M., "A Study Of Nil Ideals and Kothe's Conjecture In Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, hindawi, 2021
  23. Merkepçi, H., and Abobala, M., " On The Symbolic 2-Plithogenic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 2023.
  24. Abobala, M., and Zeina, M.B., " A Study Of Neutrosophic Real Analysis By Using One Dimensional Geometric AH-Isometry", Galoitica Journal Of Mathematical Structures And Applications, Vol.3, 2023.
  25. J.S. Milne, Group theory, Version 3.10, September 24, 2010,  
<https://fddocuments.in/document/group-theory-js-milnepdf.html>.
  26. G. Malinowski, Kleene logic and inference, Bulletin of the Section of Logic, Volume 43:1/2 (2014), pp. 43–52. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267133321>
  27. A. S. Karpenko, ŁUKASIEWICZ LOGICS AND PRIME NUMBERS, Luniver Press, Beckington,( 2006).
  28. F. Smarandache (2002a), A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, in Multiple-Valued Logic / An International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, 385-438, 2002,  
[www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/eBook-neutrosophics4.pdf](http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/eBook-neutrosophics4.pdf).

29. F. Smarandache (2002b), Neutrosophy, A New Branch of Philosophy, in Multiple-Valued Logic / An International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, 297-384, 2002. This whole issue of this journal is dedicated to Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic.
30. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set, A Generalization of The Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24, pp. 287 – 297, 2005.
31. Abobala, M., Hatip, A., Bal,M.," A Study Of Some Neutrosophic Clean Rings", International journal of neutrosophic science, 2022.
32. F. Smarandache, n-Valued Refined Neutrosophic Logic and Its Applications to
33. L. A. Zadeh (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8:338-353.

**Received:** December 17, 2022. **Accepted:** March 23, 2023