Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

Volume 51

Article 55

10-5-2022

Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problems with Interval Cost

Ummey Habiba

Abdul Quddoos

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Habiba, Ummey and Abdul Quddoos. "Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problems with Interval Cost." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 51, 1 (2022). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51/ iss1/55

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



University of New Mexico



Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problems with Interval Cost

Ummey Habiba¹, and Abdul Quddoos^{2,*} ¹Integral University, Lucknow, India ; say2habiba@gmail.com ²Integral University, Lucknow, India ; abdulquddoos.or.amu@gmail.com *Correspondence: abdulquddoos.or.amu@gmail.com

Abstract. The present paper aims to deal with a new variant of uncertain classical transportation problem namely 'Interval Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problem' (IPNTP) in which the uncertainty of source & destination parameters are described by pentagonal neutrosophic numbers while an estimated range (interval number) is used to represent uncertain cost of transportation. The objective consisting interval cost has been splitted into two equivalent crisp objectives using the concept of expected value & uncertainty of interval number. The constraints containing pentagonal neutrosophic quantities has also been converted into crisp constraints with the help of score function. The pareto optimal solution of the transformed bi-objective crisp transportation problem has been obtained using fuzzy programming approach. A numerical illustration is used to demonstrate the computational procedure of the proposed variant. Lingo 18.0 software is used to solve the problem.

Keywords: Fuzzy Set; Intuitionistic Set; Neutrosophic Set; Interval Numbers; Neutrosophic Transportation Problem; Pentagonal Neutrosophic Number

1. Introduction

In classical transportation problem, the cost-coefficients of transporting a unit product from source *i* to destination *j* (c_{ij}), availability of the product at source *i* (a_i) and demand of destination *j* (b_j) parameters are priorly known certain quantities. However in today's uncertain world it is not at all astonishing if these quantities reflect some uncertainty. So if we logically introduce some impreciseness in these quantities, we may get a new variant of classical transportation problem. Usually the uncertainty in the problems can be dealt in three ways (i) fuzzy (ii) interval and (iii) stochastic. Fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh [2] in 1965 which is characterized by a membership function. The membership function assigns a grade of

Ummey Habiba and Abdul Quddoos, Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problems with Interval Cost

membership to each element of set. This grade only gives an idea about the possibility of the inclusion of respective element in the set but it does not reveal any information about noninclusion of an element in the set. Later on Atanassov [3] proposed an extension of fuzzy set in which he also associated another grade of non-membership with each element in the fuzzy set. Such sets are known as intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Further in the year 1999, Smarandache [4] identified a situation of indeterminacy about inclusion of element in set. So he suggested the association of another grade of indeterminacy along with the grades of inclusion and noninclusion. To overcome the limitation of intuitionistic fuzzy set, Smarandache [4] proposed the concept of neutrosophic sets. Alike fuzzy number, the concept of triangular and trapezoidal neutrosophic number and their deneutrosophication techniques have been developed by several authors (see [5]- [8]). Wang *et al.* [9] introduced the idea of single valued neutrosophic sets. In past few years, ample contribution of neutrosophic sets can be observed in multicriteria decision making ([10]-[22]), graph theory ([23]-[29]), optimization techniques ([30]-[33]) etc. The concept of neutrosophic sets has been extensively applied by several authors by logically introducing uncertainty in different ways in the parameters of classical transportation problem. For example, Thamaraiselvi and Santhi [34] presented a new approach for solving a classical transportation problem. They considered transportation problem in which the transportation cost, availability of product and demand were represented by trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers. Singh et al. [35] suggested a modified version of Thamaraiselvi and Santhi [34]. The uncertainty in cost, availability and demand parameters differently introduced by some authors depending various possibilities has been summarized in Table 1. In 2019, Chakaraborty et al. [46] discussed the advantages of using pentagonal neutrosophic numbers over triangular and trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers.

This paper considers a transportation problem with pentagonal neutrosophic availability and demand but interval cost of transportation. Since a membership grade to availability and demand of product can be assigned easily but in case of transportation cost, it varies uncontrollably within a specific range due to many reasons like; maintenance of carrier, disloyalty of drivers, fluctuation of fuel cost etc. To the best of our knowledge no such variant of the transportation problem has been considered in literature. So it is quiet advocable to represent the transportation cost in the form of interval numbers in a reasonable manner depending on past experience. The concepts of score function [47], expected value and uncertainty [1] has been applied to convert the developed uncertain transportation problem into an equivalent crisp problem. To demonstrate the procedure of transportation of IPNTP to crisp problem and its solution a numerical example is taken and solved using Lingo 18.0 software.

Refrences	Interval Cost	Neutrosophic Supply & Demand
Narayanamoorthy & Anukokila [36]	1	×
Pramanik & Dey [37]	×	1
Das et al. [38]	1	×
Saini & Sangal [39]	×	1
Paul et al. [40]	×	1
Kumar Das [41]	×	1
Habiba & Quddoos, [42]	1	×
Habiba & Quddoos, [43]	1	×
Chakraborty et al. [44]	×	1
Sikkannan & Shanmugavel [45]	×	1
Akilbasha et al. [46]	1	×
Proposed IPNTP	1	1

TABLE 1. Summary

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (Fuzzy Set [2]). A Set \tilde{F} over X represented as $\tilde{F} = \{(x, \mu(x)) : x \in X, \mu(x) \in [0, 1]\}$ is called a fuzzy set where X is the collection of points x and $\mu(x)$ is its truth membership function.

Definition 2.2 (Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set [3]). A set \tilde{I} over X represented as $\tilde{I} = \{x; \mu(x), \delta(x); x \in X, \text{ where } \mu(x), \delta(x) \in [0, 1]\}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy set where $\mu(x)$ and $\delta(x)$ are the truth and indeterminacy membership functions of x which satisfy the following relation:

$$0 \le \mu(x) + \delta(x) \le 1$$

Definition 2.3 (Neutrosophic Set [4]). A set \widetilde{N} over X represented as

 $\widetilde{N} = \{x; \mu(x), \delta(x), \sigma(x); x \in X, \text{ and } \mu(x), \delta(x), \sigma(x) \in [0, 1]\}$ is called a neutrosophic sets where $\mu(x), \delta(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are the truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions of x which displays the following relation:

$$0 \le \mu(x) + \delta(x) + \sigma(x) \le 3$$

Definition 2.4 (Single-Valued Neutrosophic Set [9]). A Neutrosophic set \widetilde{N} in definition 2.3 is called as a single- valued neutrosophic set \widetilde{SN} if x is a single-valued independent variable. $\widetilde{SN} = \{x; \mu(x), \delta(x), \sigma(x); x \in X, \}$ where $\mu(x), \delta(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are the truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions of x respectively.

Definition 2.5 (Single-Valued Pentagonal Neutrosophic Number [47]). A Neutrosophic Number (S), $S = \langle [(s^1, t^1, u^1, v^1, w^1); \mu], [(s^2, t^2, u^2, v^2, w^2); \delta], [(s^3, t^3, u^3, v^3, w^3); \sigma] \rangle$,

where $\mu, \delta, \sigma \in [0, 1]$ is called single-valued pentagonal neutrosophic number, if its truth membership function (μ), indeterminacy membership function (δ) and the falsity membership function (σ) are respective given as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_{l_1}(x) & s^1 \le x \le t^1 \\ \mu_{l_2}(x) & t^1 \le x \le u^1 \\ \mu & x = u^1 \\ \mu_{r_2}(x) & u^1 \le x \le v^1 \\ \mu_{r_1}(x) & v^1 \le x \le w^1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_{l_1}(x) & s^2 \le x \le t^2 \\ \delta_{l_2}(x) & t^2 \le x \le u^2 \\ \delta & x = u^2 \\ \delta_{r_2}(x) & u^2 \le x \le v^2 \\ \delta_{r_1}(x) & v^2 \le x \le w^2 \\ 1 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{l_1}(x) & s^3 \le x \le t^3 \\ \sigma_{l_2}(x) & t^3 \le x \le u^3 \\ \sigma & x = u^3 \\ \sigma_{r_2}(x) & u^3 \le x \le w^3 \\ 1 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6 (Score Function [47]). Score function for any pentagonal single typed neutrosophic number $(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5; \mu, \delta, \sigma)$ is defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{S} = \frac{1}{15} \{ (P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5) \times (2 + \mu - \delta - \sigma) \}$$

where μ , δ and σ are the truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions.

Definition 2.7 (Interval Numbers [48]).

$$A = [a_L, a_R] = \{ a : a_L \le a \le a_R, a \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where a_L and a_R are the left-limit and right-limit of the interval A on the real line \mathbb{R} .

$$A = \langle a_c, a_w \rangle = \{ a : a_c - a_w \le a \le a_c + a_w, a \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where a_c and a_w are the mid-point and half-width (or simply be termed as 'width') of interval A on the real line \mathbb{R} , i.e.

$$a_c = \frac{a_R + a_L}{2}$$

Ummey Habiba and Abdul Quddoos, Pentagonal Neutrosophic Transportation Problems with Interval Cost

$$a_w = \frac{a_R - a_L}{2}$$

Definition 2.8 (Ishibuchi and Tanaka's ranking for intervals [1]). Let $A = [a_L, a_R] = \langle a_c, a_w \rangle$ and $B = [b_L, b_R] = \langle b_c, b_w \rangle$ be two given intervals then the order relation \leq_{CW} is defined as:

$$\begin{cases} A \leq_{CW} B \text{ iff } a_c \leq b_c, \text{ and } a_w \leq b_w \\ A <_{CW} B \text{ iff } A \leq_{CW} B, \text{ and } A \neq B \end{cases}$$

3. Mathematical Model of IPNTP

Consider a transportation problem in which the cost-coefficients are represented in the form of interval number & source and destination parameters are represented as pentagonal single typed neutrosophic numbers. The mathematical model of such IPNTP may be given as follows: **Problem-I:**

Minimize :
$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [c_{L_{ij}}, c_{R_{ij}}] x_{ij}$$
 (1)

Subject to;

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} \le a_i^{S}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} \ge b_j^{S}, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(3)

$$x_{ij} \ge 0, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(4)

where,

 $[c_{L_{ij}}, c_{R_{ij}}]$: interval cost of transporting one unit of product from source *i* to destination *j*, $c_{L_{ij}}$: lowest possible cost of transporting one unit of product from source *i* to destination *j*, $c_{R_{ij}}$: highest possible cost of transporting one unit of product from source *i* to destination *j* a_i^S : pentagonal single typed neutrosophic availability of source *i*, b_j^S : pentagonal single typed neutrosophic demand of destination *j*, x_{ij} : quantity transported from source *i* to destination *j*

4. Equivalent crisp model of IPNTP

4.1. Construction of crisp Objective Function

Let us consider the interval objective function Z of the Problem-I which can be denoted as $Z = \langle z_c, z_w \rangle$, where $z_c = \left(\frac{c_R + c_L}{2}\right)$ and $z_w = \left(\frac{c_R - c_L}{2}\right)$ are the center and width of the interval Z respectively.

According to Ishibuchi and Tanaka [1] the center and width of an interval can be taken as

the expected value and uncertainty of interval respectively. Since the objective function of Problem-I is the cost function which is to be minimized, so our interest is to obtain minimum cost with minimum uncertainty. Then the interval objective function (1) is transformed into a two crisp functions in terms of expected value and uncertainty by definition (2.8) as follows:

Minimize
$$z_c = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{c_{ij}} x_{ij}$$
 (5)

Minimize
$$z_w = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{w_{ij}} x_{ij}$$
 (6)

where, $c_c = \frac{c_R + c_L}{2}$ and $c_w = \frac{c_R - c_L}{2}$ are the center and width of the interval respectively.

4.2. Construction of crisp Constraints

Let us consider the constraint (2) of Problem-I where the right hand side a_i^S representing the pentagonal single typed neutrosophic availability of product at source *i*. This pentagonal single typed neutrosophic number a_i^S can be represented by a crisp value using the score function defined in definition (2.6). Thus corresponding crisp constraint may be written as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} \le \widetilde{S}(a_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(7)

Similarly, the crisp destination constraint can also be obtained as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} \ge \widetilde{S}(b_j), \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(8)

Using equations (5-6) and (7-8), we can write the equivalent bi-objective crisp problem of IPNTP as follows:

Problem-II:

Minimize
$$z_c = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{c_{ij}} x_{ij}$$
 (9)

Minimize
$$z_w = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{w_{ij}} x_{ij}$$
 (10)

Subject to;

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} \le \widetilde{S}(a_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(11)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} \ge \widetilde{S}(b_j), \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(12)

$$x_{ij} \ge 0, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (13)

5. Fuzzy Programming Technique for solving bi-objective transportation problem (Problem-II)

First we find the best L_k and worst U_k for the k^{th} , k = c, w objective function, where L_k is the aspired level and U_k is the highest acceptable level for the k^{th} objective function. Thereafter we create a fuzzy linear programming problem using membership function. The stepwise procedure of fuzzy programming technique is given as follows:

- **Step 1:** Solve the bi-objective transportation problem (Problem-II) as a single objective problem using only one objective at a time and ignoring other.
- Step 2: From each solution derived in Step1 determine the values of both objective functions.
- **Step 3:** Find the best L_k and worst U_k for both objectives corresponding to the set of solutions. Define a fuzzy membership function $\mu_k(Z_k)$ as follows:

$$\mu_k(Z_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Z_k \le L_k \\ 1 - \frac{Z_k - L_k}{U_k - L_k}, & \text{if } L_k \le Z_k \le U_k \\ 0, & \text{if } Z_k \ge L_k \end{cases}$$

The equivalent linear programming problem for the vector minimum problem can be written as follows:

Maximize :
$$\lambda$$
,
Subject to; $\lambda \leq \frac{U_k - Z_k}{U_k - L_k}$
Constraints; (11 - 13)
 $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

The above linear programming problem may further be simplified as:

Problem-III:

Maximize :
$$\lambda$$
,
Subject to; $Z_k + \lambda(U_k - L_k) \le U_k$
Constraints; $(11 - 13)$
 $0 \le \lambda \le 1$

Step 4: Solve Problem-III using any method and obtain the required pareto optimal solution.

6. Numerical Illustration

A company has three factories F_1 , F_2 and F_3 . A homogenous product is to be transported from these factories to four destinations D_1 , D_2 , D_3 and D_4 in such a way that the total shipment cost becomes minimum. The availability at each factories and requirement at each destinations and unit interval cost transportation cost from each factory to each destination are given in Table 2.

	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	Availability
F_1	[5,7]	[5,9]	[3,5]	[7,8]	(22,26,28,32,35; 0.7,0.3,0.4)
F_2	[8,12]	[7,10]	[4,8]	[5,6]	(30, 33, 36, 38, 40;
F_3	[6,7]	[1,2]	[7,9]	[5,6]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6, 0.4, 0.5) \\ \hline (21, 28, 32, 37, 39; \end{array}$
	(13,16,18,21,25;	(17,21,24,28,30;	(24,29,32,35,37;	(6,10,13,15,18;	0.8,0.2,0.4)
Demand	0.5, 0.5, 0.6)	0.8, 0.2, 0.4)	0.9, 0.5, 0.3)	0.7, 0.3, 0.4)	

TABLE 2. Interval pentagonal neutrosophic transportation table

The mathematical model of the given problem is as follows:

Minimize :
$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} [c_{L_{ij}}, c_{R_{ij}}] x_{ij}$$

Subject to;

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{1j} \leq (22, 26, 28, 32, 35; 0.7, 0.3, 0.4), \ \sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{2j} \leq (30, 33, 36, 38, 40; 0.6, 0.4, 0.5), \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{3j} \leq (21, 28, 32, 37, 39; 0.8, 0.2, 0.4), \ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i1} \geq (13, 16, 18, 21, 25; 0.5, 0.5, 0.6), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i2} \geq (17, 21, 24, 28, 30; 0.8, 0.2, 0.4), \ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i3} \geq (24, 29, 32, 35, 37; 0.9, 0.5, 0.3), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i4} \geq (6, 10, 13, 15, 18; 0.7, 0.3, 0.4), \ x_{ij} \geq 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3, \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{split}$$

Using Problem-II the above problem can be written as follows:

Minimize
$$z_c = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{c_{ij}} x_{ij}$$
, Minimize $z_w = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{w_{ij}} x_{ij}$

where,

$$c_{c_{ij}} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 & 4 & 7.5 \\ 10 & 8.5 & 6 & 5.5 \\ 6.5 & 1.5 & 8 & 5.5 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad c_{w_{ij}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 2 & 1.5 & 2 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subject to;

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{1j} \le 19.06, \ \sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{2j} \le 20.06, \ \sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{3j} \le 23.01, \ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i1} \ge 8.68,$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i2} \ge 17.6, \ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i3} \ge 21.96, \ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i4} \ge 9.32, \ x_{ij} \ge 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3, \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

On solving the above problem using lingo 18.0 software, the pareto optimal solution of the problem is obtained as, $x_{11} = 3.27, x_{13} = 15.79, x_{23} = 6.17, x_{24} = 9.32, x_{31} = 5.41, x_{32} = 17.6,$ Z = [185.06, 280.19].

The above result shows that minimum total cost of transportation lies between 185.06 to 280.19. The optimal policy of transportation to be adopted by decision maker is given in Table (3).

Facto	зу	Destination	Suggested optimal policy of transportation
F_1	\rightarrow	D_1	3.27 Units of product are to be transported from first factory
			to first destination
F_1	\longrightarrow	D_3	15.79 Units of product are to be transported from first factory
			to third destination
F_2	\longrightarrow	D_3	6.17 Units of product are to be transported from second fac-
			tory to third destination
F_2	\longrightarrow	D_4	9.32 Units of product are to be transported from second fac-
			tory to fourth destination
F_3	\longrightarrow	D_1	5.41 Units of product are to be transported from third factory
			to first destination
F_3	\longrightarrow	D_2	17.6 Units of product are to be transported from third factory
			to second destination

TABLE 3. Optimal policy of transportation

7. Advantages and Limitations of IPNTP

Every new study carry some limitations along with the advantages. Two major advantages and their corresponding limitations have been discussed in Table 4.

8. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, a more realistic variant of transportation problem namely IPNTP has been introduced with interval cost and pentagonal neutrosophic availability and demand parameters. Since the transportation cost greatly depends on many factors like sudden change in fuel prices, load carrying capacity of carrier, disloyalty of drivers and many more. So it becomes tedious

Advantages	Limitations
• Use of interval cost reduces their tedious task of assigning membership grade to every associated cost	 Relatively more information needed to reduce the range of interval Multiobjective problems increases the
 Solution approaches work well for single objective problem as it converts into a bi- objective crisp problems 	computational complexity of the problem

TABLE 4. Advantages and limitations

for decision maker to assign grades to truth, indeterminacy and falsity membership functions for unit cost of transporting product from each source to every destination. To overcome this issue this article suggest the decision maker to represent the transportation cost in the form of interval number. But this issue is not valid in case of availability and demand because membership grade for availability and demand parameters may easily be assigned with the help of information received from sources and destinations. To the best of our knowledge no such variant of transportation problem is considered in literature previously.

An extension of the IPNTP with interval valued neutrosophic cost may be proposed in future research work.

References

- Ishibuchi, H.; Tanaka, H. Multiobjective programming in optimization of the interval objective function, European Journal of Operational Research (1990), 48, 219-225.
- 2. Zadeh; L.A. Fuzzy sets, Information and control (1965), 8, 338-353.
- 3. Atanassov, K.; Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (1986), 20, 87-96.
- 4. Smarandache, F.; A unifying field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, American Research Press (1999), 1-141.
- Ye, J.; Trapezoidal neutrosophic set and its application to multiple attribute decision-making, Neural Computing and Applications (2015), 26, 1157-1166.
- Chakraborty, A.; Mondal, S. P.; Ahmadian, A.; Senu, N.; Alam, S.; Salahshour, S. Different forms of triangular neutrosophic numbers, de-neutrosophication techniques, and their applications, Symmetry (2018), 10, 327.
- Chakraborty, A.; Mondal, S.; Mahata, A.; Alam, S. Different linear and non-linear form of trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, de-neutrosophication techniques and its application in time-cost optimization technique, sequencing problem, RAIRO-Operations Research (2019)
- Chakraborty, A.; Mondal, S.; Broumi, S. De-Neutrosophication Technique of Pentagonal Neutrosophic Number and Application in Minimal Spanning Tree, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2019), 29, 1-18.
- Wang H.; Smarandache F.; Single valued neutrosophic sets, Multispace and Multistructure (2010), 4, 410-413.

- Abdel-Basset, M.; Manogaran, G.; Gamal, A.; Smarandache, F. A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection, Journal of medical systems (2019), 43, 38.
- Maity, S.; Chakraborty, A.; De, S. K.; Mondal, S. P.; Alam, S. A comprehensive study of a backlogging EOQ model with nonlinear heptagonal dense fuzzy environment, RAIRO-Operations Research (2020), 54, 267-286.
- Nabeeh, N. A.; Abdel-Basset, M.; El-Ghareeb, H. A.; Aboelfetouh, A. Neutrosophic multi-criteria decision making approach for iot-based enterprises, *IEEE Access (2019)*, 7, 59559-59574.
- Zhao, X. TOPSIS method for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute decision making and its application to teaching quality evaluation, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems (2014), 26, 3049-3055.
- Chakraborty, A.; Mondal, S. P.; Alam, S.; Ahmadian, A.; Senu, N.; De, D.; Salahshour, S. Disjunctive Representation of Triangular Bipolar Neutrosophic Numbers, De-Bipolarization Technique and Application in Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Problems, Symmetry (2019), 11, 932.
- Ye, J. Similarity measures between interval neutrosophic sets and their applications in multicriteria decisionmaking Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems (2014), 26, 165-172.
- Pramanik, S.; Dey, P. P.; Giri, B. C.; Smarandache, F. An extended TOPSIS for multi-attribute decision making problems with neutrosophic cubic information Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2017), 17, 20-28.
- 17. Ye, S.; Ye, J. Dice similarity measure between single valued neutrosophic multisets and its application in medical diagnosis, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2014), 6, 9.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Gamal, A., Son, L. H., & Smarandache, F. A Bipolar Neutrosophic Multi Criteria Decision Making Framework for Professional Selection, Applied Sciences (2020), 10(4), 1202.
- Pramanik, S., Roy, R., Roy, T. K., & Smarandache, F. Multi attribute decision making strategy on projection and bidirectional projection measures of interval rough neutrosophic sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2018), 19, 101-109.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Ding, W., Mohamed, R., & Metawa, N. An integrated plithogenic MCDM approach for financial performance evaluation of manufacturing industries, Risk Management (2020), 22(3), 192-218.
- Abdel-Basst, M., Mohamed, R., & Elhoseny, M. A novel framework to evaluate innovation value proposition for smart product–service systems, Environmental Technology & Innovation (2020), 20, 101036.
- Abdel-Basst, M., Mohamed, R., & Elhoseny, M. A model for the effective COVID-19 identification in uncertainty environment using primary symptoms and CT scans, Health Informatics Journal (2020), 1460458220952918.
- Broumi, S.; Bakali, A.; Talea, M.; Singh, P., K.; Smarandache, F. Energy and Spectrum Analysis of Interval-valued Neutrosophic graph Using MATLAB, Neutrosophic Set and Systems (2019), 24, 46-60.
- 24. Singh, P. K. Interval-Valued Neutrosophic Graph Representation of Concept Lattice and Its (α, β, γ) -Decomposition, Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering (2018), 43, 723-740.
- Broumi, S.; Smarandache, F.; Talea, M.; Bakali, A. An introduction to bipolar single valued neutrosophic graph theory, In Applied Mechanics and Materials (2016) 841, 184-191.
- Broumi, S.; Talea, M.; Bakali, A.; Smarandache, F. On bipolar single valued neutrosophic graphs, Journal of New Theory (2016), 11, 84-102.
- Broumi, S.; Talea, M.; Bakali, A.; Smarandache, F. Single Valued Neutrosophic (2016), 10, 86-101. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2019), 29(1), 13.
- 28. Biswas, S. S. Neutrosophic Shortest Path Problem (NSPP) in a Directed Multigraph, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2019), 29(1), 14.
- 29. Hussain, S. S., Hussain, R. J., Jun, Y. B., & Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic bipolar vague set and its application to neutrosophic bipolar vague graphs, Infinite Study (2019).

- Mullai, M.; Broumi, S. Neutrosophic Inventory Model without Shortages, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research (2018), 214-219.
- Yang, P. C.; Wee, H. M. Economic ordering policy of deteriorated item for vendor and buyer: an integrated approach, Production Planning & Control (2000), 11, 474-480.
- 32. Abdel-Basset, M., Rehab, M., Zaied, A. E. N. H., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. Solving the supply chain problem using the best-worst method based on a novel Plithogenic model, Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets (2020).
- Islam, S., & Ray, P. Multi-objective portfolio selection model with diversification by neutrosophic optimization technique, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2018), 21(1), 9.
- 34. Thamaraiselvi, A., & Santhi, R. A new approach for optimization of real life transportation problem in neutrosophic environment. Mathematical Problems in Engineering (2016).
- 35. Singh, A., Kumar, A., & Appadoo, S. S. Modified approach for optimization of real life transportation problem in neutrosophic environment, Mathematical Problems in Engineering (2017).
- Narayanamoorthy, S., & Anukokila, P. Goal programming approach for solving transportation problem with interval cost. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems (2014), 26(3), 1143-1154.
- Pramanik, S., & Dey, P. P. Multi-level linear programming problem with neutrosophic numbers: A goal programming strategy, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2019), 29(1), 19.
- Das, S.K.; Goswami, A.; Alam, S.S. Multiobjective transportation problem with interval cost, source and destination parameters, European Journal of Operational Research (1999),117, 100-112.
- Saini, R. K., & Sangal, A. Application of Single Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers in Transportation Problem. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2020), 35, 563-583.
- Paul, N., Sarma, D., & Bera, A. S. U. K. A Generalized Neutrosophic Solid Transportation Model with Insufficient Supply. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2020), 35, 177-187.
- 41. Kumar Das, S. Application of transportation problem under pentagonal Neutrosophic environment. Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications (2020), 1(1), 27-41.
- Habiba, U., & Quddoos, A. A New Method to Solve Interval Transportation Problems. Pakistan Journal of Statistics & Operation Research (2020), 16(4).
- Habiba, U., & Quddoos, A. Multiobjective stochastic interval transportation problem involving general form of distributions. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal (2020), 9(6), 3213-3219.
- 44. Chakraborty, A.; Broumi, S.; Singh, P. K. Some properties of Pentagonal Neutrosophic Numbers and its Applications in Transportation Problem Environment, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems (2019), 28, 16.
- Sikkannan, K. P., & Shanmugavel, V. Unraveling neutrosophic transportation problem using costs mean and complete contingency cost table, Infinite Study (2019)
- Akilbasha, A., Pandian, P., & Natarajan, G. An innovative exact method for solving fully interval integer transportation problems, Informatics in Medicine Unlocked (2018), 11, 95-99.
- Chakraborty, A. A New Score Function of Pentagonal Neutrosophic Number and its Application in Networking Problem, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (2020)*, 1, 35-46.
- Sengupta, A.; Pal, T. K. On comparing interval numbers, European Journal of Operational Research (2000), 127, 28-43.

Received: June 3, 2022. Accepted: September 24, 2022.