

10-5-2022

## Baire Spaces on Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

E. Poongothai

E. Padmavathi

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Poongothai, E. and E. Padmavathi. "Baire Spaces on Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 51, 1 (2022). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol51/iss1/44](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51/iss1/44)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [disc@unm.edu](mailto:disc@unm.edu).



## Baire Spaces on Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

**E. Poongothai<sup>#1</sup>, E. Padmavathi<sup>#2</sup>**

<sup>1&2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanmuga Industries of Arts and Science college,  
Tiruvannamalai-606603, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: epoongothai5@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, epadmavathisu20@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>.

### Abstract:

In this paper a property which can be used to Baire spaces in fy. neutrosophic top. Spaces (simply as fy. – fuzzy, top. – topological) are introduced and studied. For this purpose, introduced fy. neutrosophic  $F_G$  – set, fy. neutrosophic  $G_\delta$  – set, fy. neutrosophic dense, fy. neutrosophic nowh. (Simply as nowh. - nowhere) dense, fy. neutrosophic one (one denotes first) category, fy. neutrosophic two (two denotes second) category and fy. neutrosophic re. (Simply as re. – residual) set are defined. Also, some characterizations about these concepts are obtained.

### Keywords:

Fy. neutrosophic dense set, Fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set, Fy. neutrosophic re. set, Fy. neutrosophic Baire spaces, Fy. neutrosophic one and two category.

AMS subject classification: 54A40, 03E72

### 1. Introduction:

The concept of fy. sets were introduced by L.A. Zadeh in 1965 [10]. Then the fy. set theory is extension by many researchers. The important concept of fuzzy topological space was offered by C.L. Chang [3] and from that point forward different ideas in topology have

been reached out to fuzzy topological space. Since then much attention has been paid to generalize the basic concepts of general topology in fuzzy setting and thus a modern theory of fuzzy topology has been developed. The concept of fuzzy  $\sigma$  – Baire spaces was introduced and studied by G. Thangaraj and E. Poongothai in [7]. The concept of neutrosophic sets was defined with membership, non-membership and indeterminacy degrees. In 2017, Veereswari [9] introduced fy. neutrosophic top. spaces. This concept is the solution and representation of the problems with various fields.

In this paper, we define new concepts of fy. neutrosophic  $F_\sigma$  – set, fy. neutrosophic  $G_\delta$  – set, fy. neutrosophic dense, fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense, fy. neutrosophic one and two category sets, fy. neutrosophic re. set, fy. neutrosophic Baire spaces, fy. neutrosophic one and two category spaces in fy. neutrosophic top. spaces, and we also discussed some new properties and examples based of this defined concept.

## 2. Preliminaries:

### *Definition 2.1 [2]:*

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  on the universe of discourse  $X$  is defined as  $A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$  ,  $x \in X$  where  $T, I, F: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  and  $0 \leq T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 3$ .

With the condition  $0 \leq T_{A^*}(x) + I_{A^*}(x) + F_{A^*}(x) \leq 2$ .

### *Definition 2.2 [2]:*

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  is a subset of a fy. neutrosophic set  $B$  (i.e.,)  $A \subseteq B$  for all  $x$  if  $T_A(x) \leq T_B(x)$ ,  $I_A(x) \leq I_B(x)$ ,  $F_A(x) \geq F_B(x)$ .

**Definition 2.3 [2]:**

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and  $A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle x, T_B(x), I_B(x), F_B(x) \rangle$  be two fy. neutrosophic sets. Then

$$A \cup B = \langle x, \max(T_A(x), T_B(x)), \max(I_A(x), I_B(x)), \min(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle$$

$$A \cap B = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), T_B(x)), \min(I_A(x), I_B(x)), \max(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle$$

**Definition 2.4 [2]:**

The difference between two fy. neutrosophic sets  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as

$$A \setminus B(x) = \langle x, \min(T_A(x), F_B(x)), \min(I_A(x), 1 - I_B(x)), \max(F_A(x), T_B(x)) \rangle$$

**Definition 2.5 [2]:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  over the universe  $X$  is said to be null or empty fy. neutrosophic set if  $T_A(x) = 0, I_A(x) = 0, F_A(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . It is denoted by  $0_N$ .

**Definition 2.6 [2]:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  over the universe  $X$  is said to be absolute (universe) fy. neutrosophic set if  $T_A(x) = 1, I_A(x) = 1, F_A(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . It is denoted by  $1_N$ .

**Definition 2.7 [2]:**

The complement of a fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  is denoted by  $A^c$  and is defined as

$$A^c = \langle x, T_{A^c}(x), I_{A^c}(x), F_{A^c}(x) \rangle \quad \text{where}$$

$$T_{A^c}(x) = F_A(x), I_{A^c}(x) = 1 - I_A(x), F_{A^c}(x) = T_A(x)$$

The complement of fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  can also be defined as  $A^c = 1_N - A$ .

**Definition 2.8 [1]:**

A fy. neutrosophic topology on a non-empty set  $X$  is a  $\tau$  of fy. neutrosophic sets in  $X$

$$(i) \ 0_N, 1_N \in \tau$$

$$(ii) \ A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau \text{ for any } A_1, A_2 \in \tau$$

$$(iii) \ \cup A_i \in \tau \text{ for any arbitrary family } \{A_i; i \in J\} \in \tau$$

Satisfying the following axioms.

In this case the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called fy. neutrosophic top. space and any Fy. neutrosophic set in  $\tau$  is known as fy. neutrosophic open set in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.9 [1]:**

The complement  $A^c$  of a fy. neutrosophic set  $A$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(X, \tau)$  is called fy. neutrosophic closed set in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.10 [1]:**

Let  $(X, \tau_N)$  be a fy. neutrosophic top. space and  $A = \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$  be a fy. neutrosophic set in  $X$ . Then the closure and interior of  $A$  are defined by

$$int(A) = \cup \{G: G \text{ is a fuzzy neutrosophic open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$$

$$cl(A) = \cap \{G: G \text{ is a fuzzy neutrosophic closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq G\}$$

**3. On Fuzzy Neutrosophic Nowhere Dense Sets**

Throughout the present paper,  $P$  denote the fy. neutrosophic top. spaces. Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic set on  $P$ . The fy. neutrosophic interior and closure of  $A_N$  is denoted by  $fn(A_N)^+$ ,  $fn(A_N)^-$  respectively. A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  is defined to be fy.

neutrosophic open set (*fnOS*) if  $A_N \leq fn(((A_N)^-)^+)^-$ . The complement of a fy. neutrosophic open set is called fy. neutrosophic closed set (*fnCS*).

**Definition 3.1:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic  $F_\sigma$  – set if  $A_N = \bigvee_{i=1}^\infty A_{N_i}$ , where  $\overline{A_{N_i}} \in \tau_N$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 3.2:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(P, \tau_N)$  if  $A_N = \bigwedge_{i=1}^\infty A_{N_i}$ , where  $A_{N_i} \in \tau_N$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 3.3:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic semi-open if  $A_N \leq fn(((A_N)^+)^-)$ . The complement of  $A_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic semi-closed set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Definition 3.4:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic dense if there exist no *fnCS*  $B_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  s.t  $A_N \subset B_N \subset 1_X$ . That is,  $fn(A_N)^- = 1_N$ .

**Definition 3.5:**

A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set if there exist no non-zero *fnOS*  $B_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  s.t  $B_N \subset fn(A_N)^-$ . That is,  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$ .

**Example 3.1:**

Let  $P = \{a, b, c\}$  and consider the family  $\tau_N = \{0_N, 1_N, A_N, B_N, C_N\}$  where

$$A_N = \{\langle a, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle\}$$

$$B_N = \{\langle a, 0.6, 0.6, 0.6 \rangle, \langle b, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle, \langle c, 0.6, 0.6, 0.6 \rangle\}$$

$$C_N = \{\langle a, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4 \rangle, \langle b, 0.7, 0.7, 0.4 \rangle, \langle c, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4 \rangle\}$$

Thus  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic top. spaces.

Now  $fn(((\overline{A_N})^-)^+) = 0_N, fn(((\overline{B_N})^-)^+) = 0_N$  and  $fn(((\overline{C_N})^-)^+) = 0_N$ . This gives that

$\overline{A_N}, \overline{B_N}$  and  $\overline{C_N}$  are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Definition 3.6:**

Let  $(P, \tau_N)$  be a fy. neutrosophic top. space. A fy. neutrosophic set  $A_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called fy. neutrosophic one category set if  $A_N = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Any other fy. neutrosophic set in  $(P, \tau_N)$  is said to be of fy. neutrosophic two category.

**Definition 3.7:**

A fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called fy. neutrosophic one category space if the fy. neutrosophic set  $1_X$  is a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . That is  $1_X = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Otherwise  $(P, \tau_N)$  will be called a fy. neutrosophic two category space.

**Definition 3.8:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $\overline{A_N}$  is called fy. neutrosophic re. set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.1:**

If  $A_N$  is a  $fnCS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  with  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$  then  $A_N$  is a Fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  is a  $fnCS$   $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $fn(A_N)^- = A_N$ .

Now  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ . and hence  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.2:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$  then  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Now  $A_N \leq fn(A_N)^-$  gives that  $fn(A_N)^+ \leq fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$ . Hence, we have  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ .

**Remark 3.1:**

The complement of a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set need not be a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set. For, consider the following example.

**Example 3.2:**

Let  $P = \{a, b, c\}$  and consider the family  $\tau_N = \{0_N, 1_N, A_N, B_N, C_N\}$  where

$$A_N = \{\langle a, 0.5, 0.5, 0.4 \rangle, \langle b, 0.5, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.5, 0.5, 0.4 \rangle\}$$

$$B_N = \{\langle a, 0.5, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \langle b, 0.4, 0.2, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3 \rangle\}$$

$$C_N = \{\langle a, 0.5, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2 \rangle, \langle c, 0.5, 0.6, 0.3 \rangle\}$$

Now  $fn(((B_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .



But  $fn(((\overline{B_N})^-)^+) \neq 0_N$ . Therefore  $\overline{B_N}$  is not a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.3:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic dense,  $fnOS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  s.t  $B_N \leq \overline{A_N}$ , then  $B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a  $fnOS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  s.t  $fn(A_N)^- = 1$ . Now  $B_N \leq \overline{A_N}$  gives that  $fn(B_N)^- \leq fn(\overline{A_N})^- = (1 - A_N)$  [  $\overline{A_N}$  is a  $fnCS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  ] Then we have  $fn(((B_N)^-)^+) \leq fn(\overline{A_N})^+ = \overline{(fn(A_N))^-} = 1 - 1 = 0_N$ . and hence  $fn(((B_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$ . Therefore  $B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.4:**

If  $A_N$  is a non-zero fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set then  $A_N$  is fy. neutrosophic semi-closed set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$ . and therefore  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) \leq A_N$ . Hence,  $A_N$  is fy. neutrosophic semi-closed set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.5:**

If a  $fnCS$   $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$  if and only if  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a  $fnCS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  with  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ . Then by proposition 3.1,  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Conversely, let  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$ , which gives that  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ , [since  $A_N$  is  $fnCS$  in  $fn(A_N)^- = A_N$ ].

**Proposition 3.6:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $\overline{A_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

Then by proposition 3.2, we have,  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ . Now  $fn(\overline{A_N})^- = \overline{fn(\overline{A_N})^+} = 1 - 0_N = 1_N$ . Therefore  $\overline{A_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.7:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set and  $fnOS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $\overline{A_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a  $fnOS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$  s.t,  $fn(A_N)^- = 1$ . Now  $fn(((\overline{A_N})^-)^+) = \overline{fn((A_N)^+)^-} = \overline{fn(A_N)^-} = 1 - 1 = 0_N$ . Hence  $\overline{A_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.8:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $fn(A_N)^-$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } & fn(A_N)^- = B_N, & \text{Now } & fn(((B_N)^-)^+) \\ & = fn((((A_N)^-)^-)^+) = fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B_N = fn(A_N)^-$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.9:**

If  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $\overline{fn(A_N)^-}$  is a fy. neutrosophic dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

By proposition 3.8, we have  $fn(A_N)^-$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . By proposition 3.7, we have  $\overline{fn(A_N)^-}$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.10:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

If  $B_N$  is any fy. neutrosophic set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , then  $B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ , if and only if  $A_N \wedge B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $B_N$  be a Fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

Now,

$$fn(((A_N \wedge B_N)^-)^+) = (fn(fn(A_N)^- \wedge fn(B_N)^-))^+ = (fn(1 \wedge fn(B_N)^-))^+$$

$$= fn(((B_N)^-)^+) = 0_N.$$

Therefore  $A_N \wedge B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

Conversely let  $A_N \wedge B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then

$$fn(((A_N \wedge B_N)^-)^+) = 0_N \text{ Gives that } (fn(fn(A_N)^- \wedge fn(B_N)^-))^+.$$

Hence  $(fn(1 \wedge fn(B_N)^-))^+ = 0_N$  and therefore  $fn(((B_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$  which means that

$B_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proposition 3.11:**

Every fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets is a *fnCS*.

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be any fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense set in a fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

Therefore, we have  $fn(((A_N)^-)^+) = 0_N$  and it means that there does not exist any *fnOS* in

between  $A_N$  and  $(A_N)^-$ . Also, let us suppose that  $A_N \leq B_N$ , where  $B_N$  is *fnOS* and

obviously  $(A_N)^- \leq B_N$ . Therefore  $B_N$  is a *fnCS*.

**4. Fuzzy Neutrosophic Baire Space**

**Definition 4.1:**

A fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  is called fy. neutrosophic Baire space if

$$fn(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_{N_i}))^+ = 0_N, \text{ where } A_{N_i} \text{'s are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in } (P, \tau_N).$$

**Example 4.1:**

Let  $P = \{a, b, c\}$  and consider the family  $\tau_N = \{0_N, 1_N, A_N, B_N, C_N, D_N\}$  where

$$A_N = \{\langle a, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle\}$$

$$B_N = \{\langle a, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle c, 0.6, 0.6, 0.6 \rangle\}$$

$$C_N = \{\langle a, 0.7, 0.7, 0.4 \rangle, \langle b, 0.4, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle, \langle c, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4 \rangle\}$$

$$D_N = \{\langle a, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle, \langle b, 0.7, 0.7, 0.7 \rangle, \langle c, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle\}$$

Now  $\overline{A_N}$ ,  $\overline{B_N}$  and  $\overline{C_N}$  are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Also  $fn(\overline{A_N} \vee \overline{B_N} \vee \overline{C_N})^+ = 0_N$ . Hence  $(P, \tau_N)$  be a fy. neutrosophic Baire Space.

**Proposition 4.1:**

Let  $(P, \tau_N)$  be a fy. neutrosophic top. space. Then the following are equivalent.

- i)  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic baire space.
- ii)  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ , for every fy. neutrosophic one category set  $A_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .
- iii)  $fn(B_N)^+ = 1_N$ , for every fy. neutrosophic re. set  $B_N$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

**Proof:**

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$$

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $A_N = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Now  $fn(A_N)^+ = fn(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ . Since  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space. Therefore  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ .

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$$

Let  $B_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic re. set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $\overline{B_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . By hypothesis,  $fn(\overline{B_N})^+ = 0_N$  which gives that  $\overline{fn(\overline{A_N})^-} = 0_N$ . Hence  $fn(A_N)^- = 1_N$ .

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$$

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $A_N = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Now  $A_N$  is a fy. neutrosophic one category set gives that  $\overline{A_N}$  is a fy. neutrosophic re. set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . By hypothesis, we have  $fn(\overline{A_N})^- = 1_N$  which gives that  $\overline{fn(A_N)^+} = 1_N$ . Hence  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ . That is,  $fn(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Hence  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proposition 4.2:**

If  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$  then  $\overline{A_N} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{N_i}$ , where  $fn(B_{N_i})^- = 1_N$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $A_N$  be a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ .

Then  $A_N = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Now  $\overline{A_N} = \overline{\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_{N_i}}$ . Now  $A_{N_i}$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then by proposition [3.6] we have  $\overline{A_{N_i}}$  is a fy. neutrosophic dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Let us put  $B_{N_i} = \overline{A_{N_i}}$ . Then  $\overline{A_N} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{N_i}$ , where  $fn(B_{N_i})^- = 1_N$ .

**Proposition 4.3:**

If  $fn(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$  where  $fn(A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$  and  $A_{N_i} \in \tau_N$ , then  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proof:**

Now  $A_{N_i} \in \tau_N$  gives that  $A_{N_i}$  is a *fnOS* in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Since  $fn(A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ . By proposition (3.2),  $A_{N_i}$  is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Therefore

$fn(V_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's is a fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Hence  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proposition 4.4:**

If  $fn(V_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+$  where  $fn(A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$  and  $A_{N_i}$ 's are *fnCS* in fy. neutrosophic top. space in  $(P, \tau_N)$  then  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proof:**

Let  $A_{N_i}$ 's be *fnCS* in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Since  $fn(A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ , by proposition (3.2),  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Thus  $fn(V_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Hence  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proposition 4.5**

If  $fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_{N_i}, )^- = 1_N$ , where  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic dense and *fnOS* in fy. neutrosophic top. space  $(P, \tau_N)$  if and only if  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

**Proof:**

Let  $A_{N_i}$ 's be fy. neutrosophic dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_{N_i}, )^- = 1_N$  which gives that  $1 - fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_{N_i}, )^- = 0_N$ . That is  $fn((1 - \bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_{N_i}))^+ = 0_N$  gives that  $fn(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ . Since  $A_{N_i}$ 's be fy. neutrosophic dense,  $fn(A_{N_i})^- = 1_N$ . Hence  $fn(1 - A_{N_i})^+ = 1 - fn(A_{N_i})^- = 0_N$ . Consequently  $fn(1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$ , where  $fn(1 - A_{N_i})^+ = 0_N$  and  $A_{N_i}$ 's be *fnCS* in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . By proposition 4.4,  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space.

Conversely, let  $A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic dense and  $fnCS$  in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . By proposition (3.7),  $1 - A_{N_i}$ 's are fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense sets in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Then  $A_N = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 - A_{N_i}$  is a fy. neutrosophic one category set in  $(P, \tau_N)$ . Now  $fn(A_N)^+ = fn(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - A_{N_i}))^+ = fn(1 - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i})^+ = (1 - fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}))^-$ .

Since  $(P, \tau_N)$  is a fy. neutrosophic Baire space, by proposition 4.1, we set  $fn(A_N)^+ = 0_N$ . Then  $(1 - fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}))^- = 0_N$ . This gives that  $(fn(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{N_i}))^- = 1_N$ .

**Conclusion:**

In this paper, the concept of a new class of sets, spaces and called them fy. neutrosophic dense, fy. neutrosophic nowh. dense, fy. neutrosophic re. set, fy. neutrosophic one category set, fy. neutrosophic two category sets, fy. neutrosophic Baire spaces, fy. neutrosophic one category space, fy. neutrosophic two category space. Some of its characterizations of fy. neutrosophic Baire spaces are also studied. As fuzzy neutrosophic have many applications in many fields: information technology, information system, decision support system. In the future research presented some of the applications.

**References:**

1. Arockiarani I., J.Martina Jency., More on Fuzzy Neutrosophic Sets and Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces., International Journal of Innovative Research & Studies, Vol 3 Issue(5),2014.,643-652.
2. Arockiarani I., I.R.Sumathi and J.Martina Jency., Fuzzy Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces., International Journal of Mathematical archives,4(10),2013.,225-238.



3. Chang C., Fy. Top. Spaces, J.Math.Ana.Appl.24(1968)182-190.
4. Salama A.A., and S.A.Alblowi, Neutrosophic Sets and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, Vol 3, Issue 4(Sep-Oct 2012).
5. Salama A.A., Generalized, Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Computer Science and Engineering 2012,2(7):129-132.
6. Smarandache F., Neutrosophic Set, A Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Inter.J.Pure Appl.Math.,24(2005),287-297.
7. Thangaraj G. and E. Poongothai, On Fuzzy  $\sigma$  – Baire Spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. And Systems, Vol 3(4) (2013), 275-283.
8. Turksen I., Interval-valued fuzzy sets based on normal form, Fuzzy Sets and Systems,1986,20:191-210.
9. Veereswari V., An Introduction to Fy. Neutrosophic Top. spaces, IJMA, Vol.8(3), (2017), 144-149.
10. Zadeh L.A., Fy. sets, Information and control, Vol.8, 1965, pp. 338-353.