

10-5-2022

On 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihyp regroups

Pairote Yiarayong

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Yiarayong, Pairote. "On 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihyp regroups." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 51, 1 (2022). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51/iss1/33

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



On 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups

Pairote Yiarayong^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand; E-mail: pairote0027@hotmail.com

*Correspondence: pairote0027@hotmail.com

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to extend the concept of hyperideals to the SuperHyperAlgebras. In this paper, we introduce the concept of 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups which is a generalization of \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups. Furthermore, we define and study 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -subsemihypergroups, SuperHyper-Left(Right)HyperIdeals and SuperHyperHyperIdeals of 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups, and related properties are investigated. We give an example to show that in general these two notions are different. Finally, we show that every SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup S with pure left identity is SuperHyperHyperIdeal.

Keywords: SuperHyperAlgebra; \mathcal{LA} -subsemihypergroup; 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroup; SuperHyperHyperIdeals; SuperHyperLeft(Right)HyperIdeal.

1. Introduction

The concept of left almost semihypergroups (\mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups), which is a generalization of \mathcal{LA} -semigroups and semihypergroups, was introduced by Hila and Dine [9] in 2011. They defined the concept of hyperideals and bi-hyperideals in \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups. Until now, \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups have been applied to many fields [2, 4–6, 13, 16, 18]. In 2013, Yaqoob et al. [17] have characterized intra-regular \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups by using the properties of their left and right hyperideals and investigated some useful conditions for an \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup to become an intra-regular \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup. In 2014, Amjad et al. [1] generalized the concepts of locally associative \mathcal{LA} -semigroups to hypergroupoids and studied several properties. They defined the concept of locally associative \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups and characterized a locally associative \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup in terms of (m, n) -hyperideals. In 2016, Khan et al. [10] proved that an \mathcal{LA} -semigroup S is $0(0, 2)$ -bisimple if and only if S is right 0-simple. In 2018, Azhar et al. [3] applied the notion of $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$ -fuzzy sets to \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups. They introduced

the notion of $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$ -fuzzy hyperideals in an ordered \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup and then derived their basic properties. In 2019, Gulistan et al. [8] presented a new definition of generalized fuzzy hyperideals, generalized fuzzy bi-hyperideals and generalized fuzzy normal bi-hyperideals in an ordered \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup. They characterized ordered \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups by the properties of their $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$ -fuzzy hyperideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$ -fuzzy bi-hyperideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$ -fuzzy normal bi-hyperideals. In 2021, Suebsung et al. [12] have introduced the notion of left almost hyperideals, right almost hyperideals, almost hyperideals and minimal almost hyperideals in \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups. In 2022, Nakkhasen [11] characterized intra-regular \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups by the properties of their hyperideals.

In this paper, we extend the concept of hyperideals to the SuperHyperAlgebras. In this paper, we introduce the concept of 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups which is a generalization of \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups. Furthermore, we define and study 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -subsemihypergroups, SuperHyperLeft(Right)HyperIdeals and SuperHyperHyperIdeals of 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups, and related properties are investigated. We give an example to show that in general these two notions are different. Finally, we show that every SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup S with pure left identity is SuperHyperHyperIdeal.

2. Preliminaries and Basic Definitions

In this section, we give some basic definitions and properties of left almost semihypergroups and classical-type Binary SuperHyperOperations that are required in this study.

Recall that a mapping $\circ : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(S)$, where $\mathcal{P}^*(S)$ denotes the family of all non empty subsets of S , is called a **hyperoperation** on S . An image of the pair (x, y) is denoted by $x \circ y$. The couple (S, \circ) is called a **hypergroupoid**.

Let x be an elements of a non empty set of S and let A, B be two non empty subsets of S . Then we denote $A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$, $x \circ B = \{x\} \circ B$ and $A \circ x = A \circ \{x\}$.

In 2011, Hila and Dine [9] introduced the concept and notion of left almost semihypergroup as a generalization of semigroups, \mathcal{LA} -semigroups and semihypergroups.

Definition 2.1. [9] A hypergroupoid (S, \circ) is called a **left almost semihypergroup (\mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup)** if \circ is left invertive law, that is $(x \circ y) \circ z = (z \circ y) \circ x$ for every $x, y, z \in S$.

Clearly, every \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup is \mathcal{LA} -semigroup. If (S, \circ) is an \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup, then $\bigcup_{a \in x \circ y} a \circ z = \bigcup_{b \in z \circ y} b \circ x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$.

The concept of classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation was introduced by Smarandache [14, 15].

Definition 2.2. [14, 15] Let $\mathcal{P}_*^n(S)$ be the n^{th} -powerset of the set S such that none of $\mathcal{P}(S), \mathcal{P}^2(S), \dots, \mathcal{P}^n(S)$ contain the empty set. A **classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation** \bullet_n is defined as follows:

$$\bullet_n : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^n(S)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_*^n(S)$ is the n^{th} -power set of the set S , with no empty set.

An image of the pair (x, y) is denoted by $x \bullet_n y$. The couple (S, \bullet_n) is called a **2-SuperHyperGroupoid**.

The following is an example of Examples of classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation (or 2-SuperHyperGroupoid).

Example 2.3. [14] Let $S = \{a, b\}$ be a finite discrete set. Then its power set, without the empty-set \emptyset , is: $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{a, b, S\}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2(S) = \mathcal{P}^2(\mathcal{P}(S)) = \mathcal{P}^2(\{a, b, S\}) = \{a, b, S, \{a, S\}, \{b, S\}, \{a, b, S\}\}$. The classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation defined as follows, $\bullet_2 : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^2(S)$

\bullet_2	a	b
a	$\{a, S\}$	$\{b, S\}$
b	a	$\{a, b, S\}$

Then (S, \bullet_2) is a 2-SuperHyperGroupoid and is not a hypergroupoid.

3. 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups

In this section, we generalize this concept in left almost semihypergroup and introduce SuperHyperLeft(Right)HyperIdeals of 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroups and study their properties.

The 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups is generated with the help of left almost semihypergroups and classical-type binary SuperHyperOperations. So we can say that 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroup is the generalization of previously defined concepts related to binary SuperHyperOperations. We consider the SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroup as follows.

Definition 3.1. A 2-SuperHyperGroupoid (S, \bullet_n) is called a **n -SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroup (2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup)** if it satisfies the SuperHyperLeftInvertive law; $(x \bullet_n y) \bullet_n z = (z \bullet_n y) \bullet_n x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$.

The following is an example of a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S .

Example 3.2. Let $S = \{a, b\}$ be a finite discrete set. The classical-type binary SuperHyper-Operation defined as follows, $\bullet_2 : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^2(S)$

\bullet_2	a	b
a	$\{a, S\}$	b
b	$\{b, S\}$	$\{a, b, S\}$

Then, as is easily seen, (S, \bullet_2) is a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \bullet_2 a) \bullet_2 b &= \{a, S\} \bullet_2 a \\
 &= (a \bullet_2 a) \cup (S \bullet_2 a) \\
 &= \{a, S\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in S} x \bullet_2 a \\
 &= \{a, S\} \cup (a \bullet_2 a) \cup (b \bullet_2 a) \\
 &= \{a, S\} \cup \{a, S\} \cup \{b, S\} \\
 &= \{a, b, S\} \\
 &\neq b \\
 &= a \bullet_2 b \\
 &= a \bullet_2 (a \bullet_2 b),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have \bullet_2 is not Strong SuperHyperAssociativity.

Theorem 3.3. *Every 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S satisfies the **SuperHyperMedial law**, that is, for all $a, b, c, d \in S$, $(a \bullet_n b) \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) = (a \bullet_n c) \bullet_n (b \bullet_n d)$.*

Proof. Let a, b, c and d be any elements of S . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \bullet_n b) \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) &= ((c \bullet_n d) \bullet_n b) \bullet_n a \\
 &= ((b \bullet_n d) \bullet_n c) \bullet_n a \\
 &= (a \bullet_n c) \bullet_n (b \bullet_n d).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. *If S is a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup, then $(a \bullet_n b)^2 = a^2 \bullet_n b^2$ for all $a, b \in S$.*

Proof. Let a and b be any elements of S . Then by Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \bullet_n b)^2 &= (a \bullet_n b) \bullet_n (a \bullet_n b) \\
 &= (a \bullet_n a) \bullet_n (b \bullet_n b) \\
 &= a^2 \bullet_n b^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

An element e of a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S is called **left identity (resp., pure left identity)** if for all $a \in \mathcal{N}(S)$, $a \in e \bullet_n a$ (resp., $a = e \bullet_n a$). The following is an example of a pure left identity element in 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroups.

Example 3.5. 1. Let $S = \{a, b\}$ be a finite discrete set. The classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation defined as follows, $\bullet_2 : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^2(S)$

\bullet_2	a	b
a	a	$\{a, b, S\}$
b	$\{b, S\}$	S

Then, as is easily seen, (S, \bullet_2) is a 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup with left identity a .

2. Let $S = \{a, b\}$ be a finite discrete set. The classical-type binary SuperHyperOperation defined as follows, $\bullet_2 : S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^2(S)$

\bullet_2	a	b
a	a	b
b	b	S

Then, as is easily seen, (S, \bullet_2) is a 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup with pure left identity a .

Theorem 3.6. A 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup S with pure left identity e satisfies the **SuperHyperParamedial law**, that is, for all $a, b, c, d \in S$, $(a \bullet_n b) \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) = (d \bullet_n c) \bullet_n (b \bullet_n a)$.

Proof. Let a, b, c and d be any elements of S . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \bullet_n b) \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) &= [(e \bullet_n a) \bullet_n b] \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) \\
 &= [(b \bullet_n a) \bullet_n e] \bullet_n (c \bullet_n d) \\
 &= [(c \bullet_n d) \bullet_n e] \bullet_n (b \bullet_n a) \\
 &= [(e \bullet_n d) \bullet_n c] \bullet_n (b \bullet_n a) \\
 &= (d \bullet_n c) \bullet_n (b \bullet_n a).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following may be noted from the above definitions.

Lemma 3.7. If S is a 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup with pure left identity, then $a \bullet_n (b \bullet_n c) = b \bullet_n (a \bullet_n c)$ holds for all $a, b, c \in S$.

Proof. Let a, b and c be any elements of S . Then by Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \bullet (b \bullet_n c) &= (e \bullet_n a) \bullet (b \bullet_n c) \\
 &= (e \bullet_n b) \bullet (a \bullet_n c) \\
 &= b \bullet_n (a \bullet_n c).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now, we give the concept of 2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroups (2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -subsemihypergroup) of 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroups.

Definition 3.8. A nonempty subset A of a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S is called **2-SuperHyperLeftAlmostSemihypergroup** (2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroup) if $A \bullet_n A \subseteq A$.

The following may be noted from the above definitions.

Proposition 3.9. Let A and B be two 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroups of a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S . If $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap B$ is a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroup of S .

Proof. Let A and B be two 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroups of S such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then have that

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cap B) \bullet_2 (A \cap B) &= [A \bullet_n (A \cap B)] \cap [B \bullet_n (A \cap B)] \\ &= (A \bullet_n A) \cap (A \bullet_n B) \cap (B \bullet_n A) \cap (B \bullet_n B) \\ &\subseteq (A \bullet_n A) \cap (B \bullet_n B) \\ &\subseteq A \cap B, \end{aligned}$$

and so $A \cap B$ is a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroup of S . \square

Now we mention some special class of 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -subsemihypergroups in a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup.

Definition 3.10. A nonempty subset L of a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup S is called **SuperHyperLeft(Right)HyperIdeal** if

$$S \bullet_n L \subseteq L \text{ (} R \bullet_n S \subseteq R \text{)}.$$

A nonempty subset I of S is called a **SuperHyperHyperIdeal** of S if it is both a SuperHyperLeft and a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S .

Proposition 3.11. Let $\mathcal{N}(S)$ be a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup with pure left identity. Then the following properties hold.

- (1) If L is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S , then $S \bullet_n L = L$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{N}(R)$ is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S , then $R \bullet_n S = R$.
- (3) $S \bullet_n S = S$.

Proof. 1. Since L is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S , we have $S \bullet_n L \subseteq L$. On the other hand, let a be an element of S such that $a \in L$. Then we have $a = e \bullet_n a \in S \bullet_n L$ and hence $S \bullet_n L = L$.

2. Since R is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S , we have $R \bullet_n S \subseteq R$. On the other hand, let a be an element of S such that $a \in R$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= e \bullet_n a \\ &= (e \bullet_n e) \bullet_n a \\ &= (a \bullet_n e) \bullet_n e \\ &\subseteq (R \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S \\ &\subseteq R \bullet_n S. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $R \subseteq R \bullet_n S$ and hence $R \bullet_n S = R$.

3. The proof is similar to the proof of (2). \square

By applying the above definition, we state the following result.

Theorem 3.12. *Let S be a 2-SuperHyper- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$ -semihypergroup with pure left identity. Then the following properties hold.*

- (1) *If x is an element of S , then $x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S .*
- (2) *If x is an element of S , then $S \bullet_n x$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperideal of S .*
- (3) *If x is an element of S , then $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S .*

Proof. 1. Let x be an element of S . By Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.11 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_n [x \bullet_n S] &= x \bullet_n [S \bullet_n S] \\ &= x \bullet_n S. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S .

2. Let x be an element of S . By Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.11 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_n (S \bullet_n x) &= (S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n (S \bullet_n x) \\ &= (x \bullet_n S) \bullet_n (S \bullet_n S) \\ &= [(S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S] \bullet_n x \\ &= S \bullet_n x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $S \bullet_n x$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S .

3. Let x be an element of S . By Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.11 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S &= [(S \bullet_n x) \bullet_n S] \cup [(x \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S] \\ &= [(S \bullet_n x) \bullet_n (S \bullet_n S)] \cup [(S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n x] \\ &= [(S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n (x \bullet_n S)] \cup (S \bullet_n x) \\ &= [x \bullet_n ((S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S)] \cup (S \bullet_n x) \\ &= S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S . \square

For that, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 3.13. *Let S be a 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup with pure left identity. Then the following properties hold.*

- (1) *If x is an element of S , then $x^2 \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S .*
- (2) *If x is an element of S , then $S \bullet_n x^2$ is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S .*
- (3) *If x is an element of S , then $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S .*

Proof. 1. Let x be an element of $\mathcal{N}(S)$. By Theorem 3.12 (1), we have that $x^2 \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of $\mathcal{N}(S)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S &= (S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n x^2 \\ &= x^2 \bullet_n (S \bullet_n S) \\ &= x^2 \bullet_n S, \end{aligned}$$

we have $x^2 \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S and so $x^2 \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S .

2. The proof is similar to the proof of (1).

3. Let x be an element of S . By Theorem 3.12 (3), we have that $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of $\mathcal{N}(S)$. By Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.11 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_n (S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S) &= [S \bullet_n (S \bullet_n x)] \cup [S \bullet_n (x \bullet_n S)] \\ &= [(S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n (S \bullet_n x)] \cup [x \bullet_n (S \bullet_n S)] \\ &= [(x \bullet_n S) \bullet_n (S \bullet_n S)] \cup (x \bullet_n S) \\ &= [(S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S] \bullet_n x \cup (x \bullet_n S) \\ &= S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S and hence $S \bullet_n x \cup x \bullet_n S$ is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S . \square

Theorem 3.14. *Every SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of 2-SuperHyper- \mathcal{LA} -semihypergroup S with pure left identity is SuperHyperHyperIdeal.*

Proof. Let R be a SuperHyperRightHyperIdeal of S . By Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 3.11 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_n R &= (S \bullet_n S) \bullet_n R \\ &= (R \bullet_n S) \bullet_n S \\ &\subseteq R \bullet_n S \\ &\subseteq R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that R is a SuperHyperLeftHyperIdeal of S and hence R is a SuperHyperHyperIdeal of S . \square

References

1. Amjad, V., Hila, K. and Yousafzai, F., Generalized hyperideals in locally associative left almost semihypergroups, *New York J. Math.*, vol. 20, pp. 1063–1076, 2014.
2. Amjad, V. and Yousafzai, F., On pure LA-semihypergroups, *Konuralp J. Math.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 53–63, 2014.
3. Azhar, M., Yaqoob, N., Gulistan, M. and Khalaf, M.M., On $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$ -fuzzy hyperideals in ordered LA-semihypergroups, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2018, Article ID 9494072 (13 pages), 2018.
4. Bouaziz, F. and Yaqoob, N., Rough hyperfilters in po-LA-semihypergroups, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2019, Article ID 8326124 (8 pages), 2019.
5. Elmoasry, A. and Yaqoob, N., m -polar fuzzy hyperideals in LA-semihypergroups, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 329–341, 2019.
6. Farooq, M., Khan, A., Izhar, M. and Davvaz, B., On V -regular ordered LA-semihypergroups, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 385–404, 2022.
7. Gulistan, M. and Ullah, R., Regular and intra-regular neutrosophic left almost semihypergroups, In *Handbook of Research on Emerging Applications of Fuzzy Algebraic Structures*; IGI Global: Hershey, PA, USA, 2020; pp. 288 – 327.
8. Gulistan, M., Yaqoob, N., Kadry, S. and Azhar, M., On generalized fuzzy sets in ordered LA-semihypergroups, *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences*, vol. 68, no.1, pp. 43–54, 2019.
9. Hila, K. and Dine, J., On hyperideals in left almost semihypergroups, *ISRN Algebra*, vol. 2011, Article ID953124 (8 pages), 2011.
10. Khan, W., Yousafzai, F. and Khan, M., On generalized ideals of left almost semigroups, *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 277–291, 2016.
11. Nakkhasen, W., Characterizations of intra-regular LA-semihyperrings in terms of their hyperideals, *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 5844–5859, 2022.
12. Nawaz, S., Gulistan, M., Kausar, N., Salahuddin and Munir, M., On the left and right almost hyperideals of LA-semihypergroups, *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 86–92, 2021.
13. Nawaz, S., Gulistan, M., Yaqoob, N. and Kadry, S., Weak non-associative structures of groups with applications, *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 864–878, 2019.
14. Smarandache, F., Introduction to SuperHyperAlgebra and Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra, *Journal of Algebraic Hyperstructures and Logical Algebras*, Available Online from 15 March 2022.
15. Smarandache, F., SuperHyperAlgebra and Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra, Section into the authors book *Nidus Idearum. Scilogs, II: de rerum consecratione*, Second Edition, pp. 107–108, 2016.
16. P. Yairayong, Applications of left almost subhypersemigroups to fuzzy subsets, *Engineering Letters*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 137–147, 2020.
17. Yaqoob, N., Corsini, P. and Yousafzai, F., On intra-regular left almost semihypergroups with pure left identity, *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 510790 (10 pages), 2013.
18. Yaqoob, N. and Gulistan, M., Partially ordered left almost semihypergroups, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 23, pp. 231–235, 2015.

Received: June 7, 2022. Accepted: September 23, 2022.