[Neutrosophic Sets and Systems](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

[Volume 51](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51) Article 15

10-5-2022

A Novel Approach by using Interval-Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers in Transportation Problem

Rajesh Kumar Saini Atul Sangal

Ashik Ahirwar

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal?utm_source=digitalrepository.unm.edu%2Fnss_journal%2Fvol51%2Fiss1%2F15&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Sangal, Rajesh Kumar Saini Atul and Ashik Ahirwar. "A Novel Approach by using Interval-Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers in Transportation Problem." Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 51, 1 (2022). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51/iss1/15](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol51/iss1/15?utm_source=digitalrepository.unm.edu%2Fnss_journal%2Fvol51%2Fiss1%2F15&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.

A Novel Approach by using Interval-Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers in Transportation Problem

Rajesh Kumar Saini*¹ Atul Sangal² Ashik Ahirwar³

^{1, 3}Deptt. of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Applications, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, India, pro[f.rksaini@bujhansi.ac.in](mailto:rksaini@bujhansi.ac.in*)*

²Deptt. of Management Studies, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India atul.sangal@sharda.ac.in

* Correspondence: prof[.rksaini@bujhansi.ac.in,](mailto:rksaini@bujhansi.ac.in*) Mob. 9412322576(091)

Abstract: In today's scenario transportation problem [TP] is the prominent area of optimization. In the present paper, a TP in a neutrosophic environment, known as a neutrosophic transportation problem [NTP] is introduced with interval-valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers [IVTrNeNs]. To maintain physical distance among the industrialists and researchers during the covid-19 pandemic, the intervalvalued fuzzy numbers [IVFNs] in place of crisp numbers are very much essential to address the hesitation and uncertainty in real-life situations. IVTrNeN is the generalization of single-valued neutrosophic numbers [SVNeN], which are used as the cost, the demand, and the supply to transport the necessary equipment, medicines, food products, and other relevant items from one place to another to save the human lives in a covid-19 pandemic. A Neutrosophic set, which has uncertainty, inconsistency, and incompleteness information is the abstract principle of crisp, fuzzy, and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Here we suggest some numerical problems for better execution of the neutrosophic transportation problem [NTP], to understand the practical applications of interval-valued neutrosophic numbers [IVNeNs]. In the last, we compare our results and a conclusion is given in support of our proposed result methodology with IVTrNeNs.

Keywords: Interval–valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number, De-neutrosophication, neutrosophic transportation problem.

1. Introduction

In the current scenario of covid-19, the role of a neutrosophic optimization technique in TP has fascinated awareness of their high efficiency, accuracy, and adaptability that gives high standard reallife outcomes. Neutrosophic optimization has been extremely searched in industrial, management, engineering, and health sectors. Zadeh in 1965 introduced the mathematical formula of fuzzy set FS [1] by which the researchers try to check the ambiguity or uncertainty in engineering, industrial, and management problems [2, 3]. In realistic problems, the FS was not perfect to observe the uncertainty and hesitation. To encompass this problem, Atanassov extended the FS and introduced a set with membership and non-membership degrees, called an intuitionistic fuzzy set IFS [4]. For more detailed applications of IFS, please (see [5-10] and references therein). Atanassov and Gargov generalized the IFS by introducing the interval-valued IFS to strengthen the attitude of grasp uncertainty and hesitation in IFS [11]. To solve the real-world problems with inconsistent information or contain indeterminacy in data the FS and IFS are not sufficient. To rectify such problems, Smarandache in 1988 introduced the neutrosophic set [NS] [12], by which the inconsistent information is in the form of truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership, and falsity-membership degrees respectively. For practical applications

and some technical references in real-world problems, NSs are difficult to apply, so the notion of a single-valued neutrosophic set [SVNeS] was imported by Wang et. al. in 2010 [13]. The idea of SVNeS is more suitable and effective in solving many real-life problems of decision-makers that contain uncertainty in data by using fuzzy numbers and intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Since in the real world, there exists stipulated and non-stipulated knowledge, so to overcome such problems Samaranache introduced the neutrosophic number [NN] [14, 15]. In 2016, Ye. proposed de-neutrosophic and possibility degree ranking methods for the application of NNs [16]. Samrandache in 2015, proposed the interval function to describe the stipulated and non-stipulated issues in real-world problems [17]. For more uncertain linear programming problems (see [18-29] and references therein).

In real-life optimization problems, the TP shows high execution and due to its clarity and minimum cost, it is a noted optimization technique in the current scenario. The basic theme of a TP is to find a direct connection between source and destination in minimum time with minimum cost. Hitchcock introduced the initial basic structure of TP and developed a special mathematical module for the basic results of TP by the simplex method [30]. For more recent development in fuzzy transportation problem [FTP] (see [31-47] and references therein).

The IFS theory can handle the problems of incomplete information but not the indeterminate and inconsistent information that exists in the transportation modal. The TP with inconsistent information or indeterminate data i.e. in fuzzy numbers or intuitionistic fuzzy numbers cannot be handled in the current structure. To resolve such issues, the NTP is the best option with indeterminacy and inconsistent information by truth, indeterminacy, and falsity membership degree function. Many researchers formulated efficient mathematical models in various uncertain environments. We proposed the NTP of type-4, with all entries such as cost, demand, and supply termed as IVTrNeNs, which include membership, indeterminacy, and non-memberships degree function. The more real-world developments in the field of neutrosophic optimization problems (see [48-63] and references therein).

For the solution of NTP, the first one will change it into a crisp transportation problem [CTP] by converting the cost, demand, and supply, which are in IVTrNeNs into crisp values with the help of the introduced ranking method. For unbalanced CTP or NTP, here we use Vogel's approximation method [VAM] and minimum row-column method [MRCM] to solve these by excel solver and then compare our results [46]. The paper is well organized in several sections such as the introduction of the present paper with some earlier research are given, the basics concepts of FS, IFS, and NS are discussed and reviewed, introduce the ranking function, score function, and de-neutrosophication to convert neutrosophic values into crisp values and vice-versa. Here we proposed CTP & NTP of type-4, their solution by existing and MRCM, comparison, and the conclusion for future aspects of research work.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([39]): A FS \tilde{A} of a non empty set *X* is defined as $\tilde{A} = \left\{ \left\langle x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \right\rangle / x \in X \right\}$ where $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x): X \to [0,1]$ is the membership function.

Definition 2.2: A fuzzy number on the universal set *R* is a convex, normalized fuzzy set *A* , where the membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x): X \to [0,1]$ is continuous, strictly increasing on [a, b] and strictly decreasing on [c, d], $\mu_{\lambda}(x) = 1$, for all $x \in [b, c]$, where $a \le b \le c \le d$ and $\mu_{\lambda}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in (-\infty, a] \cup [d, \infty)$.

Definition 2.3 ([52]): A trapezoidal fuzzy number (TrFN) denoted as $A = (a, b, c, d)$, with its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ on \tilde{R} , is given by

$$
\mu_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases}\n(x-a)/(b-a), & \text{for } a \leq x < b \\
1, & \text{for } b \leq x < c \\
(d-x)/(d-c), & \text{for } c < x \leq d \\
0, & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases}
$$

If $b = c$ in TrFN $\tilde{A} = (a,b,c,d)$, then it becomes TFN $\tilde{A} = (a,b,c)$.

Definition 2.4: An IFS in a non-empty set *X* is denoted by \tilde{A}^I and defined as $\tilde{A}^I = \left\{ \left\langle x, \mu_{\tilde{A}^I}, \nu_{\tilde{A}^I} \right\rangle : x \in X \right\},$ where $\mu_{\lambda^I}, \nu_{\lambda^I} : X \to [0,1]$, are denoted as degree of membership and degree of non-membership functions respectively. The function $h(x) = 1 - \mu_{\tilde{A}^I} - \nu_{\tilde{A}^I} \leq 1$, $\forall x \in X$ called the degree of hesitancy in \tilde{A}^I .

The single valued neutrosophic numbers [SVNN] introduced by Deli and Suba [64] in 2014.

Definition 2.5: A SVNS is denoted and defined as $\tilde{A}_N = \{x, T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) / x \in X\}$, where for each generic point *x* in *X*, $T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x)$ called truth membership function, $I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x)$ called indeterminacy membership function and $F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x)$ called falsity membership function in [0,1] and $0 \le T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) + I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) + F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) \le 3$. For continuous SVNS $\tilde{A}_N = \int_{\tilde{A}_N} \left\langle T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) \right\rangle / x, x \in X$. For *N*

discrete values, SVNS can be written as $\tilde{A}_N = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x_i), I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x_i), F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x_i) \right\rangle / x_i, x_i \in X$.

Fig. 1: Neutrosophic set

Definition 2.6 ([15]): Let *x* be a generic element of a non empty set *X*. A neutrosophic number A_N in *X* is defined as $\tilde{A}_N = \{ \langle x, T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) \rangle / x \in X \}, \forall T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x), T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x)$ and $F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x)$ belongs $] 0,1^{\circ}$ where $T_{\tilde{A}_N}: X \to]0^-, 1^+]$, $I_{\tilde{A}_N}: X \to]0^-, 1^+]$ and $F_{\tilde{A}_N}: X \to]0^-, 1^+]$ are functions of truth-membership, indeterminacy membership and falsity-membership in \tilde{A}_N respectively with $-0 \le T_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) + I_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) + F_{\tilde{A}_N}(x) \le 3^+.$

Definition 2.7 ([17]): Let *X* be a nonempty set. Then an interval-valued neutrosophic set [IVNS] \tilde{A}_N^W of *X* is defined as:

$$
\tilde{A}_N^W = \left\langle \left\langle x; \left[T^L_{\tilde{A}_N}, T^U_{\tilde{A}_N} \right], \left[I^L_{\tilde{A}_N}, I^U_{\tilde{A}_N} \right], \left[F^L_{\tilde{A}_N}, F^U_{\tilde{A}_N} \right] \right\rangle : x \in X \right\rangle
$$

Fig. 2: Interval-valued neutrosophic set

Definition 2.8: Let $\tilde{A}_N^W = \left\{ \left\langle x; \left[T_{\tilde{A}_N}^L, T_{\tilde{A}_N}^U \right], \left[I_{\tilde{A}_N}^L, I_{\tilde{A}_N}^U \right], \left[F_{\tilde{A}_N}^L, F_{\tilde{A}_N}^U \right] \right\rangle : x \in X \right\}$ $\tilde{A}_N^{\text{IV}} = \left\{ \left\langle x; \left[T^{\text{L}}_{\tilde{A}_N}, T^{\text{U}}_{\tilde{A}_N} \right], \left[I^{\text{L}}_{\tilde{A}_N}, I^{\text{U}}_{\tilde{A}_N} \right], \left[F^{\text{L}}_{\tilde{A}_N}, F^{\text{U}}_{\tilde{A}_N} \right] \right\} : x \in X \right\}$ be IVNS, then

- (i) *IV A^N* is empty if 0, 1 1 *U U U* = = *A A A A N N N N N N* $L_{\tilde{A}_N}^L = I_{\tilde{A}_N}^U = 1, F_{\tilde{A}_N}^L$ *L* $T_{\tilde{A}_N}^L = T_{\tilde{A}_N}^U = 0, I_{\tilde{A}_N}^L = I_{\tilde{A}_N}^U = 1, F_{\tilde{A}_N}^L = F_{\tilde{A}_N}^U = 1, \forall x \in X.$
- (ii) let $\underline{0} = \langle x, 0, 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\langle x, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$.

The interval-valued numbers and their operational properties are most valuable to survey for interval-valued neutrosophic numbers [IVNeNs]. Here we are given some impotent operations & facts about Interval valued numbers.

Definition 2.9 ([65]): An interval on R is defined as $A = [a^L, a^R] = \{a : a^L \le a \le a^R, a \in R\}$, where a^L in left **Definition 2.9** ([65]): An interval on *R* is defined as $A = [a^2, a^2] = \{a : a^2 \le a \le a^2, a \in R\}$, where a^2 in left limit and a^R is the right limit of *A*, it may also be defined as $A = \langle a_c, a_w \rangle = \{a : a_c - a_w \le a \le a_c + a_w, a \in$ where $a_c = \frac{(a^R + a^L)}{2}$ 2 $R + a^L$ $a_c = \frac{(a^R + a^L)}{2}$ in centre $a_w = \frac{(a^R - a^L)}{2}$ 2 $=\frac{(a^R-a^L)}{a^R}$ $a_w = \frac{(a^R - a^L)}{2}$ is width of *A*.

Definition 2.10 ([66, 67): Let $A(x) = [a^L, a^U] = \{x : a^L \le x \le a^U\}$, then $A(x)$ is called an interval number. $A(x)$ is positive interval if $0 \le a^L \le x \le a^U$. Let $A(x) = [a^L, a^U]$ and $B(x) = [b^L, b^U]$ be two interval numbers, then the following operational properties are holds:

- (i) $A = B \Longleftrightarrow a^L = b^L, a^U = b^U;$
- (ii) $A + B = [a^L + b^L, a^U + b^U]; A - B = [a^L - b^U, a^U - b^L];$
- (iii) $A \times B \Leftrightarrow [min\{a^Lb^L, a^Lb^U, a^Ub^L, a^Ub^U\};$ max $\{a^Lb^L, a^Lb^U, a^Ub^L, a^Ub^U\}];$
- (iv) $\mu A = [\mu a^L, \mu a^U], \mu > 0.$

Definition 2.11 ([35]): The interval-valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number [IVTrNeN]) is a special

case of NS on the real line **R**. Let
$$
a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in R
$$
 such that $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \le a_4$ then

$$
\tilde{a}_N^{IV} = \left\langle (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4); \left[u_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}, u_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}^{U} \right], \left[v_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}, v_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}^{U} \right], \left[w_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}, w_{\tilde{a}_N^{IV}}^{U} \right] \right\rangle,
$$

is IVTrNeN, where $\big| u^L_{\scriptscriptstyle\gamma N}$, $u^U_{\scriptscriptstyle\gamma N}$ $\left[u_{\tilde{a}_N^N}^L, u_{\tilde{a}_N^N}^U \right]$ are upper and lower bound of the truth-membership degree function $u_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{IV}}}$, *L U* $\left[v_{\tilde{a}_N^N}^L, v_{\tilde{a}_N^N}^U\right]$ are upper and lower bound of the indeterminacy-membership degree function $v_{\tilde{a}_N^N}$ and

 $L_{\text{rel}}U$ $\left[w_{a_N^U}^L,w_{a_N^W}^U\right]$ are the upper and lower bound of the falsity-membership degree function $w_{a_N^W}$ in [0,1] respectively, whose truth-membership $T_{\tilde{a}^W_N}(x)$, indeterminacy-membership $I_{\tilde{a}^W_N}(x)$, and a falsitymembership $F_{\tilde a^V_N}(x)$ are defined as follows:

$$
T_{a_{N}^{IV}}(x) = \begin{cases} u_{a_{N}^{IV}}\left(\frac{x-a_{1}}{a_{2}-a_{1}}\right), & \text{for } a_{1} \leq x \leq a_{2}, \\ u_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}\left(\frac{x-a_{1}}{a_{2}-a_{1}}\right), & \text{for } a_{1} \leq x \leq a_{2}, \\ u_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}\left(\frac{a_{4}-x}{a_{4}-a_{3}}\right), & \text{for } a_{2} \leq x \leq a_{3}, \\ u_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}\left(\frac{a_{4}-x}{a_{4}-a_{3}}\right), & \text{for } a_{3} \leq x \leq a_{4}, \\ 0, & \text{for } x < a_{1} \text{ and } x > a_{4}. \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \frac{a_{2}-x+v_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(x-a_{1})}{a_{2}-a_{1}}, & \text{for } a_{1} \leq x \leq a_{2}, \\ \frac{x-a_{3}+v_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(a_{4}-x)}{a_{4}-a_{3}}, & \text{for } a_{3} \leq x \leq a_{4}, \\ 1, & \text{for } x < a_{1} \text{ and } x > a_{4}. \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{2}-x+v_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(x-a_{1})}{a_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(x-a_{1})}, & \text{for } a_{1} \leq x \leq a_{2}, \\ \frac{w_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(x-a_{1})}{a_{2}-a_{1}}, & \text{for } a_{2} \leq x \leq a_{3}, \\ \frac{x-a_{3}+w_{\bar{a}_{N}^{IV}}(a_{4}-x)}{a_{4}-a_{3}}, & \text{for } a_{3} \leq x \leq a_{4}, \\ 1, & \text{for } x < a_{1} \text{ and } x > a_{4}. \end{cases}
$$

when 1 > 0, $\tilde{a}_N^{IV} = \left\langle (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4); \left[u_{\tilde{a}_N}^{L}, u_{\tilde{a}_N}^{U} \right], \left[v_{\tilde{a}_N}^{L}, v_{\tilde{a}_N}^{U} \right], \left[w_{\tilde{a}_N}^{L}, w_{\tilde{a}_N}^{U} \right] \right\rangle, \text{ is}$ $a_1 > 0$, $\tilde{a}_N^W = \left\langle (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4); \left[u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right], \left[v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right], \left[w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right] \right\rangle,$ is called positive IVTrNeN, denoted by $\tilde{a}_N^{\scriptscriptstyle{IV}}>0$ $\tilde{a}_N^W > 0$, and if $a_4 \le 0$, then \tilde{a}_N^W becomes a negative IVTrNeN, denoted by $\tilde{a}_N^W > 0$. If $a_2 = a_3$, then IVTrNeN is reduces interval-valued triangular neutrosophic number [IVTriNeN], denoted as V I rNeN is reduces interval-valued triang
 (a_1, a_2, a_3) ; $\left[u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right]$, $\left[v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right]$, $\left[w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L, w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U \right]$. *IVTrNeN* is reduces interval-valued triangularities $\tilde{a}_{N}^{IV} = \left\langle (a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}) ; \left[u_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{L}, u_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{U} \right], \left[v_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{L}, v_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{U} \right], \left[w_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{L}, w_{\tilde{a}_{N}}^{U} \right] \right\rangle.$

On the basis of [8, 41, 58], we will take here the twelve components of IVTrNeNs i.e. On the basis of [8, 41, 58], we will take here the twelve com
 $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^N = \left\langle \left[\left\{ (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^N} \right\} , \left\{ (e_1, f_1, g_1, h_1); v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^N} \right\} , \left\{ (l_1, m_1, n_1, p_1); w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^N} \right\} \right] \right\rangle$ guid guided as $l_1 \leq e_1 \leq a_1 \leq m_1 \leq f_1 \leq$ $b_1 \le n_1 \le g_1 \le c_1 \le p_1 \le h_1 \le d_1$.

Fig. 3: Interval-valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number

2.1. Operational Laws on IVTrNeNs

Operational Laws on IVTrNeNs
Let
$$
\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = \left\langle \left[\left\langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \right\rangle, \left\langle (e_1, f_1, g_1, h_1); v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \right\rangle, \left\langle (l_1, m_1, n_1, p_1); w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \right\rangle \right] \right\rangle
$$
 and

Neutroscopic Sets and Systems, Vol. 51, 2022 239
\n
$$
\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV} = \left\langle \left[\left\{ (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2) ; u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} \right\} , \left\{ (e_2, f_2, g_2, h_2) ; v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} \right\} , \left\{ (l_2, m_2, n_2, p_2) ; w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} \right\} \right] \right\rangle
$$
 be two IVTrNeNs with
\ntwelve components, where $u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{IV}} = \left[u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{LIV}}, u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{U}}^{U} \right]; u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} = \left[u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{LIV}}, u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{UV}}^{U} \right]; v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} = \left[v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{LIV}}, v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{U}}^{U} \right]; v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} = \left[v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{LIV}}, v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{U}}^{U} \right];$
\nand $w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{IV}} = \left[w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{LIV}}, w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{UV}}^{U} \right]; w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{IV}} = \left[w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{LIV}}, w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{UV}}^{U} \right],$ then the following operations hold:

Addition of IVTrNeNs:

and

$$
\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W + \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \left\{ (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2 \right); u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \wedge u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\}, \\ \left\{ (e_1 + e_2, f_1 + f_2, g_1 + g_2, h_1 + h_2); v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \vee v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\}, \\ \left\{ (l_1 + l_2, m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2, p_1 + p_2); w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \vee w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle
$$

Negative of IVTrNeN:

$$
\left\langle \left[\left\langle (l_1 + l_2, m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2, p_1 + p_2) \right\rangle w_{\vec{a}_{N_1}^W} \vee w_{\vec{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\rangle \right] / \right\rangle
$$

\n**VTrNeN:**
\n
$$
-\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \left\langle \left[\left\langle (-d_2, -c_2, -b_2, -a_2) \right\rangle u_{\vec{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\rangle, \left\langle (-h_2, -g_2, -f_2, -e_2) \right\rangle v_{\vec{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\rangle, \left\langle (-p_2, -m_2, -m_2, -l_2) \right\rangle w_{\vec{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\rangle \right\rangle
$$

Subtraction of IVTrNeNs:

Ns:

$$
\tilde{a}_{N_1}^V - \tilde{a}_{N_2}^V = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \left\{ (a_1 - d_2, b_1 - c_2, c_1 - b_2, d_1 - a_2 \right); u_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^V} \wedge u_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^V} \right\}, \\ \left\{ (e_1 - h_2, f_1 - g_2, g_1 - f_2, h_1 - e_2); v_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^V} \vee v_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^V} \right\}, \\ \left\{ (l_1 - p_2, m_1 - n_2, n_1 - m_2, p_1 - l_2); w_{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} \vee w_{\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W} \right\} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle
$$

$$
\left\{\left\{\left(l_{1}-p_{2},m_{1}-n_{2},n_{1}-m_{2},p_{1}-l_{2}\right);w_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\vee w_{\tilde{a}_{N_{2}}^{W}}\right\}\right\}
$$
\nScalar multiplication of SVTrNeN:
\n
$$
\lambda.\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W} = \left\{\left\{\left(\lambda a_{1},\lambda b_{1},\lambda c_{1},\lambda d_{1}\right);u_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\},\left\{\left(\lambda e_{1},\lambda f_{1},\lambda g_{1},\lambda h_{1}\right);v_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\},\left\{\left(\lambda l_{1},\lambda m_{1},\lambda n_{1},\lambda p_{1}\right);w_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\}\right\} \text{ if } \lambda > 0\right\}
$$
\nMultiplication of IVTrNeNs:
\n
$$
\left\{\left\{\left(\lambda d_{1},\lambda c_{1},\lambda b_{1},\lambda a_{1}\right);u_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\},\left\{\left(\lambda h_{1},\lambda g_{1},\lambda f_{1},\lambda e_{1}\right);v_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\},\left\{\left(\lambda p_{1},\lambda n_{1},\lambda m_{1},\lambda l_{1}\right);w_{\tilde{a}_{N_{1}}^{W}}\right\}\right\} \text{ if } \lambda < 0\right\}
$$
\nMultiplication of IVTrNeNs:
\n
$$
\left\{\left(\left(a_{1}.a_{2}.b_{1}.b_{2}.c_{1}.c_{2}.d_{1}.d_{2}\right);\left(e_{1}.e_{2}.f_{1}.f_{2}.g_{1}.g_{2}.h_{1}.h_{2}\right);\left(l_{1}.l_{2}.m_{1}.m_{2}.n_{1}.n_{2}.p_{1}.p_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}
$$

Multiplication of IVTrNeNs:

$$
\hat{q}_{N_1}^W(\hat{A}_{11}, \hat{M}_{12}, \hat{M}_{13}, \hat{M}_{14}) = \n\begin{pmatrix}\n\left(\sqrt{1} \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{
$$

Inverse of SVTrNeN:

$$
\left\{\left\{\left\{\frac{u_{a_{N_1}^W} \wedge u_{a_{N_2}^W}}{d_{N_1}^V} \right\} \cdot \left\{\frac{v_{a_{N_1}^W} \vee v_{a_{N_2}^W}}{v_{a_{N_2}^W}} \right\} \cdot \left\{\frac{w_{a_{N_1}^W} \vee w_{a_{N_2}^W}}{w_{a_{N_2}^W}}\right\} \text{ if } d_1 < 0, d_2 < 0, h_1 < 0, h_2 < 0, p_1 < 0, p_2 < 0\n\right\}'\right\}
$$
\n
$$
\text{se of SVTrNeN:}
$$
\n
$$
(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W} = \begin{cases} \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{d_1}, \frac{1}{c_1}, \frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{d_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{h_1}, \frac{1}{g_1}, \frac{1}{f_1}, \frac{1}{e_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{n_1}, \frac{1}{m_1}, \frac{1}{n_1}\right) \cdot u_{a_{N_1}^W} \cdot v_{a_{N_1}^W} \cdot w_{a_{N_1}^W} \right\rangle, \\ \text{if } a_1 > 0, b_1 > 0, c_1 > 0, d_1 > 0, e_1 > 0, f_1 > 0, g_1 > 0, h_1 > 0, h_1 > 0, n_1 > 0, p_1 > 0, h_1 > 0, p_1 > 0, h_1 > 0, p_1 > 0, h_1 < 0
$$

Division of SVTrNeNs:

Neutronophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 51, 2022
\n**Division of SVTrNeNs:**
\n
$$
\left\{\left(\frac{a_1}{d_1}, \frac{b_1}{c_1}, \frac{c_1}{b_1}, \frac{d_1}{d_1}\right), \left(\frac{b_1}{h_1}, \frac{m_1}{f_1}, \frac{m_1}{e_1}, \frac{m_1}{h_1}, \frac{n_1}{h_1}, \
$$

Example 2.1.1: let
$$
\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (7,11,16,21); [0.6,0.8] \\ (6,10,15,20); [0.3,0.4] \\ (5,9,14,19); [0.4,0.6] \end{pmatrix}
$$
 and $\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (6,11,13,20); [0.7,0.8] \\ (5,10,12,18); [0.4,0.5] \\ (3,8,11,16); [0.5,0.6] \end{pmatrix}$ be two IVTrNeNs,
\nthen $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W + \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (13,22,29,41); [0.6,0.8] \\ (11,20,27,38); [0.4,0.5] \\ (8,17,25,35); [0.5,0.6] \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W - \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (-13,-2,5,15); [0.6,0.8] \\ (-13,-2,5,15); [0.4,0.5] \\ (-11,-2,6,16); [0.5,0.6] \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W \cdot \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (42,121,208,420); [0.6,0.8] \\ (30,100,180,360); [0.4,0.5] \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (0.35,0.85,1.45,3.50); [0.6,0.8] \\ (0.33,0.83,1.50,4.00); [0.4,0.5] \\ (0.31,0.81,1.75,6.33); [0.5,0.6] \end{pmatrix}$
\n $5\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = \begin{pmatrix} (35,55,80,105); [0.6,0.8] \\ (30,50,75,100); [0.3,0.4] \\ (25,45,70,95); [0.4,0.6] \end{pmatrix}$

3. Score and Accuracy functions of IVTrNeNs

Definition 3.1: Sahin [69] used the score function concept to find comparison between two IVTrNeNs. Greater of score function value demonstrate the greater of IVTrNeN. According the base of [70] the score and accuracy functions of an IVTrNeN \tilde{a}_N^W can be defined as follows: nction value demonstrate the greater of IVTrNe.

functions of an IVTrNeN \tilde{a}_N^U can be defined as foll
 $\left(8 + (a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1) - (e_1 + f_1 + g_1 + h_1)\right) \times \left(2 + u_{\text{min}}^L + h_1 + g_1 + h_1\right)$

Greatest of score function value demonstrate the greater of IVTrNeN. According the base of [70] the score and accuracy functions of an IVTrNeN
$$
\tilde{a}_N^W
$$
 can be defined as follows:
\n
$$
S(\tilde{a}_N^W) = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 8 + (a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1) - (e_1 + f_1 + g_1 + h_1) \\ - (l_1 + m_1 + n_1 + p_1) \end{pmatrix} \times \left(2 + u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L + u_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U - v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L - v_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U - w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^L - w_{\tilde{a}_N^W}^U\right)
$$
\n
$$
S(\tilde{a}_N^W) \in [0,1].
$$
 The accuracy function $A(\tilde{a}_N^W) \in [-1,1]$ is defined as:

[0,1]. The accuracy function
$$
A(\tilde{a}_N^W) \in [-1,1]
$$
 is defined as:
\n
$$
A(\tilde{a}_N^W) = \frac{1}{4} (a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1 - l_1 - m_1 - n_1 - p_1) \times \left(2 + u_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^L + u_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^U - v_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^U - v_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^U - w_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^U - w_{\tilde{a}_N^{\text{LIV}}}^U\right)
$$

Definition 3.2 Let $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\prime\prime}$ $\tilde{a}_{N_{_1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{IV}}$ and $\tilde{a}_{N_{_2}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{IV}}$ $\tilde{a}^{\text{\tiny IV}}_{\text{\tiny $N_\rangle}}$ be any two IVTrNeNs, then one has the following comparison:

(a) If $S(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) < S(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W) \implies \tilde{a}_{N_1}^W < \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W$

(b) If
$$
S(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\text{IV}}) = S(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{\text{IV}})
$$
 with $A(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\text{IV}}) < A(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{\text{IV}}) \Rightarrow \tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\text{IV}} < \tilde{a}_{N_2}^{\text{IV}}, A(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\text{IV}}) > A(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^{\text{IV}}) \Rightarrow \tilde{a}_{N_1}^{\text{IV}} > \tilde{a}_{N_2}^{\text{IV}} \text{ and }$

$$
A(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) = A(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W) \text{ then } \tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W.
$$

Example 3.1. Let $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W = (7, 11, 16, 21), (6, 10, 15, 20), (5, 9, 14, 19); [0.6, 0.8], [0.3, 0.4], [0.4, 0.6]$ and

 $\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W = \left\langle (6,11,13,20), (5,10,12,18), (3,8,11,16) ; [0.7,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.5,0.6] \right\rangle$ be two SVTrNeNs, then the score and accuracy function $S(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) = -4.95833$, $A(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) = 5.1$ and $S(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W) = -9.375$, $A(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W) = 4.875$. Here $S(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) > S(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W)$ and $A(\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W) > A(\tilde{a}_{N_2}^W)$ implies that $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W > \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W$ $\tilde{a}_{N_1}^W > \tilde{a}_{N_2}^W$.

4. Neutrosophic Transportation Problem [NTP] and its Mathematical formulation

In a TP, if at least one parameter such as cost, demand, or supply is in form of neutrosophic numbers, then TP is termed as NTP. An NTP has neutrosophic availabilities and neutrosophic demand but the crisp cost is classified as NTP of type-1, if NTP has crisp availabilities and crisp demand but neutrosophic cost, is classified as NTP of type-2. If all the specifications of TP such as cost, demand, and availabilities are a combination of crisp, triangular, or trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, then it is classified as NTP of type-3. In last if all the specifications of TP must be in neutrosophic numbers form, then TP is said to be NTP of type-4 or fully NTP.

4.1 Mathematical Formulation of NTP

In TP if uncertainty occurs in cost, demand or supply then it is more difficult to find the strict way and time. During the current scenario of covid-19, it is very important for transporting the drugs and medical equipment from one source to another destination in an unchallenging way. Keeping in mind for social distancing the IVTrNeS has a deep concern and special features. To maintain this type of impreciseness in cost to a transferred product from *i*th sources to *j*th destination or uncertainty in supply and demand, the decision-maker introduces NTP with IVTrNeNs. Here we discuss NTP of type-4 with IVTrNeNs in cost, supply and demand.

Let the cost and number of units and assumptions and constraints in NTP be defined as IVTrNeNs that are transported from *i*th sources to *j*th destination. In the formulation of NTP the following assumptions and constraints are required:

For balance of NTP 0 $j=0$ $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_i^{\text{IV}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{a}_j^{\text{IV}}$ $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{a}_i^{IV} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{a}_j^{IV}$ i.e. total supply is equal to total demand. The objective of this

NTP model is to minimize the cost of transported product. The mathematical formulation of NTP with uncertain transported units, cost, demand and supply is as follows:

Minimum
$$
\tilde{Z}^{IV} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} \tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}
$$

\nSubject to
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} \approx \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV}, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m \text{ (sources)},
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} \approx \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV}, \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \text{ (destination)},
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} \geq \tilde{0}, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n.
$$

where

where
\n
$$
\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV} = \begin{cases}\n\left(\tilde{c}(a)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(c)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(d)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}}^{L}, u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{U}\right], \\
\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV} = \begin{cases}\n\left(\tilde{c}(e)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}}^{L}, v_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{U}\right], \\
\left(\tilde{c}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(m)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(n)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(n)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{L}, v_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{U}\right]\n\end{cases}, \quad \tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} = \begin{cases}\n\left(\tilde{x}(a)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(c)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(d)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{L}, u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{U}\right], \\
\left(\tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(m)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(n)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(n)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{L}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}\right]\n\end{cases}, \quad \tilde{x}_{ij}^{IV} = \begin{cases}\n\left(\tilde{x}(a)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{L}, u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{U}\right], \\
\left(\tilde{x}(b)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(m)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{x}(n)_{ij}^{IV}, \tilde{c}(n)_{ij}^{IV}\right)\left[u_{\tilde{c}_{ij}^{IV}}^{L}, \tilde{c}(f)_{ij}^{IV}\
$$

4.2. Steps for Balancing of NTP by Existing Method

0 $j=0$

The total transportation cost does not depends on the mode of transportation and distance, also the framework of the problem will be denoted by either crisp or IVTrNeNs. For solution of NTP, first we convert all IVTrNeNs into crisp values by using score function and so the NTP converted into simple TP. After balancing by existing method, the following steps are required for solution of NTP:

- **Step 4.2.1:** To change the each neutrosophic cost \tilde{c}_{ij}^W neutrosophic supply \tilde{a}_i^W and neutrosophic demand \ddot{b}_j^W of NTP in cost matrix into crisp values by using score function $S(\tilde{a}_N^W)$.
- **Step 4.2.2:** For balance TP, verify that the sum of demands is equal to the sum of supply i.e. If

For balance TP, verify that the sum of demands is equal to the sum of supply i.e. If $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} < \sum_{j=0}^{m} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV}$ or $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} > \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV}$ $\forall i, j$ the one can make sure to bala $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i^{\scriptscriptstyle{IV}}=\sum \mathbf{b}_i^{\scriptscriptstyle{IV}}$, $\forall i$, $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_i^N = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_j^N$, $\forall i, j$, by adding a row or column with zero entries in cost matrix.

- **Step 4.2.3:** Verify that the sum of demands is greater than the supply in each row and the sum of supplies are greater than the demand in each column, if ok go on step 4.2.4, otherwise go on step 4.2.2
- **Step 4.2.4:** Here we use the excel solver to solve the TP and obtained optimal solution.

4.3. Steps for Balancing of NTP by MRCM

For balance the unbalance NTP, we use minimum row column method [MRCM] introduced by Saini [45] as follows:

Step 4.3.1. Convert neutrosophic cost \tilde{c}_{ij}^N neutrosophic supply \tilde{a}_i^N and neutrosophic demand \tilde{b}_j^N of NTP in cost matrix to crisp values by using score function $S(\tilde{a}_N^W)$.

Step 4.3.2 If NTP is unbalance i.e.
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} < \text{or} > \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV}, \forall i, j \text{ than we find}
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{a}_{i(m+1)}^{IV} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} \text{ and } \tilde{b}_{j(n+1)}^{IV} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV} \oplus \text{ excess supply},
$$
\nor\n
$$
\tilde{b}_{j(n+1)}^{IV} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV} \text{ and } \tilde{a}_{i(m+1)}^{IV} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} \oplus \text{ excess demand.}
$$

The unit transportation costs are taken as follows:
\n
$$
\tilde{c}_{i(n+1)}^W = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \tilde{c}_{ij}^W, 1 \le i \le m, \quad \tilde{c}_{(m+1)j}^W = \min_{1 \le i \le m} \tilde{c}_{ij}^W, 1 \le j \le n,
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{c}_{ij}^W = \tilde{c}_{ji}^W, 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, \text{ and } \tilde{c}_{(m+1)(n+1)}^W = 0.
$$

- **Step 4.3.3** Obtain optimal solution of NTP by excel solver. Let the neutrosophic optimal solution obtained be \tilde{x}_{ij}^N , $1 \le i \le m+1$, $1 \le j \le n+1$.
- **Step 4.3.4** By assuming $\tilde{\omega}_{m+1}^{\mathit{IV}} = 0$ and using the relation $\tilde{\omega}_i^{\mathit{IV}} \oplus \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j^{\mathit{IV}} = \tilde{\sigma}_i^{\mathit{IV}}$ *i V* $\tilde{\omega}_i^{'W} \oplus \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j^{'W} = \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}^{'W}$ for basic variables, find the values of all the dual variables $\tilde{\omega}_i^W$, $1 \le i \le m$ and \tilde{v}_j^W , $1 \le j \le n+1$,
- **Step 4.3.5.** According to MRCM, $\tilde{\omega}_i^{\text{IV}} = \tilde{\omega}_i^{\text{I}}$ *I* $\tilde{\omega}_i^{\text{IV}} = \tilde{\omega}_i^{\text{IV}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j^{\text{IV}} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j^{\text{IV}}$ for $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$, obtain only central rank zero duals.

5. Numerical Example

Let us consider a NTP of type-4 with three container (sources) say M_1 , M_2 , M_3 in which medical equipment are initially stored and ready to transport in three different destinations (cities), say C_1 , C_2 , C_3 with unit transportation cost, demand and supply are as IVTrNeN. The input data of NTP with IVTrNeNs is given in table 1:

With the help of score function, the cost, demand and supply of NTP i.e. in IVTrNeNs are convert into the crisp numbers as follows:

 $S(\tilde{c}_{11}^{W}) = -4.58333$, $S(\tilde{c}_{12}^{W}) = -1$, $S(\tilde{c}_{13}^{W}) = -0.11667$, $S(\tilde{a}_{1}^{W}) = -0.33333$, $S(\tilde{c}_{21}^{W}) = -0.31667$, $S(\tilde{c}_{22}^{IV}) = -0.16667$, $S(\tilde{c}_{23}^{IV}) = -0.333333$, $S(\tilde{a}_{2}^{IV}) = -2.50$, $S(\tilde{c}_{31}^{IV}) = -4.66667$, $S(\tilde{c}_{32}^{IV}) = -0.16667$, $S(\tilde{c}_{33}^{IV}) = -0.183333$, $S(\tilde{a}_3^{\{V\}}) = -8.83334$, $S(\tilde{b}_1^{\{V\}}) = -0.58333$, $S(\tilde{b}_2^{\{V\}}) = -4.66667$, $S(\tilde{b}_3^{\{V\}}) = -8.0$

The unbalance TP with crisp values shown in table 2:

In table 2, $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{a}_i^N = -11.6667$, $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_j^N = -1$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{b}_j^N = -13.25$, i.e. $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{b}_{j}^{IV} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \tilde{a}_{i}^{IV} = 1.58300,$ this shows that NTP is

unbalanced. The balance TP and the solution of NTP in crisp form by excel solver shown in table 3 and table 4 respectively as follows:

Table 4	М.	$M_{\rm{2}}$	$M_{\tiny 3}$	$M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	Supply
	1.91667	-3.83333		1.583	-0.33333
C_{2}	-2.5				-2.50
		-0.83334	-8		-8.83334
Demand	-0.58333	-4.66667	-8.0	1.58300	

The optimal solution of NTP in crisp form is $Z_{CTP} = -2.55419$. The solution of NTP with IVTrNeNs shown in table 5:

*Rajesh Kumar Saini*1 Atul Sangal² and Ashik Ahirwar³ , A Novel Approach by using Interval-Valued Trapezoidal*

Neutronophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 51, 2022\n
$$
Z_{NTP} = \n\begin{pmatrix}\n(7,12,21.5,28);[0.7,0.9] & (-25,-5,14,27);[0.7,0.9] & -(7,11,15,19);[0.6,0.8] & (-71,-14,49,104);[0.7,0.8] & (7,12,21.5,28);[0.4,0.5] & -(24,-6,12.5,25);[0.3,0.5] & -(62,-12,43.5,88);[0.3,0.5] & (2,8,15.5,22);[0.3,0.4] & -(23,-7,4,18);[0.3,0.4] & -(35,7,11);[0.2,0.3] & -(60,-11,27,74);[0.2,0.4] & -(70,-21,33,80);[0.6,0.8] & -(90,-12,43.5,88);[0.3,0.5] & -(90,-12,27,4);[0.2,0.4] & -(90,-12,27,4);[0.2,0.4] & -(90,-12,27,4);[0.2,0.4] & -(90,-12,27,4);[0.2,0.4] & -(90,-12,27,4);[0.2,0.4] & (0,0,0,0);[0.3,0.5] & -(3,8,12,16);[0.3,0.5] & -(4,10,18,28);[0.3,0.5] & -(4,10,18,28);[0.3,0.4] & -(4,8,5,14,17);[0.6,0.8] & -(19,-5,9,25);[0.3,0.5] & -(18,-5,9,25);[0.3,0.5] & -(15,7,12);[0.2,0.4] & -(15,7,12);[0.2,0.4] & -(9,16,23,30);[0.7,0.9] & -(1648,94.5,1966.5,4586);[0.6,0.9] & -(19,9,-5,9,
$$

Now we balance the unbalance CTP in table 2 by MRCM, the balance CTP with crisp numbers shown in table 6 as follows:

Table 6	$M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	$M_{\rm{2}}$	$M_{\rm a}$	$M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	Supply
	-4.58333	-1	-0.11667	-4.58333	-0.33333
C_{2}	-0.31667	-0.16667	-0.33333	-0.33333	-2.50
C_{3}	-4.66667	-0.16667	-0.18333	-4.66667	-8.83334
C ₄	-4.66667	-1	-0.33333		-11.6667
Demand	-0.58333	-4.66667	-8.0	-10.0834	

The solution of balance CTP as in table 7

The cost $Z_{CTP(MRCM)} = -8.91364$.

The solution of corresponding balanced NTP shown in table 8 as follows:

Table 8	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_{3}	$M_{\overline{4}}$	Supply
	$(-64, -35, -8, 13); [0.7, 0.9],$ $(-48, -31, -5.5, 13); [0.4, 0.5]$ $\left(-54, -30, -11, 10\right)$; [0.3, 0.4] /	(6, 12, 23, 33); [0.7, 0.8], $(4, 10, 19, 28)$; [0.4, 0.5], (2, 8, 15, 24); [0.2, 0.4]	$\left\langle (12, 18, 25, 33); [0.7, 0.9] \right\rangle$ (9, 16, 23, 30); [0.4, 0.5], (5, 14, 20, 27); [0.3, 0.4]	/(-147, -49, 61, 157); [0.7, 0.9], $(-127, -46.5, 46, 132); [0.4, 0.5],$ $\left(-115, -33, 36, 120\right), [0.3, 0.4]$	/(9, 19, 28, 34); [0.7, 0.8] (7,12,19,24);[0.4,0.5], (3, 8, 11, 16); [0.2, 0.4]
\mathbf{v}_2	$/8$, 14, 25, 35); [0.7, 0.8], $(4, 10, 18, 28)$; [0.3, 0.5], (1, 8, 14, 22); [0.3, 0.4]				/8, 14, 25, 35); [0.7, 0.8], (4, 10, 18, 28); [0.3, 0.5] (1, 8, 14, 22); [0.3, 0.4]
	/(14, 22, 30, 39); [0.6, 0.8], (12, 18, 25, 34); [0.3, 0.5] (8, 15, 23, 31); [0.2, 0.4]				$/(14, 22, 30, 39)$; [0.6, 0.8], \langle (12, 18, 25, 34); [0.3, 0.5], (8, 15, 23, 31); [0.2, 0.4]
				/(31, 55, 83, 108); [0.6, 0.8],\ $(23, 40, 62, 86)$; [0.3, 0.5], (12, 31, 48, 69); [0.2, 0.4]	$/(31, 55, 83, 108)$; [0.6, 0.8], $(23, 40, 62, 86)$; [0.3, 0.5], (12, 31, 48, 69); [0.2, 0.4]
Demand	$/(10, 20, 28, 35); [0.7, 0.9], \setminus$ $(4, 12, 22.5, 29)$; [0.4, 0.5], $\setminus (-1, 7, 12, 19); [0.3, 0.4],$	(6, 12, 23, 33); [0.7, 0.8], $(4, 10, 19, 28)$; [0.4, 0.5], (2, 8, 15, 24); [0.2, 0.4]	$\left\langle (12, 18, 25, 33); [0.7, 0.9] \right\rangle$ (9, 16, 23, 30); [0.4, 0.5], (5, 14, 20, 27); [0.3, 0.4]	/(-39, 34, 116, 188); [0.7, 0.9], ' $(-41, 15.5, 86, 155); [0.4, 0.5]$ $(-46, 15, 67, 132); [0.3, 0.4]$	

6. **Comparative Study**

To maintain physical distance during Covid-19 pandemic, we introduced here some advanced version of neutrosophic numbers such as IVTrNeNs, which provides the better results in real life for uncertainty and hesitation in place of crisp numbers. For practical application of NTP type-4, the minimum cost of unbalanced CTP and NTP obtained by VAM and MRCM is summarized in table 9. It is also clear from the table 9, that minimum cost of unbalanced CTP and NTP obtained by using MRCM is far better than the existing method VAM. In figure 4, the bar graph represents the minimum cost of CTP and NTP and their comparison for better one.

Figure 3: Comparison of results by chart

8. Result and discussion

In this present study the optimal transportation crisp cost and optimal transportation neutrosophic cost of unbalanced NTP using MRCM is minimum than the existing method in [30]. It is also verified that in de-neutrosophication, the crisp values before and after conversion from neutrosophic to crisp and crisp to neutrosophic are different. For the real life applications one can find the degree of result. The best of minimum neutrosophic cost of unbalanced NTP is $(1230, 234, 3221, 7857.5)$; [0.6,00]

$$
Z_{\text{NTP}(\text{MRCM})} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1230, -234, 3221, 7857.5) ; [0.6, 0.9], \\ (-593, -297, 1832.75, 5793) ; [0.3, 0.5], \\ (-311, -264, 1110.5, 4340) ; [0.2, 0.3] \end{pmatrix}
$$
 i.e. total minimum transportation cost lies between

1230 to 7857.5 in the interval [0.6, 0.9] for level of truthfulness, -593 to 5793 in the interval [0.3, 0.5] for level of indeterminacy and -311 to 4340 in the interval [0.2, 0.3] for level of falsity. $u_{T_{xN}^{IV}} \times 100$, $v_{I_{xN}^{IV}}$ and $w_{F_{\vec{n}^V_N}} \times 100$ represents the degree of truthfulness, degree of indeterminacy and degree of falsity respectively. Thus

$$
v_{L_{\tau_{s_v}^{IV}}} (x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x+1230}{1230-234}\right)[0.6,0.9], & \text{for } -1230 \le x \le -234, \\ [0.6,0.9], & \text{for } -234 \le x \le 3221, \\ \left(\frac{7857.5-x}{7857.5-3221}\right)[0.6,0.9], & \text{for } 3221 \le x \le 7857.5, \\ [0, & \text{for otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

$$
v_{L_{\tau_{s_v}^{IV}}} (x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-297-x)+(x+593)[0.3,0.5]}{593-297}, & \text{for } -593 \le x \le -297, \\ \frac{(x-1832.75)+(5793-x)[0.3,0.5]}{5793-1832.75}, & \text{for } 1832.75 \le x \le 5793, \\ [0, & \text{for otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

$$
v_{L_{\tau_{s_v}^{IV}}} (x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-264-x)+(x+311)[0.2,0.3]}{311-264}, & \text{for } -311 \le x \le -264, \\ [0.2,0.3], & \text{for } -264 \le x \le 1110.5, \\ \frac{(x-1110.5)+(4340-x)[0.2,0.3]}{4340-1110.5}, & \text{for } 1110.5 \le x \le 4340, \\ [0, & \text{for otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

where *x* denotes the total cost.

Figure 3: Degree of truthfulness, indeterminacy and falsity

The total neutrosophic cost from the range of -1230 to 7857.5 for truthfulness, -593 to 5793 for indeterminacy and -311to 4340 for falsity are concluded by degree of truthfulness, degree of indeterminacy and degree of falsity to schedule the transportation cost and budget allocation.

9. Conclusions and Novelty

Today in society, the concept of neutrosophic numbers is well linked to handling uncertainty or vagueness in applied mathematical modeling. The current research paper is the study of unbalanced CTP & NTP by introducing a new balancing approach MRCM to obtain an optimal solution where all parameters and values of TP are as IVTrNeNs. The proposed ranking function provides a more practical structure and considers the various characteristics of TP in a neutrosophic environment. Such a type of transportation problem with IVTrNeNs and their comparison between the two methods are not introduced earlier, and we hope that in the future, the proposed MRCM will be more applicable to the multilevel programming problem, unbalanced multi-attribute transportation problem, and multilevel assignment problems. The existing analysis will be a landmark for TP's with generalization by considering the pick value of truth, indeterminacy, and falsity functions and for schedule transportation cost and budget allocation for the total neutrosophic cost, that concluded by a degree of truthfulness, degree of indeterminacy, and degree of falsity.

Acknowledgement: My sincere thanks to Professor Mohamed Abdel Baset, who gave me some useful suggestions to modify our paper as of international repute.

References

- 1. Zadeh L. A., "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, no. 3, (1965), 338–353. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2272014>
- 2. Ebrahimnejad A. and Verdegay, J.L., An efficient computational approach for solving type-2 intuitionistic fuzzy numbers based transportation problems, [International Journal of](https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=17800156712&tip=sid) [Computational Intelligence Systems,](https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=17800156712&tip=sid) 9(6), (2016), 1154– 1173., [https://doi.org/10.1080/18756891.2016.1256576](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/18756891.2016.1256576)

3. Ebrahimnejad A. and Verdegay J.L., A new approach for solving fully intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problems, [Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making,](https://www.springer.com/journal/10700) 17(4), (2018), 447–474., doi: 10.1007/s10700-017-9280-1

- 4. Atanassov K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets System, vol. 20, no. 1, (1986), 87–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3)
- 5. Atanassov K. T., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Appl., Physica, Heidelberg, Germany (1999).https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1870-3_1
- 6. Chen S. M. and Han W.H., An improved MADM method using interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy values, Information Sciences, 467 (2018), 489-505.
- 7. Roy S.K., Ebrahimnejad A., Verdegay J.L. and Das, S., New approach for solving intuitionistic fuzzy multi-objective transportation problem. Sadhana 43(1), 3 (2018), 1-12.https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046- 017-0777-7
- 8. Wan S. P. and Dong J. Y. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy mathematical programming method for hybrid multi-criteria group decision, Expert Systems with Applications, 31(10), (2016), 1908- 1914.
- 9. Xu Z., Methods for aggregating interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy information and their application to decision making, Control and Decision, 22 (2) (2007), 215-219.
- 10. Zeng S., Chen S.M. and Fan K.Y., Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute decision making based on nonlinear programming methodology and TOPSIS method, Information Sciences 506 (2020), 424-442.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2019.08.027>
- 11. Atanassov K.T., Gargov, An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets System, 31, (1989), 343–349. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(89\)90205-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(89)90205-4)
- 12. Smarandache F., "Neutrosophic set, a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 24, no. 3, (2005) 287–297.
- 13. Wang H, Smarandache F., Zhang Y. Q. and Sunderraman R., "Single valued neutrosophic sets," multi-space and multi-structure, vol. 4, (2010), 410–413.
- 14. Smarandache F., A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, USA, 1998.
- 15. Smarandache F., Neutrosophic Theory and its Applications, Collected Papers, EuropaNova, Brussels, Belgium, Vol. 1, (2014), 480 p.
- 16. Ye J. and Smarandache F., Similarity Measure of Refined Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Its Multicriteria Decision Making, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 12, (2016), 41-44.
- 17. Smarandache F., Interval-Valued Neutrosophic Oversets, Neutrosophic Undersets, and Neutrosophic Offsets, Int. Jl. of Science and Engg. Investi., vol. 5, issue 54, (2016), 2251-8843. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01340831
- 18. Bharati S.K. and Singh S.R., A new interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers: ranking methodology and application, [New Mathematics and Natural Computation,](https://www.worldscientific.com/worldscinet/nmnc) 14(03), (2018), 363–381. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793005718500229>
- 19. Chen S. M. and Huang Z.C., Multiattribute decision making based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy values and linear programming methodology, Information Sciences, 381 (2017) 341-351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2016.11.010>

20. Jeyakumar V. and Li G., Characterizing robust set containments and solutions of uncertain linear programs without qualifications', Operations Research Letters, vol. 38, (2010), 188 – 194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2009.12.004>

- 21. Kour D., Mukherjee S. and Basu K., Solving intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem using linear programming, Int. Jl. of Syst. Assurance Engg. and Management, 8(2), (2017), 1090–1101. DOI: 10.1007/s13198-017-0575-y
- 22. Khatter K., [Neutrosophic linear programming using possibilistic mean,](https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=m5Q6ntwAAAAJ&citation_for_view=m5Q6ntwAAAAJ:R3hNpaxXUhUC) Soft Computing 24 (22), (2020), 16847-16867.https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-020-04980-y
- 23. Rani D., Gulati T.R. and Garg H., Multi-objective non-linear programming problem in intuitionistic fuzzy environment, Expert Systems with Applications, 64, (2016), 228– 238[.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2016.07.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2016.07.034)
- 24. Smarandache F., Yuhua Fu and Fengjuan Z., Unsolved Problems in Special and General Relativity, Education Publishing (Columbus, USA) & Journal of Matter Regularity, (2013), 311 p. DOI:10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.101549V1
- 25. Xu Z., "Dependent uncertain ordered weighted aggregation operators," Information Fusion, vol. 9, no. 2, (2008) 310–316[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2006.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2006.10.008)
- 26. Xu Z., "On method for uncertain multiple attribute decision making problems with uncertain multiplicative preference information on alternatives," Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making, vol. 4, no. 2, (2005), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10700-004-5869-2
- 27. Yager R.R., A procedure for ordering fuzzy subsets of the unit interval, Information science, 24(2), (1981), 143–161[. https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0255\(81\)90017-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0255(81)90017-7)
- 28. Yu V. F., Hu K.-J and Chang A.-Y, An interactive approach for the multi-objective transportation problem with interval parameters, Inter. Journal of Production Res., Vol. 53, no. 4, (2015), 1051– 1064. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2014.939236
- 29. Zimmerman H.J., Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions. Fuzzy Sets System, 1, (1978), 45–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(78\)90031-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(78)90031-3)
- 30. Hitchcock F.L., The distribution of a product from several sources to numerous localities, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 20(2), (1941), 224–230. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sapm1941201224
- 31. Kumar P.S., A note on a new approach for solving intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem of type-2, [International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management,](https://www.inderscience.com/jhome.php?jcode=ijlsm) 29(1), (2018), 102– 129.DOI[:10.1504/IJLSM.2018.088586](http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJLSM.2018.088586)
- 32. Ben-Tal, A. and Nemirovski, A., ''Robust solutions to uncertain linear programs.'' OR Letters 25, (1999), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6377\(99\)00016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6377(99)00016-4)
- 33. Bharati S.K. and Malhotra, R., Two stage intuitionistic fuzzy time minimizing transportation problem based on generalized Zadeh's extension principle, Int. Jl. of Syst. Assurance Engg. and Management, 8(2), (2017), 1442– 1449. DOI: 10.1007/s13198-017-0613-9
- 34. Bharati S.K., Trapezoidal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Fractional Transportation Problem, [Advances in](https://link.springer.com/bookseries/11156) [Intelligent Systems and Computing,](https://link.springer.com/bookseries/11156) AISC, vol. 817, (2018), 833-842.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981- 13-1595-4_66
- 35. Chanas S., Kolodziejczyk W. and Machaj A., A fuzzy approach to the transportation problem, Fuzzy Sets System, 13(3), (1984), 211–221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(84\)90057-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(84)90057-5)

36. Chanas, S. and Kuchta, D., A concept of the optimal solution of the transportation problem with fuzzy cost coefficients, Fuzzy Sets System, 82(3), (1996), 299–305[.https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(95)00278-2) [0114\(95\)00278-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(95)00278-2)

- 37. de Jesus Rubio, J., SOFMLS, online self-organizing fuzzy modified least-squares network, [IEEE](https://cis.ieee.org/publications/t-fuzzy-systems) [Transactions on Fuzzy Systems,](https://cis.ieee.org/publications/t-fuzzy-systems) 17(6), (2009), 1296–1309. DOI: [10.1109/TFUZZ.2009.2029569](https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2009.2029569)
- 38. Deli I., Aman N., Intuitionistic fuzzy parameterized soft set theory and its decision making, Applied Soft Computing 28 (2015), 109-113. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1301.0454
- 39. G. J. Klir and B. Yuan, Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic : Theory and Applications, Prentice Hall, 1995,[.http://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/id/eprint/7049](http://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/id/eprint/7049)
- 40. Kumar P.S., A note on a new approach for solving intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem of type-2, [International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management,](https://www.inderscience.com/jhome.php?jcode=ijlsm) 29(1), (2018), 102– 129.DOI[:10.1504/IJLSM.2018.088586](http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJLSM.2018.088586)
- 41. Liu S.T., Fractional transportation problem with fuzzy parameters, Soft computing 20(9), (2016), 3629–3636.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-015-1722-5>
- 42. Li L. and Lai K.K., A fuzzy approach to the multi-objective transportation problem, Operations research and computers, 27(1), (2000), 43–57. DOI[:10.1016/S0305-0548\(99\)00007-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0548(99)00007-6)
- 43. Mahmoodirad A., Allahviranloo T. and Niroomand S., A new effective solution method for fully intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem, Soft computing, 23(12), (2019), 4521–4530. DOI[:10.1007/s00500-018-3115-z](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00500-018-3115-z)
- 44. Roy S.K. and Midya S., Multi-objective fixed-charge solid transportation problem with product blending under intuitionistic fuzzy environment, Applied Intelligence, 49(10), (2019), 3524– 3538[.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-019-01466-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-019-01466-9)
- 45. Saini R. K., Sangal A and Prakash O, A Comparative Study of Transportation Problem for Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers, Int. Jl. of App. Engg. Res., vol. 10, 24 (2015), 44105-44111.
- 46. Saini R. K., Sangal A. and Manisha, Application of Single Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers in Transportation Problem, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 35, (2020), 563 – 583. http://fs.unm.edu/NSS2/index.php/111/article/view/45
- 47. Singh S.K. and Yadav S.P., A novel approach for solving fully intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem, International Journal of Operational Research, 26(4), (2016), 460–472. [https://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:ids:ijores:v:26:y:2016:i:4:p:460-472](https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:ids:ijores:v:26:y:2016:i:4:p:460-472)
- 48. Broumi S., Smarandache F., Soft Interval Valued Neutrosophic Rough Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 7 (2015) 69- 80. DOI[:10.5281/zenodo.30314](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.30314)
- 49. Broumi S., Deli I. and Smarandache F., Distance and Similarity Measures of Interval Neutrosophic Soft Sets, Critical Review: Creighton University, USA, 8, (2014), 14–31. https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/math_fsp/474
- 50. Ishibuchi, H. and Tanaka, H., Multiobjective Programming in Optimization of the Interval Objective Function, European Journal of Operational Research, 48, (1990), 219- 225[.https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(90\)90375-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(90)90375-L)
- 51. Chen T.Y., The inclusion-based LINMAP method for multiple criteria decision analysis within an interval-valued Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy environment, Int. Jl. of info. Tech. & decision making, 13(06), (2014), 1325–1360[.https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622014500722](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622014500722)

52. Kaur A. and Kumar A., "A new approach for solving fuzzy transportation problems using generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers," Applied Soft Computing, vol. 12, no. 3, (2012), 1201–1213. DOI[:10.1016/j.asoc.2011.10.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2011.10.014)

- 53. Garg H. and Kumar K., A novel exponential distance and its based TOPSIS method for intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy sets using connection number of SPA theory, Artificial Intelligence Review, 53 (1), (2020), 595-624.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-018-9668-5
- 54. Garg H. and Kumar K., A novel possibility measure to interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set using connection number of set pair analysis and its applications, Neural Computing and Applications 32 (2020), 3337-3348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04291-w
- 55. Khatter K., Interval valued trapezoidal neutrosophic set: multi-attribute decision making for prioritization of non-functional requirements, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, (2021) 12, 1039–1055.https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02130-8
- 56. Li D., Extension principles for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets and algebraic operations. Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making, 10, (2011), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10700-010- 9095-9
- 57. Sengupta A. and Pal T. K., "On comparing interval numbers," European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 127, no. 1, (2000), 28–43.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(99)00319-7
- 58. Wu, J. and Y. Liu, An approach for multiple attribute group decision making problems with interval-valued intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, Computers and Industrial Engg., 66, (2013), 311-324.DOI[:10.1016/j.cie.2013.07.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2013.07.001)
- 59. Ye J., Fault diagnoses of steam turbine using the exponential similarity measure of neutrosophic numbers, [Journal of Intelligent & fuzzy Systems,](https://www.researchgate.net/journal/Journal-of-Intelligent-Systems-2191-026X)30 (2016), 1927–1934.DOI[:10.3233/IFS-151903](http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/IFS-151903)
- 60. Abdel-Basset, M., et al., An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selectionwith group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number, [Applied Soft Computing Journal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.01.035) 77 [\(2019\), 438](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.01.035)–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.01.035.
- 61. Abdel-Basset, M., et al., Hybrid Multi-Criteria Decision Making approach for the evaluation of sustainable photovoltaic farms locations, [Journal of Cleaner Production,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-cleaner-production) [Volume 328,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-cleaner-production/vol/328/suppl/C) 15 December 2021,<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129526>
- 62. Abdel-Basset, M., et al., A Comprehensive Framework for Evaluating Sustainable Green Building Indicators under an Uncertain Environment, Sustainability 2021, 13, 6243, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116243>
- 63. Abdel-Basset, M., et al., A Bipolar Neutrosophic Multi Criteria Decision Making Framework for Professional Selection, Appl. Sci. 2020, 10(4), 1202[; https://doi.org/10.3390/app10041202](https://doi.org/10.3390/app10041202)
- 64. Deli I., S¸uba , Single valued neutrosophic numbers and their applications to multicriteria decision making problem, Nural Computing & Applications, (2014), 1-13.
- 65. [Ishibuchi](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/037722179090375L#!) H. and [Tanaka](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/037722179090375L#!) H., Multiobjective programming in optimization of the interval objective function, [European Journal of Operational Research,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03772217) [Vol. 48, 2,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03772217/48/2) 25 (1990), 219-225. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(90\)90375-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(90)90375-L)
- 66. Chen P., "An interval estimation for the number of signals," Signal Processing, vol. 85, no. 8, (2005), 1623–1633[.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sigpro.2005.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sigpro.2005.03.003)

67. Ye J., Some weighted aggregation operators of trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and their multiple attribute decision making method, Informatica, 28(2), (2017), 387–402. DOI[:10.15388/Informatica.2017.108](http://dx.doi.org/10.15388/Informatica.2017.108)

- 68. Ye J. Neutrosophic number linear programming method and its application under neutrosophic number environments, Soft Computing, 22(14), (2018a), 4639–4646. DO[I:10.1007/s00500-017-2646-z](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00500-017-2646-z)
- 69. Şahin R., [Fuzzy multicriteria decision making method based on the improved accuracy function](https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=-yds7x8AAAAJ&citation_for_view=-yds7x8AAAAJ:hqOjcs7Dif8C) [for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets,](https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=-yds7x8AAAAJ&citation_for_view=-yds7x8AAAAJ:hqOjcs7Dif8C) Soft computing, 20 (7), 2557-2563. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-015-1657-x
- 70. Ye J., Multiple-Attribute Group Decision-Making Method under a Neutrosophic Number Environment, [Journal of Intelligent & fuzzy Systems,](https://www.researchgate.net/journal/Journal-of-Intelligent-Systems-2191-026X) 25(3), (2016), 377-386. DOI[:10.1515/jisys-2014-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/jisys-2014-0149) [0149](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/jisys-2014-0149)

Received: July 20, 2022. Accepted: September 20, 2022.