

4-12-2022

Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

V. Karthikeyan

R. Karuppaiya

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Karthikeyan, V. and R. Karuppaiya. "Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 49, 1 (2022). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol49/iss1/27

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

V. Karthikeyan ^{1*}, R. Karuppaiya²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College of Engineering, Dharmapuri
Tamil Nadu, India ; vkarthikau@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Chidambaram; rajaanju.40@gmail.com

*Correspondence: vkarthikau@gmail.com; Tel.: (+91-9489447287)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduced direct product, restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata and prove that direct, restricted direct product of cyclic and retrievable of interval neutrosophic automata are cyclic and retrievable interval neutrosophic automata.

Keywords: Cyclic, Retrievability, Direct product.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophic set is a part of neutrosophy which studies the origin, nature, and scope of neutralities, as well as their interactions with different ideational spectra. Neutrosophic set is a powerful general formal framework that has been recently proposed. The theory of neutrosophy and neutrosophic set was introduced by Florentin Smarandache in 1999 [18]. The neutrosophic set is the generalization of classical sets, fuzzy set [22] and so on. The concept of fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set unsuccessful when the relation is indeterminate. Neutrosophic sets are powerful logics designed to facilitate understanding of indeterminate and inconsistent information. A neutrosophic set consider truth-membership, in-determinacy-membership and falsity-membership which are completely independent. A neutrosophic set N is classified by a Truth membership T_N , Indeterminacy membership I_N , and Falsity membership function F_N , where T_N, I_N , and F_N are real standard and non-standard subsets of $]0^-, 1^+[$.

Wang *et al.* [20] introduced the notion of interval-valued neutrosophic sets. The interval neutrosophic set are characterized by an interval membership degree, interval indeterminacy degree, and interval nonmembership degree.

Neutrosophic sets and methods have recently gained popularity in a variety of domains and it has lot of applications. For example, on similarity and entropy in neutrosophic sets were discussed in [16]. Subsequently, on entropy and similarity measure of interval valued neutrosophic sets was discussed in [1]. Multi-criteria decision-making method based on a cross-entropy with interval neutrosophic sets were discussed in [19]. An interval neutrosophic linguistic multi-criteria group decision-making method and its application in selecting medical treatment options were discussed in [13].

The concept of single valued and interval valued neutrosophic set applied in automata theory. It was introduced by Tahir Mahmood et. al in [14, 15]. Consequently, J. Kavikumar et.al were introduced neutrosophic general finite automata and composite neutrosophic finite automata [11, 12]. Later, the concept interval valued neutrosophic automata applied in retrievability, subsystem, strong subsystem and characterizations of submachines were discussed in [4–7].

Products is important concept in automata theory since it produce a new automata with the existing automata by taking products. The Cartesian composition of automata was discussed by W. Dorfler in 1977 [3]. Cartesian product of fuzzy automata was discussed by D. S. Malik et.al [17]. Later number of authors have worked in these lines. Generalized products of directable fuzzy automata were discussed in [8]. Generalized products of Δ -synchronized fuzzy automata were discussed in [9]. Cartesian products of interval neutrosophic automata were discussed in [10].

In this paper, we introduce direct and restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata and prove that direct and restricted direct product of cyclic, retirevable of interval neutrosophic automata are cyclic, retirevable interval neutrosophic automata.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [18] Let U be the universe of discourse. A neutrosophic set (NS) N in U is defined by a truth membership T_N , indeterminacy membership I_N and a falsity membership F_N , where T_N, I_N , and F_N are real standard or non-standard subsets of $]0^-, 1^+[$. That is

$$N = \{ \langle x, (T_N(x), I_N(x), F_N(x)) \rangle, x \in U, T_N, I_N, F_N \in]0^-, 1^+[\} \text{ and}$$

$0^- \leq \sup T_N(x) + \sup I_N(x) + \sup F_N(x) \leq 3^+$. We use the interval $[0, 1]$ instead of $]0^-, 1^+[$.

Definition 2.2. [20] Interval neutrosophic set (*INS* for short) is of the form $N = \{ \langle \alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \rangle \mid x \in U \}$

$$= \{ \langle x, [\inf \alpha_N(x), \sup \alpha_N(x)], [\inf \beta_N(x), \sup \beta_N(x)], [\inf \gamma_N(x), \sup \gamma_N(x)] \rangle \},$$

$x \in U, \alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and

$$0 \leq \sup \alpha_N(x) + \sup \beta_N(x) + \sup \gamma_N(x) \leq 3.$$

Definition 2.3. [20] An *INS* N is empty if $\inf \alpha_N(x) = \sup \alpha_N(x) = 0$, $\inf \beta_N(x) = \sup \beta_N(x) = 1$, $\inf \gamma_N(x) = \sup \gamma_N(x) = 1$ for all $x \in U$.

Definition 2.4. [14] Interval neutrosophic automaton $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ (*INA for short*), where Q and Σ are non-empty finite sets called the set of states and input symbols respectively, and $N = \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an *INS* in $Q \times \Sigma \times Q$.

The set of all words of finite length of Σ is denoted by Σ^* . The empty word is denoted by ϵ , and the length of each $x \in \Sigma^*$ is denoted by $|x|$.

Definition 2.5. [14] Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be an interval neutrosophic automaton and extended interval neutrosophic set is defined as $N^* = \{(\alpha_{N^*}(x), \beta_{N^*}(x), \gamma_{N^*}(x))\}$ in $Q \times \Sigma^* \times Q$ by

$$\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_j) = \begin{cases} [1, 1] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [0, 0] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_j) = \begin{cases} [0, 0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1, 1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_j) = \begin{cases} [0, 0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1, 1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \bigvee_{q_r \in Q} [\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \wedge \alpha_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)],$$

$$\beta_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \beta_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \bigwedge_{q_r \in Q} [\beta_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \vee \beta_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)],$$

$$\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \bigwedge_{q_r \in Q} [\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \vee \gamma_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j)], \forall q_i, q_j \in Q,$$

$$w = xy, x \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } y \in \Sigma.$$

3. Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

Definition 3.1. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, N_1 \times N_2)$, where

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), (a, b), (q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}(q_j, b, q_l)$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), (a, b), (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}(q_j, b, q_l)$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), (a, b), (q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}(q_j, b, q_l).$$

$\forall (q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2, (a, b) \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ is called direct product of interval neutrosophic automata.

Definition 3.2. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma, N_1 \times N_2)$, where

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_l)$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_l)$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_l).$$

$\forall (q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2, a \in \Sigma$. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ is called restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata.

Definition 3.3. Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be an interval neutrosophic automaton. M is said to be cyclic if $\exists q_i \in Q$ such that $Q = S(q_i)$.

Definition 3.4. Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be an interval neutrosophic automaton. M is said to be connected if $\forall q_j, q_i$ and $\exists a \in \Sigma$ such that either

$$\alpha_N(q_i, a, q_j) > [0, 0], \beta_N(q_i, a, q_j) < [1, 1], \gamma_N(q_i, a, q_j) < [1, 1] \text{ or}$$

$$\alpha_N(q_j, a, q_i) > [0, 0], \beta_N(q_j, a, q_i) < [1, 1], \gamma_N(q_j, a, q_i) < [1, 1].$$

Definition 3.5. Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be an interval neutrosophic automaton. M is said to be strongly connected if for every $q_i, q_j \in Q$, there exists $u \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\alpha_N^*(q_i, u, q_j) > [0, 0]$, $\beta_N^*(q_i, u, q_j) < [1, 1], \gamma_N^*(q_i, u, q_j) < [1, 1]$. M is strongly connected if it has no proper subautomaton.

4. Properties of Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

Theorem 4.1. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, N_1 \times N_2)$ be the full direct product of M_1 and M_2 . Then

$$\forall x_1 \in \Sigma_1^*, x_2 \in \Sigma_2^*, x_1, x_2 \neq \epsilon$$

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x_1, x_2)(q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l)$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x_1, x_2)(q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l)$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x_1, x_2)(q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l)$$

$$\forall (q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2.$$

Proof. Let $x_1 \in \Sigma_1^*, x_2 \in \Sigma_2^*, x_1, x_2 \neq \epsilon$. Let $|x_1| = |x_2| = m$. The result is trivial if $m = 1$. Suppose the result is true $\forall u_1 \in \Sigma_1^*, u_2 \in \Sigma_2^*, |u_1| = |u_2| = m - 1, m > 1$. Let $x_1 = a_1 u_1, x_2 = a_2 u_2$ where $a_1 \in \Sigma_1, a_2 \in \Sigma_2$ and $u_1 \in \Sigma_1^*, u_2 \in \Sigma_2^*$. Now,

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (a_1 u_1, a_2 u_2)(q_k, q_l)) =$$

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1 u_1, (q_k, q_l)) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2 u_2, (q_k, q_l))$$

$$= \{ \bigvee_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1, (q_r, q_s)) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_r, q_s), u_1, (q_k, q_l)) \} \wedge$$

$$\{ \bigvee_{(q_u, q_v) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2, (q_u, q_v)) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_u, q_v), u_2, (q_k, q_l)) \}$$

$$= \{ \bigvee_{q_r \in Q_1} \{ \alpha_{N_1}(q_i, a_1, q_r) \wedge \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_r, u_1, q_k) \} \} \wedge \{ \bigvee_{q_u \in Q_2} \{ \alpha_{N_2}(q_j, a_2, q_u) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_u, u_2, q_l) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, a_1 u_1, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, a_2 u_2, q_l) \}$$

$$= \{ \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l) \}$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (a_1 u_1, a_2 u_2)(q_k, q_l)) =$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1 u_1, (q_k, q_l)) \vee (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2 u_2, (q_k, q_l))$$

$$= \{ \bigwedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1, (q_r, q_s)) \vee (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_r, q_s), u_1, (q_k, q_l)) \} \vee$$

$$\{ \bigwedge_{(q_u, q_v) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2, (q_u, q_v)) \vee (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_u, q_v), u_2, (q_k, q_l)) \}$$

$$= \{ \bigwedge_{q_r \in Q_1} \{ \beta_{N_1}(q_i, a_1, q_r) \vee \beta_{N_1}^*(q_r, u_1, q_k) \} \} \vee \{ \bigwedge_{q_u \in Q_2} \{ \beta_{N_2}(q_j, a_2, q_u) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_u, u_2, q_l) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, a_1 u_1, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, a_2 u_2, q_l) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{\beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l))\} \\
 &(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (a_1u_1, a_2u_2)(q_k, q_l)) = \\
 &(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1u_1, (q_k, q_l)) \vee (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2u_2, (q_k, q_l)) \\
 &= \{\wedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_1, (q_r, q_s)) \vee (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r, q_s), u_1, (q_k, q_l))\} \vee \\
 &\{\wedge_{(q_u, q_v) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a_2, (q_u, q_v)) \vee (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_u, q_v), u_2, (q_k, q_l))\}\} \\
 &= \{\wedge_{q_r \in Q_1} \{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i, a_1, q_r) \vee \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_r, u_1, q_k)\}\} \vee \{\wedge_{q_u \in Q_2} \{\gamma_{N_2}(q_j, a_2, q_u) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_u, u_2, q_l)\}\} \\
 &= \{\gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, a_1u_1, q_k \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, a_2u_2, q_l))\} \\
 &= \{\gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x_1, q_k \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, x_2, q_l))\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma, N_1 \times N_2)$ be the restricted direct product of M_1 and M_2 . Then $\forall x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x(q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) \\
 &(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x(q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) \\
 &(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x(q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) \\
 &\forall (q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|x| = n$. If $n = 1$ then the result is obvious. Suppose the result is true for all $x \in \Sigma^*$. Let $x = au$, where $a \in \Sigma, u \in \Sigma^*, |u| = m - 1, m > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), au, (q_k, q_l)) \\
 &= \{\vee_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l))\} \wedge \{(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), u, (q_k, q_l))\}\} \\
 &= \{\vee_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{\alpha_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_r) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_s) \wedge \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_r, u, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_s, u, q_l)\}\} \\
 &= \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, au, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, au, q_l) \\
 &= \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) \\
 &(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), au, (q_k, q_l)) \\
 &= \{\wedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l))\} \vee \{(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), u, (q_k, q_l))\}\} \\
 &= \{\wedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{\beta_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_r) \vee \beta_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_s) \vee \beta_{N_1}^*(q_r, u, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_s, u, q_l)\}\} \\
 &= \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, au, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, au, q_l) \\
 &= \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) \\
 &(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), au, (q_k, q_l)) \\
 &= \{\wedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), a, (q_k, q_l))\} \vee \{(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), u, (q_k, q_l))\}\} \\
 &= \{\wedge_{(q_r, q_s) \in Q_1 \times Q_2} \{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i, a, q_r) \vee \gamma_{N_2}(q_j, a, q_s) \vee \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_r, u, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_s, u, q_l)\}\} \\
 &= \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, au, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, au, q_l) \\
 &= \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l)
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Then full direct product of $M_1 \times M_2$ is cyclic if and only if M_1 and M_2 are cyclic.

Proof. Let \times be full direct product. Suppose M_1 and M_2 are cyclic, say $Q_1 = S(q_i)$ and $Q_2 = S(p_j)$ for some $q_i \in Q_1, p_j \in Q_2$. Let $(q_k, p_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$. Then $\exists x \in \Sigma_1^*$ and such

that $\alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) > [0, 0], \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) < [1, 1], \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) < [1, 1]$ and

$\alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) > [0, 0], \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1], \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1]$, Thus

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1].$$

Hence $(q_k, p_l) \in S((q_i, p_j))$. Thus $Q_1 \times Q_2 = S((q_i, p_j))$. Hence $M_1 \times M_2$ is cyclic. Conversely, suppose $M_1 \times M_2$ is cyclic. Let $Q_1 \times Q_2 = S((q_i, p_j))$ for some $(q_i, p_j) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$. Let $q_k \in Q_1$ and $q_l \in Q_2$.

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1] \text{ and}$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, q_l) < [1, 1].$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. If restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata $M_1 \times M_2$ is cyclic, then M_1 and M_2 are cyclic.

Proof. Let \times be restricted direct product. Suppose $M_1 \times M_2$ are cyclic. $Q_1 \times Q_2 = S((q_i, q_j))$ for some $q_i, q_j \in Q_1 \times Q_2$. Let $q_k \in Q_1, q_l \in Q_2$. Then $(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) > [0, 0]$ $(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) < [1, 1]$ $(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, q_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, x, q_l) < [1, 1]$. Thus $q_k \in S(q_i)$ and $q_l \in S(q_j)$. Therefore $Q_1 = S(q_i)$ for some $q_i \in Q_1$ and $Q_2 = S(q_j)$. Hence M_1 and M_2 are cyclic.

Theorem 4.5. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Then the full direct product of interval neutrosophic automata $M_1 \times M_2$ is retrievable if and only if M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable automata.

Proof. Let \times be full direct product. Suppose that M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable.

Let $(q_i, q_j), (t_k, s_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$ and $(x, y) \in (\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)^*$ be such that

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (t_k, s_l)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, t_k) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, s_l) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (t_k, s_l)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, t_k) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, s_l) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (t_k, s_l)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_i, x, t_k) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_j, y, s_l) < [1, 1]$$

Since M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable $\exists u_1 \in \Sigma_1^*, u_2 \in \Sigma_2^*$ such that

$$\alpha_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) > [0, 0], \beta_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) < [1, 1], \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) < [1, 1]$$

$$\alpha_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j) > [0, 0], \beta_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_l) < [1, 1], \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j) < [1, 1].$$

$$\alpha_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j) (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_i, q_j)) > [0, 0] \beta_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j) (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_i, q_j)) < [1, 1] \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j) (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_i, q_j)) < [1, 1].$$
 Thus, $M_1 \times M_2$ are interval neutrosophic retrievable.

Conversely, suppose $M_1 \times M_2$ are interval neutrosophic retrievable. Let $(q_i, q_j) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$ and

$(x, y) \in (\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)^*, \exists (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$ such that

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), (x, y), (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1].$$

Then $\exists (u_1, u_2) \in (\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)^*$ such that $(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_k, q_l)) > [0, 0]$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), (u_1, u_2), (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\alpha_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j)) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j)) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1}^*(q_k, u_1, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_l, u_2, q_j)) < [1, 1].$$

Hence, M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable.

Theorem 4.6. Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata. Then restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata $M_1 \times M_2$ is interval neutrosophic retrievable then M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable.

Proof. Let \times be interval neutrosophic restricted direct product. suppose $M_1 \times M_2$ is interval neutrosophic retrievable. Let $(q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2, x \in \Sigma$ such that

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i, q_j), x, (q_k, q_l)) < [1, 1] \text{ Then } \exists u \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that}$$

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), u, (q_i, q_j)) = \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_k, u, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^*(q_l, u, q_j) > [0, 0]$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), u, (q_i, q_j)) = \beta_{N_1}^*(q_k, u, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_2}^*(q_l, u, q_j) < [1, 1]$$

$$(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^*((q_k, q_l), u, (q_i, q_j)) = \gamma_{N_1}^*(q_k, u, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^*(q_l, u, q_j) < [1, 1].$$

Hence M_1 and M_2 are interval neutrosophic retrievable.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced direct product, restricted direct product of interval neutrosophic automata and prove that direct, restricted direct product of cyclic and retrievable of interval neutrosophic automata are cyclic and retrievable interval neutrosophic automata.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

1. A. Aydogdu *On Entropy and Similarity Measure of Interval Valued Neutrosophic Sets*, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 9 (2015), 47-49.
2. M. Doostfateme and S. C. Kremer. *New directions in fuzzy automata*, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 38 (2005), 175-214.
3. W. Dorfler, *The Cartesian product of automata*, Mathematical system theory, 11 (1977), 239-257.

V. Karthikeyan, R. Karuppaiya, Products of Interval Neutrosophic Automata.

4. V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya *Retrievability in Interval Neutrosophic Automata*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9(4), (2020), 1637-1644.
5. V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya *Subsystems of Interval Neutrosophic Automata*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9(4), (2020), 1653-1659.
6. V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya *Strong Subsystems of Interval Neutrosophic Automata*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9(4), (2020), 1645-1651.
7. V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya *Characterizations of Submachine of Interval Neutrosophic Automata*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9(4), (2020), 2273-2277.
8. V. Karthikeyan, N. Mohanarao and S. Sivamani *Generalized Products Directable Fuzzy Automata*, Material Today Proceedings 37, (2021), 3531-3533.
9. V. Karthikeyan, N. Mohanarao *Generalized Products of Δ -Synchronized Fuzzy Automata*, J. Math. Comput. Sci 11 (3), (2021), 3151-3154.
10. V. Karthikeyan, N. Mohanarao *Cartesian Product of Interval Neutrosophic Automata*, Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education 12 (12), (2021), 3708-3712.
11. J. Kavikumar, D. Nagarajan, Said Broumi, F. Smarandache, M. Lathamaheswari, and Nur Ain Ebas *Neutrosophic General Finite Automata*, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 27, (2019), 17-34.
12. J. Kavikumar, D. Nagarajan, S.P. Tiwari, Said Broumi and F. Smarandache *Composite Neutrosophic Finite Automata*, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 36, (2020), 282-291.
13. Y. X. Ma, J.Q Wang, J. Wang and X. H. Wu it An interval neutrosophic linguistic multi-criteria group decision-making method and its application in selecting medical treatment options, Neural Computing and Applications, 28, (2017), 2745-2765.
14. T. Mahmood, and Q. Khan *Interval neutrosophic finite switchboard state machine*, Afr. Mat. 27, (2016), 1361-1376.
15. T. Mahmood, Q. Khan, K. Ullah, and N. Jan. *Single valued neutrosophic finite state machine and switchboard state machine*, New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, II, (2018), 384-402.
16. P . Majumdar and S. K. Samanta *On Similarity and Entropy of Neutrosophic Sets*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 26 (3), 1245-1252.
17. D. S. Malik, J. N. Mordeson and M. K. Sen *Proucts of fuzzy finite state machines* Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 92, 1997, 95-102.
18. F. Smarandache, *A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, set and Logic, Re-hoboth: American Research Press*, 1999.
19. Z. P. Tian, H. Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Q. Wang and X. H. Chen *Multi-criteria decision-making method based on a cross-entropy with interval neutrosophic sets*, International Journal of Systems Science, 47 (15), 2016, 3598-3608.
20. H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, and R. Sunderraman *Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Logic.*, Theory and Applications in Computing, 5, (2005), Hexis, Phoenix, AZ.
21. W. G. Wee, *On generalizations of adaptive algorithms and application of the fuzzy sets concepts to pattern classification* Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1967.
22. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, 8(3), 1965,338-353.

Received: Nov. 1, 2021. Accepted: April 3, 2022.