

4-12-2022

Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function and Its Application

A. A. Salama

Huda E. Khaled

H. A. Elagamy

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Salama, A. A.; Huda E. Khaled; and H. A. Elagamy. "Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function and Its Application." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 49, 1 (2022). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol49/iss1/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function and Its Application

A. A. Salama¹, Huda E. Khaled², H. A. Elagamy^{3*}

¹ Dept. of Math and Computer Sci., Faculty of Science, Port Said Univ., Egypt, Email:
drsalama44@gmail.com

²Telafer University, The Administration Assistant for the President of the Telafer University,
Telafer, Iraq. ; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0968-5611> , dr.huda-ismael@uotelafer.edu.iq

³Dept. of Mathematics and Basic sciences, Ministry of Higher Education Higher Future institute of
Engineering and Technology in Mansour, Egypt
Email: hatemelagamy@yahoo.com

*Correspondence: hatemelagamy@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper we introduce the notion of neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological ideals. The concept of neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise local function is also introduced here by utilizing the neutrosophic quasi-coincident neighbourhood (i.e. $Nq - nbd$) structure in a neutrosophic fuzzy topological space. As well as, the concepts of neutrosophic fuzzy bitopologies and several relations between different neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological ideals have been explored.

Keywords: Neutrosophic Fuzzy Bitopological Space; Neutrosophic Fuzzy Ideals; Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function.

1. Introduction: The concept of neutrosophic fuzzy sets and neutrosophic fuzzy set operations was first introduced by Florentin [17]. Subsequently, Salama defined the notion of neutrosophic fuzzy topology [1]. Since then various aspects of bitopological spaces were investigated and carried out in neutrosophic fuzzy by several authors. The notions of neutrosophic fuzzy ideal and neutrosophic fuzzy local function were introduced and studied in [2-8]. Salama was the first researcher who initiated the study of neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological spaces where a neutrosophic fuzzy set equipped with two neutrosophic fuzzy topologies is called a neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological space. Concepts of the neutrosophic fuzzy ideals and the neutrosophic fuzzy local function were introduced and studied in [9-13]. The purpose of this paper is to suggest the

neutrosophic fuzzy ideals in neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological spaces. The concept of neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise local function is also introduced here by utilizing the Nq -neighborhood structure [20], for more details of these concepts and other concepts, the readers can return to [14-19, 20,21].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, by (X, τ_1, τ_2) we mean a neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological space (*nfbts* in short) in the sense of Salama [6]. A neutrosophic fuzzy point in X with support $x \in X$ and the value $\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle$ ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$) is denoted by $x\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle$, [9]. A neutrosophic fuzzy point $x\varepsilon$ is said to be contained in a neutrosophic fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \in I^X$ iff $\varepsilon \leq \mu$ and this will be denoted by $x\varepsilon \text{ in } \mu$ [9]. For a neutrosophic fuzzy set μ in a *nfbts* (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $\tau_i - Ncl(\mu)$, $\tau_i - NInt(\mu)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and μ^c will respectively denote closure, interior and complement of μ . The constant neutrosophic fuzzy sets that taking the values 0 and 1 on X are denoted by $0_N, 1_N$ respectively. A neutrosophic fuzzy set μ in *nfts* is said to be neutrosophic quasi-coincident [9] with a neutrosophic fuzzy set $\eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$, denoted by $\mu Nq \eta$, if there exists $x \text{ in } X$ such that $\mu(x) + \eta(x) > 1$. A neutrosophic fuzzy set $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ in a *nfts* (X, τ) is called a *Nq-nbd* [1,9] of a neutrosophic fuzzy point $x\varepsilon$ iff there exists a neutrosophic fuzzy open set μ such that $x\varepsilon Nq \mu \subseteq v$ we will denote the set of all *Nq-nbd* of $x\varepsilon$ in (X, τ) by $N(x\varepsilon, \tau)$. A nonempty collection of neutrosophic fuzzy sets L of a set X may be called neutrosophic fuzzy ideal [16,8,13] on X iff

(i) $\mu \text{ in } L \text{ and } \eta \subseteq \mu \Rightarrow \eta \text{ in } L$ (heredity),

(ii) $\mu \text{ in } L \text{ and } \eta \text{ in } L \Rightarrow \mu \vee \eta \text{ in } L$ (Finite additivity).

The neutrosophic fuzzy local function [8] $\mu^* \in (L, \tau)$ of a neutrosophic fuzzy set μ may be the union of all neutrosophic fuzzy points $x\varepsilon$ such that if $v \text{ in } N(x\varepsilon)$ and $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in L then there is at least one $r \text{ in } X$ for which $v(r) + \mu(r) - 1 > \rho(r)$. For a *nfts* (X, τ) with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L $ncl^*(\mu) = \mu \vee \mu^*$ [8,16] for any neutrosophic fuzzy set μ of X and $\tau^*(L)$ be the neutrosophic fuzzy topology generated by ncl^* [16].

3. Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Functions.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in a *nfbtns* $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ is called neutrosophic Pairwise Quasi-coincident with a neutrosophic fuzzy set $\eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$ and is denoted by $P(\mu Nq \eta)$, if there exists $x \in X$ such that, either type 1 conditions satisfy, $\mu_1(x) + \eta_1(x) > 1, \mu_2(x) + \eta_2(x) > 1, \mu_3(x) + \eta_3(x) < 1$. Or type 2 conditions satisfied, $\mu_1(x) + \eta_1(x) > 1, \mu_2(x) + \eta_2(x) < 1, \mu_3(x) + \eta_3(x) < 1$.

It is obviously that for any two neutrosophic fuzzy sets μ and η , $NP(\mu Nq \eta)$ is identical to $NP(\eta Nq \mu)$.

Definition 3. 2. A neutrosophic fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in a *nfbtns* $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ is called neutrosophic pairwise quasi-neighborhood of the point $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ if and only if there exists a neutrosophic fuzzy τ_i -open, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ set $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ such that $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} Nq \rho \subseteq \mu$. We will denote the set of all pairwise *Nq* - *nbnd* of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ by $P(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a *nfbtns* with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , and $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in 1_N . Then the neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise local function $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ of $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ is the union of all neutrosophic fuzzy points $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ such that for $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ and λ in L then there is at least one r in X for which $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r), \rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r), \rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$ or $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r), \rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 < \lambda(r), \rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$ where $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ is the set of all *Nq* - *nbnd* of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$. Therefore, any $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ (for any $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin \mu$ (any neutrosophic fuzzy set) implies hereafter, $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ maybe not contained in the neutrosophic fuzzy set μ , i.e. $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin NP\mu^*(x), \mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle (x)$ implies there is at least one ρ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i)$ such that for every r in $X, \rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda(r), \rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda(r), \rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, for some λ in L . We will occasionally write $NP\mu^*$ or $NP\mu^*(L)$ for $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$. We define P^* -neutrosophic fuzzy closure operator, denoted by $Npcl^*$ for fuzzy bitopology $\tau_i^*(L)$ finer than τ_i as follows: $Npcl^*(\mu) = \mu \vee NP\mu^*$ for every fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ on X . When there is no ambiguity, we will simply write the symbols $NP\mu^*$ and τ_i^* for $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$ and $\tau_i^*(L)$, respectively.

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a *nfbtns* with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , a neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise local function $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2), i \in \{1, 2\}$ of $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in 1_N is the union of all

neutrosophic fuzzy points $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ such that for $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i)$ and λ in L . Then there is at least one r in X may be for two types which:

type1, $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$,

type 2, $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$,

where $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i)$ is the set of all Nq -nbd of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ (where $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ is the neutrosophic fuzzy topology generated by τ_1, τ_2).

Example 3.1. One may easily noticed

i- Consider $L = \{0_N\}$, then $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i - Ncl(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$, for any $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \in 1_N, i \in \{1, 2\}$.

ii- Consider $L = \{1_N\}$, then $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) = 0_N$, for any $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \in 1_N, i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Note 3.1. In a *nfbts* $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , we will denote by $\sigma - Ncl(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$ for the neutrosophic closure, and $\sigma - Nint(\mu)$ for the neutrosophic interior of a neutrosophic fuzzy subset $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in 1_N with respect to the neutrosophic fuzzy topology $\sigma = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$.

The following theorems give some general properties of neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise-local function.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a *nfbts* with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on $X, \mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle, \eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$ in 1_N . Then we have:

i- $NP\mu^*(L, \sigma) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i); i \in \{1, 2\}$.

ii- If $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \geq \eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$ then $NP\mu^*(L, \sigma) \subseteq NP\eta^*(L, \tau_i); i \in \{1, 2\}$.

iii- $NP\mu^*(L, \sigma) \subseteq \sigma - Ncl(\mu) \subseteq \tau_i - Ncl(\mu)$.

iv- $NP\mu^{**}(L, \sigma) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i); i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof

i- Let $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$ i.e. $\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle \notin NP\mu^*(x)$ so $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ is not contained in $NP\mu^*$, this implies there is at least one $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle \in NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle})$ in τ_i such that for every r in X ,
 type 1, $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$,
 type 2, $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$,

for some λ in L . Hence ρ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \sigma)$ and so $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin NP\mu^*(L, \sigma)$. Therefore $NP\mu^*(L, \sigma) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i); i \in \{1, 2\}$.

ii- Let $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \in NP\eta^*(L, \tau_i); i \in \{1, 2\}$, . This implies there is at least one $Nq - nbd \rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \tau_i)$ such that every $r \in X$, $\rho_1(r) + \eta_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \eta_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \eta_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, or $\rho_1(r) + \eta_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \eta_2(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \eta_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, λ in L . Hence $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $NPN(x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}, \sigma)$. Since $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \subseteq \eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$, by the heredity property $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$ or $\rho_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $\rho_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, $\rho_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$. Therefore $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \in NP\mu^*(L, \sigma)$.

iii- ,(iv) Obvious .

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a *nfbtns* with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$, $\eta = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$ are two neutrosophic fuzzy sets, if $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$, then

- i- $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_2) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_1)$, for every neutrosophic fuzzy set μ ,
- ii- $\tau_1^* \subseteq \tau_2^*$.

Proof. i- Since every $Nq - nbd$ in τ_1 of any neutrosophic fuzzy point $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ maybe also $Nq - nbd$ in τ_2 . Therefore, $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_2) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_1)$ as there may be other $Nq - nbd$ in τ_2 of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ where is the condition for $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ to be in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_2)$ may be not hold true, although $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_1)$.

ii- Clearly, $\tau_1^* \subseteq \tau_2^*$ as $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_2) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_1)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a *nfbtns* and L, J be two neutrosophic fuzzy ideals with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X . Then for any neutrosophic fuzzy sets $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ and

$\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$. The following statements are satisfied:

- i- $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \subseteq \rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle \Rightarrow NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP\rho^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- ii- $L \subseteq J \Rightarrow NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP\mu^*(J, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- iii- $NP\mu^* = \tau_i - Ncl(NP\mu^*) \subseteq \tau_i - Ncl(\mu), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- iv- $NP\mu^{**}(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- v- $NP(\mu \cup \rho)^*(L, \tau_i) = NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \cup \rho^*(L, \tau_i)$.

vi- $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $L \Rightarrow NP(\mu \cup \rho)^*(L, \tau_i) = NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$.

Proof.

i- Since $\mu \subseteq \rho$ implies $\mu \leq \rho$ for every x in X , therefore by Definition 3.1 $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$ implies $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\rho^*(L, \tau_i)$, which complete the proof of (i).

ii- Clearly, $L \subseteq J \Rightarrow NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP\mu^*(J, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ as there may be other neutrosophic fuzzy sets which belong to J so that for a neutrosophic fuzzy point $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(J, \tau_i)$ but $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ may be not contained $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.

iii- Since $\{0_N\} \subseteq L$ for any neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , Therefore by (ii) and Example 3.1, $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP\mu^*(\{0_N\}, \tau_i) = \tau_i - Ncl(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$ for any neutrosophic fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ of X . Suppose, $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $\tau_i - Ncl(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$, so there is at least one $r \in X$ for which $NP\mu_1^* + v_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $NP\mu_2^* + v_2(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $NP\mu_3^* + v_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$ or $NP\mu_1^* + v_1(r) - 1 > \lambda(r)$, $NP\mu_2^* + v_2(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, $NP\mu_3^* + v_3(r) - 1 < \lambda(r)$, for each $Nq - nbd v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$. Hence $NP\mu^* \neq \{0_N\}$. Let $S = NP\mu^*(r)$. Clearly $r_{t = \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$ and $t_1 + v_1(r) > 1$, $t_2 + v_2(r) > 1$, $t_3 + v_3(r) < 1$ or $t_1 + v_1(r) > 1$, $t_2 + v_2(r) < 1$, $t_3 + v_3(r) < 1$ so there is $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ is also $Nq - nbd$ of $r_{t = \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i . Now $r_{t = \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$, so there may be at least one r' in X for which $\eta_1(r') + \mu_1(r') - 1 > \lambda(r')$, $\eta_2(r') + \mu_2(r') - 1 > \lambda(r')$, $\eta_3(r') + \mu_3(r') - 1 < \lambda(r')$ or $\mu_1(r') - 1 > \lambda(r')$, $\eta_2(r') + \mu_2(r') - 1 < \lambda(r')$, $\eta_3(r') + \mu_3(r') - 1 < \lambda(r')$ for each $Nq - nbd \eta$ of $r_{t = \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle}$ and λ in L . This may be true for $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ so there is at least one r'' in X such that $v_1(r'') + \mu_1(r'') - 1 > \lambda(r'')$, $v_2(r'') + \mu_2(r'') - 1 > \lambda(r'')$, $v_3(r'') + \mu_3(r'') - 1 < \lambda(r'')$ or $v_1(r'') + \mu_1(r'') - 1 > \lambda(r'')$, $v_2(r'') + \mu_2(r'') - 1 < \lambda(r'')$, $v_3(r'') + \mu_3(r'') - 1 < \lambda(r'')$ for each λ in L . Since $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ may be an arbitrary $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i therefore $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i)$ hence $NP\mu^* = \tau_i - Ncl(NP\mu^*) \subseteq \tau_i - Ncl(\mu), i \in \{1, 2\}$,

iv- Clear

v- Suppose, $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \cup \rho^*(L, \tau_i)$ i.e. $\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle, \varepsilon > (NP\mu^* \vee NP\rho^*)(x) = \max\{NP\mu^*(x), NP\rho^*\}$. So $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ is not contained in both $NP\mu^*$ and $NP\rho^*$. This implies that there is at least one $Nq - nbd v_1$ in τ_i , of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ such that for every r in X , $v_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r)$,

$v_1(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r)$, $v_1(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(r)$, for some λ_1 in L and similarly, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_2 of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i such that, for every r in X , $v_2(r) + \rho_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(r)$, $v_2(r) + \rho_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(r)$, $v_2(r) + \rho_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_2(r)$ for some λ_2 in L . Also, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_3 of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i such that, for every r in X , $v_3(r) + \eta_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(r)$, $v_3(r) + \eta_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(r)$, $v_3(r) + \eta_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_3(r)$ for some λ_3 in L . Let $v = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$, so v is also $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i and $v_1(r) + (\mu_1 \vee \rho_1)(r) - 1 \leq (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \vee \lambda_3)(r)$, $v_2(r) + (\mu_2 \vee \rho_2)(r) - 1 \leq (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \vee \lambda_3)(r)$, $v_3(r) + (\mu_3 \vee \rho_3)(r) - 1 > (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \vee \lambda_3)(r)$, for every r in X . Therefore, by finite additivity of neutrosophic fuzzy ideal as $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \vee \lambda_3$ in L , $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin (\mu \vee \rho)^*$. Hence $P(\mu \cup \rho)^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq P\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \cup \rho^*(L, \tau_i)$. Clearly, both μ and $\rho \subseteq \mu \cup \rho$ which implies $NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) \cup \rho^*(L, \tau_i) \subseteq NP(\mu \cup \rho)^*(L, \tau_i)$ and this the proof.

vi- Clear.

4. Basic Structure of Generated Neutrosophic Fuzzy Bitopology.

Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a $nfbts$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X . Let us define $\tau_i - Npcl^*(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle) = \mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle \cup NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ for any neutrosophic fuzzy set $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in 1_N . Clearly $\tau_i - Npcl^*(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$ represent a neutrosophic fuzzy closure operator. Let $\tau_i^*(L)$ be the neutrosophic fuzzy bitopology generated by $\tau_i - Npcl^*(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle)$, i.e. $\tau_i^*(L) = \{\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle : \tau_i - Npcl^*(\mu^c) = \mu^c\}$. Now, let $L = \{0_N\} \Rightarrow \tau_i - Ncl^*(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle) = \mu \cup NP\mu^*(L, \tau_i) = \mu \cup \tau_i - Ncl(\mu) = \tau_i - Ncl(\mu) i \in \{1, 2\}$, for every $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in 1_N , so $\tau_i^*(\{0_N\}) = \tau_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$. Again let $L = \{1_N\} \Rightarrow \tau_i - Ncl^*(\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle) = \mu \cup P\mu^*(L, \tau_i) = \mu \cup \{0_N\} = \mu$, so $\tau_i^*(1_N), i \in \{1, 2\}$ is neutrosophic fuzzy discrete bitopology on X . We can conclude by Theorem 3.1 (ii), $\tau_i^*(\{0_N\}) \subseteq \tau_i^*(L) \subseteq \tau_i^*(1_N)$, i.e. $\tau_i \subseteq \tau_i^*$, $L \subseteq J \Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L) \subseteq \tau_i^*(J)$. Let $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ be a $Nq - nbd$ of a neutrosophic fuzzy point $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i^* - neutrosophic fuzzy bitopology. Therefore, there exist $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle$ in $\tau_i^*, i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\varepsilon_1 + \rho_1(x) > 1$, $\varepsilon_2 + \rho_2(x) > 1$, $\varepsilon_3 + \rho_3(x) < 1$ or $\varepsilon_1 + \rho_1(x) > 1$, $\varepsilon_2 + \rho_2(x) < 1$, $\varepsilon_3 + \rho_3(x) < 1$ and $\rho = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle \subseteq \mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$. Now, $\mu = \langle \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \rangle$ in $\tau_i^* \Leftrightarrow \mu^c$ is τ_i^* -closed $\Leftrightarrow \tau_i - Ncl^*(\mu) = \mu^c \Leftrightarrow NP(\mu^c)^* \subseteq \mu^c \Leftrightarrow \mu \subseteq (NP(\mu^c)^*)^c$. Therefore

$\varepsilon_1 + \mu_1(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 + \{(\mu_1^c)^*\}(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 + 1 - NP(\mu_1^c)^*(x) > 1, \varepsilon_1 > (\mu_1^c)^*(x) \Rightarrow x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin (\mu = <\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 >^c)^*$, $\varepsilon_2 + \mu_2(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 + \{(\mu_2^c)^*\}(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 + 1 - NP(\mu_2^c)^*(x) > 1, \varepsilon_2 > (\mu_2^c)^*(x) \Rightarrow x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin (\mu = <\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 >^c)^*$, $\varepsilon_3 + \mu_3(x) < 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_3 + \{(\mu_3^c)^*\}(x) < 1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_3 + 1 - NP(\mu_3^c)^*(x) < 1, \varepsilon_3 \leq (\mu_3^c)^*(x) \Rightarrow x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin (\mu = <\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 >^c)^*$. This implies there exists at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_1 , of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \in \tau_i$ such that for every r in $X, v_1(r) + \mu_1^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_1(r) + \mu_2^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_1(r) + \mu_3^c(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(x)$ for some λ_1 in L . i.e. $v_1(r) - \lambda_1(r) \leq \lambda_1(x)$ for every r in X , there exists at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_2 , of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \in \tau_i$ such that for every r in $X, v_2(r) + \mu_1^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_2(r) + \mu_2^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_2(r) + \mu_3^c(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(x)$ for some λ_1 in L . i.e. $v_2(r) - \lambda_1(r) \leq \lambda_1(x)$ for every r in X , there exists at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_3 , of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \in \tau_i$ such that for every r in $X, v_3(r) + \mu_1^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_3(r) + \mu_2^c(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(x), v_3(r) + \mu_3^c(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(x)$ for some λ_1 in L . i.e. $v_3(r) - \lambda_1(r) \leq \lambda_1(x)$ for every r in X . Therefore, as v_1, v_2, v_3 are $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \in \tau_i$, there is a $v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 >$ in τ_i such that $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} Nq v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > \subseteq v_1, x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} Nq v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > \subseteq v_2, x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} Nq v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > \subseteq v_3$ and by heredity property of neutrosophic fuzzy ideal we have λ in L for which $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} Nq (v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > - \lambda) \subseteq \mu$, where $(v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > - \lambda)(r) = \max\{v(r) - \lambda(r), 0\}$ for every r in X . Hence, for $\mu = <\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 >$ in τ_i^* , we have a $v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 >$ in τ_i and λ in L such that $(v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 > - \lambda) \subseteq \mu$. Let us denote $\beta(L, \tau_i) = \{v - \lambda : v \in \tau_i, \lambda \in L\}$. Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1: $\beta(L, \tau_i)$ from a basis for the generated neutrosophic fuzzy bitopology $\tau_i^*(L)$ of the nfbts $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , the class $\beta(L, \tau_i) = \{\mu - \lambda : \mu \in \tau_i, \lambda \in L, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ may be the base for the neutrosophic fuzzy bitopology τ_i^* .

Proof: Straightforward

Theorem 4.2. If L_1 and L_2 are two neutrosophic fuzzy ideals on nfbts $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}, \mu$ in 1_N , then,

- i- $NP\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i) \geq NP\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$ for every neutrosophic fuzzy set μ and $L_1 \leq L_2$.
- ii- $\tau_i^*(L_1) \leq \tau_i^*(L_2)$ and $L_1 \leq L_2$.
- iii- $NP\mu^*(L_1 \cap L_2, \tau_i) = NP\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i) \cup NP\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$.
- iv- $NP\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = NP\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2)) \cap NP\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_1))$.

Proof. i and ii are clear.

iii- Let $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i) \cup x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$. So $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ is not contained in both $\text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i)$ and $\text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$. Now $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i)$ implies there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_1 in τ_i , of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ such that for every r in $X, v_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r), v_1(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r), v_1(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(r)$ for some λ_1 in L . Again $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$ and similarly, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_2 of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i such that, for every r in $X, v_2(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(x), v_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(x), v_2(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_2(x)$ for some λ_2 in L , similarly, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_3 of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i such that, for every r in $X, v_3(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(x), v_3(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(x), v_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_3(x)$ for some λ_3 in L . Therefore, we have $v = v_1 \cap v_2 \cap v_3$, so $(v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 >$ may be also $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i and $v_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_2 \cap \lambda_3(r), v_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_2 \cap \lambda_3(r), v_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_2 \cap \lambda_3(r)$, for every r in X . Since $v = <v_1, v_2, v_3 >$ may be also $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i and $\lambda_1 \cap \lambda_2 \cap \lambda_3$ in v , therefore $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \cap L_2, \tau_i)$, so that $\text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \cap L_2, \tau_i) \subseteq \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i) \cup \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i)$. Also $(L_1 \cap L_2)$ included in both L_1 and L_2 , so by Theorem 3.1. (ii), reverse inclusion is obvious, which completes the proof of (iii).

iv) Let $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i)$ implies there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_1 of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i such that for every r in $X, v_1(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r), v_1(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r), v_1(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_1(r)$ for some λ_1 in $L_1 \vee L_2$, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_2 of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i such that for every r in $X, v_2(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(r), v_2(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(r), v_2(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_2(r)$ for some λ_2 in $L_1 \vee L_2$, there is at least one $Nq - nbd$ v_3 of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in τ_i such that for every r in $X, v_3(r) + \mu_1(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(r), v_3(r) + \mu_2(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_3(r), v_3(r) + \mu_3(r) - 1 > \lambda_3(r)$ for some λ_3 in $L_1 \vee L_2$. Therefore, by heredity of the neutrosophic fuzzy ideals and considering the structure of neutrosophic fuzzy τ_i -open sets generated neutrosophic fuzzy bitopology, we can find v_1, v_2, v_3 the $Nq - nbd$ of $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>}$ in $\tau_i^*(L_1)$ or $\tau_i^*(L_2)$ respectively, such that, for every r in $X, v_1(r) + \mu(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1(r)$ or $v_2(r) + \mu(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_2(r)$ or $v_3(r) + \mu(r) - 1 > \lambda_3(r)$ for some λ_2 in L_2 or λ_1 in L_1 or λ_2 in L_2 or λ_3 in L_1 for every r in X . This implies $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2))$ or $x_{<\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3>} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_1))$. Thus we have $\text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2)) \cap \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_1)) \subseteq \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i)$.

L_2, τ_i). Conversely, let $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2))$. This implies there may be least one on $Nq - nbd$ $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ of $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ in τ_i^* such that for every r in X , $v(r) + \mu(r) - 1 \leq \lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 \cup \lambda_3(r)$, for some λ_1 in L_1 and for some λ_2 in L_2 , λ_3 in L_1 . i.e., $x_{\langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle} \notin \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i)$. Thus,

$$\text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \subseteq \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2)) \text{ and } \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_1)). \text{ Then}$$

$$\text{NP}\mu^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \subseteq \text{NP}\mu^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_2)) \cap \text{NP}\mu^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_1)) \text{ and this completes the proof.}$$

An important result follows from the above theorem that $\tau_i^*(L)$ and $\tau_i^{**}(L)$ are Equal for any neutrosophic fuzzy ideal on X .

Corollary 4.1: Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L . Then $\tau_i^*(L) = \tau_i^{**}(L)$

Proof. By taking $L_1 = L_2 = L$ in the above Theorem, we have the required result .

Corollary 3.2: If L_1 and L_2 are two neutrosophic fuzzy ideals on nfbs (X, τ_i) then,

i- $\tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = [\tau_i^{**}(L_2, \tau_i)](L_1) = [\tau_i^{**}(L_1, \tau_i)](L_2),$

ii- $\tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = [\tau_i^*(L_1, \tau_i)] \vee [\tau_i^*(L_2, \tau_i)],$

iii- $\tau_i^*(L_1 \cap L_2, \tau_i) = [\tau_i^*(L_1, \tau_i)] \cap [\tau_i^*(L_2, \tau_i)] .$

5. Some Applications in Neutrosophic Fuzzy Ideal Function.

Application 5.1. In this example we illustrate the neutrosophic degrees, it produces three types of chips that are represented $X = \{x_1 < 1, 1, 1 > \}$, it represents the total production of the plant, where $A = \{x_1 < 0.6, 0.3, 0.4 > \}$ represents the neutrosophic component of the first type production, $B = \{x_1 < 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 > \}$ represents the neutrosophic component of the second type production, $C = \{x_1 < 0.1, 0.7, 0.9 > \}$ represents the neutrosophic component of the third type production. We defined the $N\tau_{T_1}$ is a neutrosophic bitopological space of the total production $N\tau_{T_1} = \{0_N, X_N A, B, C, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$, NL is a neutrosophic ideal space of the total production $FNL = \{0_N, A, B, C\}$, $A^* = B^* = C^* = \{ < 0, 0, 0 > \}$. Let $D = \{x_1 < 0.6, 0.1, 0.9 > \} \notin N\tau_{T_1}, i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $D^* = \{ < 0.6, 0.3, 0.9 > \}$, $\text{FNInt}(D)=A$, we, compute the complement of a neutrosophic bitopological space $\text{co}(N\tau_{T_1}) = \{X_N, 0_N, \text{co}(A), \text{co}(B), \text{co}(C)\}, i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\text{co}(A) = < 0.4, 0.7, 0.6 >$, $\text{co}(B) = < 0.7, 0.5, 0.3 >$, $\text{co}(C) = < 0.4, 0.7, 0.6 >$, $\text{co}(D) = < 0.4, 0.9, 0.1 >$, $NCL(D) = \text{co}(C)$. In the above Example, we conclude and add a new production with the new type D such that D^* as generalized of the production

neutrosophic ideal subspace D, the following Table 5.1. represent the new Matrix for the type for projections.

N-type	NINT	*	NCL
A	< 0.6,0.3,0.4 >	< 0,0,0 >	< 0.4,0.7,0.6 >
B	< 0.3,0.5,0.7 >	< 0,0,0 >	< 0.7,0.5,0.3 >
C	< 0.1,0.7,0.9 >	< 0,0,0 >	< 0.9,0.3,0.1 >
Proposed D new type	< 0.6,0.3,0.4 >	< 0.6,0.3,0.9 >	< 0.9,0.3,0.1 >

Table 5.1. Neutrosophic Matrix for Projections.

Note That: $Nint(D) \leq D \leq D^* \leq Ncl(D)$

Application 5.2. The following example illustrates a construction of the neutrosophic topological space for an aircraft with two engines and we study the degrees of wear on the two engines by building a neutrosophic topological space to support and make the right decision, we defined universal set $X = \{x_1 < 1,1,1 >\}$, degrees of damage in the first engine $A = \{x_1 < 0.01,0.05,0.99 >\}$, degrees of damage in the second engine $B = \{x_1 < 0.1,0.7,0.9 >\}$, Degrees of damage in the two engines together $A \cap B = \{x_1 < 0.001,0.007,0.999 >\}$, degrees of damage in the first A or second B engine $A \cup B = \{x_1 < 0.1,0.7,0.9 >\}$, neutrosophic topological space to degrees damages $NT_{T_i} = \{O_N, X_N, A, B, A \cup B, A \cap B\}, i \in \{1,2\}$, we defined neutrosophic topological space to degrees the right competence $co(NT_{T_i}) = \{X_N, O_N, co(A), co(B), co(A \cup B), co(A \cap B)\}, i \in \{1,2\}$, we introduce $co(A) = \{x_1 < 0.99,0.05,0.01 >\}$, $co(B) = \{x_1 < 0.9,0.3,0.1 >\}$, $co(A \cap B) = \{x_1 < 0.999,0.993,0.001 >\}$, $co(A \cup B) = \{x_1 < 0.9,0.3,0.1 >\}$, we defined neutrosophic bitopological ideal space to degrees the right competence $NL = \{O_N, co(A), co(B), , co(A \cap B)\}$, and $(co(A))^* = \{x_1 < 0.99,0.993,0.01 >\}$, $(co(B))^* = \{x_1 < 0.9,0.993,0.1 >\}$, $(co(A \cap B))^* = \{x_1 < 0.99,0.993,0.01 >\}$.

From the above information, we found that the efficiency of the second engine type2 is correct and it is less than the certainty for the correct first engine type1. The degree of diffraction for the two motors is equal, the degree of uncertainty of the second plane's proper motion is greater than the degree of uncertainty of the first correct aircraft movement.

Also, we found that the degree of certainty of the efficiency of the two flying engines together is very high, we find that the degree of uncertainty of the efficiency of the two engines together is very small. From the above, we conclude that the degree of efficiency of the aircraft while operating the two engines together is of a high degree of efficiency.

6. Conclusion

There is no doubt that the neutrosophic fuzzy topology and bitopological spaces were unfathomable aspects, except the activity of some brilliant authors in publishing dozens of papers related to the structural of neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological spaces, neutrosophic fuzzy ideals, neutrosophic fuzzy local function, neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise local function. In this paper the authors suggested new theorems that give some general properties of the above mentioned concepts. Finally, some applied problems in neutrosophic fuzzy ideals function have been introduced.

References:

- [1] A.A. Salama and S.A. AL-Blowi , NEUTROSOPHIC SET and NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES, IOSR Journal of Math. ISSN:2278-5728.Vol.(3) ISSUE4 PP31-35(2012).
- [2] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache, Valeri Kroumov: Neutrosophic Crisp Sets & Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 2, 2014, pp. 25-30.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571502.
- [3] Anjan Mukherjee, Mithun Datta, Florentin Smarandache: Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 6, 2014, pp. 18-27.
- [4] A. A. Salama, I. M. Hanafy, Hewayda Elghawalby, M. S. Dabash: Neutrosophic Crisp α -Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 12, 2016, pp. 92-96.
- [5] A. Salama: Basic Structure of Some Classes of Neutrosophic Crisp Nearly Open Sets & Possible Application to GIS Topology, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 7, 2015, pp. 18-22.
- [6] A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache, S. A. Alblowi: New Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Concepts, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 4, 2014, pp. 50-54.
- [7] A. A. Salama, F.Smarandache. (2015). Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory, Educational. Education Publishing 1313 Chesapeake, Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212.
- [8] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache. (2014). Neutrosophic Ideal Theory: Neutrosophic Local Function, and Generated Neutrosophic Topology, Neutrosophic Theory and Its Applications, Vol. I: Collected Papers, pp 213-218.
- [9] A. A. Salama. (2013). Neutrosophic Crisp Points & Neutrosophic Crisp Ideals, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 1, 50-53.
- [10] A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi. (2012). Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Space, ISOR J. mathematics (IOSR-JM), 3 (4), 31-35.

- [11] A. A. Salama, Rafif Alhabib, Neutrosophic Ideal layers & Some Generalizations for GIS Topological Rules, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol.8,(1),pp.44-49.2020.
- [12] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache: Neutrosophic Local Function and Generated Neutrosophic Topology. *Neutrosophic Knowledge*, vol. 1/2020, pp. 1-6.
- [13] Ahmed B. AL-Nafee, Jamal K. Obeed, & Huda E. Khalid (2021). Continuity and Compactness On Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Spaces. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 16 (2), 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.160201>.
- [14] A. A. Nouh, On separation axioms in fuzzy bitopological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 80(1996)225-236.
- [15] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24 (1968) 128 - 189.
- [16] Debasis Sarkar, Fuzzy ideal theory, Fuzzy local function and generated fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 87 (1997) 117- 123.
- [17] F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [18] L . A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets Inform. and Control* 8 (1965) 338 - 353.
- [19] M. K. Chakraborty and T.M.G. Ahasanullah, Fuzzy topology on fuzzy sets and tolerance topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 45 (1992) 103-108.
- [20] Pu Pao - Ming and Liu Ying, Fuzzy Topology.1. Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and moore smith convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 76 (1980) 571 - 599.
- [21] Totan Garai, Shyamal Dalapati, Harish Garg, Tapan Kumar Roy A ranking method based on possibility mean for multi-attribute decision making with single valued neutrosophic numbers, *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*,(2020) 1-14.
- [22] Totan Garai, Harish Garg, Tapan Kumar Roy Possibility mean, variance and standard deviation of single-valued neutrosophic numbers and its applications to multi-attribute decision-making problems, *Soft Computing* 24 (24), (2020) 18795-18809.

Received: Dec. 7, 2021. Accepted: April 1, 2022.