

6-8-2021

Pairwise Neutrosophic b -Continuous Function in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces

Binod Chandra Tripathy

Suman Das

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Tripathy, Binod Chandra and Suman Das. "Pairwise Neutrosophic b -Continuous Function in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 43, 1 (). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol43/iss1/7

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



Pairwise Neutrosophic b -Continuous Function in Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces

Binod Chandra Tripathy¹ and Suman Das^{2,*}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Agartala, 799022, Tripura, India.
E-mail:¹tripathybc@gmail.com, tripathybc@yahoo.com and ²sumandas18842@gmail.com,
suman.mathematics@tripurauniv.in

*Correspondence: sumandas18842@gmail.com

Abstract: The main focus of this article is to procure the notions of pairwise neutrosophic continuous and pairwise neutrosophic b -continuous mappings in neutrosophic bitopological spaces. Then, we formulate some results on them via neutrosophic bitopological spaces.

Keywords: Neutrosophic Topology; Neutrosophic Bitopology; Pairwise Neutrosophic b -Interior; Pairwise Neutrosophic b -Closure; Pairwise Neutrosophic Continuous.

1. Introduction

Zadeh [31] presented the notions of fuzzy set (in short FS) in the year 1965. Afterwards, Chang [4] applied the idea of topology on fuzzy sets and introduced the fuzzy topological space. In the year 2017, Dutta and Tripathy [15] studied on fuzzy b - θ open sets via fuzzy topological space. Later on, Smarandache [23] grounded the idea of neutrosophic set (in short N-set) in the year 1998, as an extension of the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set (in short IF-set) [3], where every element has three independent membership values namely truth, indeterminacy, and false membership values respectively. Afterwards, Salama and Alblowi [21] applied the notions of topology on N-sets and introduced neutrosophic topological space (in short NT-space) by extending the notions of fuzzy topological spaces. Salama and Alblowi [22] also defined generalized N-set and introduced the concept of generalized NT-space. Later on, Arokiarani et al. [2] introduced the ideas of neutrosophic point and studied some functions in neutrosophic topological spaces. The notions of neutrosophic pre-open (in short NP-O) and neutrosophic pre-closed (in short NP-C) sets via NT-spaces are studied by Rao and Srinivasa [20]. The idea of b -open sets via topological spaces was established by Andrijevic [1]. Afterwards, Ebenanjar et al. [16] presents the concept of neutrosophic b -open set (in short N- b -O-set) via NT-spaces. In the year 2020, Das and Pramanik [8] presents the generalized neutrosophic b -open sets in NT-spaces. The notions of neutrosophic Φ -open set and neutrosophic Φ -continuous functions via NT-spaces was also presented by Das and Pramanik [9]. The concept of neutrosophic simply soft open set in neutrosophic soft topological space was studied by Das and Pramanik [10]. In the year 2021, Das and Tripathy [14] presented the notions of neutrosophic simply b -open set via NT-spaces. In the year 2020, Das and Tripathy [12] grounded the notions of neutrosophic multiset and applied topology on it. In the year 2021, Das et al. [5] studied the concept of quadripartitioned neutrosophic topological spaces. The notion of bitopological space was introduced by Kelly [17] in the year 1963. In the year 2011, Tripathy and Sarma [26] studied on b -locally open sets via bitopological spaces. The idea of pairwise b -locally

open and b -locally closed functions in bitopological spaces was studied by Tripathy and Sarma [27]. Tripathy and Sarma [28] also studied on weakly b -continuous mapping via bitopological spaces in the year 2013. Later on, the concept of generalized b -closed sets in ideal bitopological spaces was studied by Tripathy and Sarma [29]. Afterwards, Tripathy and Debnath [25] presented the notions of fuzzy b -locally open sets in fuzzy bitopological space. Thereafter, Ozturk and Ozkan [19] introduced the idea of neutrosophic bitopological space (in short NBi-T-space) in the year 2019. Recently, Das and Tripathy [13] presented the idea of pairwise N- b -O-sets and studied their different properties.

The main focus of this article is to procure the notions of pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic- b -interior (in short P- τ_{ij} -N b -int), pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic- b -closure (in short P- τ_{ij} -N b -cl), pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping (in short P-N-C-mapping), pairwise neutrosophic b -continuous mapping (in short pairwise N- b C-mapping) via NBi-T-spaces.

2. Preliminaries and Definitions:

The notion of N-set is defined as follows:

Let X be a fixed set. Then, an N-set [23] L over X is denoted as follows:
 $L = \{(t, T_L(t), I_L(t), F_L(t)) : t \in X\}$, where $T_L, I_L, F_L : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are called the truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership functions and $0 \leq T_L(t) + I_L(t) + F_L(t) \leq 3$, for all $t \in X$.

The neutrosophic null set (0_N) and neutrosophic whole set (1_N) over a fixed set X are defined as follows:

$$(i) 0_N = \{(t, 0, 0, 1) : t \in X\};$$

$$(ii) 1_N = \{(t, 1, 0, 0) : t \in X\}.$$

The N-sets 0_N and 1_N also has three other representations. They are given below:

$$0_N = \{(t, 0, 0, 0) : t \in X\} \ \& \ 1_N = \{(t, 1, 1, 1) : t \in X\};$$

$$0_N = \{(t, 0, 1, 0) : t \in X\} \ \& \ 1_N = \{(t, 1, 0, 1) : t \in X\};$$

$$0_N = \{(t, 0, 1, 1) : t \in X\} \ \& \ 1_N = \{(t, 1, 1, 0) : t \in X\}.$$

Let $p, q, r \in [0, 1]$. An neutrosophic point (in short N-point) [2] $x_{p,q,r}$ is an N-set over X given by

$$x_{p,q,r}(y) = \begin{cases} (p, q, r), & \text{if } x = y, \\ (0, 0, 1), & \text{if } x \neq y, \end{cases}$$

where p, q, r denotes the truth, indeterminacy and false membership value of $x_{p,q,r}$.

The notion of NT-space is defined as follows:

A family τ of N-sets over X is called an [21] neutrosophic topology (in short N-topology) on X if the following axioms hold:

$$(i) 0_N, 1_N \in \tau;$$

$$(ii) L_1, L_2 \in \tau \Rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \in \tau;$$

$$(iii) \cup L_i \in \tau, \text{ for every } \{L_i : i \in \Delta\} \subseteq \tau, \text{ where } \Delta \text{ is the support set.}$$

Then, (X, τ) is called an NT-space. Each element of τ is an neutrosophic open set (in short NO-set). If L is an NO-set in (X, τ) , then L^c is called an neutrosophic closed set (in short NC-set).

The notion of NBI-T-space is defined as follows:

Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two different N-topologies on X . Then, (X, τ_1, τ_2) is [19] called an NBI-T-space. An N-set L is called a pairwise NO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , if there exist an NO-set L_1 in τ_1 and an NO-set L_2 in τ_2 such that $L=L_1 \cup L_2$. The complement of L i.e., L^c is called a pairwise neutrosophic closed set (in short pairwise NC-set) in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Remark 2.1.[13] In an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , every τ_i -NO-set is a pairwise τ_{ij} -NO-set.

Remark 2.2. Let G be an N-set over X and (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, we shall use the following notations throughout the article:

(i) $N_{cl}^i(G)$ = Neutrosophic closure of G in (X, τ_i) ($i=1, 2$);

(ii) $N_{int}^i(G)$ = Neutrosophic interior of G in (X, τ_i) ($i=1, 2$).

Definition 2.1.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, P is called a

(i) τ_i -neutrosophic semi-open set (in short τ_i -NSO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^i(P)$;

(ii) τ_i -neutrosophic pre-open set (in short τ_i -NPO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{int}^i N_{cl}^i(P)$;

(iii) τ_i -neutrosophic b -open set (in short τ_i -N-bO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^i(P) \cup N_{int}^i N_{cl}^i(P)$.

Remark 2.3.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, an N-set P over X is called a τ_i -neutrosophic b -closed set (in short τ_i -N-bC-set) if and only if P^c is a τ_i -N-bO-set.

Proposition 2.1.[13] In an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , if P is τ_i -NSO-set (τ_i -NPO-set), then P is a τ_i -N-bO-set.

Proposition 2.2.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the union of any two τ_i -N-bO-sets is a τ_i -N-bO-set.

Definition 2.2.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, P is called a

(i) τ_{ij} -neutrosophic semi-open set (in short τ_{ij} -NSO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(P)$;

(ii) τ_{ij} -neutrosophic pre-open set (in short τ_{ij} -NPO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(P)$;

(iii) τ_{ij} -neutrosophic b -open set (in short τ_{ij} -N-bO-set) if and only if $P \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(P) \cup N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(P)$.

Remark 2.4.[13] An N-set L over X is called a τ_{ij} -neutrosophic b -closed set (in short τ_{ij} -N-bC-set) if and only if L^c is a τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 2.1.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, every τ_{ij} -NSO-set (τ_{ij} -NPO-set) is a τ_{ij} -N-bO-set.

Definition 2.3.[13] An N-set L is called a pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set (pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set) in an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) if $L=K \cup M$, where K is a τ_{ij} -NPO-set (τ_{ij} -NSO-set) and M is a τ_{ji} -NPO-set (τ_{ji} -NSO-set) in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Definition 2.4.[13] An N-set L is called a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in a NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) if $L=K \cup M$, where K is a τ_{ij} -N-bO-set and M is a τ_{ji} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . If L is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , then L^c is called a pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic- b -closed set (in short pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bC-set) in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Lemma 2.1.[13] In an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , every pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set (pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set) is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set.

Proposition 2.3.[13] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the union of two pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is also a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the union of two pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is also a pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set.

Proof. Let L and M be two pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-sets in an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . So, one can write $L=L_1 \cup L_2$ and $M=M_1 \cup M_2$, where L_1, M_1 are τ_{ij} -NSO-sets and L_2, M_2 are τ_{ij} -NSO-sets in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Since, L_1 and M_1 are τ_{ij} -NSO-sets, so $L_1 \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(L_1)$ and $M_1 \subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(M_1)$. Further, Since L_2 and M_2 are τ_{ij} -NSO-sets, so $L_2 \subseteq N_{cl}^j N_{int}^i(L_2)$, $M_2 \subseteq N_{cl}^j N_{int}^i(M_2)$.

Now, $L \cup M = (L_1 \cup L_2) \cup (M_1 \cup M_2) = (L_1 \cup M_1) \cup (L_2 \cup M_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } L_1 \cup M_1 &\subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(L_1) \cup N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(M_1) \\ &= N_{cl}^i(N_{int}^j(L_1) \cup N_{int}^j(M_1)) \\ &\subseteq N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(L_1 \cup M_1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies, $L_1 \cup M_1$ is a τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Similarly, it can be established that $L_2 \cup M_2$ is a τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Therefore, $L \cup M$ is a pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Hence, the union of two pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is again a pairwise τ_{ij} -NSO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the union of two pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set.

Proof. Let L and M be two pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-sets in an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . So, one can write $L=L_1 \cup L_2$ and $M=M_1 \cup M_2$, where L_1, M_1 are τ_{ij} -NPO-sets and L_2, M_2 are τ_{ij} -NPO-sets in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Since, L_1 and M_1 are τ_{ij} -NPO-sets, so $L_1 \subseteq N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(L_1)$ and $M_1 \subseteq N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(M_1)$. Further, since L_2 and M_2 are τ_{ij} -NPO-sets, so $L_2 \subseteq N_{int}^i N_{cl}^j(L_2)$ and $M_2 \subseteq N_{int}^i N_{cl}^j(M_2)$.

Now, $L \cup M = (L_1 \cup L_2) \cup (M_1 \cup M_2) = (L_1 \cup M_1) \cup (L_2 \cup M_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } L_1 \cup M_1 &\subseteq N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(L_1) \cup N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(M_1) \\ &= N_{int}^j(N_{cl}^i(L_1) \cup N_{cl}^i(M_1)) \\ &\subseteq N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(L_1 \cup M_1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies, $L_1 \cup M_1$ is a τ_{ij} -NPO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Similarly, it can be established that $L_2 \cup M_2$ is a τ_{ij} -NPO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Therefore, $L \cup M$ is a pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Hence, the union of two pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-sets in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is again a pairwise τ_{ij} -NPO-set.

3. Pairwise b -Continuous Function:

In this section, we procure the notions of pairwise b -continuous functions via neutrosophic bitopological space and formulate some results on it.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic- b -interior (in short $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}$) of an N-set L is the union of all pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-sets contained in L , i.e. $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L) = \cup\{K: K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-N-}b\text{O-set in } X \text{ and } K \subseteq L\}$.

Clearly, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)$ is the largest pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set which contained in L .

Definition 3.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, the pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic- b -closure (in short $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}$) of an N-set L is the intersection of all pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b C-sets containing L , i.e. $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L) = \cap\{K: K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-N-}b\text{C-set in } X \text{ and } L \subseteq K\}$.

Clearly, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)$ is the smallest pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b C-set which containing L .

Theorem 3.1. Let L and K be two neutrosophic subsets of an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then,

- (i) $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(0_N) = 0_N$, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(1_N) = 1_N$;
- (ii) $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L) \subseteq L$;
- (iii) $L \subseteq M \Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L) \subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(M)$;

(iv) $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)=L$ if L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO$ -set.

Proof. (i) Straight forward.

(ii) By Definition 3.1, we have $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)=\cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\}$. Since, each $K\subseteq L$, so $\cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\}\subseteq L$, i.e. $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)\subseteq L$. Therefore, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)\subseteq L$.

(iii) Let L and M be two neutrosophic subset of an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) such that $L\subseteq M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L) &= \cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\} \\ &\subseteq \cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq M\} \quad [\text{since } L\subseteq M] \\ &= P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(M) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(M).$$

Therefore, $L\subseteq M \Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(M)$.

(iv) Let L be a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO$ -set in an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Now, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)=\cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\}$. Since, L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO$ -set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , so L is the largest pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO$ -set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , which is contained in L . Therefore, $\cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\}=L$. This implies, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)=L$.

Theorem 3.2. Let L and K be two neutrosophic subsets of an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then,

(i) $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(0_N)=0_N$ & $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(1_N)=1_N$;

(ii) $L\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)$;

(iii) $L\subseteq M \Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(M)$;

(iv) $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)=L$ if L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC$ -set.

Proof. (i) Straightforward.

(ii) It is clear that $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)=\cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L\subseteq K\}$.

Since, each $L\subseteq K$, so $L\subseteq \cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L\subseteq K\}$, i.e. $L\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)$.

(iii) Let L and M be two neutrosophic subset of an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) such that $L\subseteq M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L) &= \cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L\subseteq K\} \\ &\subseteq \cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } M\subseteq K\} \quad [\text{since } L\subseteq M] \\ &= P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(M) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(M).$$

Therefore, $L\subseteq M \Rightarrow P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)\subseteq P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(M)$.

(iv) Let L be a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC$ -set in an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Now, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)=\cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L\subseteq K\}$. Since, L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC$ -set in a (X, τ_1, τ_2) , so L is the smallest pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC$ -set, which contains L . This implies, $\cap\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bC\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L\subseteq K\}=L$. Therefore, $P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)=L$.

Proposition 3.3. Let L be a neutrosophic subset of an NBI-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then,

(i) $[P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)]^c = P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L^c)$;

(ii) $[P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)]^c = P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L^c)$.

Proof. (i) Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Let $L=\{(w, T_L(w), I_L(w), F_L(w)): w\in X\}$ be an neutrosophic subset of (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } P\text{-}\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L) &= \cup\{K:K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO\text{-set in } X \text{ and } K\subseteq L\} \\ &= \{(w, \vee T_{L_p}(w), \wedge I_{L_p}(w), \wedge F_{L_p}(w)): w\in X\}, \end{aligned}$$

where L_p is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}bO$ -set in X such that $L_p\subseteq L$, for each $p\in\Delta$.

This implies, $[P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)]^c = \{(w, \wedge T_{L_p}(w), \vee I_{L_p}(w), \vee F_{L_p}(w)) : w \in X\}$.

Here $\wedge T_{L_p}(w) \leq T_L(w), I_{L_p}(w) \geq I_L(w), F_{L_p}(w) \geq F_L(w)$, for each $w \in X$.

Therefore, $P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L^c) = \{(w, \wedge T_{L_p}(w), \vee I_{L_p}(w), \vee F_{L_p}(w)) : w \in X\}$

$$= \cap \{L_p : p \in \Delta \text{ and } L_p \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set in } X \text{ such that } L^c \subseteq L_p\}$$

Hence, $[P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L)]^c = P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L^c)$.

(ii) Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space and $L = \{(w, T_L(w), I_L(w), F_L(w)) : w \in X\}$ be a N-set over X . Then,

$P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L) = \cap \{K : K \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set in } X \text{ and } L \subseteq K\}$

$$= \{(w, \wedge T_{L_p}(w), \vee I_{L_p}(w), \vee F_{L_p}(w)) : w \in X\},$$

where L_p is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$ in X such that $L \subseteq L_p$, for each $p \in \Delta$.

This implies, $[P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)]^c = \{(w, \vee T_{L_p}(w), \wedge I_{L_p}(w), \wedge F_{L_p}(w)) : w \in X\}$.

Here, $\vee T_{L_p}(w) \geq T_L(w), \wedge I_{L_p}(w) \leq I_L(w), \wedge F_{L_p}(w) \leq F_L(w)$, for each $w \in X$.

Therefore, $P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L^c) = \{(w, \vee T_{L_p}(w), \wedge I_{L_p}(w), \wedge F_{L_p}(w)) : w \in X\}$

$$= \cup \{L_p : p \in \Delta \text{ and } L_p \text{ is a pairwise } \tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set in } X \text{ such that } L_p \subseteq L^c\}.$$

Hence, $[P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}cl}(L)]^c = P-\tau_{ij}\text{-}N_{b\text{-}int}(L^c)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space. Then, the neutrosophic null set (0_N) and the neutrosophic whole set (1_N) are both $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ and $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$.

Proof. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space. Now, $N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(0_N) \cup N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(0_N) = N_{cl}^i(0_N) \cup N_{int}^j(0_N) = 0_N \cup 0_N = 0_N$. Therefore, $0_N \subseteq 0_N = N_{cl}^i N_{int}^j(0_N) \cup N_{int}^j N_{cl}^i(0_N)$. Hence, the neutrosophic null set (0_N) is a $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Similarly, it can be established that the neutrosophic null set (0_N) is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Further, one can show that the neutrosophic whole set (1_N) are both $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ and $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Theorem 3.2. In an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , every $\tau_i\text{-}N\text{O}\text{-set}$ is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Proof. Let L be a $\tau_i\text{-}N\text{O}\text{-set}$ in an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Therefore, $N_{int}^i(L) = L$. Now, $L \subseteq N_{cl}^j(L) = N_{cl}^j N_{int}^i(L)$. This implies, $L \subseteq N_{cl}^j N_{int}^i(L) \cup N_{int}^i N_{cl}^j(L)$. Hence, L is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 3.3. In an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) ,

- (i) every $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$;
- (ii) every $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ is a pairwise $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$;
- (iii) every $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$ is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$;
- (iv) every $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$ is a pairwise $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$.

Proof. (i) Let L be a $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ in an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then, L can be expressed as $L = L \cup 0_N$, where L is a $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ and 0_N is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . This implies, L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

(ii) Straightforward.

(iii) Let L be a $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{C}\text{-set}$ in an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then, L can be expressed as $L = L \cap 1_N$, where L is a $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{C}\text{-set}$ and 1_N is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{C}\text{-set}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . This implies, L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{C}\text{-set}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

(iv) Straightforward.

Theorem 3.4. In an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , every $\tau_i\text{-}N\text{O}\text{-set}$ is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Proof. Let L be a $\tau_i\text{-}N\text{O}\text{-set}$ in an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . By Theorem 3.2., it is clear that L is a $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$. Further, by Theorem 3.3., it is clear that L is a pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space. Then, 0_N and 1_N are both pairwise $\tau_{ij}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$ and pairwise $\tau_{ji}\text{-}N\text{-}b\text{O}\text{-set}$.

Proof. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. One can write $0_N = A \cup B$, where $A = 0_N$ is a τ_{ij} -N-bO-set and $B = 0_N$ is a τ_{ji} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . This implies, 0_N is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Similarly, it can be established that 0_N is a pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Again, one can write $1_N = L \cup M$, where $L = 1_N$ is a τ_{ij} -N-bO-set and $M = 1_N$ is a τ_{ji} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . This implies, 1_N is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Similarly, it can be also established that 1_N is a pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBI-T-space. Then, both 0_N and 1_N are pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bC-set and pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bC-set.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, it is clear that 0_N is both pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set and pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bO-set. Hence, its complement 1_N is both pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bC-set and pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bC-set.

Similarly, from Theorem 3.5, it is clear that 1_N is both pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-set and pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bO-set. Hence, its complement 0_N is both pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bC-set and pairwise τ_{ji} -N-bC-set.

Remark 3.1. Throughout the article, we denote τ_{ij}^b as a collection of all pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bO-sets and τ_{ij}^c as a collection of all pairwise τ_{ij} -N-bC-sets in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . The collection τ_{ij}^b forms a neutrosophic supra topology on X .

Definition 3.3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, δ_1, δ_2) be two NBI-T-spaces. Then, an one to one and onto mapping $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is called a

- (i) pairwise neutrosophic semi continuous mapping (in short P-NS-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NSO-set in X , whenever L is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y .
- (ii) pairwise neutrosophic pre continuous mapping (in short P-NP-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NPO-set in X , whenever L is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y .
- (iii) pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping (in short P-N-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NO-set in X , whenever L is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y .
- (iv) pairwise neutrosophic b -continuous mapping (in short P-N-b-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -N-bO-set in X , whenever L is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y .

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, δ_1, δ_2) be two NBI-T-spaces. Then, every P-N-C-mapping from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) is a P-NP-C-mapping (P-NS-C-mapping).

Proof. Let L be a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in (Y, δ_1, δ_2) . Since, $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N-C-mapping from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) , so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . It is known that every τ_i -NO-set is a τ_i -NPO-set (τ_i -NSO-set). Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NPO-set (τ_i -NSO-set) in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Hence, $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-NP-C-mapping (P-NS-C-mapping).

Theorem 3.8. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, δ_1, δ_2) be two NBI-T-spaces. Then, every P-NS-C-mapping (P-NP-C-mapping) from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) is a P-N-b-C-mapping.

Proof. Let L be a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in (Y, δ_1, δ_2) . Since, $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-NS-C-mapping (P-NP-C-mapping) from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) , so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NSO-set (τ_i -NPO-set) in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . It is known that, every τ_i -NSO-set (τ_i -NPO-set) is a τ_i -N-bO-set. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -N-bO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Hence, $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N-b-C-mapping.

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, δ_1, δ_2) be two NBI-T-spaces. Then, every P-N-C-mapping from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) is a P-N-b-C-mapping.

Proof. Let L be a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in (Y, δ_1, δ_2) . Since, $\xi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N-C-mapping from (X, τ_1, τ_2) to (Y, δ_1, δ_2) , so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NO-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . It is known that, every τ_i -NO-set is a

τ_i -N- b -O-set. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -N- b -O-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Hence, $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a p-N- b -C-mapping.

Theorem 3.10. If $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ and $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be two P-N-C-mapping, then the composition mapping $\chi \circ \xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is also a P-N-C-mapping.

Proof. Let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ and $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be two P-N-C-mappings. Let L be a pairwise θ_{ij} -NO-set in (Z, θ_1, θ_2) . Since, $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a P-N-C-mapping, so $\chi^{-1}(L)$ is a δ_i -NO-set in Y . Since, $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N-C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(\chi^{-1}(L)) = (\chi \circ \xi)^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NO-set in X .

Theorem 3.11. If $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be an one to one and onto mapping between two NBi-T-spaces, then the following two are equivalent:

(i) ξ is a P-N- b -C-mapping.

(ii) $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$, for every neutrosophic subset A of Y .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a P-N- b -C-mapping. Let A be an neutrosophic subset of Y . Here, $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)$ is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y and $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A) \subseteq A$. This implies, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(A)$. By the hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A))$ is a τ_i -N- b -O-set in X . Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A))$ is a τ_i -N- b -O-set in X such that $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(A)$. It is known that $\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$ is the largest τ_i -N- b -O-set in X , which is contained in $\xi^{-1}(A)$. Hence, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Let A be a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in (Y, δ_1, δ_2) . Therefore, $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A) = A$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$. This implies, $\xi^{-1}(A) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$. It is known that $\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(A)$. Therefore, $\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A)) = \xi^{-1}(A)$. Hence, $\xi^{-1}(A)$ is a τ_i -N- b -O-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Therefore, ξ is a P-N- b -C-mapping from an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) to another NBi-T-space (Y, δ_1, δ_2) .

Theorem 3.12. An one to one and onto mapping $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping if and only if $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A)) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(A))$, for every N-set A over X and $i, j = 1, 2$, and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a P-N- b -C-mapping. Let A be an N-set over X . Then, $\xi(A)$ is also an N-set over Y . By Theorem 3.11, we have $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A))) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(\xi(A)))$. This implies, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A))) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(A)$. Hence, $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A)) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(A))$. Therefore, $P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A)) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(A))$, for every N-set A over X and $i, j = 1, 2$; and $i \neq j$.

Conversely, let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a mapping between two NBi-T-spaces such that

$$P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(A)) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(A)) \tag{1}$$

for every N-set A over X and $i, j = 1, 2$; and $i \neq j$.

Let A be an N-set over Y . Then, $\xi^{-1}(A)$ is an N-set over X . By putting $A = \xi^{-1}(A)$ in eq. (1), we have,

$$P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(\xi(\xi^{-1}(A))) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A)))$$

$$\Rightarrow P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A) \subseteq \xi(\tau_i\text{-}N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A)))$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A)).$$

Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(A)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{b-int}(\xi^{-1}(A))$, for every N-set A of Y . Hence, by Theorem 3.11., the mapping $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping.

Corollary 3.1. If $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is an one to one and onto mapping from an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) to another NBi-T-space (Y, δ_1, δ_2) , then the following two are equivalent:

(i) ξ is a P-N-C-mapping.

(ii) $\xi^{-1}(P\text{-}\delta_{ij}\text{-}N_{int}(Q)) \subseteq_{\tau_i} N_{int}(\xi^{-1}(Q))$, for every N-set Q over Y .

Definition 3.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space. Let $x_{a,b,c}$ be an N-point in X . Then, an N-set Q over X is called a pairwise τ_{ij} -neutrosophic b -neighbourhood (in short P- τ_{ij} -N- b -nbd) of $x_{a,b,c}$, if there exist a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set U such that $x_{a,b,c} \in U \subseteq Q$.

Theorem 3.13. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an NBi-T-space. An N-set Q over X is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set if and only if Q is a P- τ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of all of its N-points.

Proof. Let Q be a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set in an NBi-T-space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Let $x_{a,b,c}$ be an N-point in X such that $x_{a,b,c} \in Q$. Therefore, $x_{a,b,c} \in Q \subseteq Q$. This implies, Q is a P- τ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of $x_{a,b,c}$. Hence, Q is the P- τ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of all of its N-points.

Conversely, let Q be a P- τ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of all of its N-points. Assume that $x_{a,b,c}$ be an N-point in X , such that $x_{a,b,c} \in Q$. Therefore, there exist a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set G such that $x_{a,b,c} \in G \subseteq Q$.

Now, $Q = \cup_{x_{a,b,c} \in Q} x_{a,b,c} \subseteq \cup_{x_{a,b,c} \in Q} G \subseteq \cup_{x_{a,b,c} \in Q} Q = Q$. This implies, $Q = \cup_{x_{a,b,c} \in Q} G$, which is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set. Therefore, Q is a pairwise τ_{ij} -N- b O-set in (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

Theorem 3.14. An one to one and onto mapping $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping if and only if for every N-point $x_{a,b,c} \in Y$ and for any P- δ_{ij} -N- b -nbd V of $x_{a,b,c}$ in Y , there exist a τ_i -neutrosophic- b -neighbourhood (in short τ_i -N- b -nbd) U of $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c})$ in X such that $U \subseteq \xi^{-1}(V)$.

Proof. Let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a P-N- b -C-mapping. Let $x_{a,b,c}$ be an N-point in Y and V be a P- δ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of $x_{a,b,c}$. Then, there exist a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set G in Y such that $x_{a,b,c} \in G \subseteq V$. This implies, $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c}) \in \xi^{-1}(G) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(V)$. Since, $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(G)$ is a τ_i -N- b O-set in X . By taking $U = \xi^{-1}(G)$, we see that U is a τ_i -N- b O-set in X such that $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c}) \in U \subseteq \xi^{-1}(V)$. Hence, $U = \xi^{-1}(G)$ is a τ_i -N- b -nbd of $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c})$ and $U \subseteq \xi^{-1}(V)$.

Conversely, let for every N-point $x_{a,b,c} \in Y$ and for any P- δ_{ij} -N- b -nbd V of $x_{a,b,c}$ in Y , there exist a τ_i -N- b -nbd U of $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c})$ in X such that $U \subseteq \xi^{-1}(V)$. Let G be a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in Y and $x_{a,b,c} \in G$. By Theorem 3.13., G is a P- δ_{ij} -N- b -nbd of $x_{a,b,c}$. By hypothesis, there exists a τ_i -N- b -nbd H of $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c}) \in X$ such that $\xi^{-1}(x_{a,b,c}) \in H \subseteq \xi^{-1}(G)$. This implies, $\xi^{-1}(G)$ is the τ_i -N- b -nbd of each of its N-points. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(G)$ is a τ_i -N- b O-set in X . Hence, $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping.

Theorem 3.15. If $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a P-N- b -C-mapping and $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be a P-N-C-mapping, then the composition mapping $\chi \circ \xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping.

Proof. Let $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ be a P-N- b -C-mapping and $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be a P-N-C-mapping. Let L be a pairwise θ_{ij} -NO-set in (Z, θ_1, θ_2) . Since, $\chi: (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a P-N-C-mapping, so $\chi^{-1}(L)$ is a δ_i -NO-set in Y . Now, by Lemma 2.1., it is clear that $\chi^{-1}(L)$ is a pairwise δ_{ij} -NO-set in (Y, δ_1, δ_2) . Since, $\xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(\chi^{-1}(L)) = (\chi \circ \xi)^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -NO-set in X . Since, every τ_i -NO-set is a τ_i -N- b O-set, so $(\chi \circ \xi)^{-1}(L)$ is a τ_i -N- b O-set in X . Hence, $\chi \circ \xi: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a P-N- b -C-mapping.

4. Conclusion

In this article, we introduce the notion of pairwise neutrosophic- b -interior, pairwise neutrosophic- b -closure, pairwise neutrosophic b -continuous mapping, we prove some propositions and theorems on NBi-T-spaces. In the future, we hope that based on these notions in NBi-T-spaces, many new investigations can be carried out.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement: The work of the second author is financially supported by the University Grants Commission. The fellowship no is: F.No.16-6(DEC.2018)/2019(NET/CSIR).

References:

- [1]. Andrijevic, D. (1996). On b -open sets. *Matematički Vesnik*, 48, 59-64.
- [2]. Arokiarani, I., Dhavaseelan, R., Jafari, S., & Parimala, M. (2017). On some new notations and functions in neutrosophic topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 16, 16-19.
- [3]. Atanassov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 87-96.
- [4]. Chang, C.L. (1968). Fuzzy Topological Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 24, 182-190.
- [5]. Das, S., Das, R., & Granados, C. (2021). Topology on Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*. (In Press).
- [6]. Das, S., Das, R., Granados, C., & Mukherjee, A. (2021). Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Q -Ideals of Q -Algebra. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 41, 52-63.
- [7]. Das, S., Das, R., & Tripathy, B.C. (2020). Multi-criteria group decision making model using single-valued neutrosophic set. *LogForum*, 16 (3), 421-429.
- [8]. Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Generalized neutrosophic b -open sets in neutrosophic topological space, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 35, 522-530.
- [9]. Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Neutrosophic Φ -open sets and neutrosophic Φ -continuous functions. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38, 355-367.
- [10]. Das, S., & Pramanik, S. (2020). Neutrosophic simply soft open set in neutrosophic soft topological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38, 235-243.
- [11]. Das, R., Smarandache, F., & Tripathy, B.C. (2020). Neutrosophic fuzzy matrices and some algebraic operation. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 32, 401-409.
- [12]. Das, R., & Tripathy B.C. (2020). Neutrosophic multiset topological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 35, 142-152.
- [13]. Das, S., & Tripathy, B.C. (2020). Pairwise neutrosophic- b -open set in neutrosophic bitopological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38, 135-144.
- [14]. Das, S., & Tripathy, B.C. (2021). Neutrosophic simply b -open set in neutrosophic topological spaces. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, In Press.
- [15]. Dutta, A., & Tripathy, B.C. (2017). On fuzzy b - θ open sets in fuzzy topological space. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 32 (1), 137-139.
- [16]. Ebenanjar, E., Immaculate, J., & Wilfred, C.B. (2018). On neutrosophic b -open sets in neutrosophic topological space. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 1139 (1), 012062.
- [17]. Kelly, J.C. (1963). Bitopological Spaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3 (1), 71-89.
- [18]. Mukherjee, A., & Das, R. (2020). Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Soft Set and Its Application to Decision Making Problems. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 32, 410-424.
- [19]. Ozturk, T.Y., & Ozkan, A. (2019). Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 30, 88-97.

- [20]. Rao, V.V., & Srinivasa, R. (2017). Neutrosophic pre-open sets and pre-closed sets in Neutrosophic topology. *International Journal of Chemical Technology Research*, 10 (10), 449-458.
- [21]. Salama, A.A., & Alblowi, S.A. (2012a). Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological space. *ISOR Journal of Mathematics*, 3 (4), 31-35.
- [22]. Salama, A.A., & Alblowi, S.A. (2012b). Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Space. *Computer Science and Engineering*, 2, 129-132.
- [23]. Smarandache, F. (1998). A unifying field in logics, neutrosophy: neutrosophic probability, set and logic. *Rehoboth: American Research Press*.
- [24]. Smarandache, F. (2005). Neutrosophic set: a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, 287-297.
- [25]. Tripathy, B.C., & Debnath, S. (2015). On fuzzy b -locally open sets in bitopological spaces. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 37 (1), 93-96.
- [26]. Tripathy, B.C., & Sarma, D.J. (2011). On b -Locally Open Sets in Bitopological Spaces. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 51, 429-433.
- [27]. Tripathy, B.C., & Sarma, D.J. (2012). On pairwise b -locally open and pairwise b -locally closed functions in bitopological spaces. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 43 (4), 533-539.
- [28]. Tripathy, B.C., & Sarma, D.J. (2013). On weakly b -continuous functions in bitopological spaces. *ActaScientiarum Technology*, 35 (3), 521-525.
- [29]. Tripathy, B.C., & Sarma, D.J. (2014). Generalized b -closed sets in Ideal bitopological spaces. *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics*, 33 (3), 315-324.
- [30]. Tripathy, B.C., & Sarma, D.J. (2017). Pairwise generalized b - R_0 spaces in bitopological spaces. *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics*, 36 (4), 589-600.
- [31]. Zadeh, L.A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.

Received: March 8, 2021. Accepted: Jun 7, 2021