Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

Volume 42 | Article 17

4-20-2021

Neutrosophic N -Topological Ordered Space

S. Firthous Fatima

A. Durgaselvi

Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 42, 2021

University of New Mexico

Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-Topological Ordered Space

S. Firthous Fatima[1] and A. Durgaselvi[2,*]

[1]Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Sadakathullah Appa College, Tirunelveli-627 011, Tamil Nadu, India; kitherali@yahoo.co.in

[2]Research Scholar, Reg. No: 18211192092007, Department of Mathematics, Sadakathullah Appa College, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.; durgamuthu9526@gmail.com

*Correspondence: durgamuthu9526@gmail.com

Abstract. This research article presents a new concept, "Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological ordered space". Also we define some of the separation axioms, weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space and Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space in Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological ordered space. Besides giving some of the innovative properties of these spaces.

Keywords: Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space, Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, Weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, Almost Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space and Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space.

---

1. Introduction

L.A. Zadeh introduced the concept of fuzzy sets [14]. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was developed by Chang [3] . The study of intutionistic fuzzy set was established by Atanassov [1] in 1983. In [4], the another notion called intutionistic fuzzy topological space was found by Coker. F. Smarandache originated the concepts of neutrosophy and neutrosophic set ([12], [13]). The concept of neutrosophic crisp set and neutrosophic crisp topological space were introduced by A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi [11]. Leopoldo Nachbin [9] initiated the study of topological ordered spaces in 1965. Lellis Thivagar et al. [6] have proposed the concept of N-topological space. Recently we found the new concept called $\mathcal{N}$-topological ordered spaces [5]. In this paper, we investigate the concept called Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological Ordered Space. And also, we establish some of the Separation Axioms and its characterizations.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [8] Let X be a non-empty set, $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_N$ be N-arbitrary topologies defined on X and let the collection $N\tau$ be defined by

$N\tau = \{S \subseteq X : S = (\cup_{i=1}^{N} A_i) \bigcup (\cap_{i=1}^{N} B_i), A_i, B_i \in \tau_i\}$

satisfying the following axioms:

(i) $X, \emptyset \in N\tau$.

(ii) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \in N\tau$ for all $S_i \in N\tau$.

(iii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_i \in N\tau$ for all $S_i \in N\tau$.

Then the pair $(X, N\tau)$ is called a *N-topological space* on X and the elements of the collection $N\tau$ are known as *$N\tau$-open sets* on X. A subset A of X is called *$N\tau$-closed* on X if the complement of A is $N\tau$-open on X. The set of all $N\tau$-open sets on X and the set of all $N\tau$-closed sets on X are respectively, denoted by $N\tau O(X)$ and $N\tau C(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [5] An $\mathcal{N}$-topological Space $(X, \mathcal{N}\tau)$ equipped with a partial order relation $\leq$ (that is, $Reflexive$, $Transitive$ and $Antisymmetric$) is called an $\mathcal{N}$-topological Ordered Space $(X, \mathcal{N}\tau, \leq)$.

Definition 2.3. [12] Let X be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set A is an object having the form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)\rangle : x \in X\}$ where $\mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x)$, $\gamma_A(x)$ which represents the degree of membership function, the degree of indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership function respectively of each element $x \in X$ to the set A. Also $^{-}0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \sigma_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 3^{+}$ for all $x \in X$.

Remark 2.4. [12,13] (1) A neutrosophic set $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)\rangle : x \in X\}$ can be identified to an ordered triple set $\langle \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A\rangle$ in $]0^{-}, 1^{+}[$ on X.

(2) For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = \langle \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A\rangle$ for the neutrosophic set $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)\rangle : x \in X\}$

Definition 2.5. [10] Let $\{A_i, i \in J\}$ be an arbitrary family of neutrosophic sets in X. Then

(a) $\cap A_i = \{\langle x, \wedge\mu_{A_i}(x), \wedge\sigma_{A_i}(x), \vee\gamma_{A_i}(x)\rangle : x \in X\}$;

(b) $\cup A_i = \{\langle x, \vee\mu_{A_i}(x), \vee\sigma_{A_i}(x), \wedge\gamma_{A_i}(x)\rangle : x \in X\}$

Definition 2.6. [10]

$0_N = \{\langle x, 0, 0, 1\rangle : x \in X\}$ and $1_N = \{\langle x, 1, 1, 0\rangle : x \in X\}$

Definition 2.7. [6] A neutrosophic N-topology on a non-empty set X is a family $N_n\tau$ of neutrosophic sets in X satisfying the following axioms:

(i) $0_N, 1_N \in N_n\tau$

(ii) $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in N_n\tau$ for all $A_i \in N_n\tau$

(iii) $\cap_{i=1}^{n} A_i \in N_n\tau$ for all $A_i \in N_n\tau$.

Then the pair $(X, N_n\tau)$ is called neutrosophic N-topological space and each neutrosophic set in $N_n\tau$ is called neutrosophic $N_n\tau$-open set. The complement of neutrosophic $N_n\tau$-open set is called neutrosophic $N_n\tau$-closed set.

Definition 2.8. [6] Let $(X, N_n\tau)$ be a neutrosophic N-topological space on X and A be a neutrosophic set on X, then $N_n int(A)$ and $N_n cl(A)$ are respectively defined as

(i) $N_n int(A) = \cup\{G\colon G \subseteq A$ and G is a $N_n\tau - opensetinX\}$

(ii) $N_n cl(A) = \cap\{F\colon A \subseteq F$ and F is a $N_n\tau - closedsetinX\}$

Definition 2.9. [10] A neutrosophic set A $= \langle x, \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A\rangle$ in a neutrosophic topological space (X,T) is said to be a neutrosophic neighbourhood of a neutrosophic point $x_{r,t,s} \in X$, if there exists a neutrosophic open set B $= \langle x, \mu_B, \sigma_B, \gamma_B\rangle$ with $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq B \subseteq A$.

Notation 1. *[10] We denote neutrosophic neighbourhood A of a in X by neutrosophic neighbourhood A of a neutrosophic point $a_{r,t,s}$ for $a \in X$*

Definition 2.10. [10] A neutrosophic set $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A\rangle$ in a partially ordered set $(X, \leq)$ is said to be

(i) an increasing neutrosophic set if $x \leq y$ implies $A(x) \subseteq A(y)$. That is, $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_A(y), \sigma_A(x) \leq \sigma_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_A(y)$.

(ii) a decreasing neutrosophic set if $x \leq y$ implies $A(x) \supseteq A(y)$. That is, $\mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(y), \sigma_A(x) \geq \sigma_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A(x) \leq \gamma_A(y)$.

Definition 2.11. A neutrosophic set A is called neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-clopen set if it is both neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set and neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-closed set.

3. Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological Ordered Space

In this paper, we define the notation of Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-Topological Space as Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TS, partial order relation as por and also Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological Ordered Space as Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS. We found some results of Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-topological Ordered Spaces like Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space, Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, weakly Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, almost Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space and Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_3-ordered space.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma)$ equipped with a por $\leq$ is called Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$.

Definition 3.2. For every $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$ (i.e., u is not related to v) in X, if there exists a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set G containing v such that $u \notin G$, then neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is called *upper* neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-*ordered* space.

Definition 3.3. For every $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$ (i.e., u is not related to v) in X, if there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set H containing u such that $v \notin H$, then neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is called *lower* neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-*ordered* space.

Definition 3.4. $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is said to be neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-*ordered space* if it is both lower and upper neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with a por $\leq$. For $\mathcal{N} = 2$, let the neutrosophic sets be $U = \{x, (0.2, 0.2, 0.4), \ (0.3, 0.3, 0.1), (0.6, 0.6, 0.2)\}$ and $V = \{x, (0.4, 0.4, 0.4), (0.4, 0.4, 0.3), (0.4, 0.4, 0.3)\}$. Then $U \cup V = \{(x, (0.4, 0.4, 0.4), (0.4, 0.4, 0.3), (0.4, 0.4, 0.3))\}$ and $U \cap V = \{(x, (0.2, 0.2, 0.4), (0.3, 0.3, 0.1), (0.6, 0.6, 0.2))\}$. Considering $\varsigma_1 = \{0_N, 1_N, U\}$ and $\varsigma_2 = \{0_N, 1_N, V\}$, then $2\varsigma O(X) = \{0_N, 1_N, U, V, U \cap V, U \cup V\}$ which is a neutrosophic bitopology on X. Then $(X, 2_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a neutrosophic bi-topological ordered space. Let $a_{(0.15,0.2,0.4)}$ and $b_{(0.15,0.15,0.25)}$ be any two neutrosophic points on X. For $a_{(0.15,0.2,0.4)} \nleq b_{(0.15,0.15,0.25)}$, there exists an increasing neutrosophic 2ς-neighbourhood U of $a_{(0.15,0.2,0.4)}$ such that U is not a neutrosophic 2ς-neighbourhood of $b_{(0.15,0.15,0.25)}$. Therefore, $(X, 2_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a lower neutrosophic 2ς-T_1-ordered space. Similarly, we can do for upper neutrosophic 2ς-T_1-ordered space. For $\mathcal{N} = 3$, define the neutrosophic sets $U = \{x, (0.3, 0.3, 0.5), (0.5, 0.5, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7, 0.2)\}$, $V = \{x, (0.6, 0.6, 0.5), (0.6, 0.6, 0.5), (0.6, 0.6, 0.5)\}$. Then $U \cup V = \{(x, (0.6, 0.6, 0.5), (0.6, 0.6, 0.5), (0.6, 0.6, 0.5))\}$ and $U \cap V = \{(x, (0.3, 0.3, 0.5), (0.5, 0.5, 0.3), (0.7, 0.7, 0.2))\}$. Considering $\varsigma_1 = \{0_N, 1_N, U\}$, $\varsigma_2 = \{0_N, 1_N, V\}$ and $\varsigma_3 = \{0_N, 1_N\}$, then $3\varsigma O(X) = \{0_N, 1_N, U, V, U \cap V, U \cup V\}$ which is a neutrosophic tritopology on X. Then $(X, 3_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is neutrosophic tri-topological ordered space. Let $a_{(0.25,0.3,0.5)}$,$b_{(0.25,0.25,0.35)} \in X$ such that $a_{(0.25,0.3,0.5)} \nleq b_{(0.25,0.25,0.35)}$. Then there exists an increasing neutrosophic 3ς-neighbourhood U of $a_{(0.25,0.3,0.5)}$ such that U is not a neutrosophic 3ς-neighbourhood of $b_{(0.25,0.25,0.35)}$. Therefore, $(X, 3_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a lower neutrosophic 3ς-T_1-ordered space. Similarly, we can do for upper neutrosophic 3ς-T_1-ordered space.

Theorem 3.6. *For a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) X is a lower(respectively upper) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space.

(ii) For each $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$, there exists an increasing(respectively decreasing) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set $G = \langle x, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \gamma_G \rangle$ containing u(respectively v) such that $r \nleq v$(respectively $u \nleq r$) for all $r \in G$.

Proof. Now we prove the theorem only for lower neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $u \nleq v$. By hypothesis, there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set G containing u such that $v \notin G$. If $r \in G$ and $r \leq v$, then $v \in G$, a contradiction. Therefore, $r \nleq v$ for all $r \in G$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$. Therefore there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set G containing u such that $r \nleq v$ for all $r \in G$. Then $i(G)$ is an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set of u such that $v \notin i(G)$. This implies that X is a lower neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space. Similar proof holds for upper neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space. □

Theorem 3.7. *If $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a lower(respectively upper) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space and $\mathcal{N}_n\varsigma \subseteq \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*$, then $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*, \leq)$ is a lower(respectively upper) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ be a lower neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space. Then if $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$, there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set $U = \langle x, \mu_U, \sigma_U, \gamma_U \rangle$ of u such that U is not a neutropsophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set of v. Since $\mathcal{N}_n\varsigma \subseteq \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*$, therefore if $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$, there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-open set U^* of u such that U^* is not a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-open set of v. Thus $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*, \leq)$ is a lower neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space. Similarly, we can prove for upper neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space. □

Definition 3.8. For each pair of elements $u \nleq v$ in X, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets $G = \langle x, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \gamma_G \rangle$ and $H = \langle x, \mu_H, \sigma_H, \gamma_H \rangle$ such that G is an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood of u, H is a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood of v and $G \cap H = 0_N$, then $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is defined to be neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.

Theorem 3.9. *For a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) X is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.

(ii) For each pair $u, v \in X$ such that $u \nleq v$, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets $G = \langle x, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \gamma_G \rangle$ and $H = \langle x, \mu_H, \sigma_H, \gamma_H \rangle$ such that $u \in G$, $v \in H$ and $s \in G$, $t \in H$ together imply that $s \nleq t$.

(iii) The graph of the partial order of X is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-closed where $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$ is the product topology for $X \times X$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let $u, v \in X$ with $u \nleq v$, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets G and H satisfying the properties in (ii). Since $i(G)$ is an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set and $d(H)$ is a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set, we have $i(G) \cap d(H) = 0_N$. Suppose if $w \in i(G) \cap d(H)$, there exists $s \in G$ such that $s \leq w$ and there exists $t \in H$ such that $w \leq t$. Then $s \leq t$, a contradiction. Therefore $i(G) \cap d(H) = 0_N$. Hence X is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let G be the graph of the partial order of X and $(s, t) \in \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*$-$cl(G)$ and $(s, t) \notin G$. Then $s \nleq t$ and therefore there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set A of

s and a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set B of t such that $A \cap B = 0_N$. $A \times B$ being a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-open set of (s,t), $(A \times B) \cap G = 0_N$. Thus $(s,t) \in A \times B$. It follows that $(s,s) \in A$ which implies $s \leq t$. Since A is an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set, $t \in A$. Then $A \cap B \neq 0_N$, a contradiction. Therefore, $(s,t) \notin \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma^*\text{-}cl(G)$ and consequently, G is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-closed.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose $s \not\leq t$. Then $(s,s) \notin G$ where G is the graph of the partial order of X. Since G is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-closed, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma^*$-open sets S and T such that $(s,t) \in S \times T$ and $(S \times T) \cap G = 0_N$. Let $S^* = i(S)$ and $T^* = d(T)$. Then S^* is an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set of s, T^* is a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set of t. Also $S^* \cap T^* = 0_N$, because suppose if $r \in S^* \cap T^*$, then there exists $p \in S, q \in T$ such that $p \leq r \leq q$ which implies $p \leq q$. So $(p,q) \in (S \times T) \cap G$, a contradiction. Therefore, $S^* \cap T^*$ must be empty. Hence X is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space. □

Theorem 3.10. *A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space if and only if for each $r \in X$, there exists an increasing(respectively decreasing) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-clopen subset of X containing r.*

Proof. If X is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space and let $H \subseteq X$, then H is the required increasing (respectively decreasing) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-clopen subset of X for all $r \in X$. Conversely, let us assume $r \not\leq s$ in X. By hypothesis, there exists an increasing(respectively decreasing) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-clopen subset H in X containing r. If $s \in H$, then there is nothing to prove. If $s \notin H$, then $X \setminus H$ is a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-clopen subset of X containing s. Also $H \cap X \setminus H = \emptyset$. Hence $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.

□

4. Weakly Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-Ordered and Almost Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-Ordered Space

Definition 4.1. A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS is said to be weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space if for given $v < u$(that is $v \leq u$ and $v \neq u$), there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets $G = \langle x, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \gamma_G \rangle$ and $H = \langle x, \mu_H, \sigma_H, \gamma_H \rangle$ containing u and v respectively such that $r \in G$ and $s \in H$ together imply that $s < r$.

Definition 4.2. A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS is said to be an almost neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space if for given $u \parallel v$, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets $G = \langle x, \mu_G, \sigma_G, \gamma_G \rangle$ and $H = \langle x, \mu_H, \sigma_H, \gamma_H \rangle$ containing u and v respectively such that $r \in G$ and $s \in H$ together imply that $r \parallel s$.

Theorem 4.3. *A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space if and only if it is weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered and almost neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ be a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space. Then it is weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space. Let $u \parallel v$. Then $u \nleq v$ and $v \nleq u$. Since X is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered and $u \nleq v$, then there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets G and H containing u and v respectively such that $r \in G$ and $s \in H$ together imply that $r \nleq s$. Since $v \nleq u$, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets H^* of $v$and G^* of u such that $s \in H^*$ and $r \in G^*$ together imply that $s \nleq r$. Thus $G \cap G^*$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set containing u and $H \cap H^*$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open set containing v such that $r \in G \cap G^*$, $s \in H \cap H^*$ together imply that $r \parallel s$. Hence X is almost neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.

Conversely, if $u \nleq v$, then either $v < u$ or $v \nleq u$. If $v < u$ and since X is weakly neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, then there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets G and H containing u and v respectively such that $r \in G$, $s \in H$ implies that $s < r$, that is $r \nleq s$. If $v \nleq u$, then obviously $u \parallel v$. And since X is almost neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space, for given $u \parallel v$, there exists neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-open sets G^* and H^* containing u and v respectively such that $r \in G^*$ and $s \in H^*$ together imply that $r \parallel s$. Therefore $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space. □

5. Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-Regularly Ordered Space

Definition 5.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ be a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-TOS. If for each decreasing(respectively increasing) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-closed subset W in X and for each $s \notin W$, there exists a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood G of s and a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood H of W such that G is increasing(respectively decreasing), H is decreasing(respectively increasing) and $G \cap H = 0_N$, then $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is said to be lower(respectively upper) neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space.

Definition 5.2. $(X, \mathcal{N}_n\varsigma, \leq)$ is said to be neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space if it is both lower and upper neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space.

Definition 5.3. A neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space is called $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_3-ordered space.

Theorem 5.4. *Every neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space, lower or upper neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space.*

Proof. Let X be a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space, lower neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-regularly ordered space and let $u \nleq v$. Since X is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_1-ordered space, $[\leftarrow, v]$ is neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-closed. Also $[\leftarrow, v]$ is a decreasing neutrosophic set. Since $u \notin [\leftarrow, v]$, there exists an increasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood G of u and a decreasing neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-neighbourhood H of $[\leftarrow, v]$ such that $G \cap H = 0_N$. Since $v \in [\leftarrow, v] \subseteq H$, X is a neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_2-ordered space. □

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we defined a new concept "Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-Topological Ordered Spaces". some characterisitics of separation axioms $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_i-ordered space $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ dealing with neutrosophic were studied here. In our future work, we deal with neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}\varsigma$-T_i-ordered space (i=4,5) and its characteristics in Neutrosophic $\mathcal{N}$-Topological Ordered Spaces.

References

1. Atnassov K.T., Intutionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1986, 20, 87-96.
2. Balaji G., Ganesh G., Rajesh N.; Separation Axioms in Bitopological Ordered Spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 2017, Vol.114(2), 221-229.
3. Chang C.L., Fuzzy topological spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1968, 24, 182-190.
4. Coker D., An introduction to intutionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1997, 88, 81-89.
5. Firthous Fatima S. and Durgaselvi A., $\mathcal{N}$-Topological Ordered Spaces, AIP Conference Proceedings 2020, 2261, 030022(1-5).
6. Lellis Thivagar M., Jafari S., Antonysamy V. and Sutha Devi V., The ingenuity of Neutrosophic Topology via N-Topology, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 2019, 19, 1.
7. Lellis Thivagar M., Ramesh V., Arockia Dasan M.; Computation of Separation Axioms in N-topology, Journal of Ultra Scientist of Physical Sciences 2017, Vol.29(2), 77-82.
8. Lellis Thivagar M., Ramesh V., Arockia Dasan M.; On new structure of N-topology, Cogent Mathematics (Taylor and Francise) 2016, Vol.3, 1204104.
9. Leopoldo Nachbin, Topology and order, D.Van Nostrand Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.
10. Narmada Devi R., Dhavaseelan R., Jafari S., On Separation axioms in an Ordered Neutrosophic Bitopological Space, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 2017, 18, 1.
11. Salama A.A. and Alblowi S.A., Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics 2012, Vol.3, Issue 4, 31-35.
12. Smarandache F., Neutrosophy and neutrosophic logic. In: F. Smarandache (Ed.), Neutrosophy, neutrosophic logic, set, probability and statistics. Proceedings of the International Conference, University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301,USA (2002).
13. Smarandache F., A unifying field in logics: Neutrosophy and neutrosophic logic. Neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, probability. American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
14. Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 1965, 8(3), 338-353.

Received: Jan. 13, 2021. Accepted: April 1, 2021.