



New Mexico Law Review

35 N.M. L. Rev. ix (Winter 2005 2005)

Winter 2005

Introduction

New Mexico Law Review

Recommended Citation

New Mexico Law Review, *Introduction*, 35 N.M. L. Rev. ix (2005).
Available at: <https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nmlr/vol35/iss1/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by The University of New Mexico School of Law. For more information, please visit the *New Mexico Law Review* website: www.lawschool.unm.edu/nmlr

INTRODUCTION

The *New Mexico Law Review* is pleased to present the first issue of Volume 35. This issue is comprised of articles written by professors and practitioners, a note written by a student, and a speech by the Attorney General of Great Britain. These articles, chosen in furtherance of the *Law Review's* mission of relevance and practicality for the New Mexico Bench and Bar, cover a variety of different topics.

This issue begins with an article by Kaighn Smith, Jr., *Federal Courts, State Power, and Indian Tribes: Confronting the Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule*. Smith's article analyzes the constraints that the well-pleaded complaint rule places on federal question jurisdiction and the ability of tribes to seek federal court protection. Wendy E. Parmet's and Christopher Banthin's article, *Public Health Protection and the Commerce Clause: Controlling Tobacco in the Internet Age*, deals with the ability of states to regulate the sale of tobacco on the Internet in light of the dormant Commerce Clause.

An article by Christopher E. Smith, Michael McCall, and Madhavi McCall, *Criminal Justice and the 2003–2004 United States Supreme Court Term*, and Lyn S. Entzeroth's article, *Reflections on Fifteen Years of the Teague v. Lane Retroactivity Paradigm: A Study in the Persistence, the Pervasiveness, and the Perversity of the Court's Doctrine*, also explore issues of federal law. The article by Smith, McCall, and McCall provides an overview of the 2003–2004 Supreme Court Term, while Entzeroth's article offers a critique of the federal retroactivity doctrine.

Specifically dealing with New Mexico law are M.E. Occhialino's article, *Bartlett Revisited: The Impact of Several Liability on Pretrial Procedure in New Mexico—Part Two*, and a student note by Kelly K. Waterfall, *State v. Muniz: Authorizing Adult Sentencing of Juveniles Absent a Conviction that Authorizes an Adult Sentence*. Professor Occhialino's article covers the pretrial procedural issues that have arisen following New Mexico's adoption of comparative fault, and Waterfall's note critiques New Mexico's sentencing procedures for juvenile offenders.

Also included in this issue is a speech that Lord Peter Goldsmith, Attorney General of Great Britain, delivered as the first Ramo Lecture in International Law and Justice at the University of New Mexico School of Law. As such, the speech centers on terrorism and preserving and protecting the rule of law.

Please enjoy the first issue of Volume 35!