

9-30-2020

## Some Properties of Q-Neutrosophic Ideals of Semirings

Debabrata Mandal

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Mandal, Debabrata. "Some Properties of Q-Neutrosophic Ideals of Semirings." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 36, 1 (2020). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol36/iss1/27](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol36/iss1/27)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [amywinter@unm.edu](mailto:amywinter@unm.edu), [lsloane@salud.unm.edu](mailto:lsloane@salud.unm.edu), [sarahrk@unm.edu](mailto:sarahrk@unm.edu).



## Some Properties of $Q$ -Neutrosophic Ideals of Semirings

Debabrata Mandal

Department of Mathematics, Raja Peary Mohan College, Uttarpara, Hooghly-712258, India

e-mail: dmandaljumath@gmail.com

\*Correspondence: dmandaljumath@gmail.com

**Abstract.** The intention of this paper is to introduce and study some properties of the ideals of semirings using the concept of  $Q$ -neutrosophic set.

**Keywords:** Semiring;  $Q$ -neutrosophic ideal; Cartesian Product; Composition.

### 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [21] in 1965 to overcome the uncertainties in various problems in environment, economics, engineering etc. As an extension of it, Atanassov [7] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy set in 1986, where a degree of non-membership was considered besides the degree of membership of each element with (membership value + non-membership value)  $\leq 1$ .

After that several generalizations such as, rough sets, vague sets, interval-valued sets etc. are considered as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties. In 2005, F. Smarandache introduced Neutrosophic set [19] in which he introduced the indeterminacy to intuitionistic fuzzy sets. So, the resultant can be taken as a tri-component logic which can be applied to non-standard analysis such as decision making (for example, result of games (win/tie/defeat), votes, from no/yes/NA), control theory etc.. Since then several researchers has applied this concept in many practical fields such as multi-criteria decision making, signal processing, disaster management etc.. Some of its recent applications can be found in [1–5, 9, 18, 20].

In 2011, Majumder [13] introduced and studied the concept of  $Q$ -fuzzification of ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Akram et al [6], Lekkoksung [11, 12], Mandal [14], Qamar et al [15, 16] extended this concept in case of  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, ordered semigroups [10], ordered  $\Gamma$ -semiring, soft fields, group theory and investigated some important properties.

Motivated by this idea and combining the concept with neutrosophic set, in the paper we

have studied the ideal theory of semirings since it has several applications in graph theory, automata theory, mathematical modelling etc. [8].

## 2. Preliminaries

At first let us remember some definitions which will be used in the discussion of the paper.

**Definition 2.1.** A semiring is a nonempty set  $S$  on which two operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  have been defined such that  $(S, +)$  and  $(S, \cdot)$  form monoid where  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$  from any side.

**Definition 2.2.** A nonempty subset  $X (\neq S)$  of semiring  $S$  is said to be an ideal if for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $p \in S$ ,  $x + y \in X$ ,  $px \in X$ . Similarly we can define a right ideal also. An ideal of  $S$  is a nonempty subset which satisfies both properties of left ideal and right ideal.

**Definition 2.3.** A neutrosophic set  $N$  on the universe  $U$  is defined as  $N = \{ \langle u, A^T(u), A^I(u), A^F(u) \rangle, u \in U \}$ , where  $A^T, A^I, A^F : U \rightarrow ]-0, 1+[$  and  $-0 \leq A^T(u) + A^I(u) + A^F(u) \leq 3^+$ . For practical purposes, it is difficult to consider  $] -0, 1+[$ . So, for studying neutrosophic set we consider the set which takes the value from the subset of  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For a non-empty set  $Q$ , a mapping  $\nu : S \times Q \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be a  $Q$ -fuzzy subset of  $S$  and  $\nu_l = \{(s, q) \in S \times Q | \nu(s, q) \geq l\}$  where  $l \in [0, 1]$  is its level subset.

## 3. Main Results

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\nu = (\nu^T, \nu^I, \nu^F)$  be a non empty neutrosophic subset of a semiring  $S$ . Then  $\nu$  is called a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$  if

- (i)  $\nu^T(s_1 + s_2, p) \geq \min\{\nu^T(s_1, p), \nu^T(s_2, p)\}$ ,  $\nu^T(s_1 s_2, p) \geq \nu^T(s_2, p)$
- (ii)  $\nu^I(s_1 + s_2, p) \geq \frac{\nu^I(s_1, p) + \nu^I(s_2, p)}{2}$ ,  $\nu^I(s_1 s_2, p) \geq \nu^I(s_2, p)$
- (iii)  $\nu^F(s_1 + s_2, p) \leq \max\{\nu^F(s_1, p), \nu^F(s_2, p)\}$ ,  $\nu^F(s_1 s_2, p) \leq \nu^F(s_2, p)$ .

for all  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  and  $p \in Q$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Any  $Q$ -neutrosophic set  $\nu$  of a semiring  $S$  is a left ideal iff its level subsets  $\nu_l^T := \{(x, p) \in S \times Q : \nu^T(x, p) \geq l, l \in [0, 1], p \in Q\}$ ,  $\nu_l^I := \{(x, p) \in S \times Q : \nu^I(x, p) \geq l, l \in [0, 1]\}$  and  $\nu_l^F := \{(x, p) \in S \times Q : \nu^F(x, p) \leq l, l \in [0, 1]\}$  are left ideals of  $S \times Q$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\nu$  of  $S$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ . Then anyone of  $\nu^T$ ,  $\nu^I$  or  $\nu^F$  is not equal to zero for some  $(s, p) \in S \times Q$ . Without loss of generality we consider, all of them are not equal to zero.

Suppose  $a, b \in \nu_l = (\nu_l^T, \nu_l^I, \nu_l^F)$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $p \in Q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^T(a+b, p) &\geq \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \nu^T(b, p)\} \geq \min\{l, l\} = l \\ \nu^I(a+b, p) &\geq \frac{\nu^I(a, p) + \nu^I(b, p)}{2} \geq \frac{l+l}{2} = l \\ \nu^F(a+b, p) &\leq \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \nu^F(b, p)\} \leq \max\{l, l\} = l\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $(a+b, p) \in \nu_l^T, \nu_l^I, \nu_l^F$  i.e.,  $(a+b, p) \in \nu_l$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^T(sa, p) &\geq \nu^T(a, p) \geq l \\ \nu^I(sa, p) &\geq \nu^I(a, p) \geq l \\ \nu^F(sa, p) &\leq \nu^F(a, p) \leq l\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(sa, p) \in \nu_l$ .

Therefore  $\nu_l$  is a left ideal of  $S$ .

Conversely, let  $\nu_l (\neq \phi)$  is a left ideal of  $S \times Q$  and  $\nu$  is not a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ .

Then for  $a, b \in S$  and  $p \in Q$  anyone of the following inequality will hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^T(a+b, p) &< \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \nu^T(b, p)\} \\ \nu^I(a+b, p) &< \frac{\nu^I(a, p) + \nu^I(b, p)}{2} \\ \nu^F(a+b, p) &> \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \nu^F(b, p)\}\end{aligned}$$

For the first inequality, choose  $l_1 = \frac{1}{2}[\nu^T(a+b, p) + \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \nu^T(b, p)\}]$ . Then  $\nu^T(a+b, p) < l_1 < \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \nu^T(b, p)\} \Rightarrow (a, p), (b, p) \in \nu_{l_1}^T$ . but  $(a+b, p) \notin \nu_{l_1}^T$  - contradiction.

For the second inequality, choose  $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\nu^I(a+b, p) + \min\{\nu^I(a, p), \nu^I(b, p)\}]$ . Then  $\nu^I(a+b, p) < t_2 < \frac{\nu^I(a, p) + \nu^I(b, p)}{2} \Rightarrow (a, p), (b, p) \in \nu_{t_2}^I$ . But  $(a+b, p) \notin \nu_{t_2}^I$  - contradiction.

For the third inequality, choose  $t_3 = \frac{1}{2}[\nu^F(a+b, p) + \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \nu^F(b, p)\}]$ . Then  $\nu^F(a+b, p) > t_3 > \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \nu^F(b, p)\} \Rightarrow (a, p), (b, p) \in \nu_{t_3}^F$  but  $(a+b, p) \notin \nu_{t_3}^F$  - contradiction.

Hence the theorem.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** For two  $Q$ -neutrosophic subsets  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  of  $S \times Q$ , define their intersection by

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu^T \cap \sigma^T)(a, p) &= \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \sigma^T(a, p)\} \\ (\nu^I \cap \sigma^I)(a, p) &= \min\{\nu^I(a, p), \sigma^I(a, p)\} \\ (\nu^F \cap \sigma^F)(a, p) &= \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \sigma^F(a, p)\}\end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in S$  and  $p \in Q$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Intersection of any number of  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideals of  $S$  is also a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{\nu_i : c \in C\}$  be a collection of  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideals of  $S$  and  $a, b \in S$ ,  $p \in Q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^T)(a+b, p) &= \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^T(a+b, p) \geq \inf_{c \in C} \{\min\{\nu_c^T(a, p), \nu_c^T(b, p)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^T(a, p), \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^T(b, p)\} \\ &= \min\{(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^T)(a, p), (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^T)(b, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^I)(a+b, p) &= \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^I(a+b, p) \geq \inf_{c \in C} \frac{\nu_c^I(a, p) + \nu_c^I(b, p)}{2} \\ &= \frac{\inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^I(a, p) + \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^I(b, p)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^I)(a, p) + (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^I)(b, p)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^F)(a+b, p) &= \sup_{c \in C} \nu_c^F(a+b, p) \leq \sup_{c \in C} \{\max\{\nu_c^F(a, p), \nu_c^F(b, p)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\sup_{c \in C} \nu_c^F(a, p), \sup_{c \in C} \nu_c^F(b, p)\} \\ &= \max\{(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^F)(a, p), (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^F)(b, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^T)(ab, p) = \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^T(ab, p) \geq \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^T(b, p) = (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^T)(b, p).$$

$$(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^I)(ab, p) = \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^I(ab, p) \geq \inf_{c \in C} \nu_c^I(b, p) = (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^I)(b, p).$$

$$(\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^F)(ab, p) = \sup_{c \in C} \nu_c^F(ab, p) \leq \sup_{c \in C} \nu_c^F(b, p) = (\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c^F)(b, p).$$

Therefore  $\bigcap_{c \in C} \nu_c$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** For two  $Q$ -neutrosophic subsets  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  of  $S$ , define their cartesian product by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a, b), p) &= \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \sigma^T(b, p)\} \\ (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a, b), p) &= \frac{\nu^I(a, p) + \sigma^I(b, p)}{2} \\ (\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a, b), p) &= \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \sigma^F(b, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

$\forall a, b \in S, p \in Q$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** For two  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideals  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  of  $S$ ,  $\nu \times \sigma$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S \times S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in S \times S$  and  $p \in Q$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\ &= \min\{\nu^T(a_1 + b_1, p), \sigma^T(a_2 + b_2, p)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\nu^T(a_1, p), \nu^T(b_1, p)\}, \min\{\sigma^T(a_2, p), \sigma^T(b_2, p)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\nu^T(a_1, p), \sigma^T(a_2, p)\}, \min\{\nu^T(b_1, p), \sigma^T(b_2, p)\}\} \\ &= \min\{(\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a_1, a_2), p), (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((b_1, b_2), p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\
&= \frac{\nu^I(a_1+b_1, p) + \sigma^I(a_2+b_2, p)}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\nu^I(a_1, p) + \nu^I(b_1, p)}{2} + \frac{\sigma^I(a_2, p) + \sigma^I(b_2, p)}{2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\nu^I(a_1, p) + \sigma^I(a_2, p)}{2} + \frac{\nu^I(b_1, p) + \sigma^I(b_2, p)}{2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{ (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a_1, a_2), p) + (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((b_1, b_2), p) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\
&= \max\{\nu^F(a_1 + b_1, p), \nu^F(a_2 + b_2, p)\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\nu^F(a_1, p), \nu^F(b_1, p)\}, \max\{\sigma^F(a_2, p), \sigma^F(b_2, p)\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\nu^F(a_1, p), \sigma^F(a_2, p)\}, \max\{\nu^F(b_1, p), \sigma^F(b_2, p)\}\} \\
&= \max\{(\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a_1, a_2), p), (\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((b_1, b_2), p)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2), p) = \min\{\nu^T(a_1 b_1, p), \sigma^T(a_2 b_2, p)\} \\
&\geq \min\{\nu^T(b_1, p), \sigma^T(b_2, p)\} = (\nu^T \times \sigma^T)((b_1, b_2), p).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2), p) = \frac{\nu^I(a_1 b_1, p) + \sigma^I(a_2 b_2, p)}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{\nu^I(b_1, p) + \sigma^I(b_2, p)}{2} = (\nu^I \times \sigma^I)((b_1, b_2), p).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2), p) &= (\nu^F \times \sigma^F)((a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2), p) = \max\{\nu^F(a_1 b_1, p), \sigma^F(a_2 b_2, p)\} \\
&\leq \max\{\nu^F(b_1, p), \nu^F(b_2, p)\} = (\nu^F \times \sigma^F)(b_1, b_2, p).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\nu \times \sigma$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S \times S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** A  $Q$ -neutrosophic set  $\nu$  of  $S$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal iff  $\nu \times \nu$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S \times S$ .

*Proof.* If a  $Q$ -neutrosophic subset  $\nu$  of  $S$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal then by Theorem 3.6,  $\nu \times \nu$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S \times S$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\nu \times \nu$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S \times S$  and  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in S, p \in Q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\min\{\nu^T(a_1 + b_1, p), \nu^T(a_2 + b_2, p)\} &= (\nu^T \times \nu^T)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\
&= (\nu^T \times \nu^T)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) \\
&\geq \min\{(\nu^T \times \nu^T)((a_1, a_2), p), (\nu^T \times \nu^T)((b_1, b_2), p)\} \\
&= \min\{\min\{\nu^T(a_1, p), \nu^T(a_2, p)\}, \min\{\nu^T(b_1, p), \nu^T(b_2, p)\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nu^I(a_1+b_1, p) + \nu^I(a_2+b_2, p)}{2} &= (\nu^I \times \nu^I)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\
&= (\nu^I \times \nu^I)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) \\
&\geq \frac{(\nu^I \times \nu^I)((a_1, a_2), p) + (\nu^I \times \nu^I)((b_1, b_2), p)}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\nu^I(a_1, p) + \nu^I(a_2, p)}{2} + \frac{\nu^I(b_1, p) + \nu^I(b_2, p)}{2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\max\{\nu^F(a_1 + b_1, p), \nu^F(a_2 + b_2, p)\} &= (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2), p) \\
&= (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2), p) \\
&\leq \max\{(\nu^F \times \nu^F)((a_1, a_2), p), (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((b_1, b_2), p)\} \\
&= \min\{\max\{\nu^F(a_1, p), \nu^F(a_2, p)\}, \max\{\nu^F(b_1, p), \nu^F(b_2, p)\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, putting  $a_1 = a, a_2 = 0, b_1 = b$  and  $b_2 = 0$ , in the above inequalities and noting that  $\nu^T(0) \geq \nu^T(x)$ ,  $\nu^I(0) = 0$  and  $\nu^F(0) \leq \nu^F(x)$  for all  $a \in S$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^T(a + b, p) &\geq \min\{\nu^T(a, p), \nu^T(b, p)\} \\
\nu^I(a + b, p) &\geq \frac{\nu^I(a, p) + \nu^I(b, p)}{2} \\
\nu^F(a + b, p) &\leq \max\{\nu^F(a, p), \nu^F(b, p)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\min\{\nu^T(a_1 b_1), \nu^T(a_2 b_2)\} &= (\nu^T \times \nu^T)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = (\nu^T \times \nu^T)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)) \\
&\geq (\nu^T \times \nu^T)(b_1, b_2) = \min\{\nu^T(b_1), \nu^T(b_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nu^I(a_1 b_1, q) + \nu^I(a_2 b_2, q)}{2} &= (\nu^I \times \nu^I)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2), q) \\
&\geq (\nu^I \times \nu^I)((b_1, b_2), q) \\
&= \frac{\nu^I(b_1, q) + \nu^I(b_2, q)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\max\{\nu^F(a_1 b_1, q), \nu^F(a_2 b_2, q)\} &= (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2), q) = (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2), q) \\
&\leq (\nu^F \times \nu^F)((b_1, b_2), q) = \max\{\nu^F(b_1, q), \nu^F(b_2, q)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $a_1 = a, b_1 = b$  and  $b_2 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^T(ab, p) &\geq \nu^T(b, p) \\
\nu^I(ab, p) &\geq \nu^I(b, p) \\
\nu^F(ab, p) &\leq \nu^F(b, p).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\nu$  becomes a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.8.** For two  $Q$ -neutrosophic sets  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  of a semiring  $S$ , define their composition by

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^T \circ \sigma^T(a, p) &= \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \min_c \{\nu^T(a_c, p), \sigma^T(b_c, p)\} \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise} \\
\nu^I \circ \sigma^I(a, p) &= \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(a_c, p) + \sigma^I(b_c, p)}{2m} \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^F o \sigma^F(a, p) &= \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(a_c, p), \sigma^F(b_c, p) \} \} \\
&= \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $p \in Q$ ,  $a, a_c, b_c \in S$ ,  $m \in N$ -the set of natural number.

**Theorem 3.9.** For two  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideals  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  of  $S$ ,  $\nu o \sigma$  also forms a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Consider two  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideals  $\nu, \sigma$  of  $S$  with  $a, b \in S, p \in Q$ . If  $(a + b, p)$  has the expression  $(\sum_{i=1}^m a_c b_c, p)$ , where  $a_c, b_c \in S$  and  $p \in Q$ , then the proof is immediate from the definition. So, assume that  $a + b$  can be expressed in the said form. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nu^T o \sigma^T)(a + b, p) \\
&= \sup_m \{ \min_c \{ \nu^T(a_c, p), \sigma^T(b_c, p) \} \} \\
&\quad a+b = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\geq \sup \{ \min_c \{ \nu^T(c_c, p), \sigma^T(d_c, p), \nu^T(e_c, p), \sigma^T(f_c, p) \} \} \\
&\quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c, b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&= \min \{ \sup_c \{ \min \{ \nu^T(c_c, p), \sigma^T(d_c, p) \} \}, \sup_c \{ \min \{ \nu^T(e_c, p), \sigma^T(f_c, p) \} \} \} \\
&\quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&= \min \{ (\nu^T o \sigma^T)(a, p), (\nu^T o \sigma^T)(b, p) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nu^I o \sigma^I)(a + b, p) \\
&= \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(a_c, p) + \sigma^I(b_c, p)}{2m} \\
&\quad a+b = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\geq \sup \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(c_c, p) + \sigma^I(d_c, p) + \nu^I(e_c, p) + \sigma^I(f_c, p)}{2m} \\
&\quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c, b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} [ \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(c_c, p) + \sigma^I(d_c, p)}{2m}, \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(e_c, p) + \sigma^I(f_c, p)}{2m} ] \\
&\quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&= \frac{(\nu^I o \sigma^I)(a, p) + (\nu^I o \sigma^I)(b, p)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nu^F o \sigma^F)(a+b, p) \\
&= \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(a_c, p), \sigma^F(b_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad a+b = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\leq \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(c_c, p), \sigma^F(d_c, p), \nu^F(e_c, p), \sigma^F(f_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c, b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&= \max \{ \inf_c \{ \max \{ \nu^F(c_c, p), \sigma^F(d_c, p) \} \}, \inf_c \{ \max \{ \nu^F(e_c, p), \sigma^F(f_c, p) \} \} \} \\
& \quad a = \sum_{c=1}^m c_c d_c \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c \\
&= \max \{ (\nu^F o \sigma^F)(a, p), (\nu^F o \sigma^F)(b, p) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^T o \sigma^T)(ab, p) &= \sup_m \{ \min_c \{ \nu^T(a_c, p), \sigma^T(b_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\geq \sup_m \{ \min_c \{ \nu^T(ae_c, p), \sigma^T(f_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m ae_c f_c \\
&\geq \sup_m \{ \min_c \{ \nu^T(e_c, p), \sigma^T(f_c, p) \} \} = (\nu^T o \sigma^T)(b, p) \\
& \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^I o \sigma^I)(ab, p) &= \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(a_c, p) + \sigma^I(b_c, p)}{2m} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\geq \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(ae_c, p) + \sigma^I(f_c, p)}{2m} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m ae_c f_c \\
&\geq \sup_m \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\nu^I(e_c, p) + \sigma^I(f_c, p)}{2m} = (\nu^I o \sigma^I)(b, p) \\
& \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu^F o \sigma^F)(ab, p) &= \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(a_c, p), \sigma^F(b_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m a_c b_c \\
&\leq \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(ae_c, p), \sigma^F(f_c, p) \} \} \\
& \quad ab = \sum_{c=1}^m ae_c f_c \\
&\leq \inf_m \{ \max_c \{ \nu^F(e_c, p), \sigma^F(f_c, p) \} \} = (\nu^F o \sigma^F)(b, p) \\
& \quad b = \sum_{c=1}^m e_c f_c
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\nu o\sigma$  is a  $Q$ -neutrosophic left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Conclusion:** In this paper, we have defined  $Q$ -neutrosophic ideals of a semiring and studied some its elementary properties. Here also we obtain its characterizations by label subset criteria, cartesian product and composition of two  $Q$ -neutrosophic ideals. Our next aim to extend the idea in case of  $Q$ -neutrosophic bi-ideals,  $Q$ -neutrosophic quasi-ideals and investigate some properties of regular semirings.

**Acknowledgement:** The author is thankful to the referees for their valuable comments to improve the paper.

## References

1. Abdel-Basset, M.; Mohamed, R.; Elhoseny, M.; Chang, V. Evaluation framework for smart disaster response systems in uncertainty environment. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2020, 145, 106941.
2. Abdel-Basset, M.; Ali, M.; Atef, A. Uncertainty assessments of linear time-cost tradeoffs using neutrosophic set. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 2020, 141, 106286.
3. Abdel-Basset, M.; Ali, M.; Atef, A. Resource levelling problem in construction projects under neutrosophic environment. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 2020, Vol 76, No. 2, pp. 964 - 988.
4. Abdel-Basset, M.; Gamal, A.; Son, L. H.; Smarandache, F. A Bipolar Neutrosophic Multi Criteria Decision Making Framework for Professional Selection. *Applied Sciences*, 2020, Vol 10, No. 4, 1202.
5. Abdel-Basset, M.; Mohamed, R.; Zaied, A. E. N. H.; Gamal, A.; Smarandache, F. Solving the supply chain problem using the best-worst method based on a novel Plithogenic model, In *Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets*, Academic Press, 2020, pp. 1-19.
6. Akram, M.; Sathakathulla, A. A. On  $Q$ -fuzzy prime bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics*, 2012, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 123-129.
7. Atanassov, K. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1986, 20, pp. 87 - 96.
8. Golan, J. S. *Semirings and their applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dodrecht, 1999.
9. Intriago, D. A. V.; Gmez, L. ; Ruiz, D. P., Evaluation of actions to implement quality management and institutional projects in UNIANDÉS-Quevedo University a neutrosophic approach, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2020, Vol. 34, pp. 126-134.
10. Kehayopulu, N.; Tsingelis, M. Fuzzy ideal in ordered semigroups, *Quasigroups and Related Systems*, 2007, 15, pp. 279-289.
11. Lekkoksung, S. On  $Q$ -fuzzy bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 2012, Vol. 6, No. 8, pp. 365-370.
12. Lekkoksung, S. On  $Q$ -fuzzy ideals in ordered semigroups, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2014, Vol. 92, No. 3, 369-379.
13. Majumder, S.K. On  $Q$ -fuzzy ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *World Academy of Science, Engineering of Technology*, 2011, 60, pp. 1443-1447.
14. Mandal, D.  $Q$ -fuzzy ideal of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semiring, *Journal of New Theory*, 2015, 3, pp. 89 - 97.
15. Qamar, M. A.; Ahmad, A. G.; Hassan, N., On  $Q$ -Neutrosophic Soft Fields, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2020, Vol. 32, 2020, pp. 80-93.
16. Qamar, M. A.; Hassan, N., Characterizations of Group Theory under  $Q$ -Neutrosophic Soft Environment, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2019, Vol. 27, 2019, pp. 114-130.
17. Rosenfeld, A. Fuzzy groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 1971, 35, pp. 512-517.

18. Singh, N.; Chakraborty, A.; Biswas, S. B.; Majumdar, M. Impact of Social Media in Banking Sector under Triangular Neutrosophic Arena Using MCGDM Technique, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020, Vol. 35, 2020, pp.153-176.
19. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 2005, 24, pp.287 - 297.
20. Yasser, I.; Twakol, A., El-Khalek, A. A. A.; Samrah, A.; Salama, A. A., COVID-X: Novel Health-Fog Framework Based on Neutrosophic Classifier for Confrontation Covid-19, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020, Vol. 35, 2020, pp. 1-21.
21. Zadeh, L. A. Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 1965, 8, pp.338 - 353.

**Received: May 05, 2020/ Accepted: September 30, 2020**