## University of New Mexico UNM Digital Repository

Electrical and Computer Engineering ETDs

**Engineering ETDs** 

Spring 4-13-2023

# Evaluation of the Dynamic Vision Sensor's Photoreceptor Circuit for Infrared Event-Based Sensing

Zinah M. Alsaad

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/ece\_etds

Part of the Electrical and Electronics Commons, Electromagnetics and Photonics Commons, and the VLSI and Circuits, Embedded and Hardware Systems Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Alsaad, Zinah M.. "Evaluation of the Dynamic Vision Sensor's Photoreceptor Circuit for Infrared Event-Based Sensing." (2023). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/ece\_etds/582

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Engineering ETDs at UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electrical and Computer Engineering ETDs by an authorized administrator of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.

"Evaluation of the Dynamic Vision Sensor's Photoreceptor Circuit for Infrared Event-Based Sensing" a thesis prepared by Zinah M. Alsaad in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree, Masters of Science, has been approved and accepted by the following:

Dr. Payman Zarkesh-Ha Director, Center for High Technology Materials Professor, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Date

Committee in charge:

Dr. Payman Zarkesh-Ha

Dr. Francesca Cavallo

Dr. Preston T. Webster

### EVALUATION OF THE DYNAMIC VISION SENSOR'S PHOTORECEPTOR CIRCUIT FOR INFRARED EVENT-BASED SENSING

BY

Zinah M. Alsaad, B.S. University of New Mexico

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree

Masters of Science

**Electrical Engineering** 

University of New Mexico

Albuquerque, New Mexico

May 2023

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Payman Zarkesh-Ha, for his encouragement and constant technical and academic support. I would also like to thank Dr. Preston T. Webster from Air Force Research Laboratory/Space Vehicles Directorate for his constant guidance and advisement throughout this thesis, Dr. Francesca Cavallo for her support and recommendations for the various issues that arose, Dr. Julie V. Logan, Dr. Chritian P. Morath, and the AFRL/RVSU Advanced Electro-Optical Space Sensors (AEOSS) group. I would like to acknowledge and thank the professors of the electrical and computer engineering department who provided me a strong foundation in my engineering career thus far. Last but not least, I would like to thank my number one support team who were there since day one; Mom, Dad, my sisters Basma and Tiba.

This work has benefited from the financial support of the US Department of Defense SMART Fellowship, as well as financial support from the Air Force Research Laboratory Space Vehicles Directorate.

Zinah M. Alsaad

## EVALUATION OF THE DYNAMIC VISION SENSOR'S PHOTORECEPTOR CIRCUIT FOR INFRARED EVENT-BASED SENSING

by

Zinah M. Alsaad

B.S. Electrical Engineering, University of New Mexico, 2022

M.S. Electrical Engineering, University of New Mexico

#### ABSTRACT

For space surveillance applications, neuromorphic imaging is being studied as it may perform sensing and tracking tasks with less power and downstream datalink demand. The read-out of the event-based camera is made to only be sensitive to changes in the signals it receives from the photodetector, which results in a datastream of events indicating where and when changes in illumination occur. This is in contrast to the conventional framing camera, which produces images by essentially counting the electrons produced by light incident on each pixel's photodetector. These cameras are commercially available with siliconbased detectors for applications involving visible wavelengths. However, much more research is needed before the event-based read-out can be effectively used with smaller bandgap photodetectors to increase the utility of event-based sensing into the mid- and long-wavelength infrared. The photoreceptor stage is the main part of the event-based read-out circuitry that interacts with the photodetector. To evaluate the performance and limitations of using pixel circuitry for longer wavelength infrared detection, DC and AC characterizations of the event-based sensor photoreceptor circuit are conducted as a function of mid-wave infrared detector parameters. Detailed analysis of the circuit provides insight into the sensitivity of the photodetector bias stability, the temperature-dependence of the dynamic range, practical constraints to the dynamic range that emerge with the use of longer-wavelength photodetectors, and bandwidth dependence on the input photodetector current. The purpose of this study is to develop a fundamental event-based sensing circuit that is tailored for mid-wave infrared photodetection. This will allow for the characterization of prototype mid-wave event-based sensors and enable the evaluation of which mid-wave infrared detector properties significantly impact the event-based sensor's performance characteristics.

#### CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1			
2	Background	4			
2.1	Event-Based Sensors	4			
2.2	Infrared Detectors	6			
2.3	IR EBS Circuit	8			
2.4	Subthreshold Conduction	10			
3	Pixel Circuit Operation	12			
3.1	Basic Operation	12			
3.2	Detection Stage: Photoreceptor				
4	DC Analysis				
4.1	Analytical Modeling				
	4.1.1 Dynamic Range Derivation	20			
	4.1.2 Dynamic Range Optimization	23			
4.2	Voltage Transfer Characteristics	26			
	4.2.1 $M_1$ Transistor Branch	27			
	4.2.2 $M_{FB}$ Transistor Branch	29			
	4.2.3 Nodal Voltages	30			
4.9	Demonie Demon	27			

5	AC A	nalysis	40			
5.1	1 Small-signal Gain					
	5.1.1	Model Configuration	40			
	5.1.2	Model Analysis	42			
5.2	Parasi	tic Capacitance	44			
	5.2.1	Transistor Parasitic Capacitance	44			
	5.2.2	Detector and Transistor Parasitic Capacitance	46			
5.3	Bandy	$\operatorname{vidth}$	47			
	5.3.1	Transfer function	47			
	5.3.2	Dependence on Circuit Parameters	48			
5.4	Elmor	e Delay	52			
6	Futur	e Work	53			
REF	REFERENCES					

#### 1 Introduction

Conventional frame-based sensor technologies have dominated the space-based sensing industry for decades. While traditional CCD/CMOS sensing technologies are highly effective at extracting information from data composed of sequential image frames, this methodology presents issues and constraints. For example, the resolution of higher-speed temporal information in the scene necessitates higher frame rates. This results in correspondingly higher data communication capacity requirements which already exceed 1 GB/s for an  $8k \times 8k$  focal plane array with a 16-bit message size operating at even a modest frame rate of 10 Hz [1]. To use a frame-based camera for target tracking applications, all of the frame data must be processed to maintain continuous scene monitoring, taxing satellite communication bandwidth, and on-board power resources processing what is often highly redundant information (that is, information that is not changing with time). The negative impacts of tracking operations within the frame-based imaging paradigm will only continue to rise with increasing spatial and temporal resolution requirements [2]. However, in mission areas where only the dynamic scene information is of interest, the inherently compressed data and high dynamic range of an event-based sensor (EBS) may better serve this role in an ever-growing and interconnected sensing network.

The fundamental EBS pixel design consists of three main stages: photo-

receptor, differentiating circuit, and two comparators. Similar to the human eye, the photo-receptor stage is the first stage of the circuitry that logarithmically compresses the input current by introducing a load resistance through a MOS-FET (Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor) [3], in each pixel to then be differentiated with respect to the last value that triggered an event. This change of illumination enters the final stage to be compared to positive and negative contrast threshold values and turned into true or false events, should one of the thresholds be met [4].

While interest in using event-based sensors in the space domain is growing, a key technological obstacle to their adoption for many applications is the spectral response of their pixel's photodetector, which is silicon-based in all commerciallyavailable EBS cameras to date and thus limited to detecting in the visible and near-infrared. This study extends the utility of EBS to longer wavelength applications; the functionality of the standard 3-stage EBS pixel unit cell must be analyzed, starting with the stage that directly interacts with the photodetector element; the photoreceptor is analyzed for mid-wave infrared (MWIR) event-based sensing by simulating the photoreceptor stage output for III-V MWIR detector parameters, and examining the limitations of the circuitry. For example, unlike diffusion-limited silicon photodiodes where increasing the reverse biasing voltage has near-zero effect on the dark current [12], longer wavelength IR detectors can be much more sensitive to bias voltage variations [13], introducing a potential source of feedback in the circuit. Instability in detector bias could produce a variation in voltage at the output of the photoreceptor stage and potential false-events if the circuit is not designed with the characteristics of the photodetector in mind. Additionally, the magnitude of dark current in a mid-wave infrared (MWIR) detector is substantially larger than that of a Si detector, necessitating operation at lower temperatures. Lower temperature operation increases the maximum potential dynamic range of the EBS; however, the detector's dark current would ultimately set a practical limit to the dynamic range. Understanding the limitations of the EBS pixel design will provide insight into the relevant figures of merit of the circuitry for infrared applications, how the design can be modified to accommodate requirements best, and the fundamental performance limitations in this implementation of the unit cell.

#### 2 Background

#### 2.1 Event-Based Sensors

Event-based sensors (EBS) provide a low pixel rate mismatch, wide communication bandwidth, and low latency [3] by having each pixel asynchronously respond to the change of illumination levels with respect to background illumination, essentially imitating biological vision. While sensing technologies have become highly effective at extracting information from sequential scene frames, the nature of this methodology presents many issues and constraints. The limitations, such as communication bandwidth and power consumption, are all due to the continuous computation and processing of incoming data even for a static scene. Efforts to reduce these limitations by minimizing incoming data are pushing us towards low power and wide dynamic range event-based imaging.

Figure 1 plots the incoming data-stream from an EBS camera (solid line) sending an approximate 10 Mb/s of data for a 720 x 600 video, in comparison to a frame based camera (dashed line) which produces 1187 Mb/s for the same video. The difference of the amount of data produced in this example demonstrates the impact of using EBS over other conventional imaging forms. This difference drastically reduces computational requirements, bandwidth, and power consumption.



Figure 1: Conventional vs Event-Based Datastream, showing the data produced by each imager as a function of time for the same 720 x 600 video. The figure is used with permission of Julie V. Logan

#### 2.2 Infrared Detectors

Infrared detectors used in space must meet a unique set of performance standards than those used elsewhere. This stems from their low photon irradiance environment, requiring low dark current and noise equivalent irradiance, both of lower temperature operation. Due to the depletion dark currents coming from the absorbing material, barrier infrared detectors perform better for mid- to longwavelength infrared sensing [15]. In this study, an nBn mid-wavelength infrared detector response is used as an input for the EBS circuitry.

Figure 2 plots the dark current density of a 5.5  $\mu m$  cutoff nBn infrared detector at temperatures ranging from 80K to 100K to illustrate the importance of tuning the photoreceptor circuit for the characteristics of the MWIR photodetector. The 0.2 V reverse bias operating point indicated on the black curve is selected to yield the lowest shot noise equivalent irradiance at 130 K [10], and the magnitude of dark current density at this voltage 2.1  $\mu A/cm^2$ , which is near the median value for these detectors based on measured data on nBns and within a factor of 3× of the Rule 07 [9] expectation indicated by the horizontal black solid line.

Capacitance vs voltage measurement is considered to be a reliable method in extracting the doping profiles in devices [16]. Additionally, the values make a significant impact on EBS performance metrics such as bandwidth which will be discussed in section 5.2. Fig. 3 plots the capacitance density of a 5.5  $\mu m$  cutoff



Figure 2: Dark current density shown at temperatures ranging from 80K to 300K for a MWIR photodetector with a 5.5  $\mu m$  cutoff. Dark current density curve at the detector operating temperature 130K (black curve) with the optimal bias indicated illustrating the variation of the dark current magnitude as the detector bias  $V_{DET}$  changes [10].

infrared detector to illustrate how the change of capacitance occurs as a function of voltage bias for an nBn detector.



Figure 3: Capacitance density for a MWIR photodetector with a 5.5  $\mu m$  cutoff shown for a 10  $\mu$ m pitch device to demonstrate the change of capacitance as a function of voltage bias [10].

#### 2.3 IR EBS Circuit

There are two unique configurations in which the detector may be connected to the photoreceptor, one in which the detector is thermally-connected to the photoreceptor and another in which the detector is not thermally connected to the photoreceptor. The former case is representative of the conventional focal plane array hybridized on a read-out integrated circuit. At the same time, the latter might be the case in a hypothetical EBS test station where a single-element detector operates in a cryogenic Dewar, with its current outputs routed to the photoreceptor circuit outside of the cryogenic environment. Both scenarios will be analyzed here.

Suppose the 5.5  $\mu m$  cutoff detector material from [10] is hybridized to a 10  $\mu m$  pixel pitch read-out integrated circuit with an EBS unit cell utilizing this basic photoreceptor implementation. The resulting EBS camera would operate with both the detector and photoreceptor elements at 130 K. The dark current density data in Fig. 2 indicates that the detector dark current would be 2 pA for this pixel pitch at 130 K. The purple curve in Fig. 2 represents the photoreceptor response at 130 K which shows that under low-light conditions, the 2 pA dark current level will hold the photoreceptor output at about 2 V. Despite the higher dynamic range potential of lower temperature operation, the MWIR detector's dark current ultimately puts a practical limit on the dynamic range of ~ 140 dB.

Alternatively, one may evaluate larger-sized variable-area detector arrays as prototype EBS's using a conventional cryogenic detector test station. The detector output signals (dark current plus photocurrent) are routed to an external circuit implementing the photoreceptor, which may be at any other temperature. In this scenario, device sizes may range from 100 to 1000  $\mu m$  square mesas, and the detector may be evaluated as a function of temperature to characterize its performance as a prototype EBS. As seen in Fig. 2, varying the temperature from 80 to 250 K can result in in >7 orders of magnitude change in dark current. For the detector material considered here, the smallest (100  $\mu m$  side-length) devices would yield dark current levels of 10 pA, while the largest (1000  $\mu m$  side-length) devices would yield dark current levels of 1 nA. An external circuit implementing the photoreceptor, differencing, and comparator stages could likely be operated at room temperature for basic prototype MWIR EBS characterizations. With increasing temperature, dark current levels would increase, making the smallest devices most useful for probing the high-temperature operational conditions of the detector.

#### 2.4 Subthreshold Conduction

When the gate-source voltage of a MOSFET is lower than the threshold voltage required to fully turn on the transistor, a small amount of current can still pass through the transistor, this is known as subthreshold conduction. This happens due to carriers (electrons or holes) diffusing through the channel region under the influence of a weak gate-source voltage from the source to the drain. The subthreshold conduction rises exponentially with decreasing gate-source voltage and is strongly influenced by temperature and device geometry. The subthreshold swing, which is the change in gate-source voltage necessary to increase the subthreshold current by one decade, is frequently used to describe the subthreshold current, an important parameter for low-power circuit design.

Both analog and digital designers are particularly interested in the MOSFET sub-threshold regime. This operating region, has a very high transconductance to current ratio, which makes it a particularly productive operating region [17]. A logarithmic function represents the drain current response, hence using weak inversion as the transistor responsible for the logarithmic compression of the signal.

#### 3 Pixel Circuit Operation

#### 3.1 Basic Operation

The standard commercial off the shelf EBS camera uses a pixel unit cell circuit design which consists of three basic stages: (1) photoreceptor; (2) differencing; and (3) comparator in order of the signal path, as shown by the abstracted schematic from Fig. 4. In the photoreceptor stage, the current produced in the photodetector (photocurrent and thermally-generated dark current) is logarithmically compressed and amplified through a MOSFET [3] transistor load operating in weak inversion. In the second stage, the logarithmic photovoltage produced by the first stage is then differenced with respect to the last value that triggered an event. This difference voltage, a signal quantifying the change of illumination or temporal contrast, enters the final comparator stage to be compared to positive and negative contrast threshold values, producing positive or negative events, respectively, at the output of the comparator stage, which is then reported off-chip using address-event representation. In this way, the pixels of the EBS produces data independently of one another, and any given pixel only produces data when the region of the scene it views is evolving [4]. For a static scene, a minimum volume of data is still produced due to background activity, which is a function of the noise due to detector photo- and thermal dark current relative to their median values.



Figure 4: Abstract schematic showing the three basic stages of the EBS pixel circuit; photoreceptor stage with input from the detector and output at  $V_{OUT}$ , differencing stage with input from the previous stage and an output of  $V_{DIFF}$ , and comprator stage with input from the previous stage and an two outputs (ON and OFF) corresponding to events

Figure 5 plots the basic EBS pixel circuit response at all stages using an expected curve shape for input photocurrent (damped sinusoidal wave). Though the shape of the two curves is similar, the logarithmic compression from the photoreceptor causes the difference in magnitude between Fig.4-a and b, which is then differenced to the previously triggered value resulting in the several peaks with an upper and a lower bands of ON and OFF contrast thresholds. Should the crossing of the horizontal contrast thresholds occur, the signal gets triggered as indicated by the red vertical lines in Fig.4-d.



Figure 5: EBS pixel circuit stage response. (a) Example damped sine wave serving as an input to the circuit. (b) logarithmically compressed signal at the output of the first stage. (c) Output voltage from the differential amplifier stage with upper and lower bounds corresponding to ON and OFF levels. (d) Initial signal with its' ON and OFF events (where  $V_{DIFF}$ ) reached the ON or OFF threshold condition represented by the horizontal dashed lines. Figure used with permission of J. V. Logan

#### 3.2 Detection Stage: Photoreceptor

As mentioned in the previous section (3.1), the photoreceptor is the only stage that receives the signal directly from the photodetector. Additionally, it is in charge of the signal's logarithmic compression, while the subsequent stages are utilized to process the signal as it exits the first stage as shown in Fig.5c and d, making the photoreceptor stage the detection stage. Understanding the limitations of the photoreceptor design allows us to determine the figures of merit of the circuitry for infrared applications and how the design can be modified to better match the requirements.

The remaining sections of this study will focus on finding and optimizing the photorecptor's dynamic range and bandwidth. In addition, determine the limitations of the circuitry caused by the photoreceptor circuit. The EBS pixel circuit implementation with the photoreceptor stage (red stage) shown in Fig. 6 will be analyzed in the following sections.



Figure 6: Schematic shows the three fundamental stages of the EBS pixel circuit using a basic implementation

#### 4 DC Analysis

Similar to the human eye, the circuit's first stage is the photoreceptor, the fundamental mechanism for this stage of the circuit is that the current generated by the detector  $I_{DET}$ , is converted to voltage  $V_{DET}$ , through the high-gain inverting trans-impedance amplifier (shown in Fig 7 With a Photon flux-dependent current from the photodetector as the input and a supply voltage of  $V_{DD}$ ) An N-type feed-back MOSFET  $M_{FB}$  operating in sub-threshold conduction (also referred to as weak inversion) compresses the voltage to the output node  $V_{OUT}$  to then serve as input to the differentiating stage.



Figure 7: Simplified version of the basic implementation of photoreceptor circuit using transistor  $M_{FB}$  operating in subthreshold conduction with a feedback amplifier

Assuming that the  $M_{FB}$  transistor branch remains in the subthreshold regime

and the feedback configuration shown in Fig. 7, two expressions can be obtained to represent the relationship between nodal voltages:

$$I_{DET} = I_o \cdot e^{\left(\frac{V_{OUT} - V_{DET} - V_{T_{M_{FB}}}}{\frac{nkT}{q}}\right)}$$

$$V_{OUT} = V_{PH}(-A)$$
(1)

Solving the system of equations in (1), the result becomes

$$V_{OUT}(1+\frac{1}{A}) = \frac{nkT}{q} ln(\frac{I_{PH}}{I_o}) + V_{T_{M_{FB}}}$$
(2)

This expression indicates that the output voltage is proportional to the natural log of  $I_{PH}$ , which generates the log response for EBS. The equation also shows that for a high amplifier gain (~infinity),  $V_{OUT}$  becomes only dependent on the feedback transistor and its threshold voltage  $V_{T_{M_{FB}}}$ .

Figure 8 shows the basic implementation of the photoreceptor stage circuit [4] [6], which (for this analysis) uses a 1.1 V voltage supply  $V_{DD}$  for the  $M_1$  transistor branch, a 350 mV voltage supply  $V_{DFB}$  for the  $M_{FB}$  transistor branch, and an ideal current source  $I_{PR}$  for tuning the circuit's operating condition. The primary function of the biasing current  $I_{PR}$  is to provide an independent means of adjusting the nodal voltage  $V_{DET}$ , establishing the photodetector bias condition, and maintaining the  $M_1$  transistor branch in the saturation region.

The fundamental function of the photoreceptor stage in the EBS pixel unit cell circuit is to convert the photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  to a logarithmically-



Figure 8: Basic implementation of the stage 1 photoreceptor circuit in an eventbased sensing pixel unit cell. When operated with MOSFETs  $M_1$  in saturation and  $M_{FB}$  in subthreshold conduction, the photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  at detector operating temperature is converted to a logarithmically-compressed voltage at  $V_{OUT}$ .

compressed voltage at  $V_{OUT}$  [5]. An n-type feedback MOSFET  $M_{FB}$  operating in subthreshold conduction acts to convert the current  $I_{DET}$  to a logarithmicallycompressed signal at the output node  $V_{OUT}$ , to then serve as input to the differencing circuit in stage 2 that would follow in the full EBS pixel unit cell.

#### 4.1 Analytical Modeling

#### 4.1.1 Dynamic Range Derivation

This section derives the dynamic range of the photoreceptor circuit, under the conditions that  $M_{FB}$  stays in subthreshold conduction and  $M_1$  stays in saturation. For  $M_{FB}$  to operate in subthreshold conduction, it must be the case that its gate-source voltage  $V_{OUT} - V_{DET}$  is less than its threshold voltage  $V_{tFB}$ . Similarly, for  $M_1$  to operate in saturation, it must be the case that the product of its drain-source voltage  $V_{OUT}$  and its ideality factor  $n_1$  exceeds its gate-source voltage  $V_{DET}$  minus its threshold voltage  $V_{t1}$ , and that quantity  $V_{DET} - V_{t1}$  is greater than zero [11]. These requirements are expressed in (3).

$$V_{OUT} - V_{DET} < V_{tFB}$$

$$n_1 V_{OUT} > V_{DET} - V_{t1} > 0$$
(3)

Under the assumptions in (3), the circuit in Fig. 8 can be solved analytically. The current  $I_{PR}$  passes through the drain-source channel of transistor  $M_1$ , governed by (4), which carries a weak dependence on  $V_{OUT}$  due to the velocity saturation factor in square brackets and the channel length modulation factor  $\lambda$ .

$$I_{PR} = \gamma_1 (V_{DET} - V_{t1})^2 (1 + \lambda V_{OUT}) \left[ \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{V_{OUT}}{E_c L})} \right]$$
(4)

The factor  $\gamma_1$  contains the effective mobility of the channel  $\mu_{eff1}$ , gate oxide capacitance  $C_{ox1}$ , and the ratio of the channel width to length  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_1$  of  $M_1$  as detailed in (5).

$$\gamma_1 = \left(\frac{\mu_{eff1}C_{ox1}}{2n_1}\right) \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_1 \tag{5}$$

Rearranging (4) to solve for the photodetector voltage  $V_{DET}$  yields (6)

$$V_{DET} = V_{t1} + \sqrt{\frac{I_{PR}}{\gamma_1 (1 + \lambda V_{OUT}) \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{V_{OUT}}{E_c L})}\right]}}$$
(6)

Equation 6 indicates that the minimum photodetector bias is defined by the threshold voltage  $V_{t1}$  of transistor  $M_1$ , and the photodetector bias is tuned using  $I_{PR}$ . However,  $V_{DET}$  has a small output dependence due to velocity saturation and channel length modulation in transistor  $M_1$  that will have to be evaluated. Given these conditions,  $V_{t1}$  should be low enough to enable application of lower photodetector biases via  $I_{PR}$ , with the constraint that minimizing  $V_{t1}$  will result in enhanced channel length modulation that may introduce undesirable photodetector bias sensitivity to light levels.

To determine the output voltage  $V_{OUT}$ , it is noted that the photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  passes through the drain-source channel of transistor  $M_{FB}$ , governed by subthreshold conduction in (7).  $I_{0FB}$  is a temperature-dependent characteristic current of transistor  $M_{FB}$  detailed in (8), which is a function of effective mobility of the channel  $\mu_{effFB}$ , gate oxide capacitance  $C_{oxFB}$ , the ratio of the channel width to length  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{FB}$ , the ideality factor  $n_{FB}$  of  $M_{FB}$ , and the thermal voltage kT.

$$I_{DET} = I_{0FB} \cdot e^{q[(V_{OUT} - V_{DET}) - V_{tFB}]/n_{FB}kT}$$
(7)

$$I_{0FB} = \mu_{effFB} C_{oxFB} \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{FB} (n_{FB} - 1) \left(\frac{kT}{q}\right)^2$$
(8)

Rearranging (7) to solve for  $V_{OUT}$  yields (9), which demonstrates the circuit's logarithmic compression of the photodetector signal.

$$V_{OUT} = \frac{n_{FB}kT}{q} \ln\left(\frac{I_{DET}}{I_{0FB}}\right) + V_{DET} + V_{tFB}$$
(9)

Comparison of (6) and (9) with the requirements to place  $M_{FB}$  in subthreshold and  $M_1$  in saturation in (3) enables definition of the photodetector current conditions over which the circuit operates with logarithmic compression at  $V_{OUT}$ . Equation 9 indicates that the quantity  $V_{OUT} - V_{DET}$  is less than  $V_{tFB}$  for  $I_{DET} < I_{0FB}$ , thus the characteristic current  $I_{0FB}$  of  $M_{FB}$  in Equation 8 is the maximum photodetector current for logarithmic compression, beyond which  $M_{FB}$  enters saturation and the logarithmic photocurrent response transitions to power law 2. Analysis of the second condition, that  $n_1V_{OUT} > V_{DET} - V_{t1} > 0$  and (7), defines a minimum photodetector current  $I_{min}$  below which  $V_{OUT}$  is too low to keep transistor M1 in saturation. (10) provides the range of photodetector current in which the circuit operates with logarithmic compression.

$$\left[I_{min} = I_{0FB} \cdot e^{-q[(n_1-1)V_{DET} + n_1V_{tFB} + V_{t1}]/n_1n_{FB}kT}\right] < I_{DET} < I_{0FB}$$
(10)

Together, (9) and (10) define the maximum dynamic range of this photoreceptor configuration. The factor  $\frac{n_{FB}kT}{q}$  in (9) multiplied by the natural log of 10 yields the subthreshold swing of transistor  $M_{FB}$ . For photodetector currents below  $I_{0FB}$ , the log response can be expected to follow this slope until the photodetector current low-limit  $I_{min}$  of (10) is reached, at this point,  $M_1$  falls out of saturation, and the circuit turns off. The reciprocal of the exponential factor in the low-limit  $(e^{q[(n_1-1)V_{DET}+n_1V_{iFB}+V_{t1}]/n_1n_{FB}kT})$  is the maximum potential dynamic range  $I_{0FB}/I_{min}$  for this photoreceptor configuration. Given that the quantities  $(n_1 - 1)V_{DET}$  and  $V_{t1}$  are small and constrained to establish optimal biasing conditions on the photodetector, the dynamic range at a given temperature is primarily defined by the threshold voltage of the feedback transistor  $V_{tFB}$  over  $n_1n_{FB}kT$ .

#### 4.1.2 Dynamic Range Optimization

The dynamic range equations identified allow optimization of fundamental transistor properties to maximize the dynamic range. The expression for threshold voltage  $V_t$  is given as a function of the intrinsic carrier concentration  $n_i$  and bandgap energy  $E_g$  of Si, the substrate acceptor concentration  $N_A$ , the base-source voltage  $V_{BS}$ , oxide charge density  $Q_{ox}$   $(qN_{ox})$ , and the oxide capacitance  $C_{ox}$  in (11) [11]. Ideality factor n is a function of the depletion and oxide capacitances and the fast surface state capacitance  $C_{FS}$ , and is given as a function of fundamental parameters (depletion width  $W_D$ , built-in potential  $\psi_B$ , oxide thickness  $t_{ox}$ , fast surface state density  $N_{FS}$ , and the oxide and silicon permittivity  $\varepsilon_{ox}$  and  $\varepsilon_{Si}$ ) in (12).

$$V_t = 2\psi_B + \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{Si}N_A[2\psi_B - V_{BS}]} - qN_{ox}}{C_{ox}} - \frac{E_g}{2} \tag{11}$$

$$\psi_B = \frac{kT}{q} ln\left(\frac{N_A}{n_i}\right)$$

$$C_{dep} = \frac{\varepsilon_{Si}}{W_D} = \sqrt{\frac{qN_A\varepsilon_{Si}}{2\psi_B}}, C_{ox} = \frac{\varepsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}}, C_{SF} = q(N_{SF})$$

$$n = 1 + \frac{C_{dep}}{C_{ox}} + \frac{C_{FS}}{C_{ox}} = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{qN_A\varepsilon_{Si}}{\frac{2kT}{q}ln\left(\frac{N_A}{n_i}\right)}} + qN_{FS}\right]$$
(12)

Given that there are several common factors defining threshold voltage  $V_t$  and ideality factor n, it is important to optimize the selection of these parameters to maximize the dynamic range for the photodetector to be used. For example, (9) may lead one to believe that dynamic range can be maximized by decreasing the substrate acceptor concentration  $N_A$  of transistor  $M_{FB}$ , as that reduces its ideality factor  $n_{FB}$ , reducing  $M_{FB}$ 's subthreshold swing and increasing the degree of logarithmic compression as a result. However, decreasing acceptor concentration also reduces the threshold voltage, increasing the minimum current  $I_{min}$  and ultimately reducing the dynamic range.



Figure 9: Photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  as a function of substrate doping concentration  $N_A$ , showing the maximum ( $I_{0FB}$ , dotted line) and minimum ( $I_{min}$ , solid curves) photodetector currents for log-response circuit operation. Inset shows the region of viable designs as a function of oxide thickness and substrate doping in the feedback transistor.

Figure 9 plots the maximum and minimum photodetector currents for log response,  $I_{0FB}$  and  $I_{min}$  defined by (8) and (10), as a function of acceptor concentration  $N_A$  on the horizontal axis, for various values of oxide thickness  $t_{ox}$ . There is no oxide thickness dependence in  $I_{0FB}$  (dotted line), as the factor of  $C_{oxFB}$  in (8) is cancelled by the factor  $(n_{FB} - 1) = C_{dep}/C_{ox}$ . Increasing  $N_A$  therefore increases  $I_{0FB}$  by increasing the depletion capacitance  $C_{dep}$ , and reduces the minimum photodetector current  $I_{min}$  for all oxide thicknesses (solid curves) by increasing the threshold voltage. For a given oxide thickness, the intersection of the dotted and solid curves identifies the minimum doping level below which the threshold voltage is too low for the circuit to function  $(I_{min} > I_{0FB})$ , which is shown in the inset. To design this circuit for operation with a particular photodetector element, one would identify the minimum photodetector current expected for the application and pixel size, and then select the oxide thickness curve that provides sufficient dynamic range to achieve the maximum expected photodetector current. The transistor geometry parameter  $\frac{W}{L}$  can provide some additional flexibility to tune the dynamic range within the geometric constraints of the pixel dimension, as can the substrate bias  $V_{BS}$  to further tune the feedback transistor's threshold voltage

#### 4.2 Voltage Transfer Characteristics

The photodetector is simulated with an ideal current source producing current  $I_{DET}$  to simulate the photodetector current. The magnitude of  $I_{DET}$  would represent the sum of the 5.5  $\mu$ m cutoff photodetector's photocurrent and thermallygenerated dark current at the operating bias  $V_{DET}$ . The simulations presented in the following sections use a level three T-Spice built-in transistor model [8], which includes the equations needed to account for all transistors' regions of operation; sub-threshold conduction, linear, and saturation, with the minimum conductance global variable  $G_{min}$  set to  $1 \times 10^{-20} \ \Omega^{-1}$ .

#### 4.2.1 $M_1$ Transistor Branch

For discrete MOSFET amplifiers, it is crucial to keep the active load  $I_{PR}$  within limits such that the transistor's operation region remains in saturation. Though this means that there is a limitation on what the photodetector can be biased at, keeping  $M_1$  in saturation will allow the entire signal to be amplified without any clipping, as demonstrated in Fig. 10-b; which shows the regions of operation for  $M_1$  values of  $V_{OUT}$  as a function of  $V_{DET}$ . Points A and B in Fig. 10-b represent the bounds for the saturation region, implying that the photo-detector biasing  $V_{DET}$  should not exceed ~ 0.45V to avoid the transition to the linear region. The bounds have a slight dependence on  $I_{PR}$ , where, as the biasing current  $I_{PR}$ increases, the slope between the two points becomes steeper.

Since the threshold voltage for  $M_1$  is zero, there isn't a cut-off region ( $V_{GS} < V_{T_{M_1}}$ ) and the transistor is always on, this is shown by Fig.10; evidently for all  $V_{DET}$  values in Fig.10-a transition into saturation for very low Drain-Source  $V_{OUT}$  after operating in the linear region as demonstrated by the gray shaded area on the figure.

Figure 10 A also reveals a Channel Length Modulation (CLM) effect caused



Figure 10:  $M_1$  biasing bounds (a) Drain-Source voltages  $V_{OUT}$  as a function of Gate-Source voltages  $V_{DET}$  with an example sinusoidal wave input and its' corresponding amplified output, without signal clipping. (b) Biasing current  $I_{PR}$  as a function of the Drain-Source voltage  $V_{OUT}$  shown at various Gate-Source  $V_{DET}$  voltages

by the shortening of the inverted channel length, shown as the increasing slopes as  $V_{GS}$  increases; this effect reduces the small-signal amplifier gain by introducing an additional resistance.



4.2.2  $M_{FB}$  Transistor Branch

Figure 11:  $M_{FB}$  drain current  $I_{PH}$  as a function of Gate-Source voltage with a fit at the weak inversion side demonstrating sub-threshold swing [S]  $\frac{1}{m}$ , and Off current as the y-intercept of the fit. The tan region represents the turn-on point of the transistor, where its' current mechanism changes from diffusion to drift current.

Sub-threshold swing is a crucial metric for determining the transistor's weak inversion behavior. This value can be quantified in  $\left[\frac{Volts}{Decade}\right]$  as the inverse of the

rate of change of the drain current  $I_{PH}$  before  $M_{FB}$  moves into strong inversion as shown in Fig. 11. The figure also implies that the Gate-Source voltage can not exceed ~ 4.1V, to remain in the logarithmic regime.

#### 4.2.3 Nodal Voltages

As (6) indicates that a low threshold voltage for  $M_1 < 0.2$  V is needed to provide photodetector bias tunability through photoreceptor branch current  $I_{PR}$ , the circuit is modeled with an oxide thickness of 15 nm and acceptor concentration of  $1.5 \times 10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for transistor  $M_1$  to provide a room temperature threshold voltage of 8.5 mV. The inset to Fig. 12a plots the photodetector voltage bias  $V_{DET}$  as a function of the circuit tuning current  $I_{PR}$  (drain-source current of  $M_1$ ) at 300 K, with the 0.2 V operating point indicated with the vertical arrow at  $I_{PR}$ = 45  $\mu$ A.

Given that the differential conductivity of the dark current at the -0.2 V operating point is non-zero and the detector bias  $V_{DET}$  carries an output-dependence  $V_{OUT}$  in (6),  $V_{DET}$ 's sensitivity to  $I_{DET}$  could be a source of positive feedback that destabilizes the photoreceptor. The main body of Fig. 12-a plots  $V_{DET}$  at  $I_{PR} = 45 \ \mu$ A, as a function of photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  and temperature to evaluate the stability of the operating point. The dotted sections of the curves at the lowest current levels show  $V_{DET}$ 's behavior when the subthreshold conduction channel of the feedback transistor  $M_{FB}$  is extinguished. The photoreceptor is not operational in this regime for reasons elaborated in Fig. 12b discussion that follows. In the functional operational regime indicated by solid curves in Fig. 12a, the photodetector bias  $V_{DET}$  is 0.2 V at 300 K and has a temperature-dependence through the threshold voltage of  $V_{t1}$ . This implies that a change in the operating temperature of the unit cell circuit will necessitate an adjustment to  $I_{PR}$ . For any given temperature in the functional operational regime (solid curves),  $V_{DET}$  varies by less than  $0.05 \frac{\%}{decade}$  over several orders of magnitude in  $I_{DET}$ . This  $0.05 \frac{\%}{decade}$ sensitivity is much less than the rate of change of dark current with bias, and affirms that  $V_{DET}$  is not likely to be disturbed by random noise fluctuations in the dark current. This indicates that channel length modulation and velocity saturation effects in  $M_1$  are not substantial, and the denominator in the square-root term in (6) can be approximated as  $\gamma_1$ .

As the properties of  $M_{FB}$  play a significant role in defining the logarithmic response, Fig. 9 is used as a guide in the selection of this transistor to achieve a suitable dynamic range between  $I_{min}$  and  $I_{0FB}$ . Modeling  $M_{FB}$  with oxide thickness of 70 nm, acceptor concentration of  $1.5 \times 10^{17} cm^{-3}$  and  $M_1$  as described above, Fig. 12b plots the output voltage  $V_{OUT}$  as a function of the photodetector current  $I_{DET}$  from 300 to 77 K. Here again, the solid sections of curves represent the circuit behavior in the functional operational regime. In contrast, the dotted curves show the behavior when the subthreshold conduction channel of the feedback transistor  $M_{FB}$  is extinguished (low  $I_{DET}$ ) or goes into strong inver-



Figure 12: (a) Inset plots the photodetector bias voltage  $V_{DET}$  as a function of biasing currents  $I_{PR}$ , with optimal detector reverse bias voltage of 0.2 V indicated. Main body of the figure plots photodetector bias voltage  $V_{DET}$  at this  $I_{PR}$ , as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$  and decreasing temperature from 300 to 77 K; (b) Output voltage  $V_{OUT}$  as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$ , for the specific  $I_{PR}$  which establishes the optimal 0.2 V operating bias on  $V_{DET}$ . The tan shaded area shows the change of operation of the feedback transistor  $M_{FB}$  from weak inversion to strong inversion. Inset plots the subthreshold swing corresponding to each output voltage temperature  $V_{OUT}$ .

sion (high  $I_{DET}$ ). Comparing the solid curves in Fig. 12b to (9), it can be seen that the current  $I_{DET}$  obeys the subthreshold regime expectation in (9) over five orders of magnitude in  $I_{DET}$  resulting in a dynamic range of at least 100dB at room temperature, increasing with a temperature-dependent characteristic voltage of  $(n_{FB} \text{ kT})/\text{q}$  ( $n_{FB} = 5.52$  at room temperature) while  $V_{OUT}-V_{DET} < V_{tFB}$ (unshaded region).

As  $V_{OUT} - V_{DET}$  approaches  $V_{tFB}$ ,  $I_{DET}$  converges on  $I_{0FB}$  and  $M_{FB}$  transitions into strong inversion, with  $V_{OUT}$  varying as power law half with increasing  $I_{DET}$ (shaded region). The circuit would be functional here, but would not provide logarithmic compression of the photocurrent. In the regime of log response (unshaded region), the subthreshold swing is evaluated along the highlighted section of each curve and plotted as a function of temperature in the inset to Fig. 9. This shows the higher degree of logarithmic compression offered by the lower subthreshold swing of lower operating temperature operation; however, it can be seen that this does not necessarily result in a substantially greater dynamic range (see the main body of Fig. 9). As  $V_{OUT}$  decreases with decreasing photodetector current  $I_{DET}$ , at room temperature (blue curve), the circuit turns off as expected when the current reaches the minimum circuit current  $I_{min}$ . However, at lower temperatures, the photoreceptor is found to turn off prematurely (dotted curve sections at low  $I_{DET}$ ). Analysis of the currents going into and out of  $M_{FB}$  indicates that the subthreshold conduction channel closes when  $I_{DET}$  falls below the reverse saturation leakage current conducting between the substrate-source junction of  $M_{FB}$ . At this point the subthreshold conduction channel of  $M_{FB}$  is extinguished as detector current conducts across the substrate-source junction, initiating a collapse of  $V_{OUT}$  that causes  $M_1$  to exit the saturation regime.

While Fig. 9, derived from the analytical analysis of (10 - 12), had indicated that increasing acceptor concentration  $N_A$  would increase dynamic range by decreasing  $I_{min}$ , the T spice simulations here show that the dynamic range is practically limited by the reverse saturation current of the substrate-source junction of  $M_{FB}$  as shown in Fig. 13 which plots the drain and bulk currents as a function of  $I_{DET}$ . It is noted that as the drain current of  $M_{FB}$  (blue curve) crosses zero, the bulk current of  $M_{FB}$  (orange curve) remains positive, driving the amplifier to exit the saturation region. The ratio of the drain current to  $I_{DET}$  plotted in the inset of Fig. 13 demonstrates the point where the detection in the photoreceptor becomes circuit limited in place of noise equivalent irradiance.

The reverse junction leakage or substrate current expression can be represented using the cross-sectional area A, intrinsic carrier concentration  $n_i$ , acceptor concentration  $N_A$ , donor concentration  $N_D$ , electrons and holes diffusion coefficients  $D_n$  and  $D_p$ , and the lifetimes  $\tau_n$  and  $\tau_n$ . For the n+p junction formed here, this reduces to just the component of reverse saturation current generated on the substrate side of the junction as shown in (13).



Figure 13:  $M_{FB}$  drain and bulk currents with M1 bulk current as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$  simulated at 77 K. Inset plots the corresponding ratio of the drain current to detector current as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$ .

$$I_s = qAn_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{N_D}\sqrt{\frac{D_p}{\tau_p}} + \frac{1}{N_A}\sqrt{\frac{D_n}{\tau_n}}\right) \cong \frac{qAn_i^2}{N_A}\sqrt{\frac{D_n}{\tau_n}}$$
(13)

These results indicate that Fig. 12 would remain valid while  $I_{min} > I_s$ , but that  $I_s$  imposes a practical limitation to the dynamic range. Increasing  $N_A$  continues to reduce the limiting current  $I_s$ , but the dynamic range does not increase at the rate that it would be predicted to in Fig. 9 or (10).

The simulation was found to include the substrate current by using the principle of Kircoff's current law [14], defined as the sum of currents leaving the node to be zero, which leads to the calculation of a reverse current that is drawn from the substrate contact. This charge is deducted from the detector current entering the source contact, resulting in the premature extinction of the drain-source channel when the detector current drops below the reverse current. The issue with this approach is that the reverse leakage current is generated by thermal processes and should be incorporated as a thermal generation term into the node, rather than being derived from the source contact current. The sudden drop of voltage observed in Fig. 12 is an artifact of the transistor model's inadequate sophistication. To mitigate the issue, the circuit was simulated with the bulk terminal open so that the current dissipates when entering that terminal, thus only accounting for the reverse saturation current from the bulk as shown in Fig. 14. Here in

Fig. 14-b, the output voltage  $V_{OUT}$  continues to decrease until the circuit turns off for all simulated tempreture.



Figure 14: (a) Inset plots the photodetector bias voltage  $V_{DET}$  as a function of biasing currents  $I_{PR}$ , with the optimal detector reverse bias voltage of 0.2 V indicated. Main body of the figure plots photodetector bias voltage  $V_{DET}$  at this  $I_{PR}$ , as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$  and decreasing temperature from 300 to 77 K; (b) Corrected Output voltage  $V_{OUT}$  as a function of detector current  $I_{DET}$ , for the specific  $I_{PR}$  which establishes the optimal 0.2 V operating bias on  $V_{DET}$ . The tan-shaded area shows the change of operation of the feedback transistor  $M_{FB}$  from weak inversion to strong inversion. Inset plots the subthreshold swing corresponding to each temperature of the output voltage  $V_{OUT}$ .

#### 4.3 Dynamic Range

Figure 15 plots the subthreshold swing (blue curve) and dynamic range (red curve) simulated in T-SPICE as a function of substrate acceptor concentration  $N_A$  on the horizontal axis to show the realistic expectation for dynamic range for this

implementation of the photoreceptor at room temperature. Though (12) shows that increasing  $N_A$  reduces the subthreshold swing, which should in-turn increase the dynamic range, the change in  $N_A$  has simultaneously reduced the threshold voltage. The T-SPICE simulation results in Fig. 15 affirm that the feedback transistor's threshold voltage is the dynamic range's main driver. Dynamic range could be expected to continue to increase with increasing acceptor concentration until the onset of tunneling breakdown of the junction, which is not simulated here. Dynamic range limits were not affected by the correction made to the simulation code explained in the previous section since the simulated case here is shown for room temperature.



Figure 15: Subthreshold swing (blue curve) and dynamic range (red curve) as a function of substrate doping concentration  $N_A$ , shown in reference to the room temperature thermal limit swing of 60 mV/decade.

#### 5 AC Analysis

#### 5.1 Small-signal Gain

#### 5.1.1 Model Configuration

Though the signal gain was discussed in section 4.2.2, to understand the nonlinear behavior of the circuit, the small signal approximation can be used to find some circuit properties such as gain by assuming that the response is linear for a very small region.



Figure 16: Transistor small-signal  $\pi$  and T models

Using the  $\pi$  and T small-signal transistor models presented in Figure 16 as the basis of creating the small-signal model for the photoreceptor circuit. For simplicity, assuming that  $M_{FB}$  is a block and applying it to the  $\pi$ -Model with respect to the transistor terminals as demonstrated in Fig. 17.



Figure 17:  $\pi$  transistor small-signal model representing  $M_1$  with  $M_{FB}$  transistor represented as a block

Rotate and flip  $M_{FB}$  to match the terminals (drain to drain, source to source, and gate to gate) so that the transistor model fits within Fig. 17.



Figure 18: T transistor small-signal model representing  $M_{FB}$  rotated and altered so that it fits within Fig. 17

Inserting  $M_{FB}$  block into the  $M_1 \pi$ -Model results into a circuit that represents the small signal equivalent of the photoreceptor circuit (from Fig. 8) illustrated in the schematic below (Fig. 19)



Figure 19: photoreceptor small-signal model

#### 5.1.2 Model Analysis

The model created in Section 5.1.1 can be used to simplify the small-signal circuit gain analysis, through the use of Kirchhoff's current law (KCL), Applying KCL to Fig. 20 results into the system of equations presented in (14).



Figure 20: photoreceptor small-signal model with a super node noted to find all the currents leaving the node

$$i = i_{DET}$$

$$v_{OUT} = v_{gs} + \frac{i_{DET}}{g_{mfb}}$$

$$v_{OUT} = -g_{m1}v_{qs}$$
(14)

solving for the system of equations yields the small signal gain

$$\frac{v_{OUT}}{i_{DET}} = \frac{-g_{m1}r_o}{g_{mfb}(1+g_{m1}r_o)} = A \tag{15}$$

Where  $r_o$  is  $\frac{V_A}{I_D}$ , accounts for the channel length modulation factor  $\lambda$  through the inverse of early voltage  $V_A$ ,  $g_{m1}$  represents the transconductance for the  $M_1$ transistor branch (presented in (16)).

$$g_{m1} = \frac{2I_{PR}}{V_{DET} - V_{t1}} \tag{16}$$

Since  $M_{FB}$  operates in subthreshold conduction the transconductance,  $g_{m1}$  equation in (16) only applies to  $M_1$ , the equation can be derived using the definition of transconductance and the subthreshold drain current expression presented in (7), the transconductance equation for the subthreshold region can be found in (17).

$$g_{mfb} = \frac{\mathrm{d}I_D}{\mathrm{d}V_{GS}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}V_{GS}} (I_{0FB} \cdot e^{q[(V_{OUT} - V_{DET}) - V_{tFB}]/n_{FB}kT})$$
  
$$= \frac{q}{n_{fb}KT} I_{0FB} \cdot e^{q[(V_{OUT} - V_{DET}) - V_{tFB}]/n_{FB}kT}$$
(17)

Equations 15 through 17 indicate that the gain can be altered by varying the drain output resistance parameter  $r_o$  through channel length modulation  $\lambda$  and the transistor channel length L.

#### 5.2 Parasitic Capacitance

#### 5.2.1 Transistor Parasitic Capacitance

In this section, the detector parasitic capacitance is assumed to be zero. To assess the AC response of a circuit, in purpose of finding the bandwidth; in AC response, DC current sources cause open circuit in place of the source, while DC voltage sources become short circuit in place of the source.

Transistor parasitic capacitance is mainly affected by miller capacitor any capacitor connected between two nodes (one end isn't grounded), must be turned into two equivalent capacitors (Red capacitors represent the millered capacitors)

Figure 21-(a),  $C_{GS_{MFB}}$  and  $C_{GD_{M1}}$  are in parallel, here they can be combined into one capacitor  $(C_{GS_{MFB}} + C_{GD_{M1}})$ , from the definition of miller capacitors, Fig.21-(b) capacitor can be turned into two equivalent capacitors, represented by  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  shown in equation 18, since the capacitor  $(C_{GS_{MFB}} + C_{GD_{M1}})$  is connected to two nodes.

$$C_{1} = (C_{GS_{MFB}} + C_{GD_{M1}}) [1 - A]$$

$$C_{2} = (C_{GS_{MFB}} + C_{GD_{M1}}) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{A}\right)\right]$$
(18)



Figure 21: Photoreceptor circuit parasitic gate-source and gate-drain capacitors for the two transistor branches (1)  $C_{GS_{MFB}}$  and  $C_{GD_{M1}}$  are in parallel so they can be combined into one capacitor (red capacitor)(2) Since the equivalent capacitor (red capacitor) is connected to two nodes, it is turned into two equivalent capacitors, C1 and C2.

After the second transition (2) shown in the schematic in Fig. 21-2, the millered and remaining parasitic capacitors can be grouped into two capacitors because they are connected in parallel since the number of poles is dependent on the number of equivalent capacitors, the two groups represent two pole capacitance shown in (19).

$$C_{pole1} = C_{GS_{M1}} + C_2$$

$$C_{pole2} = C_{GD_{MFB}} + C_1$$
(19)

Using the pole capacitance equations in (19) and the RC network frequency

approximation  $\frac{1}{2\pi C_x R_{eq}}$  can provide a quick estimate for the frequency at the poles, the lower pole frequency corresponds to the cut-off point for the bandwidth.

$$f_{p_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi (C_{pole1})(\frac{1}{g_{mfb}}||r_{om1})}$$

$$f_{p_2} = \frac{1}{2\pi (C_{pole2})(r_{omfb})}$$
(20)

From (20), it is noted that bandwidth can be controlled by determining the dominant pole (causing the earlier cutoff) and ensuring the use of a transistor with a smaller magnitude of capacitance corresponding to the dominant pole capacitor.

#### 5.2.2 Detector and Transistor Parasitic Capacitance

In this section, the detector capacitance is accounted for as part of the parasitic capacitance of the circuit. While  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  remain the same as (18), the pole capacitance is now different as shown in (21); since the capacitance associated with each pole changes due to the addition of the detector capacitance, represented by  $C_{part}$ .

$$C_{pole1} = C_{GS_{M1}} + C_2 + C_{part}$$

$$C_{pole2} = C_{GD_{MFB}} + C_1$$
(21)



Figure 22: Photoreceptor circuit parasitic gate-source, gate-drain capacitors for the two transistor branches, and the detector capacitance (1)  $C_{GS_{MFB}}$  and  $C_{GD_{M1}}$ are in parallel so they can be combined into one capacitor (red capacitor)(2) Since the equivalent capacitor (red capacitor) is connected to two nodes, it is turned into two equivalent capacitors, C1 and C2.

#### 5.3 Bandwidth

#### 5.3.1 Transfer function

Using the expressions derived in Sections 5.1.2 and 5.2, the transfer function can be derived using the generic transfer function presented in (22)

$$H(s) = \frac{V_{OUT}}{I_{DET}} = \frac{A}{(s+\omega_c)^2} = \frac{A}{s^2 + 2\omega_c s + \omega_c^2}$$
(22)

Where  $\omega_c$  is the angular cutoff frequency;  $2\pi f_c$ , and the small signal midband gain A.

Using the transfer function from (22) and assuming that the first pole is the dominant one (with  $\omega = 2.3$  radians) with a gain of 135dB; Fig. 23 was obtained.

The figure demonstrates a cutoff frequency of 6.1 kHz indicated by the dashed vertical line found as 70 % of the mid-band gain, which is approximately 500 Hz different than the cutoff discussed in Section 5.3.2.



Figure 23: Transfer Function Bode Plot from the derived equations resulting in a cutoff frequency of 6.1kHz, and a midband gain of 135 dB.

#### 5.3.2 Dependence on Circuit Parameters

Since some input sources are constant and some circuit parameters will be variable, such as input illumination, the effect of those changes must be well studied to understand the impact it might have, and how to mitigate it.

Figure 24-a plots the frequency cutoff points for various detector currents

ranging from 100 pA to 300 nA with vertical lines representing the maximum gain minus 3 dB cutoff frequency. The expected photocurrent magnitudes of an nBn MWIR detector for photon flux of  $\phi_p = 4.7 \ge 10^{13} \frac{photons}{cm^2s}$  are shown in Fig. 24-b, which plots the cut-off frequencies as a function of input current. This dependence is very important because when designing a specific detector, its photocurrent range must be within a specific limit, depending on the bandwidth requirements for the test case.

The bandwidth exhibits a strong dependence on the input detector, corresponding to the photon flux-dependent photocurrent and noise dark current, however, Fig. 25 shows minimal dependence on detector bias on bandwidth, meaning that through the dark current is not constant for the detector.

With the optimized transistor parameters, a bandwidth of approximately 5kHzcan be achieved in the basic photoreceptor circuit configuration, which is 2kHzmore than the 3kHz bandwidth reported in the literature.



Figure 24:  $I_{DET}$  Bandwidth dependence (a) Bode plot shown at detector currents ranging from 100 pA to 300 nA with vertical lines represent the maximum gain minus 3 dB cutoff frequency (b) Cutoff frequencies extracted from fig 24-a plotted as a function of the corresponding detector current with a shaded region representing the expected range of photocurrents at -200 mV of bias.



Figure 25:  $I_{PR}$  Bandwidth dependence (a) Bode plot shown at detector biasing currents ranging from 1  $\mu A$  to 300  $\mu A$  with vertical lines represent the maximum gain minus 3 dB cutoff frequency (b) Cutoff frequencies extracted from fig 24-a plotted as a function of the detector biasing currents on the bottom x-axis, with its' corresponding detector biasing voltages on the top x-axis.

#### 5.4 Elmore Delay

A quick, commonly used method to estimate and approximate delay from the circuit, through an RC network as shown in the schematic presented in Fig. 26. The method is to transform each transistor into its equivalent resistor and capacitor to analyze then the shortest path from the input of the equivalent circuit to the output using (23).

$$t_{DELAY} = 0.69\tau_{eff} \tag{23}$$

Where the effective time constant  $\tau_{eff}$  is the product of the feedback resistor  $R_{FB}$ equivalent to  $r_{ofb}$  and capacitor  $C_{FB}$ . Here again, we can use the channel length modulation effect to alter the time delay as well as use the transistor capacitance itself.



Figure 26: Elmore Delay equivalent circuit from the photoreceptor

#### 6 Future Work

Future work will focus on, first, the analysis of the remaining stages of the EBS pixel circuitry, to simulate the response of the full circuit using T-SPICE and account for other possible limitations such as noise. Second, print the three stages on a PCB (Printable Circuit Board) to assess the performance using the setup discussed in Section 2.3 with varying input light levels to verify expected operation. Additionally, explore different configurations of the photoreceptor stage such as the common-source amplifier and study other operation regimes to optimize the designs and further improve the specs before creating the EBS-IR focal plane array.

#### REFERENCES

- S. Kleinfelder, S. Lim, X. Q. Liu, and A. El Gamal, "A 10000 frames/s cmos digital pixel sensor," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 2049–2059, Dec. 2001.
- [2] T. Delbruck and P. Lichtsteiner, "Fast sensory motor control based on eventbased hybrid neuromorphic-procedural system," 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2007, pp. 845-848, doi: 10.1109/ISCAS.2007.378038.
- [3] M. Loose, K. Meier, and J. Schemmel, "A self-calibrating single-chip cmos camera with logarithmic response," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 586–596, Apr. 2001
- [4] P. Lichtsteiner, C. Posch, and T. Delbruck, "A 128× 128 120 db 15 s latency asynchronous temporal contrast vision sensor," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 566–576, Feb. 2008.
- S. Kavadias, B. Dierickx, D. Scheffer, A. Alaerts, D. Uwaerts, and J. Bogaerts, "A logarithmic response cmos image sensor with on-chip calibration," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1146–1152, Aug. 2000.
- [6] T. Delbruck and C. A. Mead, "Analog vlsi adaptive logarithmic wide dynamic- range photoreceptor," Proc. IEEE ISCAS, pp. 339–342, May.1994.
- [7] Katz, Nicholas M. "Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin." Publications mathématiques de l'IHES 39 (1970): 175-232.
- [8] Tanner<sup>™</sup> T-Spice<sup>™</sup> User's and Reference Manual, SIEMENS EDA, 2021.2, unpublished work. accessed through the software.
- [9] W. E. Tennant, "Rule 07' Revisited: Still a good heuristic predictor of p/n HgCdTe photodiode performance," J. Electron. Mater., vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1030–1035, 2010
- [10] A. T. Newell, J. V. Logan, R. A. Carrasco, Z. M. Alsaad, C. P. Hains, J. M. Duran, G. Ariyawansa, G. Balakrishnan, D. Maestas, C. P. Morath, P. T. Webster, Effects of doping and minority carrier lifetime on mid-wave infrared InGaAs/InAsSb superlattice nBn detector performance, Applied Physics Letters, 2023
- [11] Ning, Y. Taur and T.H, Fundamentals of Modern VLSI Devices, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

- [12] C. -t. Sah, R. N. Noyce and W. Shockley, "Carrier Generation and Recombination in P-N Junctions and P-N Junction Characteristics," in Proceedings of the IRE, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 1228-1243, Sept. 1957, doi: 10.1109/JR-PROC.1957.278528.
- [13] M. A. Kinch, Fundamentals of infrared detector materials. Bellingham, WA: SPIE Press, 2007.
- [14] Sedra A. S. and Smith K. C. (1982). Microelectronic circuits. Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- [15] S. Maimon and G. W. Wicks, "nBn detector, an infrared detector with reduced dark current and higher operating temperature," Appl. Phys. Lett, vol. 89, pp. 151109-1 - 151109-3, 2006
- [16] R. A. Carrasco, A. T. Newell, Z. M. Alsaad, J. V. Logan, J. M. Duran, G. Ariyawansa, B. Pinkie, C. P. Morath, and P. T. Webster, "Capacitance-voltage modeling of mid-wavelength infrared nbn detectors," Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 133, no. 10, p. 104503, 2023.
- [17] E. P. Vandamme, Ph. Jansen, L. Deferm, "Modeling the Subthreshold Swing in MOSFET's," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol. 18, no. 8, August 1997.