

5-1-2020

## Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Spaces

Memet Şahin

Abdullah Kargın

Merve Sena Uz

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Şahin, Memet; Abdullah Kargın; and Merve Sena Uz. "Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 33, 1 (2020). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol33/iss1/19](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol33/iss1/19)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [amywinter@unm.edu](mailto:amywinter@unm.edu), [lsloane@salud.unm.edu](mailto:lsloane@salud.unm.edu), [sarahrk@unm.edu](mailto:sarahrk@unm.edu).



# Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Spaces

Memet Şahin<sup>1</sup>, Abdullah Kargin<sup>2,\*</sup> and Merve Sena Uz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. mesahin@gantep.edu.tr

<sup>2,\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. abduallahkargin27@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. evrem\_anes@yahoo.com

\*Correspondence: abduallahkargin27@gmail.com; Tel.:+9005542706621

**Abstract:** In this article, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric spaces are obtained. Then some definitions and examples are given for neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space. Based on these definitions, new theorems are given and proved. In addition, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric spaces have been shown to be different from classical partial metric space, neutrosophic triplet partial metric space and neutrosophic triplet metric space. Thus, we add a new structure in neutrosophic triplet theory.

**Keywords:** triplet set, neutrosophic triplet metric space, bipolar metric space, neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric space, neutrosophic triplet partial metric space, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric

## 1 Introduction

Smarandache obtained neutrosophic logic and set [1]. In neutrosophic theory, there is a degree of membership ( $t$ ), there is a degree of indeterminacy ( $i$ ) and there is a degree of non-membership ( $f$ ). These degrees are defined independently of each other. Therefore, neutrosophic logic and neutrosophic set help us to explain many uncertainties in our lives. In addition, many researchers have made studies on this theory [2-27]. Recently, some researchers studied neutrosophic theory [50 - 53]. Also, Tey et al. studied novel neutrosophic data analytic hierarchy process for multi-criteria decision making method [54], Son et al. obtained on the stabilizability for a class of linear time-invariant systems under uncertainty [55], Tanuwijaya et al. introduced novel single valued neutrosophic hesitant fuzzy time series model [56].

In fact, neutrosophic set is a generalized state of fuzzy [28] and intuitionistic fuzzy set [29].

Also, Smarandache and Ali obtained neutrosophic triplet set (NTS) and neutrosophic triplet groups (NTG) [30]. For every element “ $x$ ” in NTS  $A$ , there exist a neutral of “ $x$ ” and an opposite of “ $x$ ”. Also, neutral of “ $x$ ” must be different from the classical neutral element. Therefore, the NTS is different from the classical set. Furthermore, a neutrosophic triplet (NT) “ $x$ ” is showed by  $\langle x, \text{neut}(x), \text{anti}(x) \rangle$ . Also, many researchers have obtained NT structures [31-44]. Recently, Şahin, Kargin Uz and Kılıç have discussed neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric space [45].

Mutlu and Gürdal introduced bipolar metric space [46] in 2016. Bipolar metric space is a generalization of metric space. Also, bipolar metric spaces have an important role in fixed point theory. Recently, Mutlu, Özkan and Gürdal studied fixed point theorems on bipolar metric spaces [47]; Kishore, Agarwal, Rao, and Rao introduced contraction and fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications [48]; Rao, Kishore and Kumar obtained Geraghty type contraction and common coupled fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications to homotopy [49].

In this section, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space is introduced. Chapter 2 provides definitions and properties for bipolar metric space [46], neutrosophic triplet sets [30], neutrosophic triplet metric spaces [32], neutrosophic triplet partial metric space [36] and neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric space [45]. In chapter 3,

neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space is described and some properties are given for neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space. In addition, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric spaces are shown to be different from classical partial metric space, neutrosophic triplet partial metric space and neutrosophic triplet metric space. We give conclusions in Chapter 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** [30] Let  $\#$  be a binary operation. An NTS  $(X, \#)$  is a set such that for  $x \in X$ ,

- i) There exists neutral of “ $x$ ” such that  $x\#\text{neut}(x) = \text{neut}(x)\#x = x$ ,
- ii) There exists anti of “ $x$ ” such that  $x\#\text{anti}(x) = \text{anti}(x)\#x = \text{neut}(x)$ .

Also, a neutrosophic triplet “ $x$ ” is denoted by  $(x, \text{neut}(x), \text{anti}(x))$ .

**Definition 2.2:** [32] Let  $(N, *)$  be an NTS and  $d_N: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. If  $d_N: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $(N, *)$  satisfies the following conditions, then  $d_N$  is called NTM.

- a)  $x*y \in N$ ,
- b)  $d_N(x, y) \geq 0$ ,
- c) If  $x = y$ , then  $d_N(x, y) = 0$ ,
- d)  $d_N(x, y) = d_N(y, x)$ ,
- e) If there exists at least a  $y \in N$  for each  $x, z \in N$  such that  $d_N(x, z) \leq d_N(x, z*\text{neut}(y))$ , then  $d_N(x, z*\text{neut}(y)) \leq d_N(x, y) + d_N(y, z)$ .

In this case,  $((N, *), d_N)$  is called an NTMS.

**Definition 2.3:** [36] Let  $(N, *)$  be a NTS. If  $d_p: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  satisfies the following conditions, then  $d_p$  is a NTpM. For all  $x, y, z \in N$ ,

- a)  $x*y \in N$ ,
- b)  $d_p(x, y) \geq d_p(x, x) \geq 0$ ,
- c) If  $d_p(x, y) = d_p(x, x) = d_p(y, y) = 0$ , then there exists at least one pair of elements  $x, y \in N$  such that  $x = y$ .
- d)  $d_p(x, y) = d_p(y, x)$ ,
- e) If for each pair of  $x, z \in N$ , there exists at least one  $y \in N$  such that  $d_p(x, z) \leq d_p(x, z*\text{neut}(y))$ , then  $d_p(x, z*\text{neut}(y)) \leq d_p(x, y) + d_p(y, z) - d_p(y, y)$ .

In this case,  $((N, *), d_p)$  is called a NTpMS.

**Definition 2.4:** [46] Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonempty sets and  $d: N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. If  $d$  satisfies the following conditions, then  $d$  is called a bipolar metric (bM).

- i) For  $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$ , if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , then  $x = y$ ,
- ii) For  $\forall u \in X \cap Y$ ,  $d(u, u) = 0$ ,
- iii) For  $\forall u \in X \cap Y$ ,  $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ ,
- iv) For  $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y$ ,  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, y') + d(x', y) + d(x', y')$ .

In this case,  $(X, Y, d)$  is called a bipolar metric space (bMS).

**Definition 2.5:** [45] Let  $(X,*)$  and  $(Y,*)$  be two NTSs and let  $d: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. If  $d, (X, *)$  and  $(Y,*)$  satisfy the following conditions, then  $d$  is called a neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric (NTbM).

i) For  $\forall a, b \in X, a * b \in X,$

for  $\forall c, d \in Y, c * d \in Y,$

ii) For  $\forall a \in X$  and  $\forall b \in Y,$  if  $d(a, b) = 0,$  then  $a = b,$

iii) For  $\forall u \in X \cap Y, d(u, u) = 0,$

iv) For  $\forall u, v \in X \cap Y, d(u, v) = d(v, u).$

v) Let  $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y.$  For each  $(x, y),$  if there exists at least one  $(x', y')$  such that

$d(x, y) \leq d(x, y * neut(y')) \leq d(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y'))$  and

$d(x, y) \leq d(x * neut(x'), y) \leq d(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')),$

then

$d(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \leq d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y).$

In this case,  $((X, Y), *, d)$  is called a neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric space (NTbMS).

**Definition 2.6:** [45] Let  $((X, Y), *, d)$  be a NTbMS. A left sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to a right point  $y$  (symbolically  $(x_n) \rightarrow y$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = y$ ) if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d(x_n, y) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0.$  Similarly, a right sequence  $(y_n)$  converges to a left point  $x$  (denoted as  $y_n \rightarrow x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = x$ ) if and only if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, whenever  $n \geq n_0, d(x, y_n) < \varepsilon.$  Also, if  $(u_n) \rightarrow u$  and  $(u_n) \rightarrow u,$  then  $(u_n)$  converges to point  $u$  ( $(u_n)$  is a central sequence).

**Definition 2.7:** [45] Let  $((X, Y), *, d)$  be an NTbMS,  $(x_n)$  be a left sequence and  $(y_n)$  be a right sequence in this space.  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called an NT bisequence. Furthermore, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are convergent, then  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called an NT convergent bisequence. Also, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  converge to the same point, then  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called an NT biconvergent bisequence.

**Definition 2.8:** [45] Let  $((X, Y), *, d)$  be an NTbMS and  $(x_n, y_n)$  be an NT bisequence.  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called an NT Cauchy bisequence if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0.$

### 3 Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Space

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $(X, *)$  and  $(Y,*)$  be two NTSs and let  $d_{pb}: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. If  $d_{pb}, (X, *)$  and  $(Y, *)$  satisfy the following conditions, then  $d_{pb}$  is called a NT partial bipolar metric (NTpbM).

i-) For all  $a, b \in X, a * b \in X,$

for all  $c, d \in Y, c * d \in Y,$

ii-) For all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y,$

$d_{pb}(x, y) \geq d_{pb}(x, x) \geq 0$  and  $d_{pb}(x, y) \geq d_{pb}(y, y) \geq 0,$

iii-) If  $d_{pb}(x, y) = d_{pb}(x, x) = d_{pb}(y, y) = 0,$  there exists at least one pair of elements  $x, y \in X \cap Y$  such that

$d_{pb}(x, y) = 0,$

iv-) For all  $x, y \in X \cap Y$ ,  $d_{pb}(x, y) = d_{pb}(y, x)$ ,

v-) Let  $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y$ . For each  $(x, y)$ , if there exists at least one  $(x', y')$  such that

$$d_{pb}(x, y) \leq d_{pb}(x, y * neut(y')) \leq d_{pb}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(x, y) \leq d_{pb}(x * neut(x'), y) \leq d_{pb}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')),$$

then

$$d_{pb}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \leq d_{pb}(x, y') + d_{pb}(x', y') + d_{pb}(x', y) - \min \{d_{pb}(x', x'), d_{pb}(y', y')\}.$$

In this case,  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is called a NTpbM space (NTpbMS).

**Example 3.2:** Let  $X = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12\}$  and  $Y = \{0, 5, 6, 10\}$ . We show that  $(X, .)$  and  $(Y, .)$  are NTSSs in  $(\mathbb{Z}_{15}, .)$ .

For  $(X, .)$ , NTs are  $(0, 0, 0), (3, 6, 12), (6, 6, 6), (9, 6, 9), (10, 10, 10), (12, 6, 3)$ .

Also, for  $(Y, .)$ , NTs are  $(0, 0, 0), (5, 10, 5), (6, 6, 6), (10, 10, 10)$ .

Thus,  $(X, .)$  and  $(Y, .)$  are NTSSs.

Furthermore, we define the  $d_{pb}: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  function such that  $d_{pb}(s, r) = \max\{|3^s - 1|, |3^r - 1|\}$ . We show that  $d$  is a NTpbM.

i-)  $0.0 = 0 \in X, 0.3 = 0 \in X, 0.6 = 0 \in X, 0.9 = 0 \in X, 0.10 = 0 \in X, 0.12 = 0 \in X, 3.3 = 9 \in X, 3.6 = 3 \in X, 3.9 = 12 \in X, 3.10 = 0 \in X, 3.12 = 6 \in X, 6.6 = 6 \in X, 6.9 = 9 \in X, 6.10 = 0 \in X, 6.12 = 12 \in X, 9.9 = 6 \in X, 9.10 = 0 \in X, 9.12 = 3 \in X, 10.10 = 10 \in X, 12.10 = 0 \in X, 12.12 = 9 \in X$ .

Thus, for all  $a, b \in X, a . b \in X$ .

Also,  $0.0 = 0 \in Y, 0.5 = 0 \in Y, 0.6 = 0 \in Y, 0.10 = 0 \in Y, 5.5 = 10 \in Y, 5.10 = 5 \in Y, 5.6 = 0 \in Y, 10.10 = 10 \in Y, 10.6 = 0 \in Y, 6.6 = 6 \in Y$

Thus, for all  $c, d \in Y, c . d \in Y$ .

ii-) For all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ , if

$$d_{pb}(x, y) = \max\{|3^x - 1|, |3^y - 1|\},$$

$$d_{pb}(x, x) = \max\{|3^x - 1|, |3^x - 1|\},$$

$$d_{pb}(y, y) = \max\{|3^y - 1|, |3^y - 1|\},$$

then it is clear that

$$d_{pb}(x, y) \geq d_{pb}(x, x) \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(x, y) \geq d_{pb}(y, y) \geq 0.$$

iii-) For  $d_{pb}(x, y) = d_{pb}(x, x) = d_{pb}(y, y) = 0$ , if  $d_{pb}(x, y) = 0$ , then there exists at least one pair  $x, y \in X \cap Y$ .

If  $d_{pb}(x, y) = \max\{|3^x - 1|, |3^y - 1|\} = 0$ , then  $3^x - 1 = 0$  and  $3^y - 1 = 0$ .

If  $3^x = 1$  and  $3^y = 1$ ,  $x, y \in X \cap Y$  are pairs of elements, since  $x = 0 \in X$  and  $y = 0 \in Y$ .

iv) For all  $x, y \in X \cap Y, d_{pb}(x, y) = d_{pb}(y, x)$ .

$$d_{pb}(x, y) = \max\{|3^x - 1|, |3^y - 1|\} = \max\{|3^y - 1|, |3^x - 1|\} = d_{pb}(y, x).$$

v) It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(0, 0) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0, 0.neut(6)) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 0.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 0) = 0,$$

$$d_{pb}(0, 0) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 0) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 0.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 0) = 0.$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 0.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 0) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0, 6) + d_{pb}(3, 6) + d_{pb}(3, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(6, 6)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(0, 5) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0, 5.neut(10)) = d_{pb}(0, 5) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(6), 5.neut(10)) = d_{pb}(0, 5),$$

$$d_{pb}(0, 5) = 0 \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(6), 5) = d_{pb}(0, 5) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(6), 5.neut(10)) = d_{pb}(0, 5).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(0.neut(6), 5.neut(10)) = d_{pb}(0, 5) \leq d_{pb}(0, 10) + d_{pb}(6, 10) + d_{pb}(6, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(6, 6), d_{pb}(10, 10)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(0, 10) \leq d_{pb}(0, 10.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 10.neut(5)) = d_p(0, 10),$$

$$d_{pb}(0, 10) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 10) = d_{pb}(0, 10) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 10.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10)$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 10.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10) \leq d_{pb}(0, 5) + d_{pb}(3, 5) + d_p(3, 10) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(0, 6) = |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(0, 6.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 6) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 6.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 6),$$

$$d_{pb}(0, 6) = |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 6) = d_{pb}(0, 6) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 6.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 6).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(0.neut(3), 6.neut(6)) = d_{pb}(0, 6) = 728$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(0, 6) + d_{pb}(3, 6) + d_{pb}(3, 6) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(6, 6)\}$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(3, 0) = |3^3 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3, 0.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 0) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\}$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(3.neut(6), 0.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 0),$$

$$d_{pb}(3, 0) = |3^3 - 1| = 26 \leq d_{pb}(3.neut(6), 0) = d_{pb}(3, 0) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} = 26$$

$$\leq d_{pb}(3.neut(6), 0.neut(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 0).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(6), 0.\text{neut}(5)) &= d_{pb}(3, 0) = 26 \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3, 5) + d_{pb}(6, 5) + d_{pb}(6, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(6, 6), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(3, 5) &= |3^5 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3, 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(3, 5) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(6), 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(3, 5), \\ d_{pb}(3, 5) &= |3^5 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(6), 5) = d_{pb}(3, 5) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(6), 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(3, 5). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(6), 5.\text{neut}(10)) \leq d_{pb}(3, 10) + d_{pb}(6, 10) + d_{pb}(6, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(6, 6), d_{pb}(10, 10)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(3, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3, 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 10) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 10), \\ d_{pb}(3, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 10) = d_{pb}(3, 10) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(3, 10). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also, } d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 10.\text{neut}(5)) \leq d_{pb}(3, 5) + d_{pb}(9, 5) + d_{pb}(9, 10) - \min\{d_{pb}(9, 9), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(3, 6) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3, 6.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(3, 6) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(3, 6), \\ d_{pb}(3, 6) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 6) = d_{pb}(3, 6) = \max\{|3^3 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(3, 6). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(3.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(3, 6) \leq d_{pb}(3, 6) + d_{pb}(9, 6) + d_{pb}(9, 6) - \min\{d_{pb}(9, 9), d_{pb}(6, 6)\}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(6, 0) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(6, 0) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6.\text{neut}(9), 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(6, 0), \\ d_{pb}(6, 0) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6.\text{neut}(9), 0) = d_{pb}(6, 0) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6.\text{neut}(9), 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(6, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(6.\text{neut}(9), 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(6, 0) \leq d_{pb}(6, 5) + d_{pb}(9, 5) + d_{pb}(9, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(9, 9), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(6, 5) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, 5.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6.\text{neut}(12), 5.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(6, 5) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(12), 5) = d_{pb}(6, 5) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(12), 5, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(12), 5, \text{neut}(0)) \\ \leq d_{pb}(6, 0) d_{pb}(6, 0) + d_{pb}(12, 0) + d_{pb}(12, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(12, 12), d_{pb}(0, 0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(6, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, 10, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(6, 10) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(0), 10, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10), \\ d_{pb}(6, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(0), 10) = d_{pb}(0, 10) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(0), 10, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(0), 10, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10) \leq d_{pb}(6, 5) + d_p(0, 5) + d_{pb}(0, 10) - \min\{d_{pb}(0, 0), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(6, 6) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, 6, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(3), 6, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0), \\ d_{pb}(6, 6) &= |3^6 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(3), 6) = d_{pb}(6, 6) = \max\{|3^6 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(3), 6, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(6, \text{neut}(3), 6, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(6, 0) \leq d_{pb}(6, 0) + d_{pb}(3, 0) + d_{pb}(3, 6) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(0, 0)\}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(9, 0) &= |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, 0, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 0, \text{neut}(5)) = d_p(9, 0), \\ d_{pb}(9, 0) &= |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 0) = d_{pb}(9, 0) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 0, \text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 0, \text{neut}(5)) &= d_{pb}(9, 0) \leq \\ &d_{pb}(9, 5) + d_{pb}(12, 5) + d_{pb}(12, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(12, 12), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}d_{pb}(9, 5) &= |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, 5, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(9, 0) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 5, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(9, 0), \\ d_{pb}(9, 5) &= |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 5) = d_{pb}(9, 5) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(9, \text{neut}(12), 5, \text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(9, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Also,



$$d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(12), 5.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(9, 0) \\ \leq d_{pb}(9, 0) + d_{pb}(12, 0) + d_{pb}(12, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(12, 12), d_{pb}(0, 0)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(9, 10) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 10) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(0), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10), \\ d_{pb}(9, 10) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(0), 10) = d_{pb}(0, 10) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(0), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(0), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10) \leq \\ d_{pb}(9, 5) + d_{pb}(0, 5) + d_{pb}(0, 10) - \min\{d_{pb}(0, 0), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(9, 6) = |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9, 6.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(3), 6.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0), \\ d_{pb}(9, 6) = |3^9 - 1| \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(3), 6) = d_{pb}(9, 6) = \max\{|3^9 - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(3), 6.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(9.\text{neut}(3), 6.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(9, 0) \leq d_{pb}(9, 5) + d_{pb}(3, 5) + d_{pb}(3, 6) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(10, 0) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10, 0.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 0.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0), \\ d_{pb}(10, 0) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 0) = d_{pb}(10, 0) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 0.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 0.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0) \leq \\ d_{pb}(10, 6) + d_{pb}(10, 6) + d_{pb}(10, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(10, 10), d_{pb}(6, 6)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$d_{pb}(10, 5) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10, 5.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 5.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0), \\ d_{pb}(10, 5) = |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 5) = d_{pb}(10, 5) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 5.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0).$$

Also,

$$d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(10), 5.\text{neut}(6)) = d_{pb}(10, 0) \leq \\ d_{pb}(10, 6) + d_{pb}(10, 6) + d_{pb}(10, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(10, 10), d_{pb}(6, 6)\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(10, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10, 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(10, 10) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(3), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10), \\ d_{pb}(10, 10) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(3), 10) = d_{pb}(0, 10) = \max\{|3^0 - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(3), 10.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(0, 10). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(3), 10.\text{neut}(5)) &= d_{pb}(0, 10) \leq \\ d_{pb}(10, 5) + d_{pb}(3, 5) + d_{pb}(3, 10) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(10, 6) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10, 6.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(10, 0) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(5), 6.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(10, 0), \\ d_{pb}(10, 6) &= |3^{10} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(5), 6) = d_{pb}(10, 6) = \max\{|3^{10} - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(5), 6.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(10, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(10.\text{neut}(5), 6.\text{neut}(0)) &= d_{pb}(10, 0) \leq \\ d_{pb}(10, 0) + d_{pb}(5, 0) + d_{pb}(5, 6) - \min\{d_{pb}(5, 5), d_{pb}(0, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(12, 0) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12, 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(12, 0) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(12, 0), \\ d_{pb}(12, 0) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 0) = d_{pb}(12, 0) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 0.\text{neut}(5)) = d_{pb}(12, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 0.\text{neut}(5)) &= d_{pb}(12, 0) \leq \\ d_{pb}(12, 5) + d_{pb}(6, 5) + d_{pb}(6, 0) - \min\{d_{pb}(6, 6), d_{pb}(5, 5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(12, 5) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12, 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 5) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(3), 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 5), \\ d_{pb}(12, 5) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(3), 5) = d_{pb}(12, 5) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^5 - 1|\} \\ &\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(3), 5.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 5). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(3), 5.\text{neut}(10)) &= d_{pb}(12, 5) \leq \\ d_{d_{pb}}(12, 10) + d_{pb}(3, 10) + d_{pb}(3, 5) - \min\{d_{pb}(3, 3), d_{pb}(10, 10)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pb}(12, 10) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12, 10.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(12, 0) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\
&\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 10.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(12, 0), \\
d_{pb}(12, 10) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 10) = d_{pb}(12, 10) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^{10} - 1|\} \\
&\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 10.\text{neut}(0)) = d_{pb}(12, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(6), 10.\text{neut}(0)) &= d_{pb}(12, 0) \leq \\
d_{pb}(12, 0) + d_{pb}(6, 0) + d_{pb}(6, 10) &- \min\{d_{pb}(6, 6), d_{pb}(10, 10)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pb}(12, 6) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12, 6.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 0) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^0 - 1|\} \\
&\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 0), \\
d_{pb}(12, 6) &= |3^{12} - 1| \leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(9), 6) = d_{pb}(12, 6) = \max\{|3^{12} - 1|, |3^6 - 1|\} \\
&\leq d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(10)) = d_{pb}(12, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pb}(12.\text{neut}(9), 6.\text{neut}(10)) &= d_{pb}(12, 0) \leq \\
d_{pb}(12, 10) + d_{pb}(9, 10) + d_{pb}(9, 6) &- \min\{d_{pb}(9, 9), d_{pb}(10, 10)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $(x, y)$ , if there exists at least a  $(x', y')$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pb}(x, y) &\leq d_{pb}(x, y * \text{neut}(y')) \leq d_{pb}(x * \text{neut}(x'), y * \text{neut}(y')) \\
d_{pb}(x, y) &\leq d_{pb}(x * \text{neut}(x'), y) \leq d_{pb}(x * \text{neut}(x'), y * \text{neut}(y')),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$d_{pb}(x * \text{neut}(x'), y * \text{neut}(y')) \leq d_{pb}(x, y') + d_{pb}(x', y') + d_{pb}(x', y) - \min\{d_{pb}(x', x'), d_{pb}(y', y')\}.$$

Therefore,  $d_{pb}$  is an NTpbM and  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is an NTpbMS.

### Corollary 3.3:

- 1) The NTpbMS differs from the NTPMS due to the i-), ii-) and v-) conditions in the NTpbMS.
- 2) The NTpbMS differs from the NTMS. Because the triangle inequality in the NTMS differs from the triangle inequality in the NTpbMS.
- 3) The NTpbMS differs from the NTbMS. Because the triangle inequality in the NTbMS differs from the triangle inequality in the NTpbMS. Also, in a NTpbMS, it can be that  $d_{pb}(x, x) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS. If the following conditions are satisfied, then  $((X, *), d_{pb})$  is a NTpMS.

- a)  $Y = X$ .
- b)  $y' = x'$ , by the triangle equality in Definition 3.1.

**Proof:**

i)  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS implies that for all  $a, b \in X$ ,  $a * b \in X$  and for all  $c, d \in Y$ ,  $c * d \in Y$ . Also, from condition a) it is clear that for all  $a, c \in X = Y$ ,  $a * c \in X = Y$ .

ii) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, for all  $a \in X$  and for all  $b \in Y$ ,

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \geq d_{pb}(a, a) \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \geq d_{pb}(b, b) \geq 0 \text{ and is obvious by condition (a)}$$

For all  $a, b \in X$ ,  $d_{pb}(a, b) \geq d_{pb}(a, a) \geq 0$ .

iii) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, for  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(a, a) = d_{pb}(b, b) = 0$ , if  $d_{pb}(a, b) = 0$ ; then there exists at least one pair of  $a, b \in X \cap Y$ , and also from condition a), if  $y = x$ , then  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(a, a) = d_{pb}(b, b) = 0$  there exists at least one pair of  $a, b \in X \cap X = X$  such that  $d_{pb}(a, b) = 0$ .

iv) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, we have for all  $a, b \in X \cap Y$ ,  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(b, a)$ . Also, from condition a), we can write  $X \cap Y = X$ . Thus, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(b, a)$ .

v) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, for each  $(a, b)$ , if there exists at least a  $(a', b')$  such that

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a, b * \text{neut}(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a * \text{neut}(a'), b * \text{neut}(b')) \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a * \text{neut}(a'), b) \leq d_{pb}(a * \text{neut}(a'), b * \text{neut}(b')),$$

then

$$d_{pb}(a * \text{neut}(a'), b * \text{neut}(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a, b') + d_{pb}(a', b') + d_{pb}(a', b) - \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(b', b')\}.$$

From condition b), we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{pb}(a, b) &\leq d_{pb}(a, b * \text{neut}(b')) \leq \\ d_{pb}(a * \text{neut}(a'), b * \text{neut}(b')) &\leq d_{pb}(a, b') + d_{pb}(a', a') + d_{pb}(a', b) - \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(b', b')\} = \\ d_{pb}(a, b') + d_{pb}(a', b) &- \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(b', b')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, from condition a), if there exists at least a  $b' \in Y = X$  for each  $a, b \in Y = X$  such that

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a, b * \text{neut}(b')), \text{ then}$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b * \text{neut}(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a, a') + d_{pb}(a', b) - \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(a', a')\} = d_{pb}(a, a') + d_{pb}(a', b).$$

Thus,  $((X, *), d_{pb})$  is a NTpMS.

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS. If  $(X \cap Y, *)$  is a NTS, then  $((X \cap Y, X \cap Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS.

**Proof:** We suppose that  $(X \cap Y, *)$  is a NTS.

i) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, for all  $a, b \in X$ ,  $a * b \in X$  and for all  $c, d \in Y$ ,  $c * d \in Y$ . Thus, it is clear that  $\forall a, c \in X \cap Y$ ,  $a * c \in X \cap Y$ .

ii) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, for all  $a \in X$  and for all  $c \in Y$ , if  $d_{pb}(a, c) \geq d_{pb}(a, a) \geq 0$ , then

$$d_{pb}(a, c) \geq d_{pb}(c, c) \geq 0.$$

Thus, it is clear that for all  $a \in X \cap Y$ ,  $c \in X \cap Y$ ;

$$d_{pb}(a, c) \geq d_{pb}(a, a) \geq 0 \text{ and } d_{pb}(a, c) \geq d_{pb}(c, c) \geq 0.$$

iii) Since  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, if  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(a, a) = d_{pb}(b, b) = 0$ , then there exists at least one pair of elements  $a, b \in X \cap Y$  such that  $d_{pb}(a, b) = 0$ . Thus, it is clear that for  $d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(a, a) = d_{pb}(b, b) = 0$ , there exists at least one pair of  $a, b \in (X \cap Y) \times (X \cap Y)$ .

iv) Since  $((X, Y, *) , d_{pb})$  is a NTpbMS, we have for all  $a, b \in X \cap Y, d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(b, a)$ . Thus, it is clear that for all  $a, b \in (X \cap Y) \cap (X \cap Y) = X \cap Y, d_{pb}(a, b) = d_{pb}(b, a)$ .

v) Now, let  $(a, b), (a', b') \in X \times Y$ . For each  $(a, b)$ , if there exists at least one  $(a', b')$  such that

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a, b * neut(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b * neut(b')) \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b) \leq d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b * neut(b')),$$

then

$$d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b * neut(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a, b') + d_{pb}(a', b') + d_{pb}(a', b) - \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(b', b')\}.$$

Thus, it is clear that for each  $(a, b) \in (X \cap Y) \times (X \cap Y)$ , if there exists at least one  $(a', b') \in (X \cap Y) \times (X \cap Y)$  such that

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a, b * neut(b')),$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b) \text{ and}$$

$$d_{pb}(a, b) \leq d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b * neut(b')), \text{ then}$$

$$d_{pb}(a * neut(a'), b * neut(b')) \leq d_{pb}(a, b') + d_{pb}(a', b') + d_{pb}(a', b) - \min \{d_{pb}(a', a'), d_{pb}(b', b')\}.$$

Thus,  $((X \cap Y, X \cap Y), *) , d_{pb})$  is an NTpbMS.

**Theorem 3.6:** Let  $((X, Y, *) , d)$  a NTbMS. Then, for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+, d_{kp}(x, y) = d(x, y) + k$  is a NTpbM.

**Proof:**

i) For all  $a, b \in X, a * b \in X$  and for all  $c, d \in Y, c * d \in Y$  since  $d$  is a neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric.

ii) For all  $x \in X$  and for all  $y \in Y,$

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \geq d(x, x) \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \geq d(y, y) \geq 0 .$$

Because;

$$d_{kp}(x, y) = d(x, y) + k,$$

$$d_{kp}(x, x) = d(x, x) + k,$$

$$d_{kp}(y, y) \geq d(y, y) + k,$$

$$d(x, x) = 0 \text{ and } d(y, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \cap Y.$$

iii) If  $d_{kp}(x, y) = d_{kp}(x, x) = d_{kp}(y, y) \neq 0$  the proof is straightforward, since

$$d_{kp}(x, x) = d(x, x) + k > 0 \text{ (} d(x, x) = 0 \text{)}$$

$$d_{kp}(y, y) = d(y, y) + k > 0 \text{ (} d(y, y) = 0 \text{)} .$$

iv) For all  $x, y \in X \cap Y, d_{kp}(x, y) = d_{kp}(y, x)$ .

This is because of the fact that  $d_{kp}(x, y) = d(x, y) + k$  and  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for  $\forall x, y \in X \cap Y$ .

v) Let  $\forall (x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y$ . For each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , If there exists at least one  $(x', y') \in X \times Y$  such that

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \leq d_{kp}(x, y * neut(y')),$$

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \leq d_{kp}(x * neut(x'), y),$$

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \leq d_{kp}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')),$$

then

$$d_{kp}(x, y) \leq d_{kp}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \leq d_{kp}(x, y') + d_{kp}(x', y') + d_{kp}(x', y) - \min\{d_{kp}(x', x'), d_{kp}(y', y')\}.$$

As  $d_{kp}(x, y) = d(x, y) + k$  and  $d(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \leq d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{kp}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) &\leq \\ d(x, y') + k + d(x', y') + k + d(x', y) + k - \min\{d_{kp}(x', x'), d_{kp}(y', y')\} &= \\ d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y) + 3k - \min\{d_{kp}(x', x'), d_{kp}(y', y')\} &= \\ d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y) + 3k - \min\{d(x', x') + k, d(y', y') + k\} &= \\ d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y) + 3k - \min\{k, k\} &= \\ d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y) + 3k - k &= \\ d(x, y') + d(x', y') + d(x', y) + 2k. & \end{aligned}$$

In this case,

$$d_{kp}(x * neut(x'), y * neut(y')) \leq d_{kp}(x, y') + d_{kp}(x', y') + d_{kp}(x', y) - \min\{d_{kp}(x', x'), d_{kp}(y', y')\}.$$

**Corollary 3.7:** A NTpbMS can be obtained from a NTbMS.

**Definition 3.8:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS. A left sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to a right point  $y$  (symbolically  $x_n \rightarrow y$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = y$ ) if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d_{pb}(x_n, y) < \varepsilon - \min\{d_{pb}(x, x), d_{pb}(y, y)\}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Similarly, a right sequence  $(y_n)$  converges to a left point  $x$  (denoted as  $y_n \rightarrow x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = x$ ) if and only if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, whenever  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $d_{pb}(x, y_n) < \varepsilon - \min\{d_{pb}(x, x), d_{pb}(y, y)\}$ . Also, if  $(u_n) \rightarrow u$  and  $(u_n) \rightarrow u$ , then  $(u_n)$  converges to point  $u$  ( $(u_n)$  is a central sequence).

**Definition 3.9:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS,  $(x_n)$  be a left sequence and  $(y_n)$  be a right sequence in this space.  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called a NT partial bisequence. Furthermore, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are convergent, then  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called a NT partial convergent bisequence. Also, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  converge to same point, then  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called a NT partial biconvergent bisequence.

**Definition 3.10:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS and  $(x_n, y_n)$  be a NT partial bisequence.  $(x_n, y_n)$  is called an NT partial Cauchy bisequence if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d_{pb}(x_n, y_m) < \varepsilon - \min\{d_{pb}(x, x), d_{pb}(y, y)\}$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ .

**Definition 3.11:** Let  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  be a NTpbMS. In this space, if each  $(x_n, y_n)$  NT partial Cauchy bisequence is a NT partial convergent Cauchy bisequence, then  $((X, Y), *, d_{pb})$  is called complete NT partial bipolar metric space.

## Conclusion

In this study we first obtained NTpbMS. We show that NTpbMS is different from NTpMS and NTMS. Also, we show that a NTpbMS will provide the properties of a NTbMS under which conditions are met. Thus, we added a new structure to neutrosophic triple structures. Also, thanks to this study, researchers can obtain new fixed point theories, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar normed space, neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar inner product space.

### Abbreviations

bM: bipolar metric

bMS: bipolar metric space

pMS: partial metric space

NT: Neutrosophic triplet

NTS: Neutrosophic triplet set

NTM: Neutrosophic triplet metric

NTMS: Neutrosophic triplet metric space

NTpM: Neutrosophic triplet partial metric

NTpMS: Neutrosophic triplet partial metric space

NTbM: Neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric

NTbMS: Neutrosophic triplet bipolar metric space

NTpbMS: Neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space

### References

- [1] Smarandache F., Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, Rehoboth, Amer. Research Press, (1998)
- [2] Kandasamy W. B. V. and Smarandache F., Basic neutrosophic algebraic structures and their applications to fuzzy and neutrosophic models, Hexis, Frontigan, (2004) p 219
- [3] Kandasamy W. B. V. and Smarandache F., Some neutrosophic algebraic structures and neutrosophic n-algebraic structures, Hexis, Frontigan, (2006) p 219
- [4] Smarandache F. and Ali M., Neutrosophic triplet as extension of matter plasma, unmatter plasma and anti-matter plasma, APS Gaseous Electronics Conference, (2016) doi: 10.1103/BAPS.2016.GEC.HT6.110
- [5] Smarandache F. and Ali M., The Neutrosophic Triplet Group and its Application to Physics, presented by F. S. to Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Department of Science and Technology, Bernal, Buenos Aires, Argentina (02 June 2014)
- [6] Smarandache F. and Ali M., Neutrosophic triplet group. Neural Computing and Applications, (2016) 1-7.
- [7] Smarandache F. and Ali M., Neutrosophic Triplet Field Used in Physical Applications, (Log Number: NWS17-2017-000061), 18th Annual Meeting of the APS Northwest Section, Pacific University, Forest Grove, OR, USA (June 1-3, 2017)

- 
- [8] Smarandache F. and Ali M., Neutrosophic Triplet Ring and its Applications, (Log Number: NWS17-2017-000062), 18th Annual Meeting of the APS Northwest Section, Pacific University, Forest Grove, OR, USA (June 1-3, 2017).
- [9] Şahin, M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet groups based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple numbers, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, (2019) 30, 122 - 131
- [10] Broumi A., Bakali A., Talea M. and Smarandache F., Single Valued Neutrosophic Graphs: Degree, Order and Size. *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, (2016) pp. 2444-2451.
- [11] Broumi A., Bakali A., Talea M. and Smarandache F. Decision-Making Method Based On the Interval Valued Neutrosophic Graph, *Future Technologies, IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, (2016) pp 44-50.
- [12] Broumi A., Bakali A., Talea M., Smarandache F. and Vladareanu L., Computation of Shortest Path Problem in a Network with SV-Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers, *Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems*, Melbourne, Australia, (2016) pp.417-422.
- [13] Liu P. and Shi L., The Generalized Hybrid Weighted Average Operator Based on Interval Neutrosophic Hesitant Set and Its Application to Multiple Attribute Decision Making, *Neural Computing and Applications* (2015), 26(2): 457-471
- [14] Liu P. and Shi L., Some Neutrosophic Uncertain Linguistic Number Heronian Mean Operators and Their Application to Multi-attribute Group Decision making, *Neural Computing and Applications*, (2015) doi:10.1007/s00521-015-2122-6
- [15] Liu P. and Tang G., Some power generalized aggregation operators based on the interval neutrosophic numbers and their application to decision making, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, (2016) 30, 2517-2528
- [16] Liu P. and Tang G., Multi-criteria group decision-making based on interval neutrosophic uncertain linguistic variables and Choquet integral, *Cognitive Computation*, (2016) 8(6) 1036-1056
- [17] Liu P. and Wang Y., Interval neutrosophic prioritized OWA operator and its application to multiple attribute decision making, *journal of systems science & complexity*, (2016) 29(3): 681-697
- [18] Liu P. and Teng F., Multiple attribute decision making method based on normal neutrosophic generalized weighted power averaging operator, *Internal journal of machine learning and cybernetics*, (2015) 10.1007/s13042-015-0385-y
- [19] Liu P., Zhang L., Liu X., and Wang P., Multi-valued Neutrosophic Number Bonferroni mean Operators and Their Application in Multiple Attribute Group Decision Making, *Internal journal of information technology & decision making*, (2016) 15(5) 1181-1210
- [20] Sahin M., Deli I. and Ulucay V., Similarity measure of bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application to multiple criteria decision making, *Neural Comput & Applic.* (2016) DOI 10. 1007/S00521
- [21] Wang H., Smarandache F., Zhang Y. Q., Sunderraman R., Single valued neutrosophic sets. *Multispace Multistructure*, (2010) 4, 410–413.
- [22] Şahin M., Olgun N, Uluçay V., Kargın A. and Smarandache F. A new similarity measure on falsity value between single valued neutrosophic sets based on the centroid points of transformed single valued neutrosophic numbers with applications to pattern recognition, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, (2017) 15, 31-48, doi: org/10.5281/zenodo570934



- [23] Şahin M., Ecemiş O., Uluçay V. and Kargın A., Some new generalized aggregation operators based on centroid single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers and their applications in multi-attribute decision making, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, (2017) 16(2): 63-84
- [24] Chatterjee R., Majumdar P., and Samanta S. K., *Similarity Measures in Neutrosophic Sets-I. Fuzzy Multi-criteria Decision-Making Using Neutrosophic Sets*. Springer, Cham. (2019) 249-294.
- [25] Mohana K., and Mohanasundari M. On Some Similarity Measures of Single Valued Neutrosophic Rough Sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, (2019) 10
- [26] Smarandache, F., Colhon, M., Vlăduţescu, Ş., & Negrea, X. Word-level neutrosophic sentiment similarity. *Applied Soft Computing*, (2019) 80, 167-176, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.03.034>
- [27] Ye J. Similarity measures between interval neutrosophic sets and their applications in multicriteria decision – making. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* (2014) 26 (1) 165 – 172
- [28] Zadeh A. L. Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, (1965) 8.3 338-353,
- [29] Atanassov T. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, (1986) 20:87–96
- [30] Şahin M. and Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet metric topology, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, (2019) 27, 154 -162
- [31] Ali M., Smarandache F., Khan M., Study on the development of neutrosophic triplet ring and neutrosophic triplet field, *Mathematics-MDPI*, (2018) 6(4), 46
- [32] Şahin M. and Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet normed space, *Open Physics*, (2017) 15, 697-704
- [33] Şahin M. and Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet inner product space, *Neutrosophic Operational Research*, (2017) 2, 193-215,
- [34] Smarandache F., Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic Triplet G- Module, *Mathematics – MDPI*, (2018) 6, 53
- [35] Şahin M. and Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet b – metric space, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019) 7, 79 -89
- [36] Şahin M., Kargın A., Çoban M. A., Fixed point theorem for neutrosophic triplet partial metric space, *Symmetry – MDPI*, (2018) 10, 240
- [37] Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet  $v$  – generalized metric space, *Axioms – MDPI*, (2018) 7, 67
- [38] Şahin M. and Kargın A., Smarandache F., Neutrosophic triplet topology, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019), 4, 43 - 53
- [39] Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet normed ring space, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, (2018) 21, 20 – 27
- [40] Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet partial inner product space, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019), 10 - 21
- [41] Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet groups Based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple numbers, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, (2019) 30, 122 -131
- [42] Şahin M., Kargın A. Neutrosophic triplet partial  $v$  – generalized metric space, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019) 2, 22 - 34
- [43] Şahin M., Kargın A., Neutrosophic triplet Lie Algebra, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019) 6, 68 -78
- [44] Şahin M., Kargın A., Isomorphism theorems for Neutrosophic triplet G - module, *Neutrosophic Triplet Research 1*, (2019) 5, 54- 67
- [45] Şahin M., Kargın A., Uz M. S., Kılıç A., Neutrosophic triplet bipolar Metric Space, *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications 1*, (2020) 11, 150 - 163

- 
- [46] Mutlu, A., Gürdal, U., Bipolar metric spaces and some fixed point theorems. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences & Applications*, (2016), 9(9), 5362–5373.
- [47] Mutlu, A., Özkan, K., & Gürdal, U., Coupled fixed point theorems on bipolar metric spaces. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (2017) 10(4), 655-667
- [48] Kishore, G. N. V., Agarwal, R. P., Rao, B. S., & Rao, R. S., Caristi type cyclic contraction and common fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2018) 1, 21.
- [49] Rao, B. S., Kishore, G. N. V., & Kumar, G. K., Geraghty type contraction and common coupled fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications to homotopy. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*, (2018) 63.
- [50] Abdel-Basset, M., Ali M., and Atef A. "Uncertainty assessments of linear time-cost tradeoffs using neutrosophic set." *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 141 (2020): 106286.
- [51] Abdel-Basset, M., & Mohamed, R., A novel plithogenic TOPSIS-CRITIC model for sustainable supply chain risk management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, (2020) 247, 119586.
- [52] Abdel-Basset, M., Ali M., and Atef A. "Resource levelling problem in construction projects under neutrosophic environment." *The Journal of Supercomputing* (2019) 1-25.
- [53] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Elhoseny, M., Chiclana, F., & Zaied, A. E. N. H. Cosine similarity measures of bipolar neutrosophic set for diagnosis of bipolar disorder diseases. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, (2019) 101, 101735.
- [54] Tey, D. J. Y., Gan, Y. F., Selvachandran, G., Quek, S. G., Smarandache, F., Abdel-Basset, M., & Long, H. V. "A novel neutrosophic data analytic hierarchy process for multi-criteria decision making method: A case study in kuala lumpur stock exchange." *IEEE Access* (2019) 7: 53687-53697.
- [55] Son, N. T. K., Dong, N. P., Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., & Long, H. V. "On the stabilizability for a class of linear time-invariant systems under uncertainty." *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, (2020) 39.2: 919-960.
- [56] Tanuwijaya, B., Selvachandran, G., Abdel-Basset, M., Huynh, H. X., Pham, V. H., & Ismail, M. "A Novel Single Valued Neutrosophic Hesitant Fuzzy Time Series Model: Applications in Indonesian and Argentinian Stock Index Forecasting." *IEEE Access* (2020)

Received: Nov 20, 2019. Accepted: Apr 30, 2020