# University of New Mexico UNM Digital Repository

Pediatrics Research and Scholarship

Pediatrics

2-1-2019

# Genetic associations of hemoglobin in children with chronic kidney disease in the PediGFR Consortium

Meredith A Atkinson

Rui Xiao

Anna Köttgen

Elke Wühl

Craig S Wong

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/peds\_pubs

# Authors

Meredith A Atkinson, Rui Xiao, Anna Köttgen, Elke Wühl, Craig S Wong, Matthias Wuttke, Aysun K Bayazit, Salim Çalişkan, Bradley A Warady, Franz Schaefer, and Susan L Furth



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Pediatr Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 February 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Res. 2019 February ; 85(3): 324-328. doi:10.1038/s41390-018-0148-z.

# Genetic Associations of Hemoglobin in Children with Chronic Kidney Disease in the PediGFR Consortium

Meredith A. Atkinson<sup>#1</sup>, Rui Xiao<sup>#2</sup>, Anna Köttgen<sup>3</sup>, Elke Wühl<sup>4</sup>, Craig S. Wong<sup>5</sup>, Matthias Wuttke<sup>3</sup>, Aysun K. Bayazit<sup>6</sup>, Salim Çali kan<sup>7</sup>, Bradley A. Warady<sup>8</sup>, Franz Schaefer<sup>4</sup>, and Susan L. Furth<sup>2,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology & Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>3</sup>Division of Genetic Epidemiology, Institute for Medical Biometry and Statistics, Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Pediatric Nephrology Division, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Nephrology, University of New Mexico Children's Hospital, Albuquerque, NM

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

<sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>8</sup>Division of Nephrology, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO

Disclosures: None

Category of Study: Clinical Research

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding Author: Meredith A. Atkinson, M.D., M.H.S., Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 200 N. Wolfe St., Baltimore, MD, 21287, matkins3@jhmi.edu, Phone: 410-955-2467, Fax: 410-614-3680.

<sup>\*</sup> *MA Atkinson*: Participated in the analysis and interpretation of data, drafted and revised the article providing intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

<sup>\*</sup>*R Xiao*: Participated in the analysis and interpretation of the data, revised the article providing intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

A Köttgen: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and the interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

*E Wühl:* Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the analysis and interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

*CS Wong*: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the analysis and interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

*M Wuttke*: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the analysis and interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

AK Bayazit: Participated in the design of the analysis, revised the article for critical intellectual content, and approved the final draft. S *Çali kar*: Participated in the design of the analysis, revised the article for critical intellectual content, and approved the final draft. BA Warady: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the interpretation of data, revised the article and provided

*BA warady*: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

*F Schaefer*: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft.

*SL Furth*: Participated in the conception and design of the analysis and in the interpretation of data, revised the article and provided intellectual content of critical importance to the work, and approved the final draft

<sup>9</sup>The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Department of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Abstract

**Background**—Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in healthy populations have identified variants associated with erythrocyte traits, but genetic causes of hemoglobin (Hgb) variation in children with CKD are incompletely understood.

**Methods**—The Pediatric Investigation of Genetic Factors Linked with Renal Progression (PediGFR) Consortium comprises three pediatric CKD cohorts: Chronic Kidney Disease in Children (CKiD), Effect of Strict Blood Pressure Control and ACE Inhibition on the Progression of CRF in Pediatric Patients (ESCAPE) and Cardiovascular Comorbidity in Children with CKD (4C). We performed cross-sectional and longitudinal association studies of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 1,125 patients.

**Results**—Children of European (n=725) or Turkish (n=400) ancestry (EA or TA) were included. In cross-sectional analysis, 2 SNPs (rs10758658, rs12718597) previously associated with RBC traits were significantly associated with Hgb levels in children of EA and TA. In longitudinal analysis, SNP rs2540917 was nominally associated with Hgb in EA and TA children.

**Conclusions**—SNPs associated with erythrocyte traits in healthy populations were marginally significant for an association with Hgb. Further analyses/replication studies are needed in larger CKD cohorts to investigate SNPs of unknown significance associated with Hgb. Functional studies will be required to confirm that the observed associations between SNPs and clinical phenotype are causal.

#### Introduction

Anemia is a prevalent comorbidity in children with chronic kidney disease (CKD), attributable to a number of physiologic factors including impaired erythropoiesis, iron deficiency, and inflammation/iron restriction.[1] Despite the high prevalence of anemia in this population, there is substantial variation in individual hemoglobin (Hgb) levels at any given glomerular filtration rate (GFR), as well as by race/ethnicity and nationality.[2–4] Well-established differences in normative hemoglobin levels in individuals of European vs. African ancestry (both healthy and with CKD)[4,5], along with recent studies showing that specific genetic variants are associated with a variety of red blood cell traits [6–8], suggest that genetic causes of hemoglobin variation in children with CKD are incompletely understood.

Most children with the anemia of CKD receive standard treatment with erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESA) and iron supplementation, but the prevalence of treatment-resistant anemia is high.[9] In light of adult clinic trial data regarding the adverse cardiovascular outcomes associated with the use of escalating erythropoiesis stimulating agent (ESA) doses and observational evidence in children that higher ESA doses are associated with increased risk for mortality [3,10–12], it would be useful to determine how genetic variation

contributes to hemoglobin variability, decline, and resistance to anemia treatment in patients with kidney disease, and if the effects are magnified in this population.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) allow for the identification of genomic regions in individuals where genetic variations, or single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), are associated with unique phenotypes.[13,14] GWAS provide a unique opportunity to delineate the genetic epidemiology and molecular basis of a variety of CKD comorbidities including anemia.[14] A 2009 cross-sectional GWAS in 24,167 European-ancestry individuals showed that lower hemoglobin levels were associated with SNPs in genes known to regulate erythropoiesis and red cell membrane function, iron homeostasis, and hepcidin expression. [6] In addition, a GWAS in healthy children identified genetic variants cross-sectionally associated with a variety of erythrocyte traits [7], but these associations have not been previously studied in children with CKD, in whom the effects may be more pronounced due to kidney disease-associated erythropoietin deficiency/dysregulation and iron restriction. In turn, the goal of this analysis was to investigate the cross-sectional association of hemoglobin in children with CKD with SNPs previously identified as associated with hemoglobin levels in community-based adult and pediatric populations. [6,7] In addition, we sought to determine whether any of these SNPs are associated with hemoglobin decline over time in the setting of progressive CKD.

## Materials and Methods

#### Sample Description

We utilized the genotype and phenotypic data on hemoglobin in the Pediatric Investigation for Genetic Factors Linked to Renal Progression (PediGFR) Consortium. PediGFR is composed of 3 studies of CKD in children; the Chronic Kidney Disease in Children (CKiD) cohort study (n=262), the Effect of Strict Blood Pressure Control and ACE Inhibition on Progression of Chronic Renal Failure in Pediatric Patients (ESCAPE) trial (n=273), and the Cardiovascular Comorbidity in Children with CKD (4C) study (n=590).[15–17] Each participating study was approved by its respective Institutional Review Board, and all study subjects or parents/legal guardians provided informed consent for participation in the study and genetic research. In this analysis, participants were excluded if they self-reported non-European or non-Turkish ancestry.

#### SNP genotyping and imputation

Using a candidate marker approach, we sought to determine the association between genetic variation and hemoglobin levels in these pediatric CKD cohorts via the analysis of the most promising SNP markers identified previously. Hemoglobin was measured locally at individual study sites. DNA was genotyped using the Illumina® Infinium 2.5M-8 microarray, and genotype imputation was performed according to standard protocols [18] separately for each group using SHAPEIT v2.r644 [19] and IMPUTE2 v2.3.0 [20]. Phased haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes project [21] were used as the reference panel. Details of genotyping, imputation, and quality control have been previously described.[13] Twenty-four previously reported SNPs associated with red blood cell traits were included for the

Page 4

analysis.[6,7] All candidate SNPs and subjects included in the analysis passed the quality control.

#### Statistical Analysis

Standard descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency were used to summarize the patient characteristics. The distribution of the outcome variable was assessed graphically in order to meet the normality assumption for modeling. For each candidate SNP, linear mixed-effects models were used to assess the association of hemoglobin measured repeatedly over time and allelic dosage of the SNP obtained from imputation. This model accounts for within-subject correlation due to repeated measures by including subject-specific random effect. Models were adjusted for age at baseline, cohort indicator (CKiD, ESCAPE, or 4C), and the first three components from the principle components analysis (PCA) to account for potential population stratification. Medication use (iron, ESA, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors) was included in models as the percentage of time (months prescribed medication/ total months of follow up) on each of the medications. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) based on height and serum creatinine [22] as well as its interaction with SNP dosage was included as time-varying covariates. Separate analyses were conducted for different ethnicity groups. Cross-sectional analysis was performed on baseline hemoglobin by linear regression models for each candidate SNP. Similarly, cohort indicator, age and eGFR at baseline, medications use at baseline, and the first three components from PCA, were included in the linear regression models. False discovery rate (FDR) was applied to correct for multiple testing. SNP annotation was performed using SNiPA [23] (http://snipa.helmholtz-muenchen.de/snipa/), the UCSC Genome Browser [24] (https://genome.ucsc.edu/), and the data from the Sankaran lab [25] (http://www.bloodgenes.org/RBC\_MPRA/view/index.html).

## Results

We conducted a candidate SNP association study in our pediatric population of 1,125 children of European (n=725) or Turkish (n=400) ancestry. Baseline characteristics and follow-up time for subjects by ethnicity and study cohort are presented in Table 1. Median GFR at baseline was noted to be higher in the children in the CKiD cohort compared to the other study cohorts. In terms of medication use at baseline, a higher proportion of children of European ancestry enrolled in the 4C cohort were treated with an ESA at baseline compared to the other groups. No ESCAPE subjects were treated with ACE-inhibitors at baseline, given that it was a trial in which an ACE-inhibitor was the intervention of interest. Children of Turkish ancestry enrolled in the 4C cohort had the highest prevalence of iron use at baseline. Children of European ancestry enrolled in CKiD demonstrated the longest median follow-up time at 4.89 years, with 4C subjects of both ethnicities followed for just under 2 years.

#### **Cross-sectional Analysis**

Table 2 displays results of a cross-sectional analysis of the association of SNPs with hemoglobin at baseline. Among children of European ancestry, two SNPs (rs10758658 and rs12718597) were significantly associated with hemoglobin (p = .012 and .044 respectively).

These two SNPs also showed marginal significance in children of Turkish ancestry, as did rs2075671. In addition, in the Turkish cohort, four SNPs (rs17218597, rs2540917, rs7255045, and rs857721) were identified to be significantly associated with hemoglobin (p < .05). Effects on hemoglobin were all of a similar magnitude in the model adjusted for other covariates. However, none of the SNPs passed the threshold after FDR correction for multiple testing.

#### Longitudinal Analysis

Table 3 summarizes results of longitudinal analysis of the association between SNPs and hemoglobin measured over time. In children of European ancestry, the two SNPs (rs10758658 and rs12718597) associated (marginally) with baseline hemoglobin in both European and Turkish cohorts were also marginally significant in longitudinal analysis (p = .074 and .083). Among the two SNPs, rs10758658 was also observed to be significant in Turkish children (p = .016). Three additional SNPs (rs2540917, rs7255045, and rs7385804) were identified in longitudinal analysis (p < .0001, p = .0047, and p = .013, respectively) in children of European ancestry, among which the SNP rs2540917 also showed significant association in Turkish children (p = .004). There was an additional SNP (rs9483788) identified to be marginally associated with hemoglobin in Turkish children (p = .047). Effect size of association with hemoglobin was of a similar magnitude for all the identified SNPs adjusted for other covariates.

## Discussion

We performed cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses to examine the associations between Hgb and 24 SNP genotypes previously identified in cross-sectional analyses to be associated with hematological traits in both adults and children [6,7], in a cohort of children with CKD of European and Turkish ancestry. Among the previously reported loci, 7 reached significance for an association with Hgb at baseline (2 in both Europeans and Turks and an additional 5 in Turks only), while 6 reached significance for an association with longitudinal change in Hgb (2 in both Europeans and Turks, 3 in Europeans only and 1 in Turks only). SNPs rs12718597 and rs10758658, intron variants within the IKZF1 and RCL1 genes respectively, were associated with Hgb both cross-sectionally and longitudinally among children of European ancestry, while the SNP on the RCL1 gene was also associated with Hgb both at baseline and longitudinally among children of Turkish ancestry. [23,24] Both the IKZF1 and RCL1 genes are associated with mean corpuscular volume (MCV) [23,24], a measure of the average volume of red cells that is often positively correlated with Hgb.[26] rs2540917, which was associated with Hgb both cross-sectionally and longitudinally in children of Turkish ancestry, has in previously published studies been suggested to be on the BCL11A gene[6], which has also been associated with MCV.[27] The transcription factor BCL11A is one of the most potent known regulators of the fetal-to-adult Hgb switch/fetal Hgb silencing that occurs shortly after birth, and is considered a promising therapeutic target in the setting of sickle cell disease and ß-thalassemia.[28] However, in our annotation we were unable to confirm a specific gene or erythrocyte trait associated with rs2540917. Similarly rs172629, which we found to be cross-sectionally associated with Hgb in Turks, has been previously reported to be on the KIT gene and associated with MCV [6]; our

variant.

Other genes on which SNPs were associated with Hgb in our analysis have been associated with MCV (rs7255045, RTDBN), hematocrit (rs7385804, TFR2; and rs9483788, HBS1L/ MYB), or other erythrocyte phenotypes (rs2075671,ZAN; and rs857721, SPTA1).[23,24] The KIT and RTBDN genes have roles in hematopoiesis and retinoid binding, respectively. [6] TFR2 (transferrin receptor 2) mediates cellular uptake of transferrin-bound iron and is involved in iron metabolism, while HBS1L/MYB is a quantitative trait locus controlling fetal Hgb and erythrocyte volume and hemoglobin content.[6,27] Although not all of the SNPs most strongly associated with Hgb in this analysis are intron variants which may limit their biologic plausibility as regulators of red cell phenotype, some may be linked SNPs which do not reside within a specific gene or affect protein function, but nevertheless may correspond to a particular red cell response. [29] An estimated ~80% of the phenotypic heritability in common traits may be explained by non-coding regulatory variants, which may alter the regulation of gene transcription.[25] However, establishing the causality of GWAS-identified regulatory variants entails identification of target genes and demonstration of molecular function and connection to phenotype, which are beyond the scope of the present study.[25]

Our results also highlight loci which demonstrate a differential association with hemoglobin between different ancestral groups. Both at baseline and longitudinally, a SNP on the RCL1 gene was associated with a higher or increasing hemoglobin in Europeans compared to a lower or decreasing hemoglobin in Turks. Similarly, rs2540917 was associated with a longitudinal decrease in hemoglobin in Europeans while the opposite association was observed in Turks. While the observation of opposite associations for a causal variant between studies sampled from one population may be unexpected, opposite associations across different ethnic groups do occur and have been reported in other studies [30,31], and may be explained by population differences. For example, opposite associations may indicate heterogeneous effects of the genetic variant resulting from different genetic background. It is known that linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns of the same genetic region can differ between ethnic populations [32,33], leading to inconsistent association patterns when non-causal variants are tested [34]. Therefore, if different LD structures are present in our samples across the region and the SNP being tested is not causal, it is possible to see the opposite associations between the two populations. In addition, this phenomenon is also likely the result of the complex interplay of multiple loci and environmental factors which may confound the association with hemoglobin levels. Examining the association between a single locus and the outcome of interest fails to account for multi-locus effect or take into account correlations with other causal variants. Differences in the minor allele frequency for each of these SNPs by ethnicity may also explain the observed differential association with hemoglobin. Despite adjustment for principal components, there may be additional, ancestry-specific confounders of the association between SNPs of interest and hemoglobin such as differences in the prevalence of thalassemias between subjects of European and Turkish ancestry to account for differential findings.

Our study does have limitations. Given the relatively small sample size of our cohort, we were limited to a candidate gene approach to identifying relevant SNPs, as the cohort would be underpowered for a GWAS; this precluded the identification of novel SNPs associated with Hgb in this cohort.[35]Small sample size also limits interpretation of nominal vs. genome-wide significance of results, but the presence of an association between specific SNPs and Hgb in both ancestry cohorts is suggestive of an association despite the lack of significance after multiple correction. Given the low prevalence of CKD in children, there is currently no larger group of subjects in which to explore this association. Due to limitations of the available hematologic data in PediGFR subjects, we were restricted to Hgb as the single marker of erythrocyte phenotype in this analysis, rather than examining a wide variety of erythrocyte traits as in previously reported studies. However, many of the previously examined phenotypes including MCV are positively correlated with Hgb. Anemia-targeted therapies including ESA and iron supplements were included in the analysis as percentage of observed time subjects were prescribed the interventions, but data on specific ESA and iron dose were not available for all subjects; thus, we were not able to additionally account for the effects of ESA or iron dose changes on Hgb. There was variation in subject follow up time by study cohort, which may have limited our ability to observe a change in Hgb in subjects followed for shorter periods of time. The previously identified SNPs we examined were noted to be cross-sectionally associated with hemoglobin in community based cohorts [6,7]; a risk allele for lower hemoglobin may not necessarily be associated with faster hemoglobin decline, limiting the interpretation of our longitudinal analysis. Finally, given the restricted ethnicities of children included in this analysis, these findings may not be generalizable to all children with CKD and in particular to children of African ancestry. However, to our knowledge this is the first study to explore the genetic contribution to red cell phenotype in children with CKD.

Our results confirming the association between a subset of previously identified SNPs and hemoglobin provide additional evidence supporting these associations in children. Among some of the variants associated with differences in red blood cell traits, it is possible that the effects on hemoglobin are more pronounced among children with the conditions of erythropoietin dysregulation, iron restriction, and inflammation that commonly emerge in advancing CKD. Thus it is crucial step toward the application of precision medicine to test these results among children with CKD, in whom the biologic implications and potential treatment options are most relevant.

In conclusion, we demonstrate for the first time that SNPs previously reported to be associated with erythrocyte traits were nominally significant for an association with Hgb in children of European and Turkish ancestry with CKD. Differences in single SNPs are unlikely to account for most of the variability in Hgb levels observed in children with CKD. However, in the case of larger observed effect sizes, there is potential for these findings to yield prognostic information that may, in the future, guide individualized pharmacologic decisions in the treatment of the anemia of CKD. Moving forward, we will plan for further analyses/replication studies in larger adult CKD cohorts (such as the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort Study [CRIC]) to investigate SNPs of unknown significance that appear to be associated with Hgb. Functional studies will be required to confirm that the observed associations between SNPs of interest and clinical phenotype are causal.

### Acknowledgments

#### Statement of Financial Support

The CKiD Study is supported by grants from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), with additional funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (U01-DK-66143, U01-DK-66174, U01DK-082194, U01-DK-66116). The CKID website is located at http://www.statepi.jhsph.edu/ckid. The ESCAPE trial and the 4C Study were conducted by the ESCAPE Clinical Research Network. The ESCAPE Trial was supported by grants from the European Commission Fifth Framework Programme (QLRT-2001–00908), Boehringer Ingelheim Foundation, Kuratorium für Dialyse und Nierentransplantation e.V., and the Baxter Extramural Grant Program. Support for the 4C Study was received from the ERA-EDTA Research Programme, the KfH Foundation for Preventive Medicine and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (reference number: 01E00802). The genotyping data utilized for the PediGFR Consortium supported by the EU 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme (EURenOmics, Grant 2012–305608). M.A.A. was supported by the ZT<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme (K23-DK-084116). The work of M.W. and A.K was supported by the CRC 1140 of the German Research Foundation. M.A.A. and S.L.F. were supported by an unrestricted grant from Amgen, Inc.

#### References

- 1. Atkinson MA, Furth SL. Anemia in children with chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011; 7(11): 635–641. [PubMed: 21894183]
- Fadrowski JJ, Pierce CB, Cole SR, Moxey-Mims M, Warady BA, Furth SL. Hemoglobin decline in children with chronic kidney disease: baseline results from the chronic kidney disease in children prospective cohort study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 3(2): 457–462. [PubMed: 18235140]
- 3. Borzych-Duzalka D, Bilginer Y, Ha IS, et al. Management of anemia in children receiving chronic peritoneal dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24(4): 665–676. [PubMed: 23471197]
- Atkinson MA, Pierce CB, Zack RM, et al. Hemoglobin differences by race in children with CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 2010; 55(6): 1009–1017. [PubMed: 20418001]
- 5. Robins EB, Blum S. Hematologic reference values for African American children and adolescents. Am J Hematol 2007; 82(7): 611–614. [PubMed: 17177189]
- 6. Ganesh SK, Zakai NA, van Rooij FJ, et al. Multiple loci influence erythrocyte phenotypes in the CHARGE Consortium. Nat Genet 2009; 41(11): 1191–1198. [PubMed: 19862010]
- Li J, Glessner JT, Zhang H, et al. GWAS of blood cell traits identifies novel associated loci and epistatic interactions in Caucasian and African-American Children. Hum Mol Genet 2013; 22(7): 1457–1464. [PubMed: 23263863]
- Ding K, de Andrade M, Manolio TA, et al. Genetic variants that confer resistance to malaria are associated with red blood cell traits in African-Americans: an electronic medical record-based genome-wide association study. G3 (Bethesda) 2013; 3(7): 1061–1068. [PubMed: 23696099]
- Atkinson MA, Martz K, Warady BA, Neu AM. Risk for anemia in pediatric chronic kidney disease patients: a report of NAPRTCS. Pediatr Nephrol 2010; 25(9): 1699–1706. [PubMed: 20464428]
- Pfeffer MA, Burdmann EA, Chen CY, et al. A trial of darbepoetin alfa in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2009; 361(21): 2019–2032. [PubMed: 19880844]
- Singh AK, Szczech L, Tang KL, et al. Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2006; 355(20): 2085–2098. [PubMed: 17108343]
- Lestz RM, Fivush BA, Atkinson MA. Association of higher erythropoiesis stimulating agent dose and mortality in children on dialysis. Pediatr Nephrol 2014; 29(10): 2021–2028. [PubMed: 24794833]
- Wuttke M, Wong CS, Wühl E, et al. Genetic loci associated with renal function measures and chronic kidney disease in children: the Pediatric Investigation for Genetic Factors Linked with Renal Progression Consortium. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016; 31(2): 262–269. [PubMed: 26420894]
- Gupta J, Kanetsky PA, Wuttke M, Köttgen A, Schaefer F, Wong CS. Genome-wide association studies in pediatric chronic kidney disease. Pediatr Nephrol 2016; 31(8): 1241–1252. [PubMed: 26490952]

- Furth SL, Cole SR, Moxey-Mims M, et al. Design and methods of the Chronic Kidney Disease in Children (CKiD) prospective cohort study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006; 1(5): 1006–1015. [PubMed: 17699320]
- ESCAPE Trial Group, Wühl E, Trivelli A, et al. Strict blood-pressure control and progression of renal failure in children. N Engl J Med 2009; 361(17): 1639–1650. [PubMed: 19846849]
- Querfeld U, Anarat A, Bayazit AK, et al. The Cardiovascular Comorbidity in Children with Chronic Kidney Disease (4C) study: objectives, design, and methodology. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 5(9): 1642–1648. [PubMed: 20576824]
- Marchini J, Howie B. Genotype imputation for genome-wide association studies. Nat Rev Genet 2010; 11(7): 499–511. [PubMed: 20517342]
- 19. Delaneau O, Zagury JF, Marchini J. Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. Nat Methods 2013; 10(1): 5–6. [PubMed: 23269371]
- Howie BN, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. PLoS Genet 2009; 5(6): e1000529. [PubMed: 19543373]
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium Abecasis GR, Auton A, et al. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. Nature 2012; 491(7422): 56–65. [PubMed: 23128226]
- 22. Schwartz GJ, Munoz A, Schneider MF, et al. New equations to estimate GFR in children with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 20(3): 629–637. [PubMed: 19158356]
- 23. Arnold M, Raffler J, Pfeufer A, Suhre K, Kastenmüller G. SNiPA: an interactive, genetic variantcentered annotation browser. Bioinformatics 2015; 31(8): 1334–1336. [PubMed: 25431330]
- 24. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, et al. The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 2002; 12(6): 996–1006. [PubMed: 12045153]
- Ulirsch JC, Nandakumar SK, Wang L, et al. Systematic Functional Dissection of Common Genetic Variation Affecting Red Blood Cell Traits. Cell 2016; 165(6):1530–1545. [PubMed: 27259154]
- 26. Sarma PR. Red Cell Indices. Walker HK, Hall WD, Hurst JW, ed. Clinical Methods: The History, Physical, and Laboratory Examinations 3rd edition. Boston, Butterworths: 1990; Chapter 152.
- Fanis P, Kousiappa I, Phylactides M, Kleanthous M. Genotyping of BCL11A and HBS1L-MYB SNPs associated with fetal haemoglobin levels: a SNaPshot minisequencing approach. BMC Genomics 2014; 15: 108. [PubMed: 24502199]
- Basak A, Sankaran VG. Regulation of the fetal hemoglobin silencing factor BCL11A. Ann NY Acad Sci 2016; 1368(1): 25–30. [PubMed: 26963603]
- 29. Marian AJ. The enigma of genetics etiology of atherosclerosis in the post-GWAS era. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2012; 14(4): 295–299. [PubMed: 22437283]
- Singleton AB, Farrer M, Johnson J, et al. alpha-Synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. Science 2003;302(5646):841. [PubMed: 14593171]
- Tan EK, Tan C, Shen H, Chai A, Lum SY, Teoh ML, Yih Y, Wong MC, Zhao Y. Alpha synuclein promoter and risk of Parkinson's disease: microsatellite and allelic size variability. Neurosci Lett 32003:36(1):70–72.
- Schneider JA, Pungliya MS, Choi JY, Jiang R, Sun XJ, Salisbury BA, Stephens JC. DNA variability of human genes. Mech Ageing Dev 2003;124:17–25. [PubMed: 12618002]
- Nielsen R, Hubisz MJ, Clark AG. Reconstituting the frequency spectrum of ascertained singlenucleotide polymorphism data. Genetics 2004;168:2373–2382. [PubMed: 15371362]
- 34. Lin P-I, Vance JM, Pericak-Vance MA, Martin ER. No gene is an island: the flip-flop phenomenon. Am J Hum Genet 2007; 80(3): 531–538. [PubMed: 17273975]
- Amos W, Driscoll E, Hoffman JI. Candidate genes versus genome-wide associations: which are better for detecting genetic susceptibility to infectious disease? Proc Biol Sci 2011;278(1709): 1183–1188. [PubMed: 20926441]

Page 9

Author Manuscript

Atkinson et al.

1,125 Children
÷.
p Time in 1,
Up
and Follow-l
Baseline
cs at
nracteristi
l Chê
ical
d Clir
and (
mographic
Demo

CKiD (n=262)CKiD (n=251)4C (n=251)Age, yrs - median (IQR) $11.1 (7.4-14.4)$ $12.1 (8.9-15.0)$ Female, n (%) $95 (36.3\%)$ $76 (30.3\%)$ Female, n (%) $95 (35.3\%)$ $76 (30.3\%)$ Female, n (%) $95 (35.3\%)$ $76 (30.3\%)$ Set reated - n (%) $32 (33.1-54.7)$ $25.1 (17.1-34.4)$ ESA treated - n (%) $30 (11.5\%)$ $89 (35.5\%)$ ACEi treated - n (%) $118 (45\%)$ $119 (47.4\%)$ Iron treated - n (%) $72 (27.5\%)$ $81 (32.3\%)$ Non-Cilomerular CKD - n (%) $230 (87.8\%)$ $198 (78.9\%)$ Number of Visits, mean (SD) $5.1 \pm 1.9$ $4.0 \pm 2.0$	European Ancestry	Turkish	Turkish Ancestry
$11.1 (7.4-14.4)$ $12.1 (8.9-15$ $95 (36.3\%)$ $76 (30.3\%)$ $95 (36.3.\%)$ $76 (30.3\%)$ $43.2 (33.1-54.7)$ $25.1 (17.1-3c)$ $30 (11.5\%)$ $89 (35.5\%)$ $118 (45\%)$ $119 (47.4\%)$ $72 (27.5\%)$ $81 (32.3\%)$ $230 (87.8\%)$ $198 (78.9\%)$ $5.1 \pm 1.9$ $4.0 \pm 2.0$	4C Escape (n=251) (n=212)	4C (n=339)	Escape (n=61)
$11.1$ (7.4–14.4) $12.1$ (8.9–15 $95$ (36.3%) $76$ (30.3% $95$ (33.1–54.7) $25.1$ (17.1–3 $43.2$ (33.1–54.7) $25.1$ (17.1–3 $30$ (11.5%) $89$ (35.5% $30$ (11.5%) $89$ (35.5% $118$ (45%) $119$ (47.4% $72$ (27.5%) $81$ (32.3% $230$ (87.8%) $198$ (78.9% $5.1 \pm 1.9$ $4.0 \pm 2.0$	<b>Baseline Characteristics</b>	stics	
95 $(36.3\%)$ 76 $(30.3\%)$ 93.2 $(33.1-54.7)$ 25.1 $(17.1-3.2)$ 43.2 $(33.1-54.7)$ 25.1 $(17.1-3.2)$ 30 $(11.5\%)$ 89 $(35.5\%)$ 118 $(45\%)$ 119 $(47.4\%)$ 72 $(27.5\%)$ 81 $(32.3\%)$ 230 $(87.8\%)$ 198 $(78.9\%)$ 5.1 \pm 1.9 $4.0 \pm 2.0$	12.1 (8.9–15.0) 11.8 (8.6–15.1)	12.4 (9.5–15.3)	12.0 (10.1–14.3)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	76 (30.3%) 88 (41.5%)	127 (37.5%)	28 (45.9%)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	25.1 (17.1–34.4) 36.1 (25.1–45.1)	25.9 (17.6–34.3)	27.5 (19.5-46.3)
118 (45%)     119 (47.4%)       72 (27.5%)     81 (32.3%)       230 (87.8%)     198 (78.9%)       5.1 ± 1.9     4.0 ± 2.0	89 (35.5%) 16 (7.5%)	55 (16.2%)	8 (13.2%)
72 (27.5%)         81 (32.3%           230 (87.8%)         198 (78.9%           5.1 ± 1.9         4.0 ± 2.0	119 (47.4%) 0 (0%)	113 (33.3%)	0 (0%)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	81 (32.3%) 23 (10.8%)	158 (46.6%)	24 (39.3%)
5.1 ± 1.9 4.0 ± 2.0	198 (78.9%) 185 (87.3%)	315 (92.9%)	53 (86.9%)
5.1 ± 1.9	Longitudinal Characteristics	eristics	
	$4.0 \pm 2.0 \qquad 22.6 \pm 12.0$	$4.2 \pm 2.0$	$15.7 \pm 10.3$
Follow-Up Period, yrs – mean (SD) $4.19 \pm 2.02$ $1.78 \pm 1.06$	$1.78 \pm 1.06$ $4.46 \pm 2.21$	$1.70 \pm 1.04$	$2.82 \pm 2.06$

Table 2.

Significant Results of Multivariate \* Cross-Sectional Analysis of SNP and Hemoglobin

Important Ancestry           rs10758658         0.012         0.29         .53±.21         .19         9         A, MCH        004           rs10758658         0.012         0.29         .53±.21         .19         9         A, MCH        004           rs12718597         0.044         0.052         .53±.18         .37         7         A, MCV         .003           rs12718597         0.044         0.052         .36±.18         .37         7         A, MCV         .003           rs10758658         0.051         0.19        68±.35         .15         9         A, MCV         .003           rs10758658         0.051         0.19         .49±.25         .399         7         A, MCV         .003           rs12718597         0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .399         7         A, MCV         .003           rs12718597         0.051         0.19         .74±.35         .200         9         A, MCV         .004           rs2075671         0.051         0.19         .56±.36         .209         7         A, RCV         .003           rs2075615         0.051         0.19         .57         2         C, MCV <th>SNP</th> <th>Unadjusted p-value</th> <th>Adjusted p-value</th> <th>Coefficient Estimate ± SD</th> <th>Minor Allele Frequency</th> <th>Chromosome</th> <th>Reference allele and assoc. trait in CHARGE<sup>6</sup></th> <th>Coefficient Estimate in CHARGE<sup>6</sup></th>	SNP	Unadjusted p-value	Adjusted p-value	Coefficient Estimate ± SD	Minor Allele Frequency	Chromosome	Reference allele and assoc. trait in CHARGE <sup>6</sup>	Coefficient Estimate in CHARGE <sup>6</sup>
0.012         0.29         .53±.21         .19         9         A, MCH         N           0.044         0.052         .36±.18         .37         7         A, MCY            1         0.044         0.052         .36±.18         .37         7         A, MCY            1         0.051         0.09         .36±.35         .15         9         A, MCY            1         0.051         0.19        68±.35         .15         9         A, MCH            1         0.056         0.19        68±.35         .15         9         A, MCH             1         0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .39         .15         7         A, MCH            1         0.058         0.19         .74±.35         .20         7         A, MCH				Europe	an Ancestry			
$0.044$ $0.052$ $.36\pm.18$ $.37$ $7$ $A,MCV$ <b>Turkish Ancestry Turkish Ancestry</b> $0.051$ $0.19$ $68\pm.35$ $.15$ $9$ $A,MCH$ $0.051$ $0.19$ $68\pm.35$ $.15$ $9$ $A,MCH$ $N.MCH$ $0.056$ $0.19$ $68\pm.35$ $.15$ $9$ $A,MCH$ $N.MCH$ $0.051$ $0.19$ $.49\pm.25$ $.39$ $7$ $A,MCV$ $N.MCH$ $0.038$ $0.19$ $.74\pm.35$ $.20$ $4$ $G,MCV$ $N.MCV$ $0.031$ $0.19$ $.56\pm.35$ $.16$ $7$ $A,RCV$ $N.MCV$ $0.031$ $0.19$ $.56\pm.35$ $.20$ $2$ $C,MCV$ $N.MCV$ $N.MCV$ $0.031$ $0.19$ $.71\pm.34$ $.23$ $19$ $A,MCV$ $N.MCV$ $N.MCV$ $0.030$ $0.19$ $.0.29$ $.27$ $1$ $A,MCV$ $N.MCV$ $N.MCV$	rs10758658	0.012	0.29	.53±.21	61.	6	A, MCH	0048
Turkish Ancestry           0.051         0.19        68±.35         .15         9         A, MCH           0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .39         7         A, MCH           0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .39         7         A, MCV           0.038         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G, MCV           0.031         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G, MCV           0.031         0.19         .56±.35         .16         7         A, RBC           0.031         0.19         .56±.35         .16         7         A, RBC           0.031         0.19         .56±.35         .16         7         A, RBC           0.033         0.19         .56±.36         .29         2         C, MCV           0.036         0.19         .56±.38         .31         19         A, MCV	rs12718597	0.044	0.052	.36±.18	22.	L	A, MCV	.0032
0.051         0.19        68±.35         .15         9         A, MCH         A.           0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .39         7         A, MCY         A.           0.051         0.19         .49±.35         .39         7         A, MCY         A.           0.038         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G, MCY         A.           0.031         0.19         .74±.35         .20         2         4         G, MCY         A.           0.031         0.19         .56±.35         .16         7         A, RBC         A.         A.           0.031         0.19         .56±.36         .29         2         C, MCV         A.         A.           0.0336         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         A         A.         A.           0.036         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A.				Turkis	h Ancestry			
0.056         0.19         .49±.25         .39         7         A,MCV         A.MCV           0.038         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G,MCV         .           0.038         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G,MCV         .           0.051         0.19         .74±.35         .20         2         4         G,MCV         .           0.051         0.19         .56±.35         .16         7         A,RBC         .         .           0.031         0.19         .56±.36         .29         2         C,MCV         .         .           0.036         0.19         .56±.36         .29         .29         2         C,MCV         .           0.036         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A,MCV         .         .           0.030         0.19         .62±.28         .27         1         A,MCHC         .         .	rs10758658	0.051	0.19	68±.35	.15	6	A, MCH	0048
0         0.038         0.19         .74±.35         .20         4         G, MCV         .           1         0.051         0.19         .69±.35         .16         7         A, RBC         7           7         0.031         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         .           5         0.036         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         .           6         0.036         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         .           7         0.036         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         .           6         0.036         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         .           7         0.030         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A, MCV         .           8         0.030         0.19         .62±.28         .27         1         A, MCHC         .	rs12718597	0.056	0.19	.49±.25	39	7	A, MCV	.0032
1         0.051         0.19         .69±.35         .16         7         A, RBC         7           7         0.031         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         5           5         0.036         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C, MCV         5           6         0.036         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A, MCV         5           7         0.030         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A, MCV         5         5	rs172629	0.038	0.19	.74±.35	.20	4	G, MCV	0043
7         0.031         0.19         .56±.26         .29         2         C,MCV         .           5         0.036         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A.MCV         .           6         0.030         0.19         .62±.28         .27         1         A.MCV         .	rs2075671	0.051	0.19	.69±.35	.16	7	A, RBC	.0086
5         0.036         0.19         .71±.34         .23         19         A,MCV         .           0.030         0.19         .62±.28         .27         1         A,MCHC         .	rs2540917	0.031	0.19	.56±.26	29.	2	C, MCV	0031
0.030 0.19 .62±.28 .27 1 A, MCHC	rs7255045	0.036	0.19	.71±.34	.23	19	A, MCV	0037
	rs857721	0.030	0.19	.62±.28	L2.	1	A, MCHC	0022

<sup>\*</sup>Model adjusted for age at baseline, eGFR, ESA use, iron use, ACEi use, cohort indicator, and the first 3 PCA componentMCH – mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCV – mean corpuscular volume; MCHC – mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; RBC – red blood cell count

Author Manuscript

# Table 3.

Significant Results of Multivariate \*Longitudinal Analysis of SNP and Hemoglobin

SNP	Unadjusted p-value	Adjusted p-value	Coefficient Estimate ± SD	Minor Allele Frequency	Chromosome	Reference allele and assoc. trait in CHARGE <sup>6</sup>	Coefficient Estimate
			Europe	European Ancestry			
rs107586588	0.074	0.40	.27±.15	.19	6	A, MCH	0048
rs12718597	0.083	0.40	$.20\pm.11$	.37	7	A, MCV	.0032
rs2540917	<0.001	<0.002	57±.12	.44	2	C, MCV	0031
rs7255045	0.0047	90.0	37±.13	.31	19	A, MCV	0037
rs7385804	0.013	0.10	.30±.12	.39	7	C, Hct	1592
			Turkis	Turkish Ancestry			
rs10758658	0.016	0.19	$52\pm.007$	.15	6	A, MCH	0048
rs2540917	0.004	0.096	.45±.005	.29	2	C, MCV	0031
rs9483788	0.047	0.37	37±.17	.22	6	C, Hct	.2172

\* Model adjusted for age at baseline, eGFR, ESA use, iron use, ACEi use, cohort indicator, and the first 3 PCA components MCH – mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCV – mean corpuscular volume; MCHC – mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration