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Simple Summary: The suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) are known cytokine-inducible negative regulators of JAK/STAT and other cell signaling pathways. Deregulation of SOCS expression is linked to various tumor types and inflammatory diseases. While SOCS play a crucial role in the regulation of immune cell function, their roles in hematological malignancies have not been elucidated thus far. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge on the roles of SOCS in leukemia development and progression. We delineate the paradoxical activities of SOCS in different leukemia types and the regulatory mechanisms underlying SOCS deregulation in leukemia. Lastly, we discuss the possible implications of SOCS deregulation for leukemia diagnosis and prognosis. This paper provides new insights into the roles of SOCS in the pathobiology of leukemia and leukemia research.

Abstract: Cytokines are pleiotropic signaling molecules that execute an essential role in cell-to-cell communication through binding to cell surface receptors. Receptor binding activates intracellular signaling cascades in the target cell that bring about a wide range of cellular responses, including induction of cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis. The Janus kinase and transducers and activators of transcription (JAK/STAT) signaling pathways are activated upon cytokines and growth factors binding with their corresponding receptors. The SOCS family of proteins has emerged as a key regulator of cytokine signaling, and SOCS insufficiency leads to constitutive activation of JAK/STAT signaling and oncogenic transformation. Dysregulation of SOCS expression is linked to various solid tumors with invasive properties. However, the roles of SOCS in hematological malignancies, such as leukemia, are less clear. In this review, we discuss the recent advances pertaining to SOCS dysregulation in leukemia development and progression. We also highlight the roles of specific SOCS in immune cells within the tumor microenvironment and their possible involvement in anti-tumor immunity. Finally, we discuss the epigenetic, genetic, and post-transcriptional modifications of SOCS genes during tumorigenesis, with an emphasis on leukemia.
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1. Introduction

Leukemia is a common hematological malignancy that arises from aberrant proliferation and accumulation of transformed hematopoietic progenitors in the bone marrow, resulting in a profound inhibition of normal hematopoietic functions. It represents a heterogeneous group of myelogenous and/or lymphocytic malignancies further subdivided into acute and chronic types. In acute leukemias, such as acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML), cell differentiation is arrested at earlier stages of cell development resulting in infiltration of the bone marrow with immature dysfunctional blasts. In contrast, in chronic leukemias, including chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), cell differentiation is blocked at later stages of...
cell development leading to overproduction and accumulation of relatively mature and differentiated hematopoietic cells [1–3]. Leukemia results from multistep transformation processes in which leukemic cells acquire the ability to survive, proliferate, self-renew, evade apoptosis, and infiltrate various medullary and extramedullary tissues [1].

In normal hematopoiesis, cytokines play an essential role in regulating hematopoietic cell survival, proliferation, differentiation, and functional activation [2]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines are also crucially important for hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) maintenance, proliferation, and differentiation [4]. Building on this foundation, dysregulation of cytokine signaling has been associated with hematological malignancies, including various leukemia subtypes. The Janus kinase/transducers and activators of transcription (JAK/STAT) signaling pathways are activated upon cytokine binding with their corresponding receptors. In mammals, four JAKs (JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and tyrosine kinase 2 [TYK2]) and seven STATs (STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5A, STAT5B, and STAT6) proteins mediate signaling by more than 30 cytokine receptors. Ligand binding results in receptor dimerization and subsequent activation of JAKs. The activated JAKs phosphorylate specific tyrosine residues on the cytoplasmic tail of the receptor, creating docking sites for Src homology 2 (SH2)-containing proteins such as STATs, leading to the recruitment and subsequent phosphorylation of the target STATs. Once phosphorylated, the STATs disassociate from the receptor, followed by dimerization and translocation to the nucleus to initiate the transcription of the target genes [4,5]. The JAK/STAT signaling machinery interacts with numerous other cell signaling cascades such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol-3′-kinase (PI3K), a serine/threonine-protein kinase (AKT), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) [2,6] (Figure 1). In addition to JAKs, non-receptor tyrosine kinases such as SRC family kinases and the Abelson leukemia protein (Abl) directly activate STATs independent of ligand-receptor binding. The activation of non-receptor tyrosine kinases also leads to the activation of other downstream kinases such as p38, ERK, and JNK, which in turn induce STATs phosphorylation, and subsequent activation [2,7,8].

In normal cells, the activation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathways is transient and rapid due to tightly organized negative regulatory loops that ensure proper cellular response by preventing consecutive activation of the JAK/STATs and their downstream targets [9]. In leukemic cells, numerous genetic/epigenetic alterations trigger downregulation in negative regulators of signal transduction leading to constitutive activation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathways, which subsequently induces the activation of multiple cellular signaling cascades supporting malignant transformation [1,2].

Cytokine-induced JAK/STAT signaling is negatively regulated at several steps through distinct mechanisms, including protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), protein inhibitors of activated STATs (PIAS), and suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS). These mechanisms tightly regulate the initiation, intensity, duration, and resolution of the JAK/STAT signaling cascades [10]. Genes encoding these negative regulators can be considered as tumor suppressor genes, as their inactivation significantly promotes malignant transformation, tumor cell proliferation and invasion, and cancer metastasis [2]. The SOCS family includes the pivotal negative regulators of JAK/STAT signaling and potent tumor suppressors. Mutations in the SOCS family genes are very rare and are found mainly in solid tumors and lymphomas [11–14]. However, silencing of SOCS expression is commonly seen in cancer and is associated with sustained activation of JAK/STAT signaling pathways, tumor aggressiveness, and poor prognosis [15,16].
Cell development leading to overproduction and accumulation of relatively mature and differentiated hematopoietic cells [1–3]. Leukemia results from multistep transformation processes in which leukemic cells acquire the ability to survive, proliferate, self-renew, evade apoptosis, and infiltrate various medullary, and extramedullary, tissues [1].

In normal hematopoiesis, cytokines play an essential role in regulating hematopoietic cell survival, proliferation, differentiation, and functional activation [2]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines are also crucially important for hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) maintenance, proliferation, and differentiation [4]. Building on this foundation, dysregulation of cytokine signaling has been associated with hematological malignancies, including various leukemia subtypes. The Janus kinase/transducers and activators of transcription (JAK/STAT) signaling pathways are activated upon cytokine binding with their corresponding receptors. In mammals, four JAKs (JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and tyrosine kinase 2 [TYK2]) and seven STATs (STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5A, STAT5B, and STAT6) proteins mediate signaling by more than 30 cytokine receptors. Ligand binding results in receptor dimerization and subsequent activation of JAKs. The activated JAKs phosphorylate specific tyrosine residues on the cytoplasmic tail of the receptor, creating docking sites for Src homology 2 (SH2)-containing proteins such as STATs, leading to the recruitment and subsequent phosphorylation of the target STATs. Once phosphorylated, the STATs dissociate from the receptor, followed by dimerization and translocation to the nucleus to initiate the transcription of the target genes [4,5].

The JAK/STAT signaling machinery interacts with numerous other cell signaling cascades such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), a serine/threonine-protein kinase (AKT), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) [2,6] (Figure 1). In addition to JAKs, non-receptor tyrosine kinases such as SRC family kinases and the Abelson leukemia protein (Abl) directly activate STATs independent of ligand-receptor binding. The activation of non-receptor tyrosine kinases also leads to the activation of other downstream kinases such as p38, ERK, and JNK, which in turn induce STATs phosphorylation, and subsequent activation [2,7,8].

On the contrary, upregulation of SOCS predominantly suppresses proliferation, invasion, and cancer metastasis leading to better clinical outcomes. However, a few contrasting reports demonstrated the link between SOCS upregulation and an inferior outcome [17,18]. Thus, the roles of SOCS in tumor development are likely dependent on tumor and cell types (Table 1). Interestingly, the abnormal expression of SOCS was also reported in immune cells found within the tumor microenvironment [9], suggesting the roles of SOCS in regulating cancer immunity.

Although a growing body of evidence points to the role of SOCS dysregulation in the development and progression of solid tumors, relatively little is known about whether and how SOCS regulates leukemia and other hematological malignancies. Here, we present SOCS family members as potential tumor suppressors or oncogene candidates and discuss their potential therapeutic, diagnostic, and prognostic implications in leukemia. We also summarize the roles of specific SOCS proteins in the tumor microenvironment and their potential role in the regulation of anti-tumor immunity. Lastly, we delineate epigenetic, genetic, and post-transcriptional modifications of SOCS gene expression and its effect on leukemia pathobiology. 

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the Janus kinase signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) signaling cascade. The activation of JAKs subsequent cytokine binding results in the phosphorylation of STATs, which dissociate from the receptor, followed by dimerization and translocation to the nucleus to induce the transcription of the target genes. In addition to STATs, JAKs interact with various adaptor proteins, triggering several signaling cascades such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), a serine/threonine-protein kinase (AKT), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which ultimately regulate cell proliferation, differentiation, cell survival, and cell cycle progression.
Table 1. Contrasting roles of suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) in the development of cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with better clinical outcomes [19]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhances cell proliferation and colony formation [20]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS1</td>
<td>Colorectal tumor</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Reduces tumor cell invasion [21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Supports the survival and expansion of multiple myeloma cells [22]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with regional lymph node invasion [23]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with aggressive tumor progression and poor prognosis [15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS2</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Promotes cancer metastasis [24]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyroid cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Inhibits cell migration and invasion</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Promotes cell proliferation and migration</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with unfavorable clinical outcome</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Inhibits proliferation, migration, and invasion, while increasing cell apoptosis [26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS4</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Promotes tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Induces autophagy, reduces cell invasion and metastasis</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Promotes cell proliferation, tumor growth and induces tamoxifen resistance [31]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS6</td>
<td>Gastric cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Inhibits cell proliferation and colony formation</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Induces aggressive tumor progression and poor prognosis</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS7</td>
<td>Bladder cancer</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Induces tumor growth [33]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Increases tumor growth and migration</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Increases cell proliferation</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. SOCS Family Proteins

2.1. SOCS Structure and Function

The family of SOCS proteins plays a significant role in the regulation of intracellular signaling downstream of a wide range of receptors, including the cytokine receptors. This type of regulation is critical for the normal resolution of receptor signaling because it allows homeostasis to be restored by avoiding excessive cellular response. To date, eight SOCS family members have been identified in mammals, SOCS 1–7, and the cytokine-induced SH2 homology containing protein (CIS) [35]. All SOCS proteins share a similar architecture composed of a conserved central SH2 domain flanked by a well-conserved C-terminal SOCS box motif (40 residues) and an N-terminal region of varying length (33–385 residues) and limited homology (Figure 2A) [36,37]. SOCS 1–3 and CIS have a short N-terminal region (33–69 residues) compared to a much longer N-terminal region (270–385 residues) in SOCS 4–7.
Figure 2. The structure and function of SOCS proteins. (A) The eight SOCS proteins contain a variable N-terminal domain, a central SH2 domain, an extended SH2 subdomain (ESS), and a C-terminal SOCS box. SOCS1 and SOCS3 have a kinase inhibitory region (KIR) that acts as a pseudosubstrate for JAKs and blocks their activity. SOCS4 and SOCS5 have N-terminal conserved regions (NTCR). SOCS6 and SOCS7 N-terminal regions contain putative nuclear localization signals (NLS). SOCS box is constituted of BC box and Cul box subdomains. (B) The SOCS box subdomains recruit Elongin B and C, Culin5 (CUL5), RING box protein-2 (RPX2), and other E3 ligase elements to induce ubiquitination of target proteins and their consequent proteasomal degradation.

Additionally, the N-terminal regions of SOCS1 and SOCS3 are further discriminated by the presence of a 12-amino acid sequence, namely the kinase inhibitory region (KIR), which contains a conserved tyrosine residue that acts as a pseudosubstrate to block the active site that substrates would normally bind [38]. The N-terminal regions of SOCS4 and SOCS5 have a highly conserved motif, known as the N-terminal conserved region (NTCR). The role of this region has not been identified thus far [39]. Further, both SOCS6 and SOCS7 have a putative nuclear localization signal (NLS) within the N-terminal region, which is required for their nuclear translocation and their ability to export/import other proteins into/out of the nucleus [40,41]. The SH2 domain of SOCS proteins serves as a substrate recognition domain; it specifically recognizes phosphotyrosine residues on the target proteins, imparting SOCS proteins with their target specificity [35]. The SH2 domain of SOCS1 binds specifically to the phosphotyrosine residues on activated JAKs, whereas CIS, SOCS2, and SOCS3 only bind to phosphotyrosine residues on the activated cytokine receptors [42]. Notably, the SH2 domain of SOCS proteins is elongated by an N-terminal extended SH2 subdomain (ESS) that provides further stability to the SH2 domain and enhances its substrate interaction [36,43].

A comparison of amino acid sequences across their SH2 domains shows partial homology between specific pairs of SOCS proteins; SOCS1/SOCS3 (share 37% of SH2 amino acids identity), SOCS2/CIS (share 45% of SH2 amino acids identity), SOCS4/SOCS5 (share 88% of SH2 amino acids identity), and SOCS6/SOCS7 (share 54% of SH2 amino acids identity) [44,45]. The highly conserved SOCS box domain recruits an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, leading to the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of the target proteins. Specifically, the SOCS box comprises two functional sub-domains, a BC box that mediates Elongin B/C binding and a Cul box that binds to the E3 ligase scaffold, Culin-5 (CUL5). Subsequently, the resulting complex binds to the RING box protein-2 (RBX2), which ultimately recruits E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme into the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex during ubiquitin transfer to a target substrate (Figure 2B) [46–48].

2.2. Control of Signaling by SOCS

SOCS proteins inhibit intracellular signaling by several mechanisms that vary between family members. All SOCS regulate intracellular signaling by recruiting proteasomal degra-
Mechanism of action of SOCS proteins. SOCSs negatively regulate intracellular signaling by several mechanisms: tagging the receptor or target protein for proteasomal degradation, blocking JAKs activity by acting as a pseudosubstrate, binding competition for the receptor phosphotyrosine that prevents the binding of other signaling molecules, and blocking nuclear translocation of signaling molecules.

3. SOCS Proteins in Leukemia

Constitutive activation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway is seen in leukemic patients with an unfavorable prognosis [49]. STAT1 and STAT5 are commonly activated in subsets of T-ALL and B-ALL [50,51], while STAT3 and STAT5 in AML [52–54]. Benekli et al. reported constitutive STAT3 activation in 44% of AML patients coincident with unfavorable clinical outcomes [54]. Many studies have linked the aberrant JAK/STAT signaling with leukemia initiation and progression [47,55–57]. More recently, the gain-of-function mutations in JAK1, JAK2, and JAK3 were identified in various leukemia subtypes [58–61]. Likewise, mutations in the IL-7 receptor and resulting constitutive activation of JAK1 were associated with pediatric T-ALL [62]. Altogether, a growing body of evidence underscores the importance of JAK/STAT activation in leukeomgenesis. Thus, targeting aberrant JAK/STAT signaling has been considered an attractive strategy in targeting leukemic cells [2,56,57,63–67]. In addition to the profound role of genetic alterations in JAK/STAT activation, the aberrant activation of this pathway is also seen in leukemias that do not harbor mutations in JAK/STAT or IL7 receptor, suggesting that there are other mechanisms activating this pathway. While negative regulators function to terminate the activated sig-
naling, their downregulation might prevent the shutting down of the activated pathways leading to the persistence and/or enhancement of aberrant signaling. Specifically, deregulation in negative regulatory loops could impair the receptor signal resolution leading to the constitutive activation of JAK/STATs. Thus, downregulation of negative regulators of signal transduction could potentiate the JAK/STAT signaling pathway when JAK/STAT mutations are present, or in some instances, this could be the primary driver underlying JAK-STAT pathway activation [68]. These observations point to the potential implication of SOCS family proteins, which are indeed negative regulators of JAK/STAT signaling, in the regulation of aberrant activation of JAK-STAT signaling. This section summarizes our current, still limited, understanding of the roles of various SOCS in leukemia pathobiology and clinical outcomes (Table 2).

Table 2. Biological and clinical significance of the suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) in leukemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leukemia type</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphocytic leukemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS2</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Correlated with the enrichment in hematopoietic and leukemic stemness genes.</td>
<td>[69,70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with constitutive activation of JAK/STAT3 signaling and negatively regulated anti-tumor immunity.</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS5</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with T-ALL and B-ALL harboring KMT2A rearrangements.</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS6</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Negatively correlated with chemotherapy-induced remission in ALL patients.</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Identified as one of the synergistic key regulators in Ph-like B-ALL.</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLL</strong></td>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Forced expression of SOCS3 reduced cell migration and increased leukemic cell death.</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS5</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with immune suppression in CLL.</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myelogenous leukemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AML</strong></td>
<td>SOCS1</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with relapsed/refractory AML compared to remission and normal control samples.</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS2</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with poor overall survival in pediatric AML.</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Inhibited the CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling axis and reduced the migratory capacity of AML blasts.</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS5</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with AML samples harboring KMT2A rearrangements.</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>Deletion of CIS in human pluripotent stem cell-derived natural killer cells enhanced anti-tumor immunity</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CML</strong></td>
<td>SOCS1</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with constitutive activation of JAK/STAT signaling, increased leukemic stem cell proliferation, and poor prognosis.</td>
<td>[81,82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UP-regulation</td>
<td>Subverted cytogenetic response to IFN-α and linked to poor prognosis.</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS2</td>
<td>Up-regulation</td>
<td>Associated with blast crisis compared with chronic phase patients and healthy individuals</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>Down-regulation</td>
<td>Linked to imatinib resistance in BCR-ABL positive CML</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. SOCS1 and SOCS3

SOCS1 and SOCS3 have been the most extensively studied amongst the SOCS family members in relation to cancer and inflammation. SOCS1 and SOCS3 suppress cell growth and proliferation, and their expression is commonly downregulated in different types of cancer, including hematological malignancies such as ALL, CLL, and AML [37,71,84,85]. SOCS1 overexpression impairs the transforming activity of several hematopoietic-specific oncogenes such as KIT, JAK2, and ABL [86]. Studies have shown that deletion of SOCS1 drives the malignant transformation of fibroblasts. Accordingly, SOCS1 overexpression significantly inhibited TEL-JAK2-mediated transformation and decreased the metastatic potential of BCR-ABL chimeras in Ba/F3 cells in vivo [86]. Similarly, SOCS1 inhibited TEL-JAK2 transformation of Ba/F3 cells in vitro and prolonged latency of TEL-JAK2-mediated disease in a murine bone marrow transplant model [87]. Growing evidence suggests the roles of epigenetic modifiers in the regulation of SOCS expression. SOCS1 hypermethylation was found in 72% of primary AML cases and 52% malignant hematopoietic cell lines. These observations correlated with significant downregulation of SOCS1 expression, suggesting a potential role of SOCS1 silencing in promoting leukemogenesis [88]. Zhang et al. reported a significantly higher SOCS1 methylation status in the initial treatment of relapsed/refractory AML corresponding to lower SOCS1 mRNA and protein levels compared to remission and normal control samples [77]. Pharmacological demethylation of SOCS1 in AML cell lines U937 and THP-1 increased the levels of SOCS1 mRNA coincident with decreased activation of JAK2, STAT3, and STAT5, decreased leukemic cell viability, and increased apoptosis. Similar results were obtained after transducing U937 and THP-1 cells with a plasmid expressing SOCS1 [77]. In another study, ectopic expression of SOCS1 inhibited cell growth of Jurkat T-ALL cells [88]. Consistently, lower SOCS1 mRNA levels were also found in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of ALL patients compared to healthy control samples [89].

Genetic alterations of the SOCS1 gene were observed in the Jurkat cell line and two primary AML samples. Sequence analyses of the SOCS1 coding region in Jurkat cells revealed a one base pair deletion (G) at codon 164, leading to a frameshift mutation that replaces 40 amino acid residues at the C-terminal. A hemizygous missense mutation (C to T) at codon 198 resulting in the substitution of Ser for Pro was identified in two AML samples. However, the authors were not able to exclude the possibility that the missense variant represents a polymorphism [88].

Contrasting data on epigenetic regulation of SOCS1 gene in CML have been reported. In studies by Hatirnaz et al., the SOCS1 promoter was not methylated in the tested CML patient samples [90]. Another study using CML diagnostic samples identified SOCS1 silencing by promoter hypermethylation. The methylation status was switched to unmethylated in molecular remission CML samples [91]. Others reported that SOCS1 overexpression subverted cytogenetic response to IFN-α, and these observations were linked to poor prognosis [83]. Accordingly, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in SOCS1 rs243327 was associated with the risk of primary resistance to imatinib in CML. The authors postulated that such functional polymorphism could affect the antagonistic effect of SOCS1 in the BCR-ABL-mediated signaling pathways, thus affecting the sensitivity of the leukemic cells to imatinib [92]. Collectively, these observations suggest that SOCS1 levels affect therapeutic response in CML.

In pediatric ALL, downregulation of SOCS3 mRNA expression led to persistent activation of JAK2/STAT3 and concomitant activation of anti-apoptotic response and leukemia progression. Notably, the levels of SOCS3 mRNA correlated with high-risk factors and poor prognosis. Consequently, complete remission patients had upregulation of SOCS3 mRNA and protein expression compared with relapsed and refractory patient samples. In line, SOCS3 was hypermethylated in ALL samples compared to healthy controls. These observations were correlated with lower SOCS3 transcript and protein levels, and constitutive activation of JAK/STAT3 signaling in the tested ALL samples. SOCS3 hypermethylation was also associated with high levels of Treg cells, which impede
intrinsic anti-tumor immunological functions and decrease the clearance of residual tumor cells leading to an increased risk of relapse [71]. These observations position SOCS3 as a potential regulator of residual or relapsed disease in ALL.

Studies have shown that treatment of CLL cells with Hsp90 inhibitor leads to upregulation of SOCS3 mRNA, followed by reduced cell migration to stromal cell-derived factor (SDF-1) and C-X-C motif chemokine (CXCL)13, and increased leukemic cell death [75]. Overexpression of SOCS3 inhibited CXCL12-induced focal adhesion kinase (FAK) activation and pro-adhesive responses in transduced progenitor B cells. Conversely, SOCS3-deficient mice displayed constitutive FAK phosphorylation and adhesion to vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) in mature B cells [93]. In line with these reports, SOCS3 inhibited the CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling axis and reduced the migratory capacity of human AML blasts [79]. Inhibition of CXCR4 was associated with impaired homing and engraftment of AML cells. Importantly, pharmacological blockade of the CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction resulted in leukemic blast mobilization from their protective microenvironment into the peripheral circulation, making them more vulnerable to chemotherapeutic drugs [94].

3.2. CIS and SOCS2

CIS was identified as an early cytokine responsive gene. In hematopoietic cells, CIS is induced by specific cytokines, including IL-2, IL-3, erythropoietin (EPO), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (Gm-CSF) [95]. CIS serves as a positive regulator of T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated MAPK activation in CD4+ T cells. CIS upregulation promotes TCR-mediated T cell proliferation and cytokine secretion and decreases TCR-driven apoptosis [96]. CIS also negatively regulates IL-3 and EPO-mediated proliferation of M1 myeloid cells [95].

Using integrated genomic and transcriptomic analyses of 1046 childhood B-ALL cases, CIS has recently been identified as one of the synergistic key regulators in Philadelphia chromosome-like B-ALL (Ph-like B-ALL) [74]. Deletion of CIS in human pluripotent stem cell-derived natural killer cells (CIS−/− iPSC-NK) enhanced NK cell cytotoxic activity against K562 and Molm-13 AML cells. In the AML xenograft model, CIS depletion in NK cells reduced leukemia burden and increased animal survival. Mechanistically, deletion of CIS induced mTOR signaling, leading to an increase in the metabolic fitness of NK cells as seen by enhanced basal glycolysis and glycolytic capacity as well as enhanced maximal mitochondrial respiration, ATP-linked respiration, and spare respiration capacity [80]. Additionally, there is evidence suggesting the role of CIS in negative regulation of Th2 and Treg cell differentiation through disrupting of STAT5 signaling [97,98].

SOCS2, also known as SSI-2 and CIS-2 [99,100], is constitutively expressed in normal peripheral blood mononuclear leukocytes (PBMC). Various cytokines and hormones can induce SOCS2 in several tissues and cell types, including the bone marrow [101,102]. Several reports have identified aberrant expression of SOCS2 in a multitude of cancers, including leukemia [103]. Importantly, SOCS2 was identified as one of four highly prognostic genes predictive of AML aggressiveness and poor outcome [104]. SOCS2 mRNA levels were elevated in high-risk AML and ALL harboring MLL and BCR-ABL chromosomal rearrangements. Upregulation of SOCS2 correlated with the enrichment in hematopoietic and leukemic stemness genes [69,70]. SOCS2 overexpression was also reported in AML cell lines and primary samples carrying FLT3-ITD mutations [105]. In addition, higher SOCS2 mRNA levels were associated with poor overall survival in pediatric AML [78]. Functionally, SOCS2 silencing reduced the growth of MLL-AF9 transformed murine leukemic cells in vitro and delayed the disease development in a mouse model of MLL-AF9 driven AML. In contrast, retroviral expression of SOCS2 increased AML cell proliferation [104].

Radich et al. identified SOCS2 among the top ten genes associated with CML progression [106]. SOCS2 mRNA was upregulated in CML patients with blast crisis compared with chronic phase patients and healthy individuals. BCR-ABL induced SOCS2 upregulation in CML cell lines, and SOCS2 expression was suppressed in vivo upon BCR-ABL tyrosine
kinase inhibition [18], suggesting a role of BCR-ABL signaling in the regulation of SOCS2 expression. On the contrary, Hansen et al. demonstrated that SOCS2 was dispensable for the development and progression of BCR-ABL induced CML. Specifically, the absence of SOCS2 did not affect the disease latency and the histological presentation of CML in mice transplanted with BCR-ABL transduced bone marrow (BM) cells [107]. Collectively, these observations suggest that an insufficient or non-functional BCR-ABL-mediated feedback loop leads to the upregulation of SOCS2 in CML [18,107]. Further studies are required to determine whether and how SOCS2 and potentially other SOCS contribute to CML evolution to blast crisis.

3.3. SOCS4 and SOCS5

SOCS4 is the least studied member among the SOCS family members. SOCS4 is constitutively expressed in the thymus of adult pigs and is involved in T cell function and development [108]. Indeed, SOCS4 mutant mice exhibit defective homing of influenza-specific CD8+ T cells to the lungs and reduced T cell receptor-mediated activation [109]. However, there is no data about the role of SOCS4 in T cell receptor activation in human cells. Accumulating evidence suggests that SOCS4 plays a role in the pathobiology of several cancers [27,28,110,111]. For example, in epithelial cancer cells, the Runx1 transcription factor promotes cancer cell growth via direct repression of SOCS4 and subsequent activation of STAT3 [112]. To date, the roles of SOCS4 in the regulation of leukemia development and progression remain elusive.

Similar to SOCS4, little is known about the roles of SOCS5 in hematological malignancies. SOCS5 is highly expressed in the spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, and bone marrow, particularly in B and T cells [37,113]. SOCS5 serves as a tumor suppressor gene in several types of malignancies via its negative regulatory effects on the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor and JAK-STAT signaling pathways [114,115]. Our group reported downregulation of SOCS5 mRNA and protein levels in high-risk T-ALL with KMT2A rearrangements [72]. In fact, gene expression analyses identified SOCS5 within the most downregulated genes in high-risk T-ALL samples harboring KMT2A rearrangements [116]. Using a T-ALL xenograft model, we demonstrated that SOCS5 negatively regulates T-ALL progression and extramedullary infiltration. Mechanistically, SOCS5 depletion induced the activation of JAK-STAT signaling and downregulation of both IL-4 and IL-7 receptors. In addition to T-ALL, downregulation of SOCS5 mRNA was found in B-ALL and AML primary samples harboring chromosomal alterations involving the KMT2A gene [72]. Moreover, forced expression of KMT2A-MLLT4 and KMT2A-MLLT1 in Ba/F3 cells reduced SOCS5 protein levels and enhanced JAK-STAT signaling activation [72]. These findings point to the role of SOCS5 in enhancing JAK-STAT signaling in KMT2A-rearranged leukemia.

Recent studies have shown that SOCS5 plays a role in CLL-associated immune suppression by impairing dendritic cell (DC) function. Forced expression of SOCS5 in monocytes isolated from healthy human donors reduced IL-4-mediated STAT6 activation, leading to impaired monocyte differentiation into functionally mature DCs [76]. These studies implicate SOCS5 as a potential therapeutic target for reversing immune suppression in CLL.

3.4. SOCS6 and SOCS7

While SOCS6 has been extensively studied over the past few decades, its role in hematological malignancies, including leukemia, has yet to be determined. SOCS6 functions as a tumor suppressor and its expression is commonly downregulated in a multitude of solid tumors [117,118]. The antitumor effect of SOCS6 relies on its ability to regulate JAK/STAT and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways [31,119]. Studies have shown that upregulation of c-Kit signaling promotes tumor formation and progression of several hematological malignancies, including AML and mast cell leukemia [120,121]. SOCS6 exerted ubiquitin ligase activity on hematopoietic proto-oncogene c-Kit and inhibited stem cell factor (SCF)-dependent signaling [122]. Forced expression of SOCS6 in a Ba/F3-KIT cell line resulted in...
a significant reduction in SCF-dependent cell proliferation and activation of ERK1/2 and p38 [123].

Furthermore, SOCS6 negatively regulated FMS-related receptor tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) and ERK1/2 signaling pathways as well as the cellular proliferation of Ba/F3 and UT-7 cells [124]. In ALL, SOCS6 mRNA expression was elevated in the BM of newly diagnosed and relapse patient samples compared to patients in complete remission and/or healthy individuals. Another study demonstrated that SOCS6 mRNA levels were positively correlated with chemotherapy-induced remission in ALL patients [73].

Among the SOCS family members, SOCS7 has been given much less attention. SOCS7 is constitutively expressed in many tissues, particularly in the brain and testis [102,125,126]. Its expression in leukocytes is induced by prolactin (PRL), growth hormone (GH), IL-6, and IL-1β [102]. There is evidence for the possible role of SOCS7 in Th2, Th17, and Treg cell development through inhibition of STAT3 and STAT5 signaling [127].

Limited data is available regarding the roles of SOCS7 in cancer. Tumor suppressive effects of SOCS7 were reported in the prostate [128], colon [129], and breast cancer [19]. SOCS7 regulated the activation of the tumor suppressor P53 and induced cell cycle arrest in response to DNA damage [41]. Elevated SOCS7 mRNA levels were inversely correlated with TNM and tumor stages of breast cancer consistent with better disease-free survival and overall survival [19]. However, there are no reports regarding the biological role of SOCS7 in leukemia.

4. Regulation of SOCS Expression and Functions

4.1. Epigenetic Dysregulation of SOCS Genes

Aberrant DNA methylation of promoter CpG islands of tumor suppressor genes is a prevalent cancer phenomenon. It leads to tumor suppressor gene silencing and, as a result, a downregulation that significantly contributes to tumorigenesis [130]. Epigenetic dysregulation of SOCS has been identified in various malignancies [71,130,131]. Aberrant methylation resulting in SOCS1 downregulation was reported in hepatocellular carcinoma [131]. SOCS1 hypermethylation enhanced IL-6-mediated cell proliferation in pancreatic adenocarcinoma [132] and was positively correlated with lymph node metastasis and a low survival rate in colorectal cancer [133]. SOCS3 hypermethylation was linked to constitutive activation of STAT3 and unfavorable clinical outcomes in prostate cancer [25] and to enhanced epithelial cell proliferation in gastric cancer [134]. Similarly, epigenetic silencing of SOCS6 through promoter hypermethylation increased gastric cancer cell proliferation and inhibited apoptotic cell death [32]. Hypermethylation of SOCS2 was reported in 14% of primary ovarian cancers [130], 25% of colorectal cancer [135], and 80% of tested melanoma cell lines [136]. Downregulation of SOCS4 expression corresponding to CpG islands hypermethylation of the SOCS4 was also found in gastric cancer [110].

In the context of leukemia, SOCS1 methylation has been documented in a variety of leukemia subtypes, including about 60% of newly diagnosed AML [16]. SOCS1 hypermethylation and its increased ubiquitin-mediated degradation were proposed as the principal mechanism underlying SOCS1 downregulation in AML primary samples and cell lines [88,137,138]. Furthermore, SOCS1 methylation induced IL-3 expression in leukemic cells promoting their resistance to imatinib and cytotoxic T cells in the BCR-ABL DA1-3b mouse model of AML [139]. Aberrant methylation of SOCS1 was also associated with constitutive activation of JAK/STAT signaling, increased leukemic stem cell proliferation, and poor prognosis in CML [81,82]. CML patients in blast crisis and chronic phase had SOCS1 methylation that was reversed to unmethylated status during the remission phase [91]. Constitutive activation of STAT3 due to SOCS1 and SOCS3 hypermethylation increased proliferation of BCR-ABL positive CML cell lines resistant to imatinib [81]. Pena et al. reported SOCS1 exon-2 methylation as a frequent event in primary CML samples (46.6%) compared to SOCS1 promoter region methylation (6.6%) [140]. Contrasting results were reported by Hatirmaz et al. who identified hypomethylation of SOCS1 gene exon-2 in CML patients [90]. In pediatric ALL samples, SOCS3 methylation led to constitutive activation
of JAK/STAT3 signaling and enhanced Treg cell expression, which in turn negatively regulated anti-tumor immunity. Hypomethylation of SOCS3 was reported in complete remission in ALL patients compared with increased SOCS3 methylation found in relapsed and refractory ALL samples [71]. SOCS3 hypermethylation was also linked to imatinib resistance in BCR-ABL positive CML cell lines [81]. However, Elias et al. excluded SOCS1 hypermethylation as a predictor of CML resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors [141].

Beyond that, SOCS5 hypermethylation and resulting SOCS5 mRNA and protein down-regulation potentiated proliferation of T-ALL cell lines and disease progression in T-ALL xenograft models. Furthermore, in T-ALL cell lines and primary samples, SOCS5 expression was regulated by histone deacetylation through the recruitment of the methyl CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2) and SIN3 co-repressor complex [72].

In addition to epigenetic regulation, polymorphism in SOCS1 and SOCS2 was shown in ALL primary samples. The SOCS2 rs3816997 AC genotype was associated with decreased SOCS2 mRNA expression and high susceptibility to ALL compared with the CC genotype. Conversely, the SOCS1 rs33977706 CA genotype was linked to lower susceptibility to ALL [89]. In another example, the SOCS1 rs243327 SNP correlated with the risk of primary resistance to imatinib in newly diagnosed CML [92].

### 4.2. MicroRNA Regulation of SOCS Genes

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small (~22 nucleotides) noncoding RNA that bind with 3’ untranslated regions (UTR) on target mRNA leading to translational repression [142]. Bioinformatics studies have indicated that each miRNA can regulate hundreds of target genes thus affecting critical cellular processes such as proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis [143]. Emerging evidence suggests the role of miRNAs in the regulation of hematopoiesis, leukemia development, and progression [144]. However, relatively little is known about the regulatory role of miRNAs on SOCS expression in leukemia. In this section, we summarize the current knowledge regarding the effects of miRNAs on SOCS expression and their impact on the development and progression of leukemia.

MiR-155 functions as an oncomiR in various types of cancer, including hematological malignancies [145–148]. Indeed, SOCS1 was identified as a potential target of miR-155 [149]. In hematopoietic cells, miR-155 modulated Treg and Th17 cell differentiation and IL-17A production by targeting SOCS1 [150]. Overexpression of miR-155 resulted in constitutive activation of JAK/STAT3 signaling and increased cell proliferation of breast cancer cells by targeting SOCS1 [20]. Interestingly, miR-155 was also upregulated in AML primary samples and in a mouse model of lymphoma, suggesting its potential role in hematological malignancies [151,152]. Murine double minute 2 (MDM2) small molecule inhibitor Nutlin-3 significantly enhanced SOCS1 mRNA levels concurrent with downregulation of miR-155 levels in both, primary B-CLL cells and B-CLL cell lines in vitro [153]. In addition, inhibition of miR-19 and miR-155 reactivated the expression of SOCS1 and p53 in mouse leukemia and human myeloma cells, leading to subsequent inhibition of cell proliferation, cell migration, and tumor progression [154]. Furthermore, miR-311 was upregulated in primary ALL and CLL samples compared with healthy individuals, and SOCS1 was identified as one of its putative targets [155]. In other studies, miR-19a induced proliferation and tumorigenesis of gastric cancer and non-small cell lung cancer cells by targeting SOCS3 [160]. Following the same trend, miR-221 directly inhibited SOCS3 expression and enhanced IFN-γ sensitivity in prostate cancer cells [160]. The same trend, miR-30a-5p promoted cholangiocarcinoma progression by targeting SOCS3-mediated signaling [161]. Upregulation of SOCS3 led to enhanced miR-124-3p expression in CML cell lines [162]. MiR-183-5p has been identified as a post-transcriptional regulator of SOCS6. Silencing of miR-183-5p increased SOCS6 expression and decreased pancreatic cancer cell growth.
and motility in vitro [163]. Upregulation of miR-486 was found in primary AML samples. Consequently, miR-486 accelerated STAT3 nuclear translocation and JAK-STAT signaling by direct downregulation of SOCS2 expression in AML cell lines in vitro [164]. A recent investigation showed that repression of SOCS5 by miR-18a-5p decreased cell proliferation and migration, and induced apoptosis in CML cell lines and in the xenograft model of CML [165]. In addition, the growth-suppressive effect of miR-101 was identified in human Helicobacter pylori-related gastric cancer through repression of SOCS2 [166].

5. Concluding Remarks

The dysregulation of SOCS has been implicated in various tumor types through different mechanisms. Because SOCS are known negative regulators of cytokine signaling, their downregulation could significantly impact the cytokine-driven cell signaling pathways leading to constitutive activation of JAK/STAT and other pathways, thus promoting oncogenic transformation, tumor invasion, and metastasis. Dysregulation of SOCS is also seen in various leukemias (Table 2). While SOCS have been emerging as functional tumor suppressors in leukemia pathobiology and their silencing has been associated with unfavorable prognosis, their function in leukemogenesis seems complex and likely leukemia-type dependent.

These contradictory data on the roles of SOCS in cancer and leukemia could be attributed to the differences in the tumor origin, tumor microenvironment, and genetic makeup of the cancer cells. Thus, further genetic and functional studies are warranted to explore the mechanistic roles of various SOCS proteins in leukemogenesis. These studies will develop new insights into the roles played by negative regulators of cytokine signaling in the pathobiology of leukemia and will lead to the discovery of novel prognostic or therapeutic targets.
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