

5-2-2020

Neutrosophic quadruple α -ideals

G. R. Rezaei

Y. B. Jun

R. A. Borzooei

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Rezaei, G. R.; Jun, Y. B.; and Borzooei, R. A. "Neutrosophic quadruple α -ideals." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 31, 1 (2020). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol31/iss1/19

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact amywinter@unm.edu, lsloane@salud.unm.edu, sarahrk@unm.edu.



Neutrosophic quadruple a -ideals

G.R. Rezaei¹, Y.B. Jun^{1,2} and R.A. Borzooei^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, 98131, Iran.;
grezaei@math.usb.ac.ir

²Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828,
Korea.;skywine@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, 19839, Iran.; borzooei@sbu.ac.ir

*Correspondence: R. A. Borzooei (borzooei@sbu.ac.ir); Tel.: (+982129903131)

Abstract. The notion of neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal is introduced, and related properties are investigated. Relations between a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal are discussed. Conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ to be a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal are provided.

Keywords: Neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number, neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra, neutrosophic quadruple (closed) ideal, neutrosophic quadruple $p(q, a)$ -ideal.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophic sets (NSs) proposed by (Smarandache, 1998, 1999, 2002, 2005, 2006, 2010), which is a generalization of fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy set, is a powerful tool to deal with incomplete, indeterminate and inconsistent information which exist in the real world (see [28–30]). Recently, this concept has been applied more actively to many areas (see [1], [2], [3], [4]). Neutrosophic algebraic structures in BCK/BCI-algebras are discussed in the papers [6–11, 15–18, 20, 23, 27, 32]. Smarandache [31] considered an entry (i.e., a number, an idea, an object etc.) which is represented by a known part (a) and an unknown part (bT, cI, dF) where T, I, F have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings and a, b, c, d are real or complex numbers, and then he introduced the concept of neutrosophic quadruple numbers. Jun et al. [19] used neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set, and constructed neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebras. They investigated several properties, and considered (closed, positive implicative) ideal in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra. Given subsets A and B of a BCK/BCI-algebra, they considered the set $NQ(A, B)$ which consists of neutrosophic quadruple

BCK/BCI-numbers with a condition. They provided conditions for the set $NQ(A, B)$ to be a (closed, positive implicative) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra. Muhiuddin et al. [24] introduced the concept of implicative neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebras, and investigated several properties. Muhiuddin et al. [25, 26] discuss neutrosophic quadruple p -ideals and neutrosophic quadruple q -ideals.

In this paper, we consider the neutrosophic quadruple version of an a -ideal in a BCI-algebra. We discuss relations between a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal. We provide conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ to be a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal.

2. Preliminaries

A BCK/BCI-algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki (see [13] and [14]) and was extensively investigated by several researchers.

By a *BCI-algebra*, we mean a set X with a special element 0 and a binary operation $*$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0)$,
- (II) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0)$,
- (III) $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0)$,
- (IV) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

If a BCI-algebra X satisfies the following identity:

- (V) $(\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0)$,

then X is called a *BCK-algebra*. Any BCK/BCI-algebra X satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x), \quad (1)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z, z * y \leq z * x), \quad (2)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y), \quad (3)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y) \quad (4)$$

where $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

Any BCI-algebra X satisfies the following conditions (see [12]):

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y), \quad (5)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)), \quad (6)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (0 * (0 * (x * y)) = (0 * y) * (0 * x)). \quad (7)$$

A nonempty subset S of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x * y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$. A subset I of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called

- an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

$$0 \in I, \tag{8}$$

$$(\forall x \in X) (\forall y \in I) (x * y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I). \tag{9}$$

- a *closed ideal* of X (see [12]) if it is an ideal of X which satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \in I \Rightarrow 0 * x \in I). \tag{10}$$

- a *p-ideal* of X (see [33]) if it satisfies (8) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(y \in I, (x * z) * (y * z) \in I \Rightarrow x \in I). \tag{11}$$

- a *q-ideal* of X (see [21]) if it satisfies (8) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * (y * z) \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I). \tag{12}$$

- an *a-ideal* of X (see [21]) if it satisfies (8) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (0 * y) \in I, z \in I \Rightarrow y * x \in I). \tag{13}$$

Note that a subset of a BCI-algebra is a closed ideal if and only if it is both an ideal and a subalgebra.

Recall that a subset I of a BCI-algebra X is a *p-ideal* of X if and only if I is an ideal of X which satisfies the following condition:

$$(\forall x \in X)(0 * (0 * x) \in I \Rightarrow x \in I). \tag{14}$$

We refer the reader to the books [12, 22] for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras, and to the site “<http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/neutrosophy.htm>” for further information regarding neutrosophic set theory.

We consider neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set instead of real or complex numbers.

Let X be a set. A *neutrosophic quadruple X-number* is an ordered quadruple (a, xT, yI, zF) where $a, x, y, z \in X$ and T, I, F have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings (see [5]).

The set of all neutrosophic quadruple X -numbers is denoted by $N_q(X)$, that is,

$$N_q(X) := \{(a, xT, yI, zF) \mid a, x, y, z \in X\},$$

and it is called the *neutrosophic quadruple set* based on X . If X is a BCK/BCI-algebra, a neutrosophic quadruple X -number is called a *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number* and we say that $N_q(X)$ is the *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-set*.

Let X be a BCK/BCI-algebra. We define a binary operation \square on $N_q(X)$ by

$$(a, xT, yI, zF) \square (b, uT, vI, wF) = (a * b, (x * u)T, (y * v)I, (z * w)F)$$

for all $(a, xT, yI, zF), (b, uT, vI, wF) \in N_q(X)$. Given $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in X$, the neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number (a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F) is denoted by \tilde{a} , that is,

$$\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F),$$

and the zero neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number $(0, 0T, 0I, 0F)$ is denoted by $\tilde{0}$, that is,

$$\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F).$$

Then $(N_q(X); \square, \tilde{0})$ is a BCK/BCI-algebra (see [19]), which is called *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra*, and it is simply denoted by $N_q(X)$.

We define an order relation “ \ll ” and the equality “ $=$ ” on $N_q(X)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} \ll \tilde{y} &\Leftrightarrow x_i \leq y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \tilde{x} = \tilde{y} &\Leftrightarrow x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in N_q(X)$. It is easy to verify that “ \ll ” is an equivalence relation on $N_q(X)$.

Let X be a BCK/BCI-algebra. Given nonempty subsets A and B of X , consider the set

$$N_q(A, B) := \{(a, xT, yI, zF) \in N_q(X) \mid a, x \in A \ \& \ y, z \in B\},$$

which is called the *neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set* (briefly, neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set).

The set $NQ(A, A)$ is denoted by $N_q(A)$, and it is called the *neutrosophic quadruple A -set* (briefly, neutrosophic quadruple A -set).

3. Neutrosophic quadruple a -ideals

Definition 3.1. Given nonempty subsets A and B of X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is an a -ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$, we say $N_q(A, B)$ is a *neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal* of $N_q(X)$.

Example 3.2. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with the binary operation $*$, which is given in Table 1.

Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$ has 256 elements. Consider subsets $A = \{0, a\}$ and $B = \{0, b\}$ of X . Then

$$N_q(A, B) = \{\tilde{\beta}_0, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_3, \tilde{\beta}_4, \tilde{\beta}_5, \tilde{\beta}_6, \tilde{\beta}_7, \tilde{\beta}_8, \tilde{\beta}_9, \tilde{\beta}_{10}, \tilde{\beta}_{11}, \tilde{\beta}_{12}, \tilde{\beta}_{13}, \tilde{\beta}_{14}, \tilde{\beta}_{15}\}$$

where

$$\tilde{\beta}_0 = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_1 = (0, 0T, 0I, bF), \tilde{\beta}_2 = (0, 0T, bI, 0F),$$

TABLE 1. Cayley table for the binary operation “*”

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_3 &= (0, 0T, bI, bF), \tilde{\beta}_4 = (0, aT, 0I, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_5 = (0, aT, 0I, bF), \\ \tilde{\beta}_6 &= (0, aT, bI, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_7 = (0, aT, bI, bF), \tilde{\beta}_8 = (a, 0T, 0I, 0F), \\ \tilde{\beta}_9 &= (a, 0T, 0I, bF), \tilde{\beta}_{10} = (a, 0T, bI, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_{11} = (a, 0T, bI, bF), \\ \tilde{\beta}_{12} &= (a, aT, 0I, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_{13} = (a, aT, 0I, bF), \\ \tilde{\beta}_{14} &= (a, aT, bI, 0F), \tilde{\beta}_{15} = (a, aT, bI, bF). \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to verify that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Proposition 3.3. *For any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then the following assertions are valid.*

$$(\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) \in N_q(A, B) \Rightarrow \tilde{y} \sqcap (\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \in N_q(A, B), \tag{15}$$

$$\tilde{x} \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) \in N_q(A, B) \Rightarrow \tilde{y} \sqcap \tilde{x} \in N_q(A, B) \tag{16}$$

for all $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in N_q(X)$.

Proof. Assume that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ for any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X . Suppose that $(\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) \in N_q(A, B)$ for any elements $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$, $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$ and $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$ of $N_q(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &((\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap ((\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}))) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) \\ &= ((\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y})) \sqcap ((\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y})) \\ &= \tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, it follows that $\tilde{y} \sqcap (\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \in N_q(A, B)$. Finally, (16) is induced by taking $\tilde{z} = \tilde{0}$ in (15). \square

Lemma 3.4 ([21]). *In a BCI-algebra, every a -ideal is a closed ideal.*

Lemma 3.5 ([19]). *If A and B are closed ideals of a BCI-algebra X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

We consider relations between a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal.

Theorem 3.6. *For any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then it is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$ where A and B are nonempty subsets of X . Since $\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \in N_q(A, B)$, we have $0 \in A \cap B$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $(x * z) * (0 * y) \in A \cap B$ and $z \in A \cap B$. Then $(z, zT, zI, zF) \in N_q(A, B)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x, xT, xI, xF) \sqcap (z, zT, zI, zF)) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap (y, yT, yI, yF)) \\ &= (x * z, (x * z)T, (x * z)I, (x * z)F) \sqcap (0 * y, (0 * y)T, (0 * y)I, (0 * y)F) \\ &= ((x * z) * (0 * y), ((x * z) * (0 * y))T, ((x * z) * (0 * y))I, ((x * z) * (0 * y))F) \\ &\in N_q(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(y * x, (y * x)T, (y * x)I, (y * x)F) = (y, yT, yI, yF) \sqcap (x, xT, xI, xF) \in N_q(A, B),$$

that is, $y * x \in A \cap B$. Therefore A and B are a -ideals of X . Using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

The converse of Theorem 3.6 is not true as seen in the following example.

Example 3.7. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, 1, a\}$ with the binary operation $*$, which is given in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

*	0	1	a
0	0	0	a
1	1	0	a
a	a	a	0

Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$ has 81 elements. If we take $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{0\}$, then

$$N_q(A, B) = \{\tilde{0}\}$$

which is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of $N_q(X)$. But it is not a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ because if we take $\tilde{1} = (0, 1T, 1I, 0F) \in N_q(X)$ then

$$(\tilde{0} \sqcup \tilde{0}) \sqcup (\tilde{0} \sqcup \tilde{1}) = \tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B),$$

but $\tilde{1} \sqcup \tilde{0} = \tilde{1} \notin N_q(A, B)$.

We provide conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ to be a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal.

Theorem 3.8. *If A and B are a -ideals of a BCI-algebra X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that A and B are a -ideals of a BCI-algebra X . Obviously, $\tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$, $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$ and $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$ be elements of $N_q(X)$ be such that $(\tilde{x} \sqcup \tilde{z}) \sqcup (\tilde{0} \sqcup \tilde{y}) \in N_q(A, B)$ and $\tilde{z} \in N_q(A, B)$. Then $z_i \in A$, $z_j \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$; $j = 3, 4$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{x} \sqcup \tilde{z}) \sqcup (\tilde{0} \sqcup \tilde{y}) &= ((x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \sqcup (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)) \sqcup \\ &((0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \sqcup (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)) \\ &= (x_1 * z_1, (x_2 * z_2)T, (x_3 * z_3)I, (x_4 * z_4)F) \sqcup \\ &(0 * y_1, (0 * y_2)T, (0 * y_3)I, (0 * y_4)F) \\ &= ((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), ((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2))T, \\ &((x_3 * z_3) * (0 * y_3))I, ((x_4 * z_4) * (0 * y_4))F) \\ &\in N_q(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(x_i * z_i) * (0 * y_i) \in A$ and $(x_j * z_j) * (0 * y_j) \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. It follows from (13) that $y_i * x_i \in A$ and $y_j * x_j \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. Thus

$$\tilde{y} \sqcup \tilde{x} = (y_1 * x_1, (y_2 * x_2)T, (y_3 * x_3)I, (y_4 * x_4)F) \in N_q(A, B),$$

and therefore $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *If A is an a -ideal of a BCI-algebra X , then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let A and B be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (0 * y) \in A \cap B \Rightarrow y * x \in A \cap B). \tag{17}$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Proof. Obviously $\tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$, $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$ and $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$ be elements of $N_q(X)$ be such that $(\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) \in N_q(A, B)$ and $\tilde{z} \in N_q(A, B)$. Then $z_1, z_2 \in A, z_3, z_4 \in B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{z}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{y}) &= ((x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \sqcap (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)) \sqcap \\ &\quad (\tilde{0} \sqcap (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)) \\ &= (x_1 * z_1, (x_2 * z_2)T, (x_3 * z_3)I, (x_4 * z_4)F) \sqcap \\ &\quad (0 * y_1, (0 * y_2)T, (0 * y_3)I, (0 * y_4)F) \\ &= ((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), ((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2))T, \\ &\quad ((x_3 * z_3) * (0 * y_3))I, ((x_4 * z_4) * (0 * y_4))F) \\ &\in N_q(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(x_i * z_i) * (0 * y_i) \in A$ and $(x_j * z_j) * (0 * y_j) \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. Note that

$$(x_k * (0 * y_k)) * ((x_k * z_k) * (0 * y_k)) \leq x_k * (x_k * z_k) \leq z_k$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Since $z_1, z_2 \in A$ and $z_3, z_4 \in B$, we have $x_i * (0 * y_i) \in A$ and $x_j * (0 * y_j) \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. It follows from (17) that $y_i * x_i \in A$ and $y_j * x_j \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y} \sqcap \tilde{x} &= (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \sqcap (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \\ &= (y_1 * x_1, (y_2 * x_2)T, (y_3 * x_3)I, (y_4 * x_4)F) \in N_q(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let A be an ideal of a BCI-algebra X such that*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (0 * y) \in A \Rightarrow y * x \in A). \tag{18}$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let A and B be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (0 * y) \in A \cap B \Rightarrow y * (x * z) \in A \cap B). \tag{19}$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Proof. If we put $z = 0$ in (19) and use (1), then we can induce the condition (17). Thus $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Theorem 3.10. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let A be an ideal of a BCI-algebra X such that*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (0 * y) \in A \Rightarrow y * (x * z) \in A). \tag{20}$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

We discuss relations between a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal.

Lemma 3.14 ([25]). *Let A and B be ideals of X such that*

$$(\forall x \in X)(0 * (0 * x) \in A \text{ (resp., } B) \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ (resp., } B)). \tag{21}$$

Then $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Theorem 3.15. *For any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then it is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$. Then A and B are a -ideals of X (see Proof of Theorem 3.6) and $\tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B)$. For $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$, let $x_i, x_j \in X$ be such that $0 * (0 * x_i) \in A$ and $0 * (0 * x_j) \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{0}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{x}) &= \tilde{0} \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{x}) \\ &= (0 * (0 * x_1), (0 * (0 * x_2))T, (0 * (0 * x_3))I, (0 * (0 * x_4))F) \in N_q(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) &= (x_1 * 0, (x_2 * 0)T, (x_3 * 0)I, (x_4 * 0)F) \\ &= (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \sqcap (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \\ &= \tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_i \in A$ and $x_j \in B$. It follows from Lemma 3.14 that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.15 is not true in general.

Example 3.16. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, a, b\}$ with the binary operation $*$, which is given in Table 3.

Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$ has 81 elements. If we take $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{0\}$, then $N_q(A, B) = \{\tilde{0}\}$ is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$. For two

TABLE 3. Cayley table for the binary operation “*”

*	0	a	b
0	0	b	a
a	a	0	b
b	b	a	0

elements (a, aT, aI, aF) and (b, bT, bI, bF) of $N_q(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((a, aT, aI, aF) \sqcap (0, 0T, 0I, 0F)) \sqcap ((0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \sqcap (b, bT, bI, bF)) \\ &= (a * 0, (a * 0)T, (a * 0)I, (a * 0)F) \sqcap (0 * b, (0 * b)T, (0 * b)I, (0 * b)F) \\ &= (a, aT, aI, aF) \sqcap (a, aT, aI, aF) = \tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (b, bT, bI, bF) \sqcap (a, aT, aI, aF) &= (b * a, (b * a)T, (b * a)I, (b * a)F) \\ &= (a, aT, aI, aF) \notin N_q(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_q(A, B)$ is not a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Lemma 3.17 ([26]). *Let A and B be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (0 * y) \in A \cap B \Rightarrow x * y \in A \cap B). \tag{22}$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Theorem 3.18. *For any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then it is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$. Then A and B are a -ideals of X (see Proof of Theorem 3.6) and $\tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B)$. For $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$, let $x_i, y_i, x_j, y_j \in X$ be such that $x_i * (0 * y_i) \in A$ and $x_j * (0 * y_j) \in B$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 * (0 * (y_k * (0 * x_k))) * (x_k * (0 * y_k)) \\ &= ((0 * (0 * y_k)) * (0 * (0 * (0 * x_k)))) * (x_k * (0 * y_k)) \\ &= ((0 * (0 * y_k)) * (0 * x_k)) * (x_k * (0 * y_k)) \\ &\leq (x_k * (0 * y_k)) * (x_k * (0 * y_k)) = 0 \in A \cap B \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have $0 * (0 * (y_i * (0 * x_i))) \in A$ and $0 * (0 * (y_j * (0 * x_j))) \in B$. Since every a -ideal is a p -ideal, it follows from (14) that $y_i * (0 * x_i) \in A$ and $y_j * (0 * x_j) \in B$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y} \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{x}) &= (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \sqcap ((0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \sqcap (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)) \\ &= (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \sqcap (0 * x_1, (0 * x_2)T, (0 * x_3)I, (0 * x_4)F) \\ &= (y_1 * (0 * x_1), (y_2 * (0 * x_2))T, (y_3 * (0 * x_3))I, (y_4 * (0 * x_4))F) \\ &\in N_q(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

which implies from (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 * y_1, (x_2 * y_2)T, (x_3 * y_3)I, (x_4 * y_4)F) \\ &= (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \sqcap (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \\ &= \tilde{x} \sqcap \tilde{y} \in N_q(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $x_i * y_i \in A$ and $x_j * y_j \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$. Using Lemma 3.17, we know that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

Corollary 3.19. *For any nonempty subset A of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then it is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Consider the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra $N_q(X)$ in Example 3.7. If we take $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{0, 1\}$, then $N_q(A, B) = \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}\}$, where $\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F)$, $\tilde{1} = (0, 0T, 0I, 1F)$, $\tilde{2} = (0, 0T, 1I, 0F)$ and $\tilde{3} = (0, 0T, 1I, 1F)$, is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$. But it is not a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ since

$$(\tilde{0} \sqcap \tilde{0}) \sqcap (\tilde{0} \sqcap (1, 0T, 1I, 0F)) = \tilde{0} \in N_q(A, B)$$

and $(1, 0T, 1I, 0F) \sqcap \tilde{0} = (1, 0T, 1I, 0F) \notin N_q(A, B)$. This shows that the converse of Theorem 3.18 is not true in general.

Lemma 3.20 ([26]). *For any nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , if the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then it is both a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra and a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Theorem 3.21. *Given nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra X , the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ if and only if $N_q(A, B)$ is both a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. If $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$, then $N_q(A, B)$ is both a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Theorems 3.15 and 3.18.

Conversely, suppose that $N_q(A, B)$ is both a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$. Then $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra of $N_q(X)$ by Lemma 3.20, and A and B are both a p -ideal and a q -ideal of X . For $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 3, 4$, let $x_i * (0 * y_i) \in A$ and $x_j * (0 * y_j) \in B$ for $x_i, y_i, x_j, y_j \in X$. Then $x_i * y_i \in A$ and $x_j * y_j \in B$ since A and B are q -ideals of X . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} (0 * (y_k * x_k)) * (x_k * y_k) &= ((0 * y_k) * (0 * x_k)) * (x_k * y_k) \\ &= ((0 * (x_k * y_k)) * y_k) * (0 * x_k) \\ &= (((0 * x_k) * (0 * y_k)) * y_k) * (0 * x_k) \\ &= (0 * (0 * y_k)) * y_k = 0 \in A \cap B \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Hence $0 * (y_i * x_i) \in A$ and $0 * (y_j * x_j) \in B$, and so $0 * (0 * (y_i * x_i)) \in A$ and $0 * (0 * (y_j * x_j)) \in B$. Since A and B are p -ideals of X , it follows from (14) that $y_i * x_i \in A$ and $y_j * x_j \in B$. Therefore $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Theorem 3.10. \square

Lemma 3.22 ([26]). *Let A, B, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$ and $J \subseteq B$. If I and J are q -ideals of X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Lemma 3.23 ([]). *If A and B are p -ideals of a BCI-algebra X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Theorem 3.24. *Let A, B, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$ and $J \subseteq B$. If I and J are a -ideals of X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that I and J are a -ideals of X . Then I and J are both p -ideals and q -ideals of X . Thus neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Lemma 3.22. Let $0 * (0 * x) \in A \cap B$ for $x \in X$. Then

$$(0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x) = (0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * x)) = 0 \in I \cap J.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (0 * (0 * (x * (0 * (0 * x)))))) * ((0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x)) \\
 &= ((0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * (0 * (0 * x)))))) * ((0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x)) \\
 &\leq ((0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x)) * ((0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x)) \\
 &= 0 \in I \cap J,
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $0 * (0 * (x * (0 * (0 * x)))) \in I \cap J$. Since I and J are p -ideals of X , we have $x * (0 * (0 * x)) \in I \cap J \subseteq A \cap B$ by (14), and so $x \in A \cap B$. This shows that A and B are p -ideals of X , and thus $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Lemma 3.23. Therefore $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Theorem 3.21. \square

Corollary 3.25. *Let A and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$. If I is an a -ideal of X , then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.*

Corollary 3.26. *If the zero ideal $\{0\}$ is an a -ideal of a BCI-algebra X , then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ for every ideals A and B of X .*

Theorem 3.27. *Let A, B, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$, $J \subseteq B$ and*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (0 * y) \in I \cap J \Rightarrow y * x \in I \cap J). \quad (23)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $(x * z) * (0 * y) \in I \cap J$ and $z \in I \cap J$. Note that

$$(x * (0 * y)) * ((x * z) * (0 * y)) \leq x * (x * z) \leq z \in I \cap J.$$

Hence $x * (0 * y) \in I \cap J$, and so $y * x \in I \cap J$ by (23). Thus I and J are a -ideals of X . It follows from Theorem 3.24 that $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$. \square

Corollary 3.28. *Let A and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$ and*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (0 * y) \in I \Rightarrow y * x \in I). \quad (24)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Theorem 3.29. *Let A, B, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$, $J \subseteq B$ and*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (0 * y) \in I \cap J \Rightarrow y * (x * z) \in I \cap J). \quad (25)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

Proof. If we put $z = 0$ in (25) and use (1), then (23) is valid. Therefore $N_q(A, B)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$ by Theorem 3.27. \square

Corollary 3.30. *Let A and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra X such that $I \subseteq A$ and*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (0 * y) \in I \Rightarrow y * (x * z) \in I). \quad (26)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple A -set $N_q(A)$ is a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of $N_q(X)$.

4. Conclusions

We have applied the notion of neutrosophic quadruple set to an a -ideal in a BCI-algebra. We have introduced the concept of neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal of neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras, and have investigated several properties. The notions of neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal, neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal and neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal have been introduced by Smarandache, Muhiuddin, Al-Kenani, Jun, etc. We have discussed relations between a neutrosophic quadruple p -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple q -ideal, a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal. We have provided conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (A, B) -set $N_q(A, B)$ to be a neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal. We have shown that every neutrosophic quadruple a -ideal is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal, and have provided example to show that the converse is false. Using the ideas and results of this paper, we will study the structure of various algebraic systems in the future.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

References

1. M. Abdel-Basset, N.A. Nabeeh, H.A. El-Ghareeb and A. Aboelfetouh, Utilising neutrosophic theory to solve transition difficulties of IoT-based enterprises, *Enterprise Information Systems*, (2019), 1–21.
2. M. Abdel-Baset, V. Chang and A. Gamal, Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach, *Computers in Industry*, **108** (2019), 210–220.
3. M. Abdel-Basset, M. Saleh, A. Gamal and F. Smarandache, An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number, *Applied Soft Computing*, **77** (2019), 438-452.

4. M. Abdel-Basset, G. Manogaran, A. Gamal and F. Smarandache, A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection, *Journal of Medical Systems*, 43(2), (2019), 38.
5. S.A. Akinleye, F. Smarandache and A.A.A. Agboola, On neutrosophic quadruple algebraic structures, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **12** (2016), 122–126.
6. A. Borumand Saeid and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **14**(1) (2017), 87–97.
7. H. Bordbar, M. Mohseni Takallo, R.A. Borzooei and Y. B. Jun, BMBJ-neutrosophic subalgebra in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 27 (2020), to appear.
8. R.A. Borzooei, H. Farahani and M. Moniri, Neutrosophic Deductive Filters on BL-Algebras, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 26(6), (2014), 2993-3004.
9. R.A. Borzooei, M. Mohseni Takallo, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Positive implicative BMBJ-neutrosophic ideals in BCK-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 23 (2018), 148-163.
10. R.A. Borzooei, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Positive implicative generalized neutrosophic ideals in *BCK*-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 23 (2018), 126 -141.
11. R.A. Borzooei, X. Zhang, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Commutative Generalized Neutrosophic Ideals in BCK-Algebras, *Symmetry*, 10(8), 350 (2018), 1-15.
12. Y. Huang, *BCI-algebra*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
13. K. Iséki, On BCI-algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes*, **8** (1980), 125–130.
14. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, *Math. Japon.*, **23** (1978), 1–26.
15. Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **14** (2017), no. 1, 75–86.
16. Y.B. Jun, S.J. Kim and F. Smarandache, Interval neutrosophic sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebra, *Axioms*, 2018, 7, 23.
17. Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar, Neutrosophic \mathcal{N} -structures applied to BCK/BCI-algebras, *Information*, 2017, 8, 128.
18. Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache, S.Z. Song and M. Khan, Neutrosophic positive implicative \mathcal{N} -ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Axioms* 2018, 7, 3.
19. Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar, Neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebras, *Axioms*, (2018), 7-41; doi:10.3390/axioms7020041
20. M. Khan, S. Anis, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic \mathcal{N} -structures and their applications in semigroups, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **14**(6) (2017), 583–598.
21. Y.L. Liu, J. Meng, X.H. Zhang and Z.C. Yue, q -ideals and a -ideals in BCI-algebras, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **24** (2000), 243–253.
22. J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoonsa Co., Seoul, Korea 1994.
23. M. Mohseni Takallo, R. A. Borzooei and Y. B. Jun, MBJ-neutrosophic structures and its applications in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 23 (2018), 72- 84.
24. G. Muhiuddin, A.N. Al-Kenani, E.H. Roh and Y.B. Jun, Implicative neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebras and ideals, *Symmetry*, (2019), 11, 277; doi:10.3390/sym11020277.
25. G. Muhiuddin and Y.B. Jun, p -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras and neutrosophic quadruple p -ideals, (submitted).
26. G. Muhiuddin, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic quadruple ideals in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* (submitted).
27. M.A. Öztürk and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points, *J. Inter. Math. Virtual Inst.*, **8** (2018), 1–17.

28. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105 p., 1998. <http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBook-neutrosophics6.pdf> (last edition online).
29. F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability, American Reserch Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
30. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **24**(3) (2005), 287–297.
31. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic quadruple numbers, refined neutrosophic quadruple numbers, absorbance law, and the multiplication of neutrosophic quadruple numbers, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, **10** (2015), 96–98.
32. S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, , Neutrosophic commutative \mathcal{N} -ideals in BCK-algebras, Information, 2017, 8, 130.
33. X. Zhang, J. Hao and S.A. Bhatti, On p-ideals of a BCI-algebra, Punjab Univ. J. Math., (Lahore) 27 (1994), 121–128. Title of Site. Available online: URL (accessed on Day Month Year).

Received: November 7, 2019. Accepted: February 3, 2020