

1-1-2019

## Neutrosophic ideals in semigroups

Balasubramanian Elavarasan

Florentin Smarandache

Young Bae Jun

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Elavarasan, Balasubramanian; Florentin Smarandache; and Young Bae Jun. "Neutrosophic ideals in semigroups." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 28, 1 (2019). [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol28/iss1/21](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol28/iss1/21)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [amywinter@unm.edu](mailto:amywinter@unm.edu).



## Neutrosophic $\mathfrak{N}$ –ideals in semigroups

Balasubramanian Elavarasan<sup>1\*</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>2</sup> and Young Bae Jun<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore - 641 114, Tamilnadu India.

E-mail: belavarasan@gmail.com; elavarasan@karunya.edu.

<sup>2</sup> Mathematics Department, University of New Mexico, 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA.

E-mail: fsmarandache@gmail.com; smarand@unm.edu.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea.

E-mail: skywine@gmail.com

\* Correspondence: Balasubramanian Elavarasan; belavarasan@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideals in semigroups and investigate their properties. Conditions for neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure to be a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideal are provided. We also discuss the concept of characteristic neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure of semigroups and its related properties.

**Keywords:** Semigroup; neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – structure; neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – ideals, neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –product.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $S$  denotes a semigroup and for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , the multiplication of  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as  $AB = \{ab | a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ . A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called a subsemigroup of  $S$  if  $A^2 \subseteq A$ . A subsemigroup  $A$  of  $S$  is called a left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$  if  $AX \subseteq A$  (resp.,  $XA \subseteq A$ ). A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called two-sided ideal or ideal of  $S$  if it is both a left and right ideal of  $S$ .

L.A. Zadeh introduced the concept of fuzzy subsets of a well-defined set in his paper [17] for modeling the vague concepts in the real world. K. T. Atanassov [1] introduced the notion of an Intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of a fuzzy set. In fact from his point of view for each element of the universe there are two degrees, one a degree of membership to a vague subset and the other is a degree of non-membership to that given subset. Many researchers have been working on the theory of this subject and developed it in interesting different branches.

As a more general platform which extends the notions of the classic set and fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set and interval valued (intuitionistic) fuzzy set, Smarandache introduced the notion of neutrosophic sets (see [15, 16]), which is useful mathematical tool for dealing with incomplete, inconsistent and indeterminate information. This concept has been extensively studied and investigated by several authors in different fields (see [2 – 8] and [10 – 14]).

For further particulars on neutrosophic set theory, we refer the readers to the site <http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/FlorentinSmarandache.htm>

In [9], M. Khan et al. introduced the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –subsemigroup in semigroup and investigated several properties. It motivates us to define the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideal in semigroup. In this paper, the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideals in semigroups is introduced and several properties are investigated. Conditions for neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure to be neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideal are provided. We also discuss the concept of characteristic neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure of semigroups and its related properties.

## 2. Neutrosophic $\aleph$ - structures

This section explains some basic definitions of neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – structures of a semigroup  $S$  that have been used in the sequel and introduce the notion of neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – ideals in semigroups.

The collection of function from a set  $S$  to  $[-1, 0]$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{F}(S, [-1, 0])$ . An element of  $\mathfrak{F}(S, [-1, 0])$  is called a negative-valued function from  $S$  to  $[-1, 0]$  (briefly,  $\aleph$  – function on  $S$ ). By a  $\aleph$  – structure, we mean an ordered pair  $(S, g)$  of  $S$  and a  $\aleph$  –function  $g$  on  $S$ .

For any family  $\{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  of real numbers, we define:

$$\bigvee \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \max \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite} \\ \sup \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is infinite} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bigwedge \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \min \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite} \\ \inf \{x_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is infinite} \end{cases}$$

For any real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ , we also use  $x \vee y$  and  $x \wedge y$  instead of  $\bigvee \{x, y\}$  and  $\bigwedge \{x, y\}$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** [9] A neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – structure over  $S$  defined to be the structure:

$$\mathcal{S}_N := \frac{S}{(T_N, I_N, F_N)} = \left\{ \frac{x}{(T_N(x), I_N(x), F_N(x))} \mid x \in S \right\},$$

where  $T_N, I_N$  and  $F_N$  are  $\aleph$  – functions on  $S$  which are called the negative truth membership function, the negative indeterminacy membership function and the negative falsity membership function, respectively, on  $S$ . It is clear that for any neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – structure  $\mathcal{S}_N$  over  $S$ , we have  $-3 \leq T_N(y) + I_N(y) + F_N(y) \leq 0$  for all  $y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [9] Let  $\mathcal{S}_N := \frac{S}{(T_N, I_N, F_N)}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_M := \frac{S}{(T_M, I_M, F_M)}$  be neutrosophic  $\aleph$  –structures over  $S$ . Then

(i)  $\mathcal{S}_N$  is called a neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – substructure of  $\mathcal{S}_M$  over  $S$ , denote by  $\mathcal{S}_N \subseteq \mathcal{S}_M$ , if  $T_N(s) \geq T_M(s), I_N(s) \leq I_M(s), F_N(s) \geq F_M(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}_N \subseteq \mathcal{S}_M$  and  $\mathcal{S}_M \subseteq \mathcal{S}_N$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{S}_N = \mathcal{S}_M$ .

(ii) The neutrosophic  $\aleph$  – product of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  and  $\mathcal{S}_M$  is defined to be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$  –structure over  $S$

$$\mathcal{S}_N \odot \mathcal{S}_M := \frac{S}{(T_{N \circ M}, I_{N \circ M}, F_{N \circ M})} = \left\{ \frac{s}{(T_{N \circ M}(s), I_{N \circ M}(s), F_{N \circ M}(s))} \mid s \in S \right\},$$

where

$$T_{N \circ M}(s) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{s=uv} \{T_N(u) \vee T_M(v)\} & \text{if } \exists u, v \in S \text{ such that } s = uv \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{N \circ M}(s) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{s=uv} \{I_N(u) \wedge I_M(v)\} & \text{if } \exists u, v \in S \text{ such that } s = uv \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{N \circ M}(s) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{s=uv} \{F_N(u) \vee F_M(v)\} & \text{if } \exists u, v \in S \text{ such that } s = uv \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $s \in S$ , the element  $\frac{s}{(T_{N \circ M}, I_{N \circ M}, F_{N \circ M})}$  is simply denoted by  $(\mathcal{S}_N \odot \mathcal{S}_M)(s) = (T_{N \circ M}(s), I_{N \circ M}(s), F_{N \circ M}(s))$  for the sake of convenience.

(iii) The union of  $\mathcal{S}_N$  and  $\mathcal{S}_M$  is defined to be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$  –structure over  $S$

$$\mathcal{S}_{NUM} = (S; T_{NUM}, I_{NUM}, F_{NUM}),$$

where

$$T_{NUM}(a) = T_N(a) \wedge T_M(a),$$

$$I_{N \cup M}(a) = I_N(a) \vee I_M(a),$$

$$F_{N \cup M}(a) = F_N(a) \wedge F_M(a) \text{ for all } a \in S.$$

(iv) The intersection of  $S_N$  and  $S_M$  is defined to be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$

$$S_{N \cap M} = (S; T_{N \cap M}, I_{N \cap M}, F_{N \cap M}),$$

where

$$T_{N \cap M}(a) = T_N(a) \vee T_M(a),$$

$$I_{N \cap M}(a) = I_N(a) \wedge I_M(a),$$

$$F_{N \cap M}(a) = F_N(a) \vee F_M(a) \text{ for all } a \in S.$$

**Definition 2.3.** [9] A neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure  $S_N$  over  $S$  is called a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in S) \begin{pmatrix} T_N(ab) \leq T_N(a) \vee T_N(b) \\ I_N(ab) \geq I_N(a) \vee I_N(b) \\ F_N(ab) \leq F_N(a) \vee F_N(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** A neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure  $S_N$  over  $S$  is called a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$  if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in S) \begin{pmatrix} T_N(ab) \leq T_N(a) \text{ (resp., } T_N(ab) \leq T_N(b)) \\ I_N(ab) \geq I_N(a) \text{ (resp., } I_N(ab) \geq I_N(b)) \\ F_N(ab) \leq F_N(a) \text{ (resp., } F_N(ab) \leq F_N(b)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $S_N$  is both a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left and neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -right ideal of  $S$ , then it called a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -ideal of  $S$ .

It is clear that every neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left and neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -right ideal of  $S$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -subsemigroup of  $S$ , but neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  is need not to be either a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left or a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -right ideal of  $S$  as can be seen by the following example.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a semigroup with the following multiplication table:

.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	1	2	3	1	1
3	0	1	1	1	2	3
4	0	1	4	5	1	1
5	0	1	1	1	4	5

Then  $S_N = \left\{ \frac{0}{(-0.9, -0.1, -0.8)}, \frac{1}{(-0.5, -0.2, -0.6)}, \frac{2}{(-0.1, -0.8, -0.1)}, \frac{3}{(-0.3, -0.6, -0.4)}, \frac{4}{(-0.1, -0.8, -0.1)}, \frac{5}{(-0.4, -0.3, -0.5)} \right\}$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -subsemigroup of  $S$ , but not a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  as  $T_N(3.5) \not\leq T_N(5), I_N(3.5) \not\geq I_N(5)$  and  $F_N(3.5) \not\leq F_N(5)$ .

□

**Example 2.6.** Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be a semigroup with the following multiplication table:

.	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	b	a
d	a	a	b	b

Then  $S_N = \left\{ \frac{a}{(-0.9, -0.1, -0.8)}, \frac{b}{(-0.5, -0.2, -0.6)}, \frac{c}{(-0.3, -0.3, -0.4)}, \frac{d}{(-0.4, -0.2, -0.5)} \right\}$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -ideal of  $S$ .

□

**Definition 2.7.** For a subset  $A$  of  $S$ , consider the neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure

$$\chi_A(S_N) = \frac{S}{(\chi_A(T)_N, \chi_A(I)_N, \chi_A(F)_N)}$$

where

$$\chi_A(T)_N: S \rightarrow [-1, 0], s \rightarrow \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } s \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_A(I)_N: S \rightarrow [-1, 0], s \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in A \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_A(F)_N: S \rightarrow [-1, 0], s \rightarrow \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } s \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is called the characteristic neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure of  $S$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [9] Let  $S_N$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [-1, 0]$  be such that  $-3 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 0$ . Consider the following sets:

$$T_N^\alpha = \{s \in S : T_N(s) \leq \alpha\},$$

$$I_N^\beta = \{s \in S : I_N(s) \geq \beta\},$$

$$F_N^\gamma = \{s \in S : F_N(s) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The set  $S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \{s \in S \mid T_N(s) \leq \alpha, I_N(s) \geq \beta, F_N(s) \leq \gamma\}$  is called a  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -level set of  $S_N$ . Note that  $S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = T_N^\alpha \cap I_N^\beta \cap F_N^\gamma$ .

### 3. Neutrosophic $\aleph$ -ideals

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $S_N$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [-1, 0]$  be such that  $-3 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 0$ . If  $S_N$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$ , then  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -level set of  $S_N$  is a neutrosophic left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$  whenever it is non-empty.

**Proof:** Assume that  $S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$  for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [-1, 0]$  with  $-3 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 0$ . Let  $S_N$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  and let  $x, y \in S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then  $T_N(xy) \leq T_N(x) \leq \alpha; I_N(xy) \geq I_N(x) \geq \beta$  and  $F_N(xy) \leq F_N(x) \leq \gamma$  which imply  $xy \in S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Therefore  $S_N(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $S_N$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [-1, 0]$  be such that  $-3 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 0$ . If  $T_N^\alpha; I_N^\beta$  and  $F_N^\gamma$  are left (resp., right) ideals of  $S$ , then  $S_N$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$  whenever it is non-empty.

**Proof:** If there are  $a, b \in S$  such that  $T_N(ab) > T_N(a)$ . Then  $T_N(ab) > t_\alpha \geq T_N(a)$  for some  $t_\alpha \in [-1, 0]$ . Thus  $a \in T_N^{t_\alpha}(a)$ , but  $ab \notin T_N^{t_\alpha}(a)$ , a contradiction. So  $T_N(ab) \leq T_N(a)$ . Similar way we can get  $T_N(ab) \leq T_N(b)$ .

If there are  $a, b \in S$  such that  $I_N(ab) < I_N(a)$ . Then  $I_N(ab) < t_\beta \leq I_N(a)$  for some  $t_\beta \in (-1, 0]$ . Thus  $a \in I_N^{t_\beta}(a)$ , but  $ab \notin I_N^{t_\beta}(a)$ , a contradiction. So  $I_N(ab) \geq I_N(a)$ . Similar way we can get  $I_N(ab) \geq I_N(b)$ .

If there are  $a, b \in S$  such that  $F_N(ab) > F_N(a)$ . Then  $F_N(ab) > t_\gamma \geq F_N(a)$  for some  $t_\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ . Thus  $a \in F_N^{t_\gamma}(a)$ , but  $ab \notin F_N^{t_\gamma}(a)$ , a contradiction. So  $F_N(ab) \leq F_N(a)$ . Similar way we can get  $F_N(ab) \leq F_N(b)$ .

Hence  $S_N$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then the intersection of two neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left (resp., right) ideals of  $S$  is also a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S_N := \frac{S}{(T_N, I_N, F_N)}$  and  $S_M := \frac{S}{(T_M, I_M, F_M)}$  be neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideals of  $S$ . Then for any  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{N \cap M}(xy) &= T_N(xy) \vee T_M(xy) \leq T_N(y) \vee T_M(y) = T_{N \cap M}(y), \\ I_{N \cap M}(xy) &= I_N(xy) \wedge I_M(xy) \geq I_N(y) \wedge I_M(y) = I_{N \cap M}(y), \\ F_{N \cap M}(xy) &= F_N(xy) \vee F_M(xy) \leq F_N(y) \vee F_M(y) = F_{N \cap M}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $X_{N \cap M}$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left ideal of  $S$ .

□

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then  $\{X_{N_i} | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a family of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left (resp., right) ideals of  $S$ , then so is  $X_{\cap N_i}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** For any non-empty subset  $A$  of  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$ ,
- (ii) The characteristic neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -structure  $\chi_A(S_N)$  over  $S$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left (resp., right) ideal of  $S$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $A$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left ideal of  $S$ . For any  $x, y \in A$ .

If  $y \notin A$ , then  $\chi_A(T)_N(xy) \leq 0 = \chi_A(T)_N(y)$ ;  $\chi_A(I)_N(xy) \geq -1 = \chi_A(I)_N(y)$  and  $\chi_A(F)_N(xy) \leq 0 = \chi_A(F)_N(y)$ . Otherwise  $y \in A$ . Then  $xy \in A$ , so  $\chi_A(T)_N(xy) = -1 = \chi_A(T)_N(y)$ ;  $\chi_A(I)_N(xy) = 0 = \chi_A(I)_N(y)$  and  $\chi_A(F)_N(xy) = -1 = \chi_A(F)_N(y)$ . Therefore  $\chi_A(S_N)$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left ideal of  $S$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\chi_A(S_N)$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $x \in S$ . Then  $\chi_A(T)_N(xa) \leq \chi_A(T)_N(a) = -1$ ,  $\chi_A(I)_N(xa) \geq \chi_A(I)_N(a) = 0$  and  $\chi_A(F)_N(xa) \leq \chi_A(F)_N(a) = -1$ . Thus  $\chi_A(T)_N(xa) = -1$ ,  $\chi_A(I)_N(xa) = 0$  and  $\chi_A(F)_N(xa) = -1$  and hence  $xa \in A$ . Therefore  $A$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -left ideal of  $S$ . □

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\chi_A(S_N)$  and  $\chi_B(S_N)$  be characteristic neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -structure over  $S$  for subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ . Then

- (i)  $\chi_A(S_N) \cap \chi_B(S_N) = \chi_{A \cap B}(S_N)$ .
- (ii)  $\chi_A(S_N) \odot \chi_B(S_N) = \chi_{AB}(S_N)$ .

**Proof:** (i) Let  $s \in S$ .

If  $s \in A \cap B$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_A(T)_N \cap \chi_B(T)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(T)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(T)_N(s) = -1 = \chi_{A \cap B}(T)_N(s), \\ (\chi_A(I)_N \cap \chi_B(I)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(I)_N(s) \wedge \chi_B(I)_N(s) = 0 = \chi_{A \cap B}(I)_N(s), \\ (\chi_A(F)_N \cap \chi_B(F)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(F)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(F)_N(s) = -1 = \chi_{A \cap B}(F)_N(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\chi_A(S_N) \cap \chi_B(S_N) = \chi_{A \cap B}(S_N)$ .

If  $s \notin A \cap B$ , then  $s \notin A$  or  $s \notin B$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_A(T)_N \cap \chi_B(T)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(T)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(T)_N(s) = 0 = \chi_{A \cap B}(T)_N(s), \\ (\chi_A(I)_N \cap \chi_B(I)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(I)_N(s) \wedge \chi_B(I)_N(s) = -1 = \chi_{A \cap B}(I)_N(s), \\ (\chi_A(F)_N \cap \chi_B(F)_N)(s) &= \chi_A(F)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(F)_N(s) = 0 = \chi_{A \cap B}(F)_N(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\chi_A(S_N) \cap \chi_B(S_N) = \chi_{A \cap B}(S_N)$ .

- (ii) Let  $x \in S$ . If  $x \in AB$ , then  $x = ab$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_A(T)_N \circ \chi_B(T)_N)(x) &= \bigwedge_{x=st} \{ \chi_A(T)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(T)_N(t) \} \\ &\leq \chi_A(T)_N(a) \vee \chi_B(T)_N(b) \\ &= -1 = \chi_{AB}(T)_N(x), \\ (\chi_A(I)_N \circ \chi_B(I)_N)(x) &= \bigvee_{x=st} \{ \chi_A(I)_N(s) \wedge \chi_B(I)_N(t) \} \\ &\geq \chi_A(I)_N(a) \wedge \chi_B(I)_N(b) \\ &= 0 = \chi_{AB}(I)_N(x), \\ (\chi_A(F)_N \circ \chi_B(F)_N)(x) &= \bigwedge_{x=st} \{ \chi_A(F)_N(s) \vee \chi_B(F)_N(t) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \chi_A(\mathbf{F})_N(\mathbf{a}) \vee (\chi_B(\mathbf{F})_N(\mathbf{b})) \\ &= -\mathbf{1} = \chi_{AB}(\mathbf{F})_N(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\chi_A(\mathbf{S}_N) \odot \chi_B(\mathbf{S}_N) = \chi_{AB}(\mathbf{S}_N)$ . □

Note 3.7. Let  $\mathbf{S}_N := \frac{S}{(T_N, I_N, F_N)}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_M := \frac{S}{(T_M, I_M, F_M)}$  be neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structures over  $S$ . Then for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , we have

$$(i) \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{S}_N \cap \mathbf{S}_M) = (S: \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{T})_{N \cap M}, \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{I})_{N \cap M}, \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{F})_{N \cap M}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{T})_{N \cap M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{T})_N(s) \vee \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{T})_M(s), \\ \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{I})_{N \cap M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{I})_N(s) \wedge \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{I})_M(s), \\ \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{F})_{N \cap M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{F})_N(s) \vee \chi_{A \cap B}(\mathbf{F})_M(s) \text{ for } s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{S}_N \cap \mathbf{S}_M) = (S: \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{T})_{N \cup M}, \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{I})_{N \cup M}, \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{F})_{N \cup M}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{T})_{N \cup M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{T})_N(s) \wedge \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{T})_M(s), \\ \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{I})_{N \cup M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{I})_N(s) \vee \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{I})_M(s), \\ \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{F})_{N \cup M}(s) &= \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{F})_N(s) \wedge \chi_{A \cup B}(\mathbf{F})_M(s) \text{ for } s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathbf{S}_M$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $\mathbf{S}_N \odot \mathbf{S}_M \subseteq \mathbf{S}_M$  for any neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure  $\mathbf{S}_N$  over  $S$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  and let  $s, t, u \in S$ . If  $s = tu$ , then

$$T_M(s) = T_M(tu) \leq T_M(u) \leq T_M(t) \vee T_M(u) \text{ which implies } T_M(s) \leq T_{N \circ M}(s). \text{ Otherwise } s \neq tu.$$

Then  $T_M(s) \leq 0 = T_{N \circ M}(s)$ .

$$I_M(s) = I_M(tu) \geq I_M(u) \geq I_M(t) \wedge I_M(u) \text{ which implies } I_M(s) \geq I_{N \circ M}(s). \text{ Otherwise } s \neq tu.$$

Then  $I_M(s) \geq -1 = I_{N \circ M}(s)$ .

$$F_M(s) = F_M(tu) \leq F_M(u) \leq F_M(t) \vee F_M(u) \text{ which implies } F_M(s) \leq F_{N \circ M}(s). \text{ Otherwise } s \neq tu.$$

Then  $F_M(s) \leq 0 = F_{N \circ M}(s)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$  such that  $\mathbf{S}_N \odot \mathbf{S}_M \subseteq \mathbf{S}_M$  for any neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure  $\mathbf{S}_N$  over  $S$ . Let  $x, y \in S$ . If  $a = xy$ , then

$$T_M(xy) = T_M(a) \leq (\chi_X(\mathbf{T})_N \circ T_M)(a) = \bigwedge_{a=st} \{\chi_X(\mathbf{T})_N(s) \vee T_M(t)\} \leq \chi_X(\mathbf{T})_N(x) \vee T_M(y) = T_M(y),$$

$$I_M(xy) = I_M(a) \geq (\chi_X(\mathbf{I})_N \circ I_M)(a) = \bigvee_{a=st} \{\chi_X(\mathbf{I})_N(s) \wedge I_M(t)\} \geq \chi_X(\mathbf{I})_N(x) \wedge I_M(y) = I_M(y),$$

$$F_M(xy) = F_M(a) \leq (\chi_X(\mathbf{F})_N \circ F_M)(a) = \bigwedge_{a=st} \{\chi_X(\mathbf{F})_N(s) \vee F_M(t)\} \leq \chi_X(\mathbf{F})_N(x) \vee F_M(y) = F_M(y).$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$ . □

Similarly, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\mathbf{S}_M$  be a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure over  $S$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $\mathbf{S}_M \odot \mathbf{S}_N \subseteq \mathbf{S}_M$  for any neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structure  $\mathbf{S}_N$  over  $S$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\mathbf{S}_M$  and  $\mathbf{S}_N$  be neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -structures over  $S$ . If  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$ , then so is the  $\mathbf{S}_M \odot \mathbf{S}_N$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $\mathbf{S}_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\aleph$ -left ideal of  $S$  and let  $x, y \in S$ . If there exist  $a, b \in S$  such that  $y = ab$ , then  $xy = x(ab) = (xa)b$ .

Now,

$$(T_N \circ T_M)(y) = \bigwedge_{y=ab} \{T_N(a) \vee T_M(b)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \bigwedge_{xy=(xa)b} \{T_N(xa) \vee T_M(b)\} \\
 &= \bigwedge_{xy=cb} \{T_N(c) \vee T_M(b)\} = (T_N \circ T_M)(xy), \\
 (I_N \circ I_M)(y) &= \bigvee_{y=ab} \{I_M(b) \wedge I_M(b)\} \\
 &\geq \bigvee_{xy=(xa)b} \{I_M(xa) \wedge I_M(b)\} \\
 &= \bigvee_{xy=cb} \{I_M(c) \wedge I_M(b)\} = (I_N \circ I_M)(xy), \\
 (F_N \circ F_M)(y) &= \bigwedge_{y=ab} \{F_N(a) \vee F_M(b)\} \\
 &\leq \bigwedge_{xy=(xa)b} \{F_N(xa) \vee F_M(b)\} \\
 &= \bigwedge_{xy=cb} \{F_N(c) \vee F_M(b)\} = (F_N \circ F_M)(xy).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $S_M \odot S_N$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – left ideal of  $S$ . □

Similarly, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $S_M$  and  $S_N$  be neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – structures over  $S$ . If  $S_M$  is a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – right ideal of  $S$ , then so is the  $S_M \odot S_N$ .

**Conclusions**

In this paper, we have introduced the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideals in semigroups and investigated their properties, and discussed characterizations of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –ideals by using the notion of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – product, also provided conditions for neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure to be a neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  – ideal in semigroup. We have also discussed the concept of characteristic neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –structure of semigroups and its related properties. Using this notions and results in this paper, we will define the concept of neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$  –bi-ideals in semigroups and study their properties in future.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors express their sincere thanks to the referees for valuable comments and suggestions which improve the paper a lot.

**Reference**

1. Atanassov, K. T. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **1986**, 20, 87-96.
2. Abdel-Baset, M.; Chang, V.; Gamal, A. Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach. *Computers in Industry* **2019**, 108, 210-220.
3. Abdel-Baset, M.; Chang, V.; Gamal, A.; Smarandache, F. An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field. *Computers in Industry* **2019**, 106, 94-110.
4. Abdel-Basset, M.; Manogaran, G.; Gamal, A.; Smarandache, F. A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection. *Journal of medical systems* **2019**, 43(2), 38.



5. Abdel-Basset, M.; Mohamed, R.; Zaid, A. E. N. H. Smarandache, F. A Hybrid Plithogenic Decision-Making Approach with Quality Function Deployment for Selecting Supply Chain Sustainability Metrics. *Symmetry* **2019**, 11(7), 903.
6. Abdel-Basset, M.; Nabeeh, N. A.; El-Ghareeb, H. A.; Aboelfetouh, A. Utilising neutrosophic theory to solve transition difficulties of IoT-based enterprises. *Enterprise Information Systems* **2019**, 1-21.
7. Abdel-Basset, M.; Saleh, M.; Gamal, A.; Smarandache, F. An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number. *Applied Soft Computing* **2019**, 77, 438-452.
8. Jun, Y. B.; Lee, K. J.; Song, S. Z.  $\mathfrak{N}$ -Ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **2009**, 22, 417-437.
9. Khan, M. S.; Anis; Smarandache, F.; Jun, Y. B. Neutrosophic  $\mathfrak{N}$ -structures and their applications in semigroups. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, reprint.
10. Muhiuddin, G.; Ahmad, N.; Al-Kenani; Roh, E. H.; Jun, Y. B. Implicative neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebras and ideals, *Symmetry* **2019**, 11, 277.
11. Muhiuddin, G.; Bordbar, H.; Smarandache, F.; Jun, Y. B. Further results on (2; 2)-neutrosophic subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI- algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* **2018**, Vol. 20, 36-43.
12. Muhiuddin, G.; Kim, S. J.; Jun, Y. B. Implicative N-ideals of BCK-algebras based on neutrosophic N-structures, *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications* **2019**, Vol. 11, No. 01, 1950011.
13. Muhiuddin, G.; Smarandache, F.; Jun, Y. B. Neutrosophic quadruple ideals in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* **2019**, 25, 161-173 (2019).
14. Nabeeh, N. A.; Abdel-Basset, M.; El-Ghareeb, H. A.; Aboelfetouh, A. Neutrosophic multi-criteria decision making approach for iot-based enterprises. *IEEE Access* **2019**, 7, 59559 - 59574.
15. Smarandache, F. A. Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. *American Research Press* 1999, Rehoboth, NM.
16. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2005**, 24(3), 287-297.
17. Zadeh, L. A. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control* **1965**, 8, 338 - 353.

Received: 3 April, 2019; Accepted: 26 August, 2019