

1-1-2019

Characterizations of Group Theory under Q-Neutrosophic Soft Environment

Majdoleen Abu Qamar

Nasruddin Hassan

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Qamar, Majdoleen Abu and Nasruddin Hassan. "Characterizations of Group Theory under Q-Neutrosophic Soft Environment." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 27, 1 (2019). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol27/iss1/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact amywinter@unm.edu.

Characterizations of Group Theory under Q-Neutrosophic Soft Environment

Majdoleen Abu Qamar¹, Nasruddin Hassan^{2,*}

^{1,2}School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia.

E-mail: ¹p90675@siswa.ukm.edu.my, mjabuqamar@gmail.com, ²nas@ukm.edu.my

*Correspondence: Author (nas@ukm.edu.my)

Abstract: Neutrosophic set theory was initiated as a method to handle indeterminate uncertain data. It is identified via three independent memberships represent truth T , indeterminate I and falsity F membership degrees of an element. As a generalization of neutrosophic set theory, Q-neutrosophic set theory was established as a new hybrid model that keeps the features of Q-fuzzy soft sets which handle two-dimensional information and the features of neutrosophic soft sets in dealing with uncertainty. Different extensions of fuzzy sets have been already implemented to several algebraic structures, such as groups, symmetric groups, rings and lie algebras. Group theory is one of the most essential algebraic structures in the field of algebra. The inspiration of the current work is to broaden the idea of Q-neutrosophic soft set to group theory. In this paper the concept of Q-neutrosophic soft groups is presented. Numerous properties and basic attributes are examined. We characterize the thought of Q-level soft sets of a Q-neutrosophic soft set, which is a bridge between Q-neutrosophic soft groups and soft groups. The concept of Q-neutrosophic soft homomorphism is defined and homomorphic image and preimage of a Q-neutrosophic soft groups are investigated. Furthermore, the cartesian product of Q-neutrosophic soft groups is proposed and some relevant properties are explored.

Keywords: Group, Neutrosophic set, Neutrosophic group, Neutrosophic soft group, Q-neutrosophic set, Q-neutrosophic soft set, Soft group.

1 Introduction

Neutrosophic sets (NSs), one of the fundamental models that deal with uncertainty, first appeared in mathematics in 1998 by Smarandache [1, 2] as an extension of the concepts of the classical sets, fuzzy sets [3] and intuitionistic fuzzy sets [4]. A NS is identified via three independent membership degrees which are standard or non-standard subsets of the interval $]^{-0}, 1^{+}[$ where $^{-0} = 0 - \delta$, $1^{+} = 1 + \delta$; δ is an infinitesimal number. These memberships represent the degrees of truth (T), indeterminacy (I), and falsity (F). This structure makes the NS an effective common framework and empowers it to deal with indeterminate information which were not considered by fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Molodtsov [5] raised the notion of soft sets, based on the theory of adequate parametrization, as another approach to handle uncertain data. Since its initiation, a plenty of hybrid models of soft sets have been produced, for example, soft multi set theory [6], soft expert sets [7], fuzzy soft sets [8] and neutrosophic soft sets (NSS) [9]. Recently, NSs and NSSs were studied deeply by different researchers [10]-[19].

However, none of the above models can deal with two-dimensional indeterminate, uncertain and incompatible data. This propelled researchers to amplify them to have the capacity to deal with such circumstances, for example, Q-fuzzy soft sets [20, 21], Q-neutrosophic soft sets (Q-NSSs) [22] and Q-linguistic neutrosophic variable sets [23]. A Q-NSS is an expanded model of NSSs characterized via three two-dimensional independent membership degrees to tackle two-dimensional indeterminate issues that show up in real world. It gave an appropriate parametrization notion to handle imprecise, indeterminate and inconsistent two-dimensional information. Hence, it fits the indeterminacy and two-dimensionality simultaneously. Thus, Q-NSSs were further explored by Abu Qamar and Hassan by discussing their basic operations [24], relations [22], measures of distance, similarity and entropy [25] and also extended it further to the concept of generalized Q-neutrosophic soft expert set [26].

Hybrid models of fuzzy sets and soft sets were extensively applied in different fields of mathematics, in particular they were extremely applied in classical algebraic structures. This was started by Rosenfeld in 1971 [27] when he established the idea of fuzzy subgroup, by applying fuzzy sets to the theory of groups. Since then, the theories and approaches of fuzzy soft sets on different algebraic structures developed rapidly. Mukherjee and Bhattacharya [28] studied fuzzy groups, Sharma [29] discussed intuitionistic fuzzy groups, Aktas and Cagman [30] defined soft groups and Aygunoglu and Aygun presented the concept of fuzzy soft groups [31]. Recently, many researchers have applied different hybrid models of fuzzy sets to several algebraic structures such as groups, semigroups, rings, fields and BCK/BCI-algebras [32]-[38]. NSs and NSSs have received more attention in studying the algebraic structures dealing with uncertainty. Cetkin and Aygun [39] established the concept of neutrosophic subgroups. Bera and Mahapatra introduced neutrosophic soft groups [40], neutrosophic soft rings [41], (α, β, γ) -cut of neutrosophic soft sets and its application to neutrosophic soft groups [42] and neutrosophic normal soft groups [43]. Neutrosophic triplet groups, rings and fields and many other structures were discussed in [44, 45, 46]. Moreover, two-dimensional hybrid models of fuzzy sets and soft sets were also applied to different algebraic structures. Solairaju and Nagarajan [47] introduced the notion of Q-fuzzy groups. Thiruvani and Solairaju defined the concept of neutrosophic Q-fuzzy subgroups [48], while Rasuli [49] established Q-fuzzy and anti Q-fuzzy subrings.

Inspired by the above discussion, in the present work we combine the idea of Q-NSS and group theory to conceptualize the notion of Q-neutrosophic soft groups (Q-NSGs) as a generalization of neutrosophic soft groups and soft groups; it is a new algebraic structure that deals with two-dimensional universal set under uncertain and indeterminate data. Some properties and basic characteristics are explored. Additionally, we define the Q-level soft set of a Q-NSS, which is a bridge between Q-NSGs and soft groups. The concept of Q-neutrosophic soft homomorphism (Q-NS hom) is defined and homomorphic image and preimage of a Q-NSG are investigated. Furthermore, the cartesian product of Q-NSGs is defined and some pertinent properties are examined. To clarify the novelty and originality of the proposed model a few contributions of numerous authors toward Q-NSGs are appeared in Table 1.

Table 1: Contributions toward Q-NSG.

Authors	Year	Contributions
Rosenfeld [27]	1971	Introduction of fuzzy subgroup.
Aktas and Cagman [30]	2007	Introduction of soft group.
Aygunoglu and Aygun [31]	2009	Introduction to fuzzy soft groups.
Cetkin and Aygun [39]	2015	Introduction of neutrosophic subgroup.
Bera and Mahapatra [40]	2016	Introduction of neutrosophic soft group.
Solairaju and Nagarajan [47]	2009	Introduction of Q-fuzzy group.
Thiruvani and Solairaju [48]	2018	Introduction of neutrosophic Q-fuzzy subgroup.
Abu Qamar and Hassan	This paper	Introduction of Q-NSG.

2 Preliminaries

We recall the elementary aspects of soft set, Q-NS and Q-NSS relevant to this study.

Definition 2.1. [5] A pair (f, E) is a soft set over X if f is a mapping given by $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$. That is, the soft set is a parametrized family of subsets of X .

Definition 2.2. [30] A soft set (f, E) over a group G is called a soft group over G if $f(a)$ is a subgroup of G , $\forall a \in E$.

Definition 2.3. [31] A fuzzy soft set (F, E) over a group G is called a fuzzy soft group over G if $\forall a \in E$, $F(a)$ is a fuzzy subgroup of G in Rosenfeld’s sense.

Abu Qamar and Hassan [22] proposed the notion of Q-neutrosophic set (Q-NS) in the following way.

Definition 2.4. [22] A Q-NS Γ_Q in X is an object of the form

$$\Gamma_Q = \left\{ \langle (s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p) \rangle : s \in X, p \in Q \right\},$$

where $Q \neq \phi$ and $T_{\Gamma_Q}, I_{\Gamma_Q}, F_{\Gamma_Q} : X \times Q \rightarrow]^{-0}, 1^+[$ are the true, indeterminacy and false membership functions, respectively with $^{-0} \leq T_{\Gamma_Q} + I_{\Gamma_Q} + F_{\Gamma_Q} \leq 3^+$.

Definition 2.5. [22] Let X be a universal set, Q be a nonempty set and $A \subseteq E$ be a set of parameters. Let $\mu^l QNS(X)$ be the set of all multi Q-NSs on X with dimension $l = 1$. A pair (Γ_Q, A) is called a Q-NSS over X , where $\Gamma_Q : A \rightarrow \mu^l QNS(X)$ is a mapping, such that $\Gamma_Q(e) = \phi$ if $e \notin A$.

A Q-NSS can be presented as

$$(\Gamma_Q, A) = \{(e, \Gamma_Q(e)) : e \in A, \Gamma_Q \in \mu^l QNS(X)\}.$$

Definition 2.6 ([24]). Let $(\Gamma_Q, A), (\Psi_Q, B) \in Q - NSS(X)$. Then, (Γ_Q, A) is a Q-neutrosophic soft subset of (Ψ_Q, B) , denoted by $(\Gamma_Q, A) \subseteq (\Psi_Q, B)$, if $A \subseteq B$ and $\Gamma_Q(e) \subseteq \Psi_Q(e)$ for all $e \in A$, that is $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \leq T_{\Psi_Q(e)}(s, p)$, $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \geq I_{\Psi_Q(e)}(s, p)$, $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \geq F_{\Psi_Q(e)}(s, p)$, for all $(s, p) \in X \times Q$.

Definition 2.7. [24] The union of two Q-NSSs (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) is the Q-NSS (Λ_Q, C) written as $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cup (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Lambda_Q, C)$, where $C = A \cup B$ and $\forall c \in C, (s, p) \in X \times Q$, the membership degrees of (Λ_Q, C) are:

$$T_{\Lambda_Q(c)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} T_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in A - B, \\ T_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in B - A, \\ \max\{T_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B, \end{cases}$$

$$I_{\Lambda_Q(c)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} I_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in A - B, \\ I_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in B - A, \\ \min\{I_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B, \end{cases}$$

$$F_{\Lambda_Q(c)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} F_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in A - B, \\ F_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p) & \text{if } c \in B - A, \\ \min\{F_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.8. [24] The intersection of two Q-NSSs (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) is the Q-NSS (Ξ_Q, C) written as $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cap (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Xi_Q, C)$, where $C = A \cap B$ and $\forall c \in C$ and $(s, p) \in X \times Q$, the membership degrees of (Ξ_Q, C) are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Xi_Q(c)}(s, p) &= \min\{T_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\}, \\ I_{\Xi_Q(c)}(s, p) &= \max\{I_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\}, \\ F_{\Xi_Q(c)}(s, p) &= \max\{F_{\Gamma_Q(c)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(c)}(s, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.9. [24] If (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) are two Q-NSSs on X , then (Γ_Q, A) AND (Ψ_Q, B) is the Q-NSS denoted by $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B)$ and introduced by $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Theta_Q, A \times B)$, where $\Theta_Q(a, b) = \Gamma_Q(a) \cap \Psi_Q(b) \forall (a, b) \in A \times B$ and $(s, p) \in X \times Q$, the membership degrees of $(\Theta_Q, A \times B)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \min\{T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \max\{I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \max\{F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. [24] If (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) are two Q-NSSs on X , then (Γ_Q, A) OR (Ψ_Q, B) is the Q-NSS denoted by $(\Gamma_Q, A) \vee (\Psi_Q, B)$ and introduced by $(\Gamma_Q, A) \vee (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Upsilon_Q, A \times B)$, where $\Upsilon_Q(a, b) = \Gamma_Q(a) \cup \Psi_Q(b) \forall (a, b) \in A \times B$ and $(s, p) \in X \times Q$, the membership degrees of $(\Upsilon_Q, A \times B)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Upsilon_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \max\{T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ I_{\Upsilon_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \min\{I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ F_{\Upsilon_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \min\{F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.11. [24] If (Γ_Q, A) is a Q-NSS on X , then the necessity $\square(\Gamma_Q, A)$ and the possibility $\diamond(\Gamma_Q, A)$ operations of (Γ_Q, A) are defined as: for all $e \in A$

$$\square(\Gamma_Q, A) = \left\{ \left\langle e, [(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), 1 - T_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p)] \right\rangle : (s, p) \in X \times Q \right\}$$

and

$$\diamond(\Gamma_Q, A) = \left\{ \left\langle e, [(s, p), 1 - F_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q}(s, p)] \right\rangle : (s, p) \in X \times Q \right\}.$$

3 Q-Neutrosophic soft groups

In the current section, we propose the notion of Q-NSG and investigate some related properties. In this paper G will denote a classical group.

Definition 3.1. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSS over G . Then, (Γ_Q, A) is said to be a Q-NSG over G if for all $e \in A$, $\Gamma_Q(e)$ is a Q-neutrosophic subgroup of G , where $\Gamma_Q(e)$ is a mapping given by $\Gamma_Q(e) : G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]^3$.

Definition 3.2. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSS over G . Then, (Γ_Q, A) is said to be a Q-NSG over G if for all $s, t \in G, p \in Q$ and $e \in A$ it satisfies:

1. $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) \geq \min\{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$, $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) \leq \max\{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$ and $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) \leq \max\{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$.

$$2. T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \geq T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \text{ and } F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p).$$

Example 3.3. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ be a group and $A = 3\mathbb{Z}$ be the parametric set. Define a Q-NSS (Γ_Q, A) as follows for $p \in Q$ and $s, m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$T_{\Gamma_Q(3m)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} 0.50 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{\Gamma_Q(3m)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.20 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{\Gamma_Q(3m)}(s, p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.25 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $(\Gamma_Q, 3\mathbb{Z})$ is a Q-NSG over G .

Theorem 3.4. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSG over G . Then, for all $s \in G$ and $p \in Q$ the following valid:

1. $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) = T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) = I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \text{ and } F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) = F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p).$
2. $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) \geq T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) \leq I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \text{ and } F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) \leq F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p).$

Proof. 1. $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) = T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}((s^{-1})^{-1}, p) \geq T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) = I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}((s^{-1})^{-1}, p) \leq I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p),$ and $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) = F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}((s^{-1})^{-1}, p) \leq F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p).$ Now, from Definition 3.2 the result follows.

2. For the identity element \acute{e} in G

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) &= T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(ss^{-1}, p) \\ &\geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p)\} \\ &= T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), \\ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) &= I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(ss^{-1}, p) \\ &\leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p)\} \\ &= I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(\acute{e}, p) &= F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(ss^{-1}, p) \\ &\leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p)\} \\ &= F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result is proved. □

Theorem 3.5. A Q -NSS (Γ_Q, A) over G is a Q -NSG if and only if for all $s, t \in G, p \in Q$ and $e \in A$

1. $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) \geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$,
2. $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) \leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$ and
3. $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) \leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}$.

Proof. Suppose that (Γ_Q, A) is a Q -NSG over G . By Definition 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) &\geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}, \\ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) &\leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}, \\ F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) &\leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, conditions 1,2 and 3 hold.

Conversely, suppose conditions 1,2 and 3 are satisfied. We show that for each $e \in A$ (Γ_Q, A) is a Q -neutrosophic subgroup of G . From Theorem 3.4 we have $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \geq T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p)$, $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p)$ and $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p)$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &= T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s(t^{-1})^{-1}, p) \\ &\geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \\ &\geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}, \\ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &= I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s(t^{-1})^{-1}, p) \\ &\leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \\ &\leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &= F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s(t^{-1})^{-1}, p) \\ &\leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t^{-1}, p)\} \\ &\leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.6. Let (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) be two Q -NSGs over G . Then, $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B)$ and $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cap (\Psi_Q, B)$ are also Q -NSGs over G .

Proof. We know that $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Theta_Q, A \times B)$, where for all $(a, b) \in A \times B$ and $(s, p) \in X \times Q$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}, \\ F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p) &= \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) are Q-NSGs over G , $\forall s, t \in G, p \in Q$ and $(a, b) \in A \times B$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(st, p) &= \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(st, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(st, p) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p) \right\}, \min \left\{ T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\}, \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p), T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(t, p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(st, p) &= \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(st, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(st, p) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p), I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(t, p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(st, p) &= \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(st, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(st, p) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(t, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p), F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(t, p) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s^{-1}, p) &= \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s^{-1}, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s^{-1}, p) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\} \\ &= T_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s^{-1}, p) &= \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s^{-1}, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s^{-1}, p) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\} \\ &= I_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s^{-1}, p) &= \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s^{-1}, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s^{-1}, p) \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(s, p) \right\} \\
 &= F_{\Theta_Q(a,b)}(s, p).
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B)$ is a Q-NSG. The proof of $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cap (\Psi_Q, B)$ is similar to the proof of $(\Gamma_Q, A) \wedge (\Psi_Q, B)$. □

Remark 3.7. For two Q-NSGs (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) over G , $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cup (\Psi_Q, B)$ is not generally a Q-NSG over G .

For example, let $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and $E = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Define the two Q-NSGs (Γ_Q, E) and (Ψ_Q, E) over G as the following for $s, m \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\Gamma_Q(2m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0.50 & \text{if } x = 4rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 I_{\Gamma_Q(2m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 4rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.25 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 F_{\Gamma_Q(2m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 4rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.10 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\Psi_Q(2m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0.67 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 I_{\Psi_Q(3m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.20 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 F_{\Psi_Q(3m)}(s, p) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 6rm, \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0.17 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\Gamma_Q, A) \cup (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Lambda_Q, E)$. For $m = 3, s = 12, t = 18$ we have

$$T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(12.18^{-1}, p) = T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(-6, p) = \max \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(6)}(-6, p), T_{\Psi_Q(6)}(-6, p) \right\} = \max \{0, 0\} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\min \left\{ T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(12, p), T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(18, p) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \max \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(6)}(12, p), T_{\Psi_Q(6)}(12, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(6)}(18, p), T_{\Psi_Q(6)}(18, p) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \max \{0.50, 0.67\}, \max \{0, 0.67\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \{0.67, 0.67\} = 0.67.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(12.18^{-1}, p) = 0 < \min \{T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(12, p), T_{\Lambda_Q(6)}(18, p)\} = 0.67$; i.e. $(\Lambda_Q, E) = (\Gamma_Q, A) \cup (\Psi_Q, B)$ is not a Q-NSG.

Theorem 3.8. *If (Γ_Q, A) is a Q-NSG over G , then $\square(\Gamma_Q, A)$ and $\diamond(\Gamma_Q, A)$ are Q-NSGs over G .*

Proof. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSG over G . Then, for each $e \in A, s, t \in G$ and $p \in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\square\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) &= 1 - T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st^{-1}, p) \\ &\leq 1 - \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \\ &= \max \{1 - T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), 1 - T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \\ &= \max \{F_{\square\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\square\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\square(\Gamma_Q, A)$ is a Q-NSG. Similarly, we can prove the second part. □

Definition 3.9. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSG over G . Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 3$. Then $(\Gamma_Q, A)_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is a Q-level soft set of (Γ_Q, A) defined by

$$(\Gamma_Q, A)_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = \left\{ s \in G, p \in Q : T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \geq \alpha, I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \leq \beta, F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \leq \gamma \right\}$$

for all $e \in A$.

The next theorem provides a bridge between Q-NSG and soft group.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSS over G . Then, (Γ_Q, A) is a Q-NSG over G if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ the Q-level soft set $(\Gamma_Q, A)_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \neq \phi$ is a soft group over G .*

Proof. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSG over G , $s, t \in (\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ and $p \in Q$, for arbitrary $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ and $e \in A$.

Then we have $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \geq \alpha, I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \leq \beta, F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p) \leq \gamma$. Since (Γ_Q, A) is a Q-NSG over G , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &\geq \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \geq \{\alpha, \alpha\} = \alpha, \\ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &\leq \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \leq \{\beta, \beta\} = \beta, \\ F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(st, p) &\leq \max \{F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t, p)\} \leq \{\gamma, \gamma\} = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $st \in (\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$. Furthermore $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \geq \alpha, I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq \beta, F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s^{-1}, p) \leq \gamma$. So, $s^{-1} \in (\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$. Hence $(\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is a subgroup over $G, \forall e \in A$.

Conversely, suppose (Γ_Q, A) is not a Q-NSG over G . Then, there exists $e \in A$ such that $\Gamma_Q(e)$ is not a Q-neutrosophic subgroup of G . Then, there exist $s_1, t_1 \in G$ and $p \in Q$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) &< \min \{T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p)\}, \\ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) &> \max \{I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) > \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \right\}.$$

Let us assume that, $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) < \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \right\}$. Let $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p) = \alpha_1$, $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) = \alpha_2$ and $T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) = \alpha_3$. If we take $\alpha = \min \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \}$, then $s_1 t_1^{-1} \notin (\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$. But, since

$$T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p) = \alpha_1 \geq \min \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \} = \alpha$$

and

$$T_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) = \alpha_2 \geq \min \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \} = \alpha.$$

For $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p) \leq \beta$, $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \leq \beta$, $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p) \leq \gamma$, $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \leq \gamma$, we have $s_1, t_1 \in (\Gamma_Q(e))_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$. This contradicts with the fact that $(\Gamma_Q, A)_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is a soft group over G .

Similarly, we can show that $I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) > \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \right\}$ and $F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1 t_1^{-1}, p) > \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(s_1, p), F_{\Gamma_Q(e)}(t_1, p) \right\}$. \square

4 Homomorphism of Q-neutrosophic soft groups

In the following, we define the Q-neutrosophic soft function (Q-NS fn), and then define the image and preimage of a Q-NSS under Q-NS fn. Moreover, we define the Q-neutrosophic soft homomorphism (Q-NS hom) and prove that the homomorphic image and pre-image of a Q-NSG are also Q-NSGs.

Definition 4.1. Let $g : X \times Q \rightarrow Y \times Q$ and $h : A \rightarrow B$ be two functions where A and B are parameter sets for the sets $X \times Q$ and $Y \times Q$, respectively. Then, the pair (g, h) is called a Q-NS fn from $X \times Q$ to $Y \times Q$.

Definition 4.2. Let (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) be two Q-NSSs defined over $X \times Q$ and $Y \times Q$, respectively, and (g, h) be a Q-NS fn from $X \times Q$ to $Y \times Q$. Then,

1. The image of (Γ_Q, A) under (g, h) , denoted by $(g, h)(\Gamma_Q, A)$, is a Q-NSS over $Y \times Q$ and is defined by:

$$(g, h)(\Gamma_Q, A) = \left(g(\Gamma_Q), h(A) \right) = \left\{ \left\langle b, g(\Gamma_Q)(b) : b \in h(A) \right\rangle \right\},$$

where for all $b \in h(A)$, $t \in Y$, $p \in Q$,

$$T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t, p) = \begin{cases} \max_{g(s,p)=(t,p)} \max_{h(a)=b} [T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p)] & \text{if } (s, p) \in g^{-1}(t, p), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t, p) = \begin{cases} \min_{g(s,p)=(t,p)} \min_{h(a)=b} [I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p)] & \text{if } (s, p) \in g^{-1}(t, p), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t, p) = \begin{cases} \min_{g(s,p)=(t,p)} \min_{h(a)=b} [F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p)] & \text{if } (s, p) \in g^{-1}(t, p), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

2. The preimage of (Ψ_Q, B) under (g, h) , denoted by $(g, h)^{-1}(\Psi_Q, B)$, is a Q-NSS over $X \times Q$ and is defined by:

$$(g, h)^{-1}(\Psi_Q, B) = \left(g^{-1}(\Psi_Q), h^{-1}(B) \right) = \left\{ \left\langle a, g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a) : a \in h^{-1}(B) \right\rangle \right\},$$

where, for all $a \in h^{-1}(B), s \in X, p \in Q$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s, p) &= T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s, p)), \\ I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s, p) &= I_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s, p)), \\ F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s, p) &= F_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s, p)). \end{aligned}$$

If g and h are injective (surjective), then (g, h) is injective (surjective).

Definition 4.3. Let (g, h) be a Q-NS fn from $X \times Q$ to $Y \times Q$. If g is a homomorphism from $X \times Q$ to $Y \times Q$, then (g, h) is said to be a Q-NS hom. If g is an isomorphism from $X \times Q$ to $Y \times Q$ and h is a one-to-one mapping from A to B , then (g, h) is said to be a Q-neutrosophic soft isomorphism.

Theorem 4.4. Let (Γ_Q, A) be a Q-NSG over a group G_1 and (g, h) be a Q-NS hom from $G_1 \times Q$ to $G_2 \times Q$. Then, $(g, h)(\Gamma_Q, A)$ is a Q-NSG over G_2 .

Proof. Let $b \in h(E), t_1, t_2 \in G_2$ and $p \in Q$. For $g^{-1}(t_1, p) = \phi$ or $g^{-1}(t_2, p) = \phi$, the proof is clear.

So, suppose there exist $s_1, s_2 \in G_1$ and $p \in Q$ such that $g(s_1, p) = (t_1, p)$ and $g(s_2, p) = (t_2, p)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) &= \max_{g(s,p)=(t_1 t_2, p)} \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p) \right] \\ &\geq \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1 s_2, p) \right] \\ &\geq \max_{h(a)=b} \left[\min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p) \right\} \right] \\ &= \min \left\{ \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p) \right], \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) &\geq \max_{g(s,p)=(t_1^{-1}, p)} \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p) \right] \\ &\geq \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p) \right] \\ &\geq \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since, the inequality is hold for each $s_1, s_2 \in G_1$ and $p \in Q$, which satisfy $g(s_1, p) = (t_1, p)$ and $g(s_2, p) = (t_2, p)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) &\geq \min \left\{ \max_{g(s_1,p)=(t_1,p)} \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p) \right], \max_{g(s_2,p)=(t_2,p)} \max_{h(a)=b} \left[T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p) \right] \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p), T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_2, p) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) \geq \max_{g(s_1, p) = (t_1, p)} \max_{h(a) = b} [T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p)] = T_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p).$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) \leq \max \left\{ I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p), I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_2, p) \right\}, I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) \leq I_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p),$$

$$F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) \leq \max \left\{ F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p), F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_2, p) \right\}, F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) \leq F_{g(\Gamma_Q)(b)}(t_1, p).$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.5. Let (Ψ_Q, B) be a Q -NSG over a group G_2 and (g, h) be a Q -NS hom from $G_1 \times Q$ to $G_2 \times Q$. Then, $(g, h)^{-1}(\Psi_Q, B)$ is a Q -NSG over G_1 .

Proof. For $a \in h^{-1}(B)$, $s_1, s_2 \in G_1$ and $p \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1 s_2, p) &= T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1 s_2, p)) \\ &= T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1, p)g(s_2, p)) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1, p)), T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_2, p)) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p), T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_2, p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p) &= T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1^{-1}, p)) \\ &= T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1, p)^{-1}) \\ &\geq T_{\Psi_Q[h(a)]}(g(s_1, p)) \\ &= T_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1 s_2, p) \leq \min \left\{ I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p), I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_2, p) \right\},$$

$$I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p) = I_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p),$$

$$F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1 s_2, p) \leq \min \left\{ F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p), F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_2, p) \right\},$$

$$F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p) = F_{g^{-1}(\Psi_Q)(a)}(s_1, p).$$

Thus, the theorem is proved. □

5 Cartesian product of Q-neutrosophic soft groups

In this section, we introduce the cartesian product of Q-NSGs and discuss some of its properties.

Definition 5.1. Let (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) be two Q-NSGs over the groups G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Then their cartesian product is $(\Gamma_Q, A) \times (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Omega_Q, A \times B)$ where $\Omega_Q(a, b) = \Gamma_Q(a) \times \Psi_Q(b)$ for $(a, b) \in A \times B$. Analytically,

$$\Omega_Q(a,b) = \left\{ \left\langle ((s, t), p), T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p), I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p), F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p) \right\rangle : s \in G_1, t \in G_2, p \in Q \right\}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p) &= \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\}, \\ I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p) &= \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\}, \\ F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s, t), p) &= \max \left\{ F_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s, p), F_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t, p) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. Let (Γ_Q, A) and (Ψ_Q, B) be two Q-NSGs over the groups G_1 and G_2 . Then their cartesian product $(\Gamma_Q, A) \times (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Omega_Q, A \times B)$ is also a Q-NSG over $G_1 \times G_2$.

Proof. Let $(\Gamma_Q, A) \times (\Psi_Q, B) = (\Omega_Q, A \times B)$ where $\Omega_Q(a, b) = \Gamma_Q(a) \times \Psi_Q(b)$ for $(a, b) \in A \times B$. Then for $((s_1, t_1), p), ((s_2, t_2), p) \in (G_1 \times G_2) \times Q$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)(s_2, t_2), p) &= T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1 s_2, t_1 t_2), p) \\ &= \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1 s_2, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p) \right\}, \min \left\{ T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_2, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p) \right\}, \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_2, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p), T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_2, t_2), p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)(s_2, t_2), p) &= I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1 s_2, t_1 t_2), p) \\ &= \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1 s_2, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1 t_2, p) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_2, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p) \right\}, \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_2, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_2, p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p), I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_2, t_2), p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

similarly, $F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)(s_2, t_2), p) \leq \max \left\{ F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p), F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_2, t_2), p) \right\}$.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)^{-1}, p) &= T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1^{-1}, t_1^{-1}), p) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ T_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), T_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p) \right\} \\ &= T_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p), \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)^{-1}, p) &= I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1^{-1}, t_1^{-1}), p) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1^{-1}, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1^{-1}, p) \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ I_{\Gamma_Q(a)}(s_1, p), I_{\Psi_Q(b)}(t_1, p) \right\} \\ &= I_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p), \end{aligned}$$

similarly, $F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1)^{-1}, p) \leq F_{\Omega_Q(a,b)}((s_1, t_1), p)$. Hence, this proves that $(\Gamma_Q, A) \times (\Psi_Q, B)$ is a Q-NSG over $G_1 \times G_2$. \square

6 Conclusions

A Q-NSS is a NSS over two-dimensional universal set. Thus, a Q-NSS is a set with three components that can handle two-dimensional and indeterminate data simultaneously. The main goal of the current work is to utilize Q-NSSs to group theory. This study conceptualizes the notion of Q-NSGs as a new algebraic structure that deals with two-dimensional universal set. Some relevant properties and basic characteristics are explored. We define the Q-level soft set of a Q-NSS, which acts as a bridge between Q-neutrosophic soft groups and soft groups. Also, the concepts of image and preimage of a Q-NSG are investigated. Moreover, the cartesian product of Q-NSGs is discussed. The defined notion serves as the base for applying Q-NSSs to different algebraic structures such as semigroups, rings, hemirings, fields, lie subalgebras, BCK/BCI-algebras and in hyperstructure theory such as hypergroups and hyperrings following the discussion in [50, 51, 52, 53]. Moreover, these topics may be discussed using t -norm and s -norm.

Acknowledgments: We are indebted to Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for providing support and facilities for this research under the grant GUP-2017-105.

References

- [1] F. Smarandache. Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, American Research Press: Rehoboth, IL, USA, 1998.
- [2] F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24(2005), 287–297.

- [3] L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets, *Inf. Control*, 8(1965), 338–353.
- [4] K. T. Atanassov. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 20(1986), 87–96.
- [5] D. Molodtsov. Soft set theory-first results, *Comput. Math. App.*, 37(1999), 19–31.
- [6] S. Alkhezaleh, A. R. Salleh, and N. Hassan. Soft multi sets theory, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 5(2011), 3561-3573.
- [7] S. Alkhezaleh, and A. R. Salleh. Soft expert sets, *Adv. Decis. Sci.*, 2011(2011), 757868.
- [8] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, and A. R. Roy. Fuzzy soft sets, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9(2001), 589–602.
- [9] P. K. Maji. Neutrosophic soft set, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 5(2013), 157–168.
- [10] A. Al-Quran, and N. Hassan. The complex neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, 34(2018), 569-582.
- [11] M. Sahin, S. Alkhezaleh, and V. Ulucay. Neutrosophic soft expert sets, *Appl. Math.*, 6(2015), 116-127.
- [12] V. Ulucay, M. Sahin, and N. Hassan. Generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making, *Symmetry*, 10 (2018), 437.
- [13] N. A. Nabeeh, F. Smarandache, M. Abdel-Basset, H. A. El-Ghareeb, and A. Aboelfetouh. An integrated neutrosophic-TOPSIS approach and its application to personnel selection: A new trend in brain processing and analysis, *IEEE Access*, 7 (2019), 29734-29744.
- [14] M. Abdel-Basset, M. Saleh, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache. An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number, *Applied Soft Computing*, 77 (2019), 438-452.
- [15] M. Abdel-Baset, V. Chang, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache. An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field, *Computers in Industry*, 106 (2019), 94-110.
- [16] M. Abdel-Basset, G. Manogaran, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache. A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection, *Journal of medical systems*, 43 (2019), 38.
- [17] M. Abdel-Baset, V. Chang, and A. Gamal. Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach, *Computers in Industry*, 108 (2019), 210-220.
- [18] M. Abdel-Basset, G. Manogaran, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache. A hybrid approach of neutrosophic sets and DEMATEL method for developing supplier selection criteria, *Design Automation for Embedded Systems*, 22 (2018), 1-22.
- [19] K. Mohana, V. Christy, and F. Smarandache. On multi-criteria decision making problem via bipolar single-valued neutrosophic settings, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst*, 25 (2019), 125-135.
- [20] F. Adam, and N. Hassan. Operations on Q-fuzzy soft set, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 8 (2014), 8697–8701.
- [21] F. Adam, and N. Hassan. Q-fuzzy soft set, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 8 (2014), 8689-8695.
- [22] M. Abu Qamar, and N. Hassan. Q-neutrosophic soft relation and its application in decision making, *Entropy*, 20(2018), 172.
- [23] J. Ye, Z. Fang, and W. Cui. Vector similarity measures of Q-linguistic neutrosophic variable sets and their multi-attribute decision making method, *Symmetry*, 10(2018), 531.
- [24] M. Abu Qamar, and N. Hassan. An approach toward a Q-neutrosophic soft set and its application in decision making, *Symmetry*, 11(2019), 139.
- [25] M. Abu Qamar, and N. Hassan. Entropy, measures of distance and similarity of Q-neutrosophic soft sets and some applications, *Entropy*, 20(2018), 672.

- [26] M. Abu Qamar, and N. Hassan. Generalized Q-neutrosophic soft expert set for decision under uncertainty, *Symmetry*, 10(2018), 621.
- [27] A. Rosenfeld. Fuzzy groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 35(1971), 512-517.
- [28] N. P. Mukherjee, and P. Bhattacharya. Fuzzy groups: some grouptheoretic analogs, *Inf. Sci.*, 39(1986), 247-268.
- [29] P. K. Sharma. Intuitionistic fuzzy groups, *IFRSA Int. J. Data Warehous Min.*, 1(2011), 86-94.
- [30] H. Aktas, and N. Cagman. Soft sets and soft groups, *Inf. Sci.*, 177(2007), 2726-2735.
- [31] A. Aygunoglu, and H. Aygun. Introduction to fuzzy soft groups, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58(2009), 1279-1286.
- [32] A. Al-Masarwah, and A. G. Ahmad. m -polar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, *J. King Saud Univ.-Sci.*, 2018, doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2018.10.002.
- [33] A. Al-Masarwah, and A. G. Ahmad. On some properties of doubt bipolar fuzzy H-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 11(2018), 652-670.
- [34] A. Al-Masarwah, and A. G. Ahmad. Novel concepts of doubt bipolar fuzzy H-ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, *Int. J. Innov. Comput. Inf. Control*, 14(2018), 2025-2041.
- [35] G. Muhiuddin, F. Smarandache, Y. B. Jun. Neutrosophic quadruple ideals in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 25 (2019), 161-173 .
- [36] F. Feng, B. J. Young, and X. Zhao. Soft semirings, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 56(2008), 2621-2628.
- [37] A. Al-Masarwah, and A. G. Ahmad. Doubt bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *J. Math. Anal.*, 9(2018), 9-27.
- [38] R. A. Borzooei, M. M. Takallo, F Smarandache, Y. B. Jun. Positive implicative BMBJ-neutrosophic ideals in BCK-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 23 (2018), 126-141.
- [39] V. Cetkin, and H. Aygun. An approach to neutrosophic subgroup and its fundamental properties, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, 29(2015), 1941-1947.
- [40] T. Bera, and N. K. Mahapatra. Introduction to neutrosophic soft groups, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 13(2016), 118-127.
- [41] T. Bera, S. Broumi, and N. K. Mahapatra. Behaviour of ring ideal in neutrosophic and soft sense, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 25 (2019), 1-24.
- [42] T. Bera, and N. K. Mahapatra. (α, β, γ) -cut of neutrosophic soft set and its application to neutrosophic soft groups, *AJOM-COR*, 12(2016), 160-178.
- [43] T. Bera, and N. K. Mahapatra. normal soft groups, *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.*, 3(2017), 3047.
- [44] F. Smarandache, and M. Ali. Neutrosophic triplet group, *Neural Comput. & Applic.*, 29(2018), 595.
- [45] M. Ali, F. Smarandache, and M. Khan. Study on the development of neutrosophic triplet ring and neutrosophic triplet field, *Mathematics*, 6(2018), 46.
- [46] T. Bera, and N. K. Mahapatra. On neutrosophic soft prime ideal, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 20(2018), 54-75.
- [47] A. Solairaju, and R. Nagarajan. A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 4(2009), 23-29.
- [48] S. Thiruvani, and A. Solairaju. Neutrosophic Q-fuzzy subgroups, *Int. J. Math. And Appl.*, 6(2018), 859-866.

- [49] R. Rasuli. Characterization of Q-fuzzy subrings (Anti Q-fuzzy subrings) with respect to a T-norm (T-conorm), J. Inf. Optim. Sci., 39(2018), 827-837.
- [50] N. Yaqoob, M. Akram, and M. Aslam. Intuitionistic fuzzy soft groups induced by (t, s) norm, Indian J. Sci. Technol., 6(2013), 4282-4289.
- [51] M. Akram, A. Farooq, and K. P. Shum. On m -polar fuzzy lie subalgebras, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 36(2016), 445-454.
- [52] A. Al-Masarwah, and A. G. Ahmad. m -Polar (α, β) -fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Symmetry, 11(2019), 44.
- [53] J. Zhan, W. A. Dudek, and J. A. Neggers. New soft union set: Characterizations of hemirings, Int. J. Mach. Learn. Cybern., 8(2017), 525-535.

Received: February 16, 2019.

Accepted: April 30, 2019.