1-1-2018

Divergence measure of neutrosophic sets and applications

Nguyen Xuan Thao

Florentin Smarandache

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Divergence Measure of Neutrosophic Sets and Applications

Nguyen Xuan Thao[1], Florentin Smarandache[2]

[1]Faculty of Information Technology, Vietnam National University of Agriculture. Ha Noi, Viet Nam.
Email: nxthao@vnua.edu.vn

[2]Mathematics & Science Department, University of New Mexico. 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA.
Email: smarand@unm.edu

Abstract: In this paper, we first propose the concept of divergence measure on neutrosophic sets. We also provide some formulas for the divergence measure for neutrosophic sets. After that, we investigate the properties of proposed neutrosophic divergence measure. Finally, we also apply these formulas in medical problem and the classification problem.

Keywords: neutrosophic set, divergence measure, classification problem.

1 Introduction

The neutrosophic set [25] was first introduced by Smarandache as an extension of intuitionistic fuzzy set [1] and fuzzy set [36]. It is a useful mathematical tool for dealing with ambiguous and inaccurate problems [4-6, 10, 24, 26-35, 37]. So far, many theoretical and applied results have been exploited on neutrosophic sets as the similarity/distance measures of neutrosophic sets [7-9, 11, 17-19, 22]. Neutrosophic set is applied in the multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) problem [4-6, 10-16, 23]. A special case of neutrosophic set is Single valued neutrosophic set (SVNS) which introduced by Wang et al [29]. In 2014, Ye proposed distance-based similarity measures of single valued neutrosophic sets and their multiple attribute group decision making method [32]. In 2017, Ye studied cotangent similarity measures for single-valued neutrosophic sets and applied it in the MCDM problem and in the fault diagnosis of steam turbine [34].

In the study of the applications of fuzzy set theory, the measurements are focused heavily on research. Measurements are often used to measure the degree of similarity or dissimilarity between objects. One of the dissimilarity measures of fuzzy sets/intuitionistic fuzzy sets was recently investigated by investigators as a measure of the divergence of fuzzy sets [3, 12, 20, 21]. Divergence measures also have many applications in practical problem classes and give us interesting results [3, 12, 20, 21]. Some authors have applied divergence measure to determine the relationship between the patient and the treatment regimen based on symptoms, thereby selecting the most appropriate treatment regimen for each patient [3]. Divergence measure is also used in multi-criterion decision problems [3, 12, 20, 21].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of divergence measure of neutrosophic sets, called neutrosophic divergence measure. We also give some expressions that define the neutrosophic divergence measures. After that, we investigate the properties of them. Finally, we use these neutrosophic divergence measure to identify appropriate treatment regimens for each patient and use them in the sample recognition problem.

The article is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall the knowledge related to neutrosophic sets. In section 3, we introduce the concept of neutrosophic divergence measure and investigate their properties. We show some applications of neutrosophic divergence measures in section 4. In section 5, we give conclusion on neutrosophic divergence measure and its some development direction.

2 Preliminary

Definition 1. Neutrosophic set (NS) [28]:

$$A = \{(x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)) \mid x \in U\} \tag{1}$$

where $T_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is a trust membership function, $I_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is indeterminacy membership function, $F_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is falsity-membership function of A.

We denote $NS(U)$ is a collection of neutrosophic set on U. In which

$$U = \{(u,1,1,0) \mid u \in U\}$$

and

$$\varnothing = \{(u,0,0,1) \mid u \in U\}$$

For two set $A, B \in NS(U)$ we have:

- Union of A and B:

$$A \cup B = \{(x, T_{A \cup B}(x), I_{A \cup B}(x), F_{A \cup B}(x))\}$$

where

$T_{A \cup B}(x) = \max(T_A(x), T_B(x))$,

$I_{A \cup B}(x) = \min(I_A(x), I_B(x))$

and

$F_{A \cup B}(x) = \min(F_A(x), F_B(x))$

for all $x \in X$.

- Intersection of A and B:

$$A \cap B = \{(x, T_{A \cap B}(x), I_{A \cap B}(x), F_{A \cap B}(x))\}$$

where

$T_{A \cap B}(x) = \min(T_A(x), T_B(x))$,

$I_{A \cap B}(x) = \max(I_A(x), I_B(x))$

and

$F_{A \cap B}(x) = \max(F_A(x), F_B(x))$

for all $x \in X$.

- Subset: $A \subseteq B$ if only if

$T_A(x) \leq T_B(x), I_A(x) \geq I_B(x), F_A(x) \geq F_B(x)$

for all $x \in X$.

- Equal set: $A = B$ if only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.

- Complement of A:

$$A^C = \{(x, F_A(x), 1 - I_A(x), T_A(x)) \mid x \in U\}$$

3 Divergence measures of neutrosophic sets

Definition 2. Let A and B be two neutrosophic sets on U. A function $D: NS(U) \times NS(U) \to R$ is a divergence measure of neutrosophic sets if it satisfies the following conditions:

Div1. $D(A, B) = D(B, A)$,

Div2. $D(A, B) = 0$ iff $A = B$

Div3. $D(A \cap C, B \cap C) \leq D(A,B)$ for all $C \in NS(U)$,

Div4. $D(A \cup C, B \cup C) \leq D(A,B)$ for all $C \in NS(U)$.

We can easily verify that the divergence measures of neutrosophic sets are non-negative. Because, if we choose $C = \varnothing$ then conditions Div2 and Div3 in definition 2, then we have

$$D(A,B) \geq D(A \cap C, B \cap C) = D(\varnothing, \varnothing) = 0.$$

Now we give some divergence measures of Neutrosophic sets and their properties.

Definition 3. Let A and B be two neutrosophic sets on $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$. A function $D : NS(U) \times NS(U) \to R$ is defined as follows

$$D(A,B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [D_T^i(A,B) + D_I^i(A,B) + D_F^i(A,B)] \tag{2}$$

where

$$D_T^i(A,B) = T_A(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} + T_B(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} \tag{3}$$

$$D_I^i(A,B) = I_A(u_i) \ln \frac{2I_A(u_i)}{I_A(u_i) + I_B(u_i)} + I_B(u_i) \ln \frac{2I_B(u_i)}{I_A(u_i) + I_B(u_i)} \tag{4}$$

and

$$D_F^i(A,B) = F_A(u_i) \ln \frac{2F_A(u_i)}{F_A(u_i) + F_B(u_i)} + F_B(x_i) \ln \frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i) + F_B(u_i)}. \tag{5}$$

To proof that $D(A,B)$ is a divergence measure of neutrosophic sets we need some following lemma.

Lemma 1. Given $a \in (0,1]$. For all $z \in [0, 1-a]$ then

$$f(z) = a \ln 2a + (a+z) ln(2a + 2z) - (2a + z) \ln(2a + z) \tag{6}$$

is a non-decreasing function and $f(z) \geq 0$.

Proof.

We obtain $\dfrac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} = \ln(2a + 2z) - \ln(2a + z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in [0, 1-a]$. □

Lemma 2. Given $b \in (0,1]$. For all $z \in (0, b]$ then

$$f(z) = b \ln 2b + z \ln 2z - (b+z) \ln(b+z) \tag{7}$$

is a non-increasing function and $f(z) \geq 0$.

Proof.

We have $\dfrac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} = \ln 2z - \ln(b+z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in (0, b]$. □

Lemma 3. Given $a \in (0,1]$. For all $z \in [a, 1]$ then

$$f(z) = a \ln 2a - (a+z) \ln(a+z) + z \ln 2z \tag{8}$$

is a non-decreasing function and $f(z) \geq 0$.

Proof.

We have $\dfrac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} = \ln 2z - \ln(a+z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in [a, 1]$. □

Theorem 1. The function $D(A,B)$ defined by eq (2, 3, 4, 5) (in definition 3) is a divergence measure of two Neutrosophic sets.

Proof.

We check the conditions of the definition. For two Neutrosophic sets A and B on U, we have:

- Div1: $D(A,B) = D(B,A)$,

- Div2:

+ If $A = B$ we have $D_T^i(A,B) = D_I^i(A,B) = D_F^i(A,B) = 0$. So that $D(A,B) = 0$.

+ Assume that

$$D(A,B) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[D_T^i(A,B) + D_I^i(A,B) + D_F^i(A,B)] = 0$$

For each $u_i \in U$ we have $T_A(u_i) \le T_B(u_i)$ (or $T_A(u_i) \le T_B(u_i)$). So that, using Lemma 1 with $a = T_A(u_i), z = T_B(u_i) - T_A(u_i)$ (if $T_A(u_i) \le T_B(u_i)$) we have

$$f(z) = a\ln 2a + (a+z)ln(2a+2z) - (2a+z)\ln(2a+z)$$
$$= a\ln\frac{2a}{2a+z} + (a+z)\ln\frac{2(a+z)}{2a+z} \ge 0$$

We obtain

$$D_T^i(A,B) = T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} + T_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} \ge 0$$

and $D_T^i(A,B) = 0$ if only if $z = T_B(u_i) - T_A(u_i) = 0$ i.e. $T_B(u_i) = T_A(u_i)$.

By same way, we also obtain $D_I^i(A,B) \ge 0$ and $D_I^i(A,B) = 0$ if only if $I_B(u_i) = I_A(u_i)$; $D_F^i(A,B) \ge 0$ and $D_F^i(A,B) = 0$ if only if $F_B(u_i) = F_A(u_i)$. Those imply that $D(A,B) = 0$ if only if $A = B$.

- Div3. For all $C \in NS(U)$ and for all $u_i \in U, (i = 1,2,...,n)$. Because of the symmetry of divergence measures, we can consider the following cases:
- With falsity-membership function we have:

+ If $T_A(u_i) \le T_B(u_i) \le T_C(u_i)$ then $T_{A\cap C}(u_i) = T_A(u_i)$ and $T_{B\cap C}(u_i) = T_B(u_i)$ so that

$D_T^i(A\cap C, B\cap C)$

$= T_{A\cap C}(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_{A\cap C}(u_i)}{T_{A\cap C}(u_i) + T_{B\cap C}(u_i)} + T_{B\cap C}(u_i)\ln\frac{T_{B\cap C}(u_i)}{T_{A\cap C}(u_i) + T_{B\cap C}(u_i)}$

$= T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} + T_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)}$

$= D_T^i(A,B)$

+ If $T_A(u_i) \le T_C(u_i) \le T_B(u_i)$ then $T_{A\cup C}(u_i) = T_C(u_i)$ and $T_{B\cup C}(u_i) = T_B(u_i)$. So that, according the lemma 3 with $a = T_A(u_i)$, we have

$D_T^i(A\cap C, B\cap C)$

$= T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_C(u_i)} + T_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_C(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_C(u_i)}$

$$\leq T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)}+T_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)}$$

$$= D_T^i(A,B)$$

+ If $T_C(u_i)\leq T_A(u_i)\leq T_B(u_i)$ then $T_{A\cap C}(u_i)=T_{B\cap C}(u_i)=T_C(u_i)$ and $T_B(u_i)=T_C(u_i)+z$ with $z\in[0,1-T_A(u_i)]$ so that according the lemma 1 we have

$$D_T^i(A\cap C,B\cap C)$$

$$=T_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_C(u_i)}{T_C(u_i)+T_C(u_i)}+T_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_C(u_i)}{T_C(u_i)+T_C(u_i)}=0$$

$$\leq T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{2T_A(u_i)+z}+T_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)+2z}{2T_A(u_i)+z}$$

$$=T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)}+T_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)}$$

$$=D_T^i(A,B).$$

- With indeterminacy membership function: we prove similarly to the case of falsity-membership function.
- With falsity membership function, we have:

+ If $F_A(u_i)\leq F_B(u_i)\leq F_C(u_i)$ then $F_{A\cap C}(u_i)=F_C(u_i)$ and $F_{B\cap C}(u_i)=F_C(u_i)$ so that according lemma 1 we have

$$D_F^i(A\cap C,B\cap C)$$

$$=F_{A\cap C}(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_{A\cap C}(u_i)}{F_{A\cap C}(u_i)+F_{B\cap C}(u_i)}+F_{B\cap C}(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_{B\cap C}(u_i)}{F_{A\cap C}(u_i)+F_{B\cap C}(u_i)}$$

$$=F_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_C(u_i)}{F_C(u_i)+F_C(u_i)}+F_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_C(u_i)}{F_C(u_i)+F_C(u_i)}=0$$

$$\leq F_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}+F_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}$$

$$=D_F^i(A,B)$$

+ If $F_A(u_i)\leq F_C(u_i)\leq F_B(u_i)$ then $F_{A\cup C}(u_i)=F_C(u_i)$ and $F_{B\cup C}(u_i)=F_B(u_i)$. So that, according the lemma 2 with $b=F_B(u_i)$ we have

$$D_F^i(A\cap C,B\cap C)$$

$$=F_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_C(u_i)}{F_C(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}+F_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_C(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}$$

$$\leq F_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_A(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}+F_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}$$

$$=D_F^i(A,B).$$

+ If $F_C(u_i)\leq F_A(u_i)\leq F_B(u_i)$ then according the lemma 1 we have

$$D_F^i(A\cap C,B\cap C)$$

$$=F_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_A(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}+F_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}$$

$$=D_F^i(A,B).$$

Now, we add that with respect to the respective components we have
$D(A \cap C, B \cap C)$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [D_T^i(A \cap C, B \cap C) + D_I^i(A \cap C, B \cap C) + D_F^i(A \cap C, B \cap C)]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [D_T^i(A, B) + D_I^i(A, B) + D_F^i(A, B)]$$

$$= D(A, B)$$

- Div4. We perform as Div 3. □

Now we consider some properties of the divergence measures defined in definition 3.

Theorem 2. For all Neutrosophic set $A, B \in PFS(U)$. We have

(D1) For all $A \subseteq B$, or $B \subseteq A$ we have
$$D(A \cap B, B) = D(A, A \cup B) \leq D(A, B),$$

(D2) $D(A \cap B, A \cup B) = D(A, B)$,

(D3) For all $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ we have
$$D(A, B) \leq D(A, C),$$

(D4) For all $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ we have
$$D(B, C) \leq D(A, C).$$

Proof.

(D1). If $A \subseteq B$ then $D(A \cap B, B) = D(A, B)$ so that, we have
$$D(A, A \cup B) = D(A, B).$$

If $B \subseteq A$ then $D(A \cap B, B) = D(B, B) = 0$ so that, we have
$$D(A, A \cup B) = D(A, A) = 0.$$

It means that if $A \subseteq B$, or $B \subseteq A$ we have
$$D(A \cap B, B) = D(A, A \cup B) \leq D(A, B).$$

(D2). Because of the symmetry of the divergence measure. We consider the cases:

+ If $T_A(u_i) \leq T_B(u_i)$ then we have

$D_T^i(A \cup B, A \cap B)$

$$= T_B(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} + T_A(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)}$$

$$= D(A, B),$$

+ if $T_B(u_i) \leq T_A(u_i)$ then we have

$D_T^i(A \cup B, A \cap B)$

$$= T_A(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)} + T_B(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i) + T_B(u_i)}$$

$$= D(A, B).$$

By the same consideration for indeterminacy membership function and falsity membership function, we obtain
$$D(A \cap B, A \cup B) = D(A, B),$$

(D3). For all $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ and for all $u_i \in U$ we have:

- With the falsity-membership function:

From condition $T_A(u_i) \le T_B(u_i) \le T_C(u_i)$ and lemma 2 we have:

$$D_T^i(A,B)$$

$$= T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)} + T_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_B(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_B(u_i)}$$

$$= T_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_A(u_i)}{T_A(u_i)+T_C(u_i)} + T_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2T_C(u_i)}{T_C(u_i)+T_A(u_i)}$$

$$= D_T^i(A,C),$$

-    With the indeterminacy membership function:

By the same way as falsity- membership function we have $D_I^i(A,B) \le D_I^i(A,C),$

-    With the falsity- membership function:

From condition $F_A(u_i) \ge F_B(u_i) \ge F_C(u_i)$ and lemma 3 we have:

$$D_F^i(A,B)$$

$$= F_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_A(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)} + F_B(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_B(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_B(u_i)}$$

$$\le F_A(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_A(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_C(u_i)} + F_C(u_i)\ln\frac{2F_C(u_i)}{F_A(u_i)+F_C(u_i)}$$

$$= D_F^i(A,C).$$

So that, we obtain the result $D(A,B) \le D(A,C).$

(D4). By the same way as (D4) using lemma 1, lemma 2 and lemma 3, it is easy to derive these results when considering specific cases. □

4 Applications of divergence measure of Neutrosophic set

In this section we apply the Neutrosophic divergence measures in the medical diagnosis and classification problems.

4.1 In the medical diagnosis

Now, we applied the Neutrosophic divergence measure for obtaining a proper diagnosis for the data given in Table 1 and Table 2. This data was modified from the data that introduced in [2]. Usage of diagnostic methods D = {Viral fever (A_1), Malaria (A_2), Typhoid (A_3), Stomach problem (A_4), Chest problem(A_5)} for patients with given values of symptoms S = {temperature (s_1), headache (s_2), stomach pain (s_3), cough (s_4), chest pain (s_5)}. In this case, the neutrosophic set is useful to handle them. Here, for each $A_k \in D, (k = 1,2,...,5)$, is expressed in form that is a neutrosophic set on the universal set $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\}$, see Table 1. The information of symptoms characteristic for the considered patients is given in Table 2. In which, for each patient $B_j (j = 1,2,3,4)$ is a neutrosophic set in the universal set $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\}$.

To select the appropriate diagnostic method we calculate the divergence measure between each patient and each diagnosis. After that, we chose the smallest value of them. This will be to give us the best diagnosis for each patient (Table 3).

The divergence measure of a diagnosis $A_k \in D(k = 1,2,...,5)$ for each patient $B_j (j = 1,2,3,4)$ is computed by using the Eq.(2), Eq.(3), Eq.(4), Eq.(5) as follows:

$$D(A_k, B_j) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[D_T^i(A_k, B_j) + D_I^i(A_k, B_j) + D_F^i(A_k, B_j)]$$

where

$$D_T^i(A_k, B_j) = T_{A_k}(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_{A_k}(u_i)}{T_{A_k}(u_i) + T_{B_j}(u_i)} + T_{B_j}(u_i) \ln \frac{2T_{B_j}(u_i)}{T_{A_k}(u_i) + T_{B_j}(u_i)}$$

$$D_I^i(A_k, B_j) = I_{A_k}(u_i) \ln \frac{2I_{A_k}(u_i)}{I_{A_k}(u_i) + I_{B_j}(u_i)} + I_{B_j}(u_i) \ln \frac{2I_{B_j}(u_i)}{I_{A_k}(u_i) + I_{B_j}(u_i)}$$

and

$$D_F^i(A_k, B_j) = F_{A_k}(u_i) \ln \frac{2F_{A_k}(u_i)}{F_{A_k}(u_i) + F_{B_j}(u_i)} + F_{B_j}(u_i) \ln \frac{2F_{B_j}(u_i)}{F_{A_k}(u_i) + F_{B_j}(u_i)}.$$

Table 1. Symptoms Characteristics for the Diagnosis

	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach Problem	Chest Problem
Temperature	(0.7,0.5,0.6)	(0.7,0.9,0.1)	(0.3,0.7,0.2)	(0.1,0.6,0.7)	(0.1,0.9,0.8)
Headache	(0.8,0.2,0.9)	(0.4,0.5, 0.5)	(0.6,0.9,0.2)	(0.7,0.4,0.3)	(0.1,0.6,0.7)
Somach pain	(0.8,1,0.1)	(0.5,0.9,0.2)	(0.2,0.5,0.5)	(0.7,0.7,0.8)	(0.5,0.7,0.6)
Cough	(0.45,0.8,0.7)	(0.7,0.8,0.6)	(0.2,0.5,0.5)	(0.2,0.8,0.65)	(0.2,0.8,0.6)
Chest pain	(0.2,0.6,0.5)	(0.1,0.6,0.8)	(0.1,0.8,0.8)	(0.5,0.8,0.6)	(0.8,0.8,0.2)

Table 2. Symptoms Characteristics for the Patients

	Temperature	Headache	Stomach pain	Cough	Chest pain
Al (B_1))	(0.7,0.6,0.5)	(0.6,0.3,0. 5)	(0. 5,0. 5,0.75)	(0.8,0.75,0.5)	(0.7,0.2,0.6)
Bob (B_2)	(0.7,0.3,0.5)	(0.5,0.5,0.8)	(0.6,0. 5,0. 5)	(0.65,0.4,0.75)	(0. 2,0.85,0.65)
Joe (B_3)	(0.75,0.5,0.5)	(0.2,0.85,0.7)	(0.7,0.6,0.4)	(0.7,0.55,0. 5)	(0. 5,0. 9,0.64)
Ted (B_4)	(0.4,0.7,0.6)	(0.7,0.5,0.7)	(0.6,0.7,0.5)	(0.5,0.9,0.65)	(0.6,0.5,0.85)

The computed results of the divergence measures are listed in Table 3. From the results, we see that Al and Ted should use diagnostic methods corresponding to Stomach Problem, Bob use a Viral fever, Joe use a Malaria.

Table 3. Diagnosis results for the divergence measure using eq. (2)

	Viral fever	Malaria	Typhoid	Stomach Problem	Chest Problem
Al	0.81614	0.82946	1.14558	**0.75326**	1.10798
Bob	**0.49750**	0.59104	0.73430	0.79456	1.14038
Joe	0.75011	**0.60603**	0.89659	0.88206	0.79920
Ted	0.48722	0.61785	0.81009	**0.36199**	0.72614

4.2 In the classification problem

Assume that, we have m pattern $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_m\}$, in which each pattern is a Neutrosophic set on universal set $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$. Suppose that, we have a sample B with the given feature information. Our goal is to classify sample B into which sample. To solve this, we calculate the divergence measure of B with each pattern $A_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m)$. Then we choose the smallest value. It gives us the class that B belongs to.

Example 1. Assume that three are three Neutrosophic patterns in $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ as following

$A_1 = \{(u_1, 0.7, 0.7, 0.2), (u_2, 0.7, 0.8, 0.4), (u_3, 0.6, 0.8, 0.2)\}$

$A_2 = \{(u_1, 0.5, 0.7, 0.3), (u_2, 0.7, 0.7, 0.5), (u_3, 0.8, 0.6, 0.1)\}$

$A_3 = \{(u_1, 0.9, 0.5, 0.1), (u_2, 0.7, 0.6, 0.4), (u_3, 0.8, 0.5, 0.2)\}$

Assume that a sample

$B = \{(u_1, 0.7, 0.8, 0.4), (u_2, 0.8, 0.5, 0.3), (u_3, 0.5, 0.8, 0.5)\}$

Using the divergence measure in Eq.(2) we have $D(A_1, B) = 0.15372$, $D(A_2, B) = 0.26741$ $D(A_3, B) = 0.29516$.

So that we can classifies that B belongs to class A_1.

5 Conclusion

Neutrosophic set theory is more and more interested by researches. There are many theoretical and applied results on Neutrosophic sets that are built and developed. In this paper, we study the divergence measure of Neutrosophic sets. Along with that, we offer some divergence formulas on Neutrosophic sets and give some properties of these measurements. Finally we apply the proposed measures in some cases.

In the future, we will continue to study this measure and offer some of their applications in other areas such as image segmentation or multi-criteria decision making.

.

References

[1]. K. Atanassov. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. Fuzzy set and systems. 20, 1986, 87-96.
[2]. A. Aydoğdu. On similarity and entropy of single valued neutrosophic sets. *Gen. Math. Notes*, *29*(1), 2015, 67-74.
[3]. S.K De, R. Biswas, A.R. Roy. An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis. Fuzzy sets and Systems, 117(2), 209-213.
[4]. P. Biswas, S. Pramanik, B.C. Giri. Entropy Based Grey Relational Analysis Method for Multi-Attribute Decision Making under Single Valued Neutrosophic Assessments, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 2, 2014, pp. 102-110. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571363.
[5] P. Biswas, S. Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri. A New Methodology for Neutrosophic Multi-Attribute Decision making with Unknown Weight Information, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 3, 2014, pp. 42-50. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571212.
[6]. P. Biswas, S. Pramanik, BC. Giri, (2016). TOPSIS method for multi-attribute group decision making under single-valued neutrosophic environment. Neural computing and Applications, 27 (3), 2016, 727-737.
[7] S. Broumi, F. Smarandache: Several Similarity Measures of Neutrosophic Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 1, 2013, pp. 54-62. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571755
[8]. S. Broumi, F Smarandache. New distance and similarity measures of interval neutrosophic sets. (2014). Infinite Study.
[9]. S. Broumi, F Smarandache. Extended hausdorff distance and similarity measures for neutrosophic refined sets and their application in medical diagnosis. *Journal of New Theory*, 7, 2015, 64-78.
[10]. S. Dalapati, S. Pramanik, S. Alam, F. Smarandache, T. Roy. IN-cross Entropy Based MAGDM Strategy under Interval Neutrosophic Set Environment, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 18, 2017, pp. 43-57. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1175162.
[11] H.L. Huang. New Distance Measure of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Its Application. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, *31*(10), 2016, 1021-1032.
[12]. A.R. Mishra, R. Kumari, D.K Sharma. Intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure-based multi-criteria decision-making method. Neural Computing and Application, 2017, 1-16.
[13]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik. Multi-criteria Group Decision Making Approach for Teacher Recruitment in Higher Education under Simplified Neutrosophic Environment, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 6, 2014, pp. 28-34. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571479.
[14]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik. Neutrosophic Decision Making Model of School Choice, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 7, 2015, pp. 62-68. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571507.
[15]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik. Neutrosophic Tangent Similarity Measure and Its Application to Multiple Attribute Decision Making, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 9, 2015, pp. 80-87. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571578.
[16]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik. Neutrosophic Decision Making Model for Clay-Brick Selection in Construction Field Based on Grey Relational Analysis, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 9, 2015, pp. 64-71. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.34864.
[17]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik, B.C. Giri. Interval Neutrosophic Tangent Similarity Measure Based MADM strategy and its Application to MADM Problems, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 19, 2018, pp. 46-56. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235201.
[18]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik, B.C. Giri: Single Valued Neutrosophic Hyperbolic Sine Similarity Measure Based MADM Strategy, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 20, 2018, pp. 3-11. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235383.

[19]. K. Mondal, S. Pramanik, B.C. Giri: Hybrid Binary Logarithm Similarity Measure for MAGDM Problems under SVNS Assessments, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 20, 2018, pp. 12-25. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235365
[20]. I. Montes, N.R. Pal, V. Jani, S. Montes. Divergence measures for intuitionistic fuzzy sets. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 23(2), 2015, 444-456.
[21]. A. Ohlan. A new generalized fuzzy divergence measure and applications. Fuzzy Information and Engineering 7(4), 2015, 507-523.
[22]. S. Pramanik, P. Biswas, B.C. Giri. Hybrid Vector Similarity Measures and Their Applications to Multi-attribute Decision Making under Neutrosophic Environment. Neural Computing and Applications 28 (5), 2017,1163-1176. DOI 10.1007/s00521-015-2125-3
[23]. S. Pramanik, S. Dalapati, S. Alam, F. Smarandache, and T.K. Roy. NS-cross entropy based MAGDM under single valued neutrosophic set environment. *Information*, 9(2), 2018, 37. doi:10.3390/info9020037.
[24]. G. Shahzadi, M. Akram, A. B. Saeid. An Application of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets in Medical Diagnosis, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 18, 2017, pp. 80-88. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1175158
[25]. F. Smarandache . Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105 p., 1998; http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBook-neutrosophics6.pdf (last edition online);
[26]. F. Smarandache, S. Pramanik, (Eds). New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications. Brussels: Pons Editions, 2016.
[27]. F. Smarandache, S. Pramanik, (Eds). New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications. *Vol. II*. Brussels: Pons Editions, 2018.
[28]. H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, R. Sunderraman. Single valued neutrosophic sets. *Multispace and Multistructure*, 4, 2014, 410–413.
[29]. J. Ye: Another Form of Correlation Coefficient between Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Its Multiple Attribute Decision Making Method, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 1, 2013, pp. 8-12. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571265
[30]. J. Ye and Q. Zhang: Single Valued Neutrosophic Similarity Measures for Multiple Attribute Decision-Making, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 2, 2014, pp. 48-54. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571756.
[31]. J. Ye Clustering methods using distance-based similarity measures of single-valued neutrosophic sets. *Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 23(4), 2014, 379-389.
[32]. J. Ye. Multiple attribute group decision-making method with completely unknown weights based on similarity measures under single valued neutrosophic environment. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 27(6), 2014, 2927-2935.
[33]. S. Ye, J. Fu, and J. Ye. Medical diagnosis using distance-based similarity measures of single valued neutrosophic multisets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 7, 2015, 47-52.
[34]. J. Ye. Single-valued neutrosophic similarity measures based on cotangent function and their application in the fault diagnosis of steam turbine. *Soft Computing*, 21(3), 2017, 817-825.
[35]. J. Ye. Single-valued neutrosophic clustering algorithms based on similarity measures. *Journal of Classification*, 34(1), 2017, 148-162.
[36]. L.A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets, Information and control 8(3), 1965, 338-353.
[37]. Z. Zhang, Chong Wu: A novel method for single-valued neutrosophic multi-criteria decision making with incomplete weight information, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 4, 2014, pp. 35-49. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571269

[38] Arindam Dey, Said Broumi, Le Hoang Son, Assia Bakali, Mohamed Talea, Florentin Smarandache, "A new algorithm for finding minimum spanning trees with undirected neutrosophic graphs", Granular Computing (Springer), pp.1-7, 2018.
[39] S Broumi, A Dey, A Bakali, M Talea, F Smarandache, LH Son, D Koley, "Uniform Single Valued Neutrosophic Graphs", Neutrosophic sets and systems, 2017.
[40] S Broumi, A Bakali, M Talea, F Smarandache, A. Dey, LH Son, "Spanning tree problem with Neutrosophic edge weights", in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on intelligence computing in data science, Elsevier, science direct, Procedia computer science, 2018.
[41] Said Broumi; Arindam Dey; Assia Bakali; Mohamed Talea; Florentin Smarandache; Dipak Koley,"An algorithmic approach for computing the complement of intuitionistic fuzzy graphs" 2017 13th International Conference on Natural Computation, Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (ICNC-FSKD)
[42] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Smarandache, F., & Chang, V. (2018). Neutrosophic Association Rule Mining Algorithm for Big Data Analysis. *Symmetry*, 10(4), 106.
[43] Abdel-Basset, M., & Mohamed, M. (2018). The Role of Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Rough Sets in Smart City: Imperfect and Incomplete Information Systems. Measurement. Volume 124, August 2018, Pages 47-55 [44] Abdel-Basset, M., Gunasekaran, M., Mohamed, M., & Smarandache, F. A novel method for solving the fully neutrosophic linear programming problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1-11.
[45] Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2018). A hybrid approach of neutrosophic sets and DEMATEL method for developing supplier selection criteria. *Design Automation for Embedded Systems*, 1- 22.

[46] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., & Chang, V. (2018). NMCDA: A framework for evaluating cloud computing services. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *86*, 12-29.

[47] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Zhou, Y., & Hezam, I. (2017). Multi-criteria group decision making based on neutrosophic analytic hierarchy process. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 33(6), 4055-4066.

[48] Abdel-Basset, M.; Mohamed, M.; Smarandache, F. An Extension of Neutrosophic AHP–SWOT Analysis for Strategic Planning and Decision-Making. Symmetry 2018, 10, 116.

[49] Abdel-Basset, Mohamed, et al. "A novel group decision-making model based on triangular neutrosophic numbers." Soft Computing (2017): 1-15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-017-2758-5

[50] Abdel-Baset, Mohamed, Ibrahim M. Hezam, and Florentin Smarandache. "Neutrosophic goal programming." Neutrosophic Sets Syst 11 (2016): 112-118.

[51] El-Hefenawy, Nancy, et al. "A review on the applications of neutrosophic sets." Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience 13.1 (2016): 936-944.