5-26-1961

Shock-Tube Determination of Dissociation Rates of Oxygen

John P. Rink

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/phyc_etds

Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Rink, John P.. "Shock-Tube Determination of Dissociation Rates of Oxygen." (1961). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/phyc_etds/
156

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Electronic Theses and Dissertations at UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics & Astronomy ETDs by an authorized administrator of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO-UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

A14429 087462

378.789

Un3Ori

1961

cop. 2

SEROLOGIC TUBERCULIN REACTION OF DISSEMINATION OF OXIGEN - RIMK

THE LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

Call No.

378.789

Un30ri

1961

cop.2

Accession
Number

274239

COMMON CONTENT

EXEMPT

WHITE BARK

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO LIBRARY

MANUSCRIPT THESES

Unpublished theses submitted for the Master's and Doctor's degrees and deposited in the University of New Mexico Library are open for inspection, but are to be used only with due regard to the rights of the authors. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may be copied only with the permission of the authors, and proper credit must be given in subsequent written or published work. Extensive copying or publication of the thesis in whole or in part requires also the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of the University of New Mexico.

This thesis by John P. Rink
has been used by the following persons, whose signatures attest their acceptance of the above restrictions.

A Library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

NAME AND ADDRESS

DATE

MILLERS FALLS
ERASE
COTTON CONTENT

MANUSCRIPT THESES

Unpublished theses submitted for the Master's and Doctor's degrees and deposited in the University of New Mexico Library are open for inspection, but are to be used only with due regard to the rights of the author. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may be copied only with the permission of the author, and proper credit must be given in subsequent written or published work. Extensive copying or publication of the thesis in whole or in part requires also the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of the University of New Mexico.

This thesis by John P. Rink
has been used by the following persons, whose signatures attest their acceptance of the above restrictions.

A library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

DATE

NAME AND ADDRESS

SHOCK TUBE DETERMINATION OF
DISSOCIATION RATES OF OXYGEN

by

John P. Rink

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Physics

The University of New Mexico
1961

This thesis, directed and approved by the candidate's committee, has been accepted by the Graduate Committee of the University of New Mexico in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

E. H. Castetter
Dean

Date

May 26, 1961

Thesis committee

Russell E. Duff
Chairman

Walter M. Cesano

Donald K. Kube

This thesis, directed by Professor [illegible]
mittes, has been accepted by the Graduate Committee of the
University of New Mexico in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

STATE OF TEXAS

Date

Thesis committee

Chairman

378.789

Un 30ri

1961

cop. 2

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author takes pleasure in acknowledging the invaluable aid received from Dr. Russell E. Duff, Dr. Herbert T. Knight, and Dr. Garry L. Schott. The author is indebted to Richard E. Johnston for his help in performing the experiments.

I also want to express appreciation to the Atomic Energy Commission and the University of California for the use of their facilities and equipment at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

274239

MEMORANDUM

The author has been in possession of the following information:

received from Mr. Russell E. Smith, Jr., Secretary of the

Mr. George L. Brown, Jr., Secretary of the

for the purpose of conducting the investigation.

I also want to express appreciation to the Atomic Energy Commission

and the University of California for the use of their facilities and

services of the Los Alamos Laboratory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures and Tables	<u>Page</u> iv
Abstract	v
I. Introduction	1
II. Theoretical Consideration	2
III. Experimental Procedures	5
IV. Results	15
V. Comparison with Other Results	21
VI. Conclusions	24
Appendix A	25
References	28

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Abstract

I. Introduction

II. Theoretical Background

III. Experimental Procedures

IV. Results

V. Comparison with Other Studies

VI. Conclusion

Appendix

References

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Block Diagram of Physical and Electrical Connections Along the Shock Tube	6
2.	Shape of Scintillator in Relation to Photomultiplier Tube	10
3.	X ray Absorption Curves	13
4.	Oscilloscope Record of Change in X ray Transmission Across Shock	17
5.	Same as Fig. 4, Except with Faster Sweep Speed	18
6.	Plot of Density Profile	19

List of Tables

I.	Resume of Experiments	16
II.	Recombination Rates of Oxygen at 3500°K	22

11/11/11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ABSTRACT

The rate of dissociation of oxygen in Xe-O₂ mixtures was measured over a temperature range of 3000°K to 6000°K. An x ray densitometer was used to measure the density during the dissociation process behind a shock wave. It was possible to match the experimental data with theoretical density profiles over a wide range of compositions and initial conditions. The reactions considered were

where M can be Xe, O₂, or O. Considering these species as third bodies, the measured recombination rates in cc²mole⁻²sec⁻¹ were $4.7 \times 10^{17} \text{ T}^{-1}$, $1.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ T}^{-1}$, and $4.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ T}^{-1}$ respectively. The third body efficiencies of O₂ and O relative to Xe are 3 and 10. Experimental conditions were such that an accurate measurement of the exponent of the temperature could not be made. However, since the data showed it to be within the limits of -1/2 and -2, a value of -1.0 was arbitrarily chosen. The agreement between results reported here and previous work demonstrates the potential utility of this method for kinetic studies of other reactions.

SHOCK TUBE DETERMINATION
OF DISSOCIATION RATES OF OXYGEN

I. Introduction

This study has a two-fold purpose--to demonstrate the feasibility of using x ray densitometry of shock waves to determine chemical reaction rates and to obtain an accurate, independent measurement of the dissociation rate of oxygen. Previous measurements of the dissociation rate of oxygen in shock tubes have employed techniques based on interferometric measurements of density^{1,2} and on ultraviolet light absorption to determine oxygen concentration^{3,4}. An independent method of determining reaction rates is presented here. Density as a function of time behind a shock wave in Xe-O₂ mixtures was measured by x ray absorption. Then an IBM 704 computer was utilized to calculate a density profile by integrating the simultaneous chemical kinetic equations which describe the system, subject to the appropriate hydrodynamic constraints. The reaction rate coefficients were deduced from the experimental data by obtaining agreement between the measured and calculated density profiles.

Rates have been determined in mixtures of 95% Xe - 5% O₂, 85% Xe - 15% O₂, 50% Xe - 50% O₂, and 30% Xe - 70% O₂ at pre-shock pressures from 9 mm Hg to 30 mm Hg. The temperature range for these experiments was 3000°K to 6000°K.

II. Theoretical Considerations

The equation for the overall rate of change of oxygen concentration at a given condition behind a shock wave in a mixture of Xe and O₂ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\partial [\text{O}_2] / \partial t)_v = & -k_{d1} [\text{O}_2] [\text{Xe}] - k_{d2} [\text{O}_2]^2 - k_{d3} [\text{O}_2] [\text{O}] \\
 & + k_{r1} [\text{O}]^2 [\text{Xe}] + k_{r2} [\text{O}]^2 [\text{O}_2] + k_{r3} [\text{O}]^3.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

Ozone was not considered as an intermediate in the dissociation process since it has been shown to be unimportant under the conditions of this experiment^{4,5}. If rotational and vibrational equilibrium are assumed, one can say that the rate coefficients in Eq. 1 are related to K, the equilibrium constant, by

$$K = k_{d1} / k_{r1} = k_{d2} / k_{r2} = k_{d3} / k_{r3}
 \tag{2}$$

Glick and Wurster⁶ and Losev⁴ have observed a separation between vibrational relaxation and the O₂ dissociation process in a shock tube. Camac and Vaughan³ have made a similar observation at temperatures below 8000°K. Above this temperature they found that the two rates become comparable. Thus the assumption that rotational and vibrational

equilibrium is established before appreciable dissociation occurs appears to be valid, and Eq. 2 should hold for the shock conditions used in this work.

Since the rate coefficients are related by Eq. 2, and the entire course of dissociation from the very early stages to equilibrium is considered in the data analysis, logically either the dissociation or the recombination rate coefficient may be used to define the reaction rate for a given third body. In this paper the rate coefficients are expressed in terms of the recombination rate, k_r , in the form

$$k_{r_i} = A_i T_i^m \quad (3)$$

The index differentiates between the three possible third bodies as indicated in Eq. 1. The activation energy for the recombination process is assumed to be zero which implies that the activation energy for dissociation is the dissociation energy.

Rate determinations were made by comparing calculated density-distance profiles behind shock waves with those obtained experimentally. the computation of a density vs distance profile was made with an IBM 704 code by Duff. He gives the following description of this code⁷. "Values of temperature, pressure, and volume are determined from the given concentrations and initial data in such a way that the hydrodynamic constraints are satisfied. These values of the thermodynamic variables and concentrations are used to calculate the rate of change of each component with time. The Runge-Kutta integration procedure is then used to determine concentrations for the next cycle. This procedure is repeated until the entire profile is determined."

conclusion is that the reaction is first order in the reactant.

appears to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

used in this work.

Since the rate of reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst

the rate of reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

considered in the literature is that the reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

the reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

rate for a given catalyst is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

expressed in terms of the rate of reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

The reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

indicated in the literature is that the reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

is assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

is a first order reaction in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

the comparison of a reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

the rate of reaction is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

Given concentration and catalyst is first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

assumed to be first order in the reactant and first order in the catalyst.

For these calculations the following data must be supplied: either a dissociation or recombination rate coefficient for each reaction pair considered; the shock velocity; and parameters specifying the state of the gas assuming vibrational and rotational equilibrium but no chemical reaction. These no-reaction parameters of pressure, density, temperature, and particle velocity behind the shock front for a given shock velocity were calculated in the usual way from the conservation laws for mass, momentum, and energy and the perfect gas law. Values of enthalpy and free energy as functions of temperature needed in these calculations were obtained from quartic polynomial fits of the O_2 data of Johnston et al.⁸ and the NBS tabulation for O atom⁹. The heat of formation of O was assumed to be 58.98 kcal/M¹⁰.

An iterative procedure was used to deduce the k_{r_1} from the experimental density-time profiles. The first step was to derive an approximate Xe rate by fitting the 95% Xe - 5% O_2 data. In this fitting the rates for O_2 and O as third bodies given by Camac and Vaughan³ were used, and the Xe rate was varied until agreement was obtained. Using this value for the Xe rate and the 30% Xe - 70% O_2 data, new values for the O_2 and O rates were obtained. This cycle was repeated until a consistent set of k_{r_1} was obtained which fit the experimental profiles equally well over the entire experimental range of composition and temperature. The profiles calculated for intermediate compositions lacked sensitivity to variations of a single rate coefficient. However, they were useful as an overall check of the final set of rates.

III. Experimental Procedures

The shock tube and x ray densitometer used for this experiment was originally designed and built by Knight and Venable¹¹ to measure densities in gaseous detonations. Since its conception there have been many modifications to the shock tube and auxiliary equipment to provide density measurements in shocked gases. A discussion follows of the important apparatus used in this experiment, some of which are unchanged from the original design.

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating the physical and electrical relationship of the components along the shock tube. The compression chamber was a cylindrical brass tube 4 ft long and 4 in i.d. It was separated from the steel diaphragm station by a micarta spacer which provided thermal insulation. This chamber was wrapped with eight, equally spaced, 110 v ac heating tapes so that the entire compression chamber could be heated to 100°C. Approximately 15 min was required to heat the compression chamber from room temperature to 100°C.

Heating the driver gas increased its sound speed. All other parameters being the same, this would produce a stronger shock than with unheated gas. This was a device to increase the shock strength while not exceeding the structural limitations of the valves, the thermocouple, and the micarta spacer connected to the compression chamber.

The diaphragm station was built with a circular tongue and groove at a larger diameter than the O-rings that provided the vacuum and pressure seal against the diaphragm itself. This tongue and groove gripped the diaphragm firmly and prevented wrinkling when high pressures

III. Experimental Procedure

The above tube was used for the experiment. The tube was sealed at both ends by means of a glass stopper. The tube was placed in a water bath and the water level was adjusted so that the tube was completely submerged. The tube was then heated by means of a Bunsen burner. The temperature of the water bath was measured by means of a thermometer. The pressure of the gas in the tube was measured by means of a manometer. The volume of the gas in the tube was measured by means of a graduated cylinder. The experiment was repeated for several different temperatures and pressures. The results of the experiment are shown in the following table.

Temperature (°C)	Pressure (atm)	Volume (L)
20	1.0	0.1
30	1.0	0.1
40	1.0	0.1
50	1.0	0.1
60	1.0	0.1
70	1.0	0.1
80	1.0	0.1
90	1.0	0.1
100	1.0	0.1

The results of the experiment show that the volume of the gas in the tube is independent of the temperature and pressure. This is in agreement with the ideal gas law, which states that the volume of a gas is proportional to the number of moles of gas, the temperature, and the pressure.

Fig. 1. A block diagram of the physical and electrical connections along the shock tube.

LOS ALAMOS
PHOTO LABORATORY

NEG. NO. 604510

PLEASE RE-ORDER
BY ABOVE NUMBER

were used. The diaphragm material used in these experiments usually consisted of one to four sheets of 0.005 in. mylar. For the strongest shocks, 0.032 in. copper plates variously scribed 0.010 in. to 0.015 in. deep were used. In all experiments helium driver gas pressure was raised until the diaphragm ruptured spontaneously. Bursting pressures varied from 80 to 600 psig. Helium was used instead of hydrogen to eliminate shock perturbations due to burning at the contact surface.

The expansion chamber was a circular cross-section tube, 3 in. i.d. and 15 ft in length. The two inch diameter port for the diffusion pump was located as close as possible to the diaphragm station to minimize the perturbation caused by the small departure from a cylindrical tube. The expansion chamber could be evacuated to a pressure of 0.4 micron of Hg as measured with a McLeod gage. The leak rate, measured prior to every experiment, was usually less than 1 micron in 5 min, and was never more than 1 micron of Hg in 3 min. Since ten minutes was the normal time from the start to completion of an experiment, the impurity level was negligible. The x ray station was located 14 ft from the diaphragm. The demountable x ray windows consisted of 0.005 in. beryllium mounted on 2 in. diameter brass plugs so that the beryllium was flush with the inside wall of the shock tube. The beryllium windows were attached to the brass plugs with A-1 epoxy cement. A fan shaped x ray beam passed through the lower window, 0.030 in. by 0.500 in., into the shock tube, out the upper window, 0.030 in. by 1.500 in., and on to the x ray detector, which will be discussed later.

Ionization probes¹² were used to detect arrival of the shock wave at various points along the shock tube. A probe consisted of two wires, exposed to the flow with an electrical potential between them. As the ionized particles in the shock front passed the wires, a current flowed between the wires which caused a voltage across a resistor in an attached circuit. This voltage signal was amplified and used as a velocity or trigger signal. The probes were modified by the addition of a 0.5 mm step which projected into the flow immediately downstream of the electrodes. A shock reflecting from this step produces a higher temperature and therefore more ionization, which results in a larger probe signal. This design markedly improved the performance of the ionization probes in the lower portion of the velocity range studied.

For velocity measurements the signals from the four velocity probes had to be fed to a single input at the raster scope. This was accomplished with a mixer. The mixer consisted of four cathode follower circuits with a common cathode resistor, giving four grid inputs and one cathode follower output to the raster scope. The distance between the first and last velocity probe was 1.114 m; the x ray station was located midway between the last two probes. Shock wave attenuation, measured for each experiment, amounted to approximately 1% per meter. The shock velocity at the x ray station was inferred with an accuracy of 0.2% from a curve of average velocity between successive pairs of probes vs position in the tube. This measurement was quite important since the no-reaction temperature depended strongly upon it. A 1% error in the shock velocity would result in a 1.5% to 1.9% error in the no-reaction temperature, depending upon the shock strength and initial composition.

The x ray power supply consisted of a 2.5 μ f condenser bank that could be discharged through a triggered spark gap, a 5 000 ohm limiting resistor, and the x ray tube to ground. The condenser bank was normally charged to 28.2 kv. With x ray tube currents of approximately 0.3 amp, the x ray anode voltage was 25 kv due to voltage drops across the spark gap and the current limiting resistor. The initial x ray trigger, as shown on Fig. 1, was split and one part delayed 500 μ sec. This delayed signal triggered a second spark gap which shorted the high voltage capacitor bank to ground through a 500 ohm current limiting resistor.

The cathode of the x ray tube consisted of a spiral filament of twenty turns of 0.020 in. tungsten wire. The defocusing obtained with the spiral filament cathode made it possible to draw higher x ray currents without high voltage breakdown or erosion of the anode. In addition very reproducible x ray signals were obtained when the x ray tube was pulsed.

The x ray detector consisted of a scintillator and a photomultiplier tube. The scintillator used was polystyrene containing 1% p - terphenyl, 0.05% α - phenylnaphthyloxazole, and 0.03% zinc stearate. It was attached to the photomultiplier tube with epoxy cement. The scintillator, as shown in Fig. 2, was given a very thin, slightly transparent, evaporated coat of aluminum on the exposed surfaces to increase the light gathering efficiency. The increase of light to the photomultiplier from the scintillator design and the increased x ray tube current produced saturation in the photocathode and the later amplification dynodes in the previous photomultiplier tube. A modified RCA 2020 photomultiplier tube with only 7 amplification stages eliminated these difficulties.

Fig. 2. Drawing of the aluminum coated scintillator
mounted on the photomultiplier tube.

LOS ALAMOS
PHOTO LABORATORY

NEG.
NO. 604511

PLEASE RE-ORDER
BY ABOVE NUMBER

During an experiment two oscilloscope traces of the x ray signal were obtained simultaneously. One showed the full x ray signal, including the zero transmission level. The other, at a five times faster sweep speed, showed only the shock front and dissociation region. Records of the anode voltage of the x ray tube and shock velocity signals were also obtained on every experiment. Monitor traces were obtained after each experiment by again pulsing the x ray tube. These monitor traces were used in the data reduction procedure to establish what the x ray output would have been in the absence of the shock.

It was impossible to place an ionization probe close enough to the x ray station to trigger directly the fast sweep, x ray transmission scope. A proportional delay was developed by W. W. Steger which gave a trigger pulse when the shock was approximately 30 mm in front of the x ray station regardless of the shock velocity. This delay utilized the time interval between signals from the two velocity probes directly in front of the x ray station in generating this delayed trigger pulse.

In order to determine the magnitude of the shock front curvature and/or tilt an end-plate of $3/4$ in. thick steel was fitted with 6 ionization probes ground flush with the end-plate. Of the 6 probes, 4 were arranged on one diameter and 3 on a diameter normal to the first-- a probe in the center was common to both diameters. Four of these probes were located on a circle with a radius of 1.44 in., and one probe was located 1.13 in. from the center. Signals from these probes were delayed from 1 to 6 μ sec at 1 μ sec intervals and displayed on an oscilloscope.

Calibration of the x ray absorption of Xe and O₂ gases was accomplished in a test cell having the same cross-sectional area as the shock tube. The beryllium windows used for the experiment were used in the calibration setup. The x ray beam was monitored in order that the initial transmission in the test cell did not vary during calibration. Xenon or oxygen was admitted to the test cell and the new transmission as well as the gas pressure were recorded. Calibration runs were made at x ray anode voltages of 25 kv and the results reproduced within 0.6% in transmission. The x ray anode voltage during shock experiments was held at 25 ± 0.1 kv. Separate calibration experiments and calculations were made which showed that in the worst possible case a 0.1 kv variation in the x ray anode voltage would have produced only a 0.2% change in the final density, an uncertainty small compared to other errors.

Absorption curve A - C in Fig. 3 was obtained for xenon and curve A - B for oxygen. Under the conditions of this experiment the measured absorption coefficient of O₂ was a constant; this fact was used in calculating the absorption curve for mixtures of Xe and O₂. Essentially, the absorption curves for the Xe - O₂ mixtures were calculated by adding the x ray absorptions due to the partial densities of the components of the mixture. A more detailed description of the procedure follows. The density, corresponding to an experimental point E in Fig. 3, from the xenon calibration curve is multiplied by the ratio of the total mixture density to the xenon density. This gives point F with an abscissa corresponding to the total mixture density and an

Fig. 3. X ray absorption curves for Xe, O₂ and
30% Xe - 70% O₂ mixture.

LOS ALAMOS
PHOTO LABORATORY

NEG.
NO. 604512

PLEASE RE-ORDER
BY ABOVE NUMBER

ordinate representing the absorption of the Xe present. The ratio of oxygen density to xenon density in the mixture is then multiplied by the absorption coefficient for oxygen and the density of xenon at point E. This gives the absorption of the oxygen present represented by the distance F - G in the same figure. Point G is then one point on the absorption curve for the Xe - O₂ mixture. This process is repeated using all the xenon calibration points. This defines the curve A - D, the absorption curve for a 30% Xe - 70% O₂ mixture.

The xenon used in the experiment was obtained from the Monsanto Chemical Company, Mound Laboratory, Miamisburg, Ohio and was 99.8% pure. It had an unnatural isotopic composition as follows: xenon 131--10.7%; xenon 132--16.9%; xenon 134--28.9%; and xenon 136--43.5%. The oxygen used was ordinary Linde tank grade, 99.8% pure. None of its impurities could have resulted in an observable change in the final rate values, since the impurities have been found to be less efficient than O₂ or O in producing dissociation¹.

Profiles representing the change in density as a function of distance behind the shock front were derived from photographs of oscilloscope traces of the amplitude of the detected x ray signal as a function of time. Pulse height as a function of distance from a reference point on the trace and a distance-time relationship for the film were read from the records using an optical comparator. This information was combined, by means of a simple IBM 704 code, with calibration, pertinent shock wave parameters and monitor trace data to yield the desired profiles.

The error in measured densities was usually less than 1%. However, in the oxygen rich mixtures it became necessary to go to lower initial pressures to obtain shocks strong enough to produce measurable dissociation. The lower initial density and smaller changes in transmission across the shock led to a larger but still less than 2% error in measured density as determined by a comparison of the observed and calculated equilibrium densities.

IV. Results

A total of sixteen experiments were performed with varying composition, initial temperature and pressure, and degree of dissociation. Details of the compositions, shock velocities, shock temperatures, and degrees of dissociation attained are reported in Table I. Typical x ray records from experiment No. 14 in Table I are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Figure 4 shows the entire x ray signal and Fig. 5 is a delayed expanded signal showing in more detail the region in the vicinity of the front of the same shock. The mixture was 95% Xe - 5% O₂ at an initial pressure of 19.9 mm Hg. The shock velocity was 1.229 mm/ μ sec. Figure 6 is a plot of the density profile calculated from these two records. The circles represent densities derived from the record in Fig. 4, and the X's are points from the record in Fig. 5. The solid line is the profile calculated using the rates determined by this work. The dotted lines represent a $\pm 15\%$ change in the Xe rate. The no-reaction density is observable in Figs. 4 and 5 as the start of the trace immediately following the abrupt change in x ray signal due to the shock. As can be seen from

The error in measured resistance was estimated to be 1.5 percent.

As the oxygen flow increased it became necessary to use a larger diameter

resistor to obtain the same voltage across the resistor as before.

The lower initial sensitivity and smaller change in resistance with

oxygen led to a larger but still less than 1% error in resistance.

As determined by a comparison of the observed and calculated values

the error was

IV. Results

A total of sixteen experiments were performed with varying

positions, initial temperature and pressure, and degree of

details of the experimental work reported, which are given in

Table I. The values of the resistance obtained are compared in Table I

with the values calculated from the theory. The error in the

theory is shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

shown in Table I. The error in the theory is

TABLE I

Resume of Experiments

<u>No.</u>	<u>Composition</u>		<u>T_o</u> °C	<u>P_o</u> mm Hg.	<u>Shock</u> <u>Velocity</u> mm/μsec	<u>% Dis. of O₂</u>	<u>No-Reaction</u> <u>Temperature</u> °K
	<u>% Xe</u>	<u>% O₂</u>					
1	30.11	69.89	25.6	20.0	1.576	0.9	2710
2	30.11	69.89	27.3	10.0	1.814	8.9	3436
3	30.11	69.89	26.8	10.3	1.858	11.0	3580
4	30.11	69.89	25.8	9.9	2.001	17.1	4062
5	50.02	49.98	28.0	20.2	1.757	14.7	4520
6	50.02	49.98	26.0	20.1	1.548	7.0	3621
7	50.02	49.98	27.6	20.2	1.498	5.6	3422
8	85.00	15.00	26.1	20.1	1.315	34.2	4459
9	85.00	15.00	25.8	19.9	1.339	37.6	4609
10	85.00	15.00	26.0	20.1	1.318	34.6	4478
11	85.00	15.00	25.3	30.4	1.239	22.8	3998
12	95.01	4.99	24.4	20.0	1.432	99.5	6014
13	95.01	4.99	23.5	20.1	1.340	97.3	5300
14	95.01	4.99	26.0	19.9	1.229	81.9	4510
15	95.01	4.99	24.3	29.9	1.179	70.7	4173
16	95.01	4.99	25.2	18.9	1.267	90.1	4774

Fig. 4. A typical oscilloscope record of the change in x ray transmission across a shock in a 95% Xe - 5% O₂ mixture at an initial pressure of 1.99 cm Hg. The three sweep traces from top to bottom are the x ray signal, a base line, and 100 μ sec timing marks. Shock velocity was 1.229 mm/ μ sec.

Fig. 5. Same signal as Fig. 4, except that a faster sweep speed was used - 10 μ sec timing marks. The base line is not displaced in this record.

Fig. 6. Plot of density profile. Circles represent density points from record in Fig. 4, and X's are points from record in Fig. 5. Solid line is profile using rates which fit all the experimental density profiles. The dotted lines represent a $\pm 15\%$ change in the Xe rate.

LOS ALAMOS
PHOTO LABORATORY

NEG.
NO. 604242

PLEASE RE-ORDER
BY ABOVE NUMBER

Fig. 6, this no-reaction point is 3.8 mm behind the shock front. This distance varied from 2 mm to 8 mm and was produced mainly by vibrational relaxation, but included transit time across the beam and response time of the electronic circuitry. End-plate measurements have eliminated the possibility of deviations from a planar shock contributing to this delay. At no time did wave curvature and/or tilt cause the wave front to deviate from a diametral plane by as much as the x ray slit width-- 3/4 mm. Normally these effects were less than half the slit width.

A set of rate coefficients, k_{r_i} , was found with which it was possible to fit all 16 experiments. The recombination rates for each of the third-bodies, Xe, O₂, O, as determined in this experiment are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{rXe} &= 4.7 \times 10^{17} \text{ T}^{-1} \pm 15\% \\ k_{rO_2} &= 1.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ T}^{-1} \pm 20\% \\ k_{rO} &= 4.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ T}^{-1} \pm 20\% \quad \text{cc}^2, \text{ mole}^{-2}, \text{ sec}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of the data obtained in this work revealed that the 3000°K - 6000°K temperature range covered was insufficient to do more than establish the limits of -1/2 to -2 for the temperature exponents, m_i . Therefore they were arbitrarily set equal to -1.0. The error limits were obtained by varying successively the recombination rates until the calculated density profiles deviated significantly from the experimental density profiles as shown in Fig. 6 for k_{rXe} . This procedure is legitimate because each of the three dissociation reactions predominates over the other two for some range of composition and extent of reaction.

V. Comparison with Other Results

The recombination rate coefficients evaluated at 3500°K reported by different investigators for various third bodies are presented in Table II. Camac and Vaughan³ utilized an ultraviolet light absorption technique to observe the rate of disappearance of O_2 behind shock waves in Ar-O_2 mixtures. From this information and knowledge of initial conditions and shock velocity, the reported rate coefficients were obtained. According to their report recombination reactions were not included in their calculations. This omission would tend to cause their rates to be too low. It should be noted that the value of 8 reported by Camac and Vaughan for the third body efficiency* of 0 relative to O_2 is in substantial disagreement with the value of 3 obtained here. Since our value was obtained by a detailed analysis of the entire dissociation process from the onset of reaction to equilibrium including the effects of recombination, I suggest that it is probably the more reliable result.

Byron¹, using an optical interferometer and drum camera, measured the time required for half the density change due to dissociation to occur. From this he deduced rates of dissociation. He worked with both pure oxygen and oxygen diluted with argon. Recombination effects were not included in his calculations. Therefore, his results also are probably somewhat low. However the third body efficiency of 0 relative to O_2 reported by Byron is not in serious disagreement with my value. See Appendix A for the evaluation of Byron's recombination rates from his published data.

*In this paper the relative efficiency of one third body to another will be defined as the ratio of their respective rate coefficients at 3500°K .

V. Discussion with Other Results

The recombination rate coefficients evaluated at 1500 K reported

in different investigations for various fuel gases are presented in

Table II. Cases and Vandenbrouck's values are also included in this comparison

because to preserve the rate of decomposition of O_2 during such cases

in $Ar-O_2$ mixtures. From this information and knowledge of initial

conditions and initial velocity, the reported rate coefficients were

obtained. According to their report recombination reactions were not

included in their calculations. This reaction would lead to some change

rates to be too low. It should be noted that the value of 2 reported

by Cases and Vandenbrouck for the initial velocity of O_2 relative to O

is in excellent agreement with the value of 3 reported here. Since

our value was obtained by a slightly higher value of the initial velocity

process from the onset of reaction to equilibrium including the effects

of recombination, I suggest that it is probably the more reliable result.

From a value of initial translational and total energy, measured

the time required for half the energy change due to dissociation to occur.

From this we obtained rates of dissociation. It worked with both pure

oxygen and oxygen diluted with argon. Decomposition effects were not

included in the calculations. Therefore, the results are also probably

reliable. However, the initial velocity of O_2 relative to O

reported by Cases and Vandenbrouck is not in good agreement with the value

obtained here. The recombination rate coefficients are also in

excellent agreement.

In this paper the relative efficiency of one fuel gas to another will

be defined as the ratio of their recombination rate coefficients at 1500 K.

TABLE II

Recombination Rates of Oxygen at 3500°K

<u>Investigator</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Type Third Body</u>	<u>k_r, cc²mole⁻²sec⁻¹ at 3500°K</u>
This paper	x ray	O ₂	4.6 x 10 ¹⁴
		Xe	1.3 x 10 ¹⁴
		O	1.4 x 10 ¹⁵
Camac & Vaughan	Ultra-violet	O ₂	1.6 x 10 ¹⁴
		Ar	5.2 x 10 ¹³
		O	1.3 x 10 ¹⁵
Byron	Light-interferometer	O ₂	4.8 x 10 ¹⁴
		Ar	4.5 x 10 ¹³
		O	9.9 x 10 ¹⁴
Matthews	Light-interferometer	O ₂	8 x 10 ¹⁴
Losev	Ultra-violet	O ₂	2 x 10 ¹⁴
Chesick & Kistiakowsky	x ray	O ₂ - Xe	2 x 10 ¹⁴

TABLE II

Recombination Rates of Oxygen at 2500 K

Investigator	Method	Type of Body	at 2500 K
This paper	1.15	C	4.6×10^{-14}
		Ar	1.3×10^{-13}
		O ₂	1.4×10^{-13}
Green & Vanderschuer	Ultra-violet	O ₂	3.5×10^{-14}
		Ar	5.2×10^{-13}
		O	1.3×10^{-13}
		O ₂	4.8×10^{-14}
		Ar	1.5×10^{-13}
		O	7.8×10^{-14}
		O ₂	8×10^{-14}
		O	2×10^{-14}
		O ₂	2×10^{-14}

Matthews² obtained Mach-Zehnder interferograms of shocks in pure oxygen. From these he derived a density vs distance profile behind the shock. He then compared the experimental profiles with profiles calculated using a collision theory rate equation. He was the first to consider both dissociation and recombination in the analysis of his data. Matthews was unable to measure the rate of dissociation caused by the $O_2 - O$ collisions, so for his calculation he used Byron's work to obtain information concerning O to O_2 third body efficiency ratio. If the O to O_2 efficiency ratio were larger than that given by Byron, as reported by both Camac and Vaughan and this work, the rate quoted by Matthews would tend to be too high.

Losev⁴ employed ultra-violet absorption to measure the temperature distribution behind shocks in pure oxygen. He worked with strong shocks, 2.7 - 3 mm/ μ sec, and a low initial pressure of 7.6 mm of Hg. Under these conditions the results of Camac and Vaughan suggest that he was probably observing vibrational relaxation and dissociation simultaneously. The rate quoted in Table II was taken from a graph and is probably only accurate to 50%.

The rate reported by Chesick and Kistiakowsky¹³ is the average of only two experiments. There was no mention of any attempts to separate the rates of the different third bodies; so this rate is probably an average of effects of all third bodies present. A mixture of 25.1% Xe - 74.9% O_2 was used in their experiment.

VI. Conclusions

The combination of the x ray densitometer and an IBM 704 computer for data analysis has been shown to be adaptable to a study of the dissociation rate of oxygen. With a single set of rate coefficients it was possible to fit the density profiles of sixteen experiments in which the composition, initial pressure, and degree of dissociation were varied. Fair agreement with previous work is obtained. It is felt that this investigation demonstrates the feasibility of using this method in kinetic studies of other gases.

VI. Conclusions

The conclusions of the x-ray measurements and the TEM studies

for data analysis have been shown to be applicable to a study of the

kinetic rate of oxygen. With a study of rate of reaction

it was possible to fit the kinetic profiles of various experiments in

which the temperature, initial pressure, and degree of conversion

were varied. This agreement with previous work is obtained. It is

felt that this investigation demonstrates the feasibility of using

this method in kinetic studies of other gases.

Appendix A

The following calculations were made in obtaining the recombination rates attributed to Byron¹. His results were reported in terms of the following equation and constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D[O]}{D \cdot t} = & 2 (\rho/m)^2 D^2 \bar{v} \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) \left\{ K_1 C_1^2 \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{n_1!} (T_{DIS}/T)^{n_1} \times 1.052 \right. \\ & + K_2 \frac{C_1(1-C_1)(1-\alpha)}{n_2!} (T_{DIS}/T)^{n_2} \\ & \left. + K_3 C_1^2 (1-\alpha) (T_{DIS}/T) \times 1.304 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$D = 3.64 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}; \quad \bar{v} = (8\pi kT/\mu_{12})^{1/2}; \quad \mu_{12} = m_{O_2} m_{Ar} / (m_{O_2} + m_{Ar});$$

$$T_{DIS} = E_{DIS}/R; \quad n_1 = 2.0; \quad n_2 = 1.0; \quad K_1 = 0.24; \quad K_2 = 0.10; \quad K_3 = 1.7.$$

The concentrations of the three components Ar, O₂, and O are

$$[Ar] = \rho/m (1 - C) \quad (2)$$

$$[O_2] = \rho/m C (1 - \alpha) \quad (3)$$

$$[O] = 2 \rho/m C \alpha, \quad (4)$$

where C is the fraction by volume of O₂, α is the degree of O₂ dissociation, and m is the average weight per particle of gas mixture.

To obtain the dissociation rates one needs to put Eq. 1 in the following form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{D[O]}{D t} = - \frac{D[O_2]}{D t} = k_{d_{Ar}} [Ar][O_2] + k_{d_{O_2}} [O_2] + k_{d_O} [O][O_2] . \quad (5)$$

Using the Eqs. 3, 4, and 5 for the concentrations of the three components and regrouping, Eq. 1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{D[O]}{D t} = & \chi_2 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T) \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) [Ar][O_2] \\ & + 1.052/4 \chi_1 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T)^2 \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) [O_2] \\ & + 1.304 \chi_3 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T) \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) [O][O_2] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From Eq. 6 one obtains the following relationships for the k_{d_i} .

$$k_{d_{Ar}} = \chi_2 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T) \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) \quad (7)$$

$$k_{d_{O_2}} = 1.052/4 \chi_1 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T) \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) \quad (8)$$

$$k_{d_O} = 1.304 \chi_3 D^2 \bar{v} (T_{DIS}/T) \exp (-T_{DIS}/T) \quad (9)$$

To obtain the distribution of $\hat{\beta}$ we need to find $\hat{\beta}$ in the

following form:

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (1)$$

Using the Eqs. 1, 2, and 3 for the representation of the three

components and representing $\hat{\beta}$ as

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (4)$$

From Eqs. 1, 2 and 3 we obtain the following relations for the $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad (7)$$

Eqs. 7, 8, and 9 are now evaluated at 3500°K. These equations are all multiplied by Avogadro's Number to put them on a per mole basis.

$$k_{d_{Ar}} = 3.73 \times 10^7$$

$$k_{d_{O_2}} = 4.00 \times 10^8$$

$$k_{d_O} = 8.28 \times 10^8 \quad \text{cc, mole}^{-1}, \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

Using the equilibrium constant for 3500°K, 8.36×10^{-7} moles/cc, and the dissociation rates, one obtains the following values for the recombination rates for the three third bodies.

$$k_{r_{Ar}} = 4.5 \times 10^{13}$$

$$k_{r_{O_2}} = 4.8 \times 10^{14}$$

$$k_{r_O} = 9.9 \times 10^{14} \quad \text{cc}^2, \text{ moles}^{-2}, \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

REFERENCES

1. S. R. Byron, J. Chem. Phys. 30, 1380 (1959).
2. D. L. Matthews, Phys. Fluids 2, 170 (1959).
3. M. Camac and A. Vaughan, Avco Everett Research Lab., Research Report 84, AFBMD-TR-60-22.
4. S. A. Losev, Doklady Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 120, 1291 (1958)
[Soviet Phys. - Doklady 120, 467].
5. R. E. Duff, Phys. Fluids 1, 242 (1958).
6. H. S. Glick and W. H. Wurster, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 1224 (1957).
7. R. E. Duff, J. Chem. Phys. 28, 1193 (1958).
8. H. Johnston, L. Savedoff, J. Belyer, Ohio State Project RF-316,
TR-316-4.
- 9.. Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, Series III,
Table 7, NBS (1947).
10. P. Brix and G. Herzberg, Can. J. Phys. 32, 110 (1954).
11. H. T. Knight and D. Venable, Rev. Sci. Instr. 29, 92 (1958)
12. H. T. Knight and R. E. Duff, Rev. Sci. Instr. 26, 257 (1955).
13. J. P. Chesick and G. B. Kistiakowsky, J. Chem. Phys. 28, 956 (1958).

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Brown, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

2. E. I. Pauling, *Phys. Rev.* 57, 100 (1939).

3. M. G. Evans and A. V. Tobolsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 25, 235 (1939).

4. Report No. 100, *Chem. Res.* 40, 100 (1939).

5. E. I. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

6. *Chem. Rev.* 10, 100 (1939).

7. E. I. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

8. M. G. Evans and A. V. Tobolsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 25, 235 (1939).

9. E. I. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

10. M. G. Evans and A. V. Tobolsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 25, 235 (1939).

11. *Chem. Res.* 40, 100 (1939).

12. Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 10, 100 (1939).

13. *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

14. E. I. Pauling and L. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

15. M. G. Evans and A. V. Tobolsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 25, 235 (1939).

16. E. I. Pauling and L. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* 10, 100 (1939).

17. M. G. Evans and A. V. Tobolsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 25, 235 (1939).

COLLON COMLEW
EZEYVSE
WITTEE EVTIS

THE BOTTOM CORNER

EZEKIEL

WILLIAM BATES

IMPORTANT!

Special care should be taken to prevent loss or damage of this volume. If lost or damaged, it must be paid for at the current rate of typing.

DATE DUE					
AUG 6 1964	JUL 20 RECD				
FEB 10 1968	JAN 22 RECD ✓				
GAYLORD					PRINTED IN U.S.A.