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Long-Term Ecological Research and the Invisible Present

Uncovering the processes hidden because they occur slowly or because effects lag years behind causes

John J. Magnuson

All of us can sense change—the reddening sky with dawn's new light, the rising strength of lake waves during a thunderstorm, and the changing seasons of plant flowering as temperature and rain affect our landscapes. Some of us see longer-term events and remember that there was less snow last winter or that fishing was better a couple of years ago. But it is the unusual person who senses with any precision changes occurring over decades. At this time scale, we are inclined to think of the world as static, and we typically underestimate the degree of change that does occur. Because we are unable directly to sense slow changes and because we are even more limited in our abilities to interpret their cause-and-effect relations, processes acting over decades are hidden and reside in what I call "the invisible present" (Magnuson et al. 1983).

The invisible present is the time scale within which our responsibilities for planet earth are most evident. Within this time scale, ecosystems change during our lifetimes and the lifetimes of our children and our grandchildren. This is the time scale of acid deposition, the invasion of non-native plants and animals, the introduction of synthetic chemicals, CO₂-induced climate warming, and deforestation. In the absence of long-term research, serious misjudgments can occur not only in our attempts to understand and predict change in the world around us, but also in our attempts to manage our environment. Although serious accidents in an instant of human misjudgment can be envisioned that might cause the end of Spaceship Earth (sensu Fuller 1970), destruction is even more likely to occur at a ponderous pace in the secrecy of the invisible present.

Revealing the invisible present

Long-term or sustained research can open for view the events of the invisible present, much like time-lapse photography reveals the blooming of a flower or the movement of a snail. A single year's observation of any structure or event, such as the duration of ice cover on Lake Mendota in the winter of 1982–1983 (Figure 1, top), from a long-term perspective is relatively uninteresting in that it provides, in itself, no insight into the long-term behavior of a natural system. Yet when a time series of annual values is opened to 10 years, to 50 years, or to the length of the record—132 years—the invisible present is put into context and can be better understood.

With ten years of record, it is apparent that the duration of ice cover in 1983 was 40 days or so shorter than any of the other nine years and far exceeded the typical range of variation. Also, we see that the duration of ice cover varies considerably from year to year. With 50 years of record, it becomes apparent that 1983 and other El Niño years tended to have shorter durations of ice cover (Robertson 1989). Now the phenology of ice cover is linked to a major feature of global climate, the southern ocean oscillation index (Mysak 1986, Quinn et al. 1978). With 132 years of record (Robertson 1989), a general warming trend becomes visible that was invisible with the 10- and 50-year records.

A little ice-age ended in approximately 1890 (Lamb 1977, Wahl and Lawson 1970), as reflected by the decrease in the duration of ice cover. In the most recent years, there is a hint that another warming has begun, perhaps signaling CO₂-induced global climate warming (Liss and Crane 1983). The entire time series shows that the 1983 ice cover was the shortest observed in the entire 132 years. Thus each increase in the period of record revealed new insights about the invisible present and made the condition in 1983 more understandable and more interesting.

As with observational studies, field experiments also can be susceptible to
serious misinterpretation if they are not conducted in the context of long-term ecological research (Tilman 1989). Nitrogen addition to plots in an old-field environment at the Cedar Creek Natural History Area site in Minnesota illustrates this point (Figure 2).

If the influence of nitrogen was judged in the first year after fertilization, ecologists might have concluded that fertilization increased perennial ragweed (Ambrosia coronopifolia) and bluegrass (Poa pratensis) and had no influence on blackberry (Rubus sp.). The five-year time series is more revealing; perennial ragweed responded immediately and then dramatically declined to control levels, bluegrass responded more positively in the second year and by the fifth year was significantly less abundant than in control plots, and blackberry showed no change in the first year but had a highly significant increase in abundance by the fifth year (Tilman 1988). Therefore, response to nitrogen fertilization is time dependent. The responses after one, three, or five years are each statistically significant and repeatable, but each differs significantly from the other years. This time series displays features invisible from one- or two-year experiments. Clearly, a one-year experiment, even though its results would be repeatable and statistically significant, does not reflect the total change induced by the fertilization; instead of perennial ragweed and bluegrass as suggested in year one, blackberry dominates by year five.

Long-Term Ecological Research

Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) is a program at the National Science Foundation (Brenneman 1989, Callahan 1984, Franklin et al. page 509 this issue, Magnuson and Bowser 1990, Swanson and Franklin 1988) that concentrates on unveiling the invisible present. Researchers at a network of sites (17 in 1990) across the United States focus their research on time scales of years, to decades, to a century, and examine ecological processes over longer periods than possible in most other ecological studies (Figure 3). The researchers also address a wide range of spatial scales—meters to kilometers to cross-continent intersite comparisons (Swanson and Sparks page 502 this issue).

Figure 1. When a time series is opened up, new phenomena become apparent and the present is put into a context that makes it more understandable and more interesting. The duration of ice cover on Lake Mendota, Wisconsin, at Madison, has been recorded, originally by interested citizens beginning in 1855 and now by the state's climatologist, providing the longest limnological and climatological record in the state. The record was analyzed by Dale M. Robertson (1989).

Figure 2. The response of a natural ecological system to a treatment or a disturbance is a time series, much like a successional sequence, rather than a single observation. Nitrogen was added to plots in an old field at the Cedar Creek Natural History Area LTER Site in Minnesota, and other plots were left as controls (Tilman 1987). The response in absolute abundance (means and standard errors) of perennial ragweed (Ambrosia coronopifolia), bluegrass (Poa pratensis), and blackberry (Rubus sp.) are shown from 1982 to 1985. (Figure adapted from Tilman 1989.)
well as questions related to disturbance frequency and effect. A base of common measurements and questions also lays the groundwork for new analyses and generalizations across different ecosystems relatively unencumbered by the unique character of each ecosystem. In addition, the accumulating record and experience provide a temporal and spatial context for individual researchers needing such a base for their experiments.

LTER sites are regional and national research facilities for long-term or sustained ecological research. Institutions operating LTER sites encourage collaborative research by scientists at other institutions and the use of the sites by visiting investigators. Paleocology and paleolimnology complement long-term observational study because they provide an even longer term context for interpreting the present. Because such studies have lower temporal, spatial, and biotic resolution than is possible with biological observations and experiments, they in turn are complemented by long-term research. Many important ecological changes and processes are played out over a long time scale, and they are often the ones with human relevance (Likens 1983, 1989).

**Long-term lags between cause and effect**

Time lags of longer than a year can exist between cause and effect or until ecological responses to a disturbance permeate natural systems. These time lags occur for many reasons: certain biological and physical processes simply take time, biological relics persist even after conditions change, movements across the landscape take time, the simultaneous occurrence of two or more necessary conditions for an event or process to occur can be rare, and a chain of events accumulates the lags between cause-and-effect events. Each of these reasons for time lags will be illustrated with examples from LTER sites.

**Processes taking time.** The accumulation of biomass is a good example of a process that simply takes time. The example for a cohort of trees is the same as for a year-class of fish or for any population of organisms that live a number of years and whose biomass does not die back each year. After fish hatch or trees germinate, annual mortalities reduce the total number left in the cohort, but individuals grow larger. The accumulated biomass of the cohort reaches a maximum in the year when the product of the number of organisms still living and their mean body mass is greatest (Beverton and Holt 1957, Pitcher and Hart 1982 for fish). Before the maximum, there are many trees but they are small; after the maximum, the trees are large but are few in number. The time lag between the year when a cohort is formed and when it reaches maximum biomass depends on the mortality and the growth rates of organisms in that particular population; for many fish in freshwater lakes, the time lag is two to four years, but for long-lived trees it may be 200 years or longer.

**Biological relics.** A period of successful tree reproduction can produce a forest that may persist into a future that changing conditions have made unsuitable for reproduction. The treeline at the Niwot Ridge/Green Valley Lakes LTER Site in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado has been considered to be a relict treeline, established in the more favorable climate of the altithermal period approximately 4000 years ago (Ives 1978, Ives and Hansen-Bristow 1983, Nichols 1982). Some evidence for this hypothesis is provided by a 1905 burn at the Niwot treeline, where more than 80 years later, the forest shows few signs of recovery. This interpretation is controversial, and Shankman (1984) has projected from seedling and sapling establishment that recovery will yet occur given sufficient time in the absence of disturbance.

Relict biota also persist into the future after conditions have changed over time scales of years to decades to a century in a major effort to understand ecological structure and function hidden in the invisible present. Time scales relevant to various physical events and biological phenomena are taken from sources including Delcourt et al. (1983), Haury et al. (1978), and Stommel (1963, 1965).

**Figure 3.** The Long-Term Ecological Research program supported by the National Science Foundation focuses on time scales of years to decades to a century in a major effort to understand ecological structure and function hidden in the invisible present. The extensive meadows dominated by the sedge *Kobresia* on the Niwot Ridge are thought, on the basis of their soil characteristics, to have been in place for centuries. *Kobresia* prefers sites free of snow in winter; it rarely reproduces sexually on Niwot Ridge but instead maintains itself through vegetative tillering. Pat Webber and his students (Emerick 1976, Keigley 1987, Webber et al. 1976) assessed the resistance of the *Kobresia* community to environmental change by increasing snowpack with snow fences. In the first two years after greater snowpack, the plant produced more leaves and appeared to be vigorous. The plant apparently reallocated its resources to stature rather than to vegetative reproduction when
the snowpack was present. But the snowpack prevented the generation of new shoots, and within five years Kobresia was sparse and by ten years had completely disappeared. A time lag, linked causally to the biology of this particular species, is evident in the disappearance of Kobresia; again, as with Tilman’s fertilization experiments, a conclusion made in the first two years after the manipulation would have been misleading.

Movement across landscapes. Landscapes in which ecosystems occur have spatial dimensions that influence the temporal ones. Movement of water, materials, and organisms takes time and introduces lags into ecosystem changes. Classic examples are the time for dispersal of exotic plants and animals across the landscape. The European starling (Sturnus vulgaris) dispersed from the New York City area in 1896 to western New Jersey by 1908 and to western Ohio by 1926 (Elton 1958). The sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) spread from Lake Ontario after the Welland Canal was built in 1829 into Lake Erie by 1932 (Pearce et al. 1980) and into Lakes Huron, Michigan, and Superior by the late 1930s (Smith and Tibbles 1980). The Asiatic clam (Corbicula fluminea Philippi) was first observed in the Columbia River in 1938; by 1960 it had reached southern Illinois and, by 1975, southern Wisconsin via dispersal up the Mississippi River (Thompson and Sparks 1977). The Asiatic clam is thought to be inducing ecological changes in the rivers of North America after a time lag of 50 years.1

Groundwater movement is slow at the North Temperate Lake LTER Site in Wisconsin, not at all like the rushing streams in more erodible landscapes. The study lakes are arranged along a topographic gradient, and groundwater takes approximately three years to flow from the uppermost, rainwater-dominated lake to the next lower lake only 100 m downslope. A surface stream would take only minutes. The slow movement of water through the sandy till increases the dissolution of ions, and

1R. Sparks, 1989, personal communication. Forbes Biological Station of the Illinois Natural History Survey, Havana, IL.
Experimental Range LTER Site in Colorado, plant communities are dominated by a perennial grass, blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*). Disturbances in the shortgrass steppe occur over many spatial and temporal scales, but a particular pair of conditions must occur before blue grama can recover.

Establishment of seedlings requires viable seeds and adequate soil moisture to be present. Both conditions are rare in these semiarid grasslands; viable seeds are produced approximately every seven years and appropriate soil moisture approximately every eight years. The two conditions are independent. Debra P. Coffin and William K. Lauenroth of Colorado State University devised a model to simulate the long-term changes of blue grama on small patches over 500 years (Coffin and Lauenroth 1990; Figure 5).

During the 500-year simulation, the plot was disturbed four times by cattle fecal pats that killed all the blue grama. Fecal pats are the most frequent small-scale disturbance in shortgrass plant communities grazed by domestic cattle and serve as a model of larger scale disturbances such as plowing. The time lags that resulted were, in sequence, approximately 10, 20, 80, and, at the end of the record, at least 35 years. This model provides some insight as to why larger sections of the shortgrass steppe that were disturbed by plowing 50 to 100 years ago have not yet recovered.

Chains of events. Time lags also are generated because a cause-and-effect chain of events accumulates the lags from each link in the chain. Changes in water clarity provide a good example (Figure 6). In Crystal Lake, at the North Temperate Lake LTER Site operated by the University of Wisconsin-Madison, we observed a decrease in water clarity over a three-year period from approximately 11 m to 6 m in 1984.

This change was initiated by the formation of strong year-classes of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*), two and three years before the lowest water clarity was observed. When the perch reached maximal biomass and moved into the open water, they preyed on and reduced the abundance of the microscopic herbivores that eat the planktonic algae. The perch-induced reduction in herbivores lessened grazing pressure on the midwater algae, and these algae increased in abundance. With more algae in the water column, light penetration was reduced and water clarity declined dramatically. As the perch year-classes aged, their biomass and numbers declined and the changes reversed.

Most of this two- to three-year lag resulted from the time it takes for a year-class of fish to obtain maximal biomass. It is at the age of maximal biomass that the perch exerted the greatest grazing pressure on their prey. These changes were not unexpected (Brooks and Dodson 1965, Carpenter 1988, Carpenter et al. 1985, Henrikson et al. 1980, Hrbacek 1958, Hrbacek et al. 1961, Northcote 1988), but we were surprised by their magnitude.

Earlier, Joan Baker and I (Baker and Magnuson 1976) had observed a decrease in water clarity of Crystal Lake from the early measurements by E. A. Birge and C. Juday in the 1930's and by Baker in 1973. We had concluded that a gradual change over 40 years had occurred owing to changes in human use. It now appears that a much more rapid oscillation in water clarity occurs due to the formation of strong year-classes of perch every few years. Again Baker's short-term re-

![Figure 6. Time lags from a chain reaction in a food web occur because a fish year-class takes several years to reach maximum biomass and thus exert maximal influence on its prey populations. Abundant perch reduce the abundance of herbivorous zooplankton, causing the algae to thrive, in turn decreasing water clarity.](image)

search, with only one recent year for comparison, did not penetrate the realm of the invisible present.

Conclusions
The natural world is dynamic, not static, and the older each of us gets, the more apparent is this truth. Time lags in ecological systems are the rule; they separate cause and effect to confuse our interpretation of the natural world, which can appear fickle and unsettled. Increasingly long records of ecosystem structure and process and long-term experiments expose new phenomena and allow greater understanding. The Long-Term Ecological Research Program formalizes this human experience. Such knowledge is sure to help us in facing the problems ahead. Our lack of understanding of events and processes in the time scale of decades has been and will in the future be costly to human society. Operating Space Ship Earth blind to the invisible present is unacceptable.

The invisible present is beginning to be penetrated by researchers and agencies with an increasing awareness of the importance of serious and coordinated research at the time scales of years to decades. LTER is the most extensive.
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Call for Nominees for the 1991 AIBS Distinguished Service Award

Since 1972 the AIBS Distinguished Service Award has been presented to individuals who have contributed significantly in the service of biology. The principal criteria for this award are that the recipients shall have made an outstanding contribution toward:

- advancing and integrating the biological disciplines,
- applying biological knowledge to the solution of world problems, and
- introducing pertinent biological considerations that improve public policy and planning.

Emphasis is placed on distinguished service. Scientific discovery per se is not included as a criterion for this award, although some nominees will carry this distinction as well.

Previous recipients of the award have been:

- 1972—Harve Carlson, George Miller, Detlev Bronk
- 1973—Theodore Dobzhansky, Rene Dubos
- 1974—James G. Horsfall
- 1975—W. Frank Blair, Theodore Cooper
- 1976—Paul B. Sears, Edward O. Wilson
- 1978—Eugene P. Odum, Howard T. Odum, George Gaylord Simpson
- 1980—Arthur D. Hasler, A. Starker Leopold, Ruth Patrick
- 1981—Peter H. Raven
- 1982—George M. Woodwell
- 1983—Karl Maramorosch
- 1984—Arnold B. Grobman
- 1985—Sayed Z. El-Sayed
- 1986—Garrett Hardin
- 1987—Perry L. Adkisson
- 1988—Donald E. Stone
- 1989—Alfred E. Harper
- 1990—Gene E. Likens

AIBS members are invited to submit nominations for this award, which will be presented at the 1991 Annual AIBS Meeting, San Antonio Convention Center, San Antonio, Texas. Each nomination must be accompanied by a complete curriculum vitae and a statement of the individual’s service to the biology profession. In particular, the supporting statement should highlight the nominee’s accomplishments in each of the three award criteria given above. Nominators should note that traditional academic vitae often omit contributions to public affairs. As this area is considered equally important in the overall consideration, care should be taken to bring out the nominee’s relevant accomplishments. Since 1981, recipients have been limited to single individuals, but nominations will remain active for three consecutive years, e.g., for the 1991, 1992 and 1993 awards.

Send nominations (with biographies) to the AIBS Executive Director, 730 11th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001-4521, by 1 October 1990.
Long-Term Ecological Research and the Invisible Place

The local to global spatial scales of the Long-Term Ecological Research Program

Frederick J. Swanson and Richard E. Sparks

The distinctive feature of the National Science Foundation's Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) Program has been the opportunity to examine ecosystem behavior on longer time scales than traditionally emphasized in ecological research (Callahan 1984, Franklin et al. page 509 this issue, Magnuson page 495 this issue, Magnuson et al. in press, Swanson and Franklin 1988). As Magnuson has argued, lack of historical perspective can place short-term studies in the "invisible present," where a lack of temporal perspective can produce misleading conclusions. Similarly, the broad significance of research results from a particular site is difficult to interpret if the site's context in space (e.g., location within region-scale variation in disturbance regime and temperature-moisture conditions) is not understood. In this sense, an isolated research site may reside in an "invisible place" where the significance of results is unclear.

As LTER research addresses longer time scales, it has been natural to also confront greater spatial scales (Delecourt et al. 1983). For example, vegetation change at a site over a few years involves processes such as competition among neighboring individual plants. Vegetation change at the same site, but on time scales of centuries and millennia, also involves species migration on broader spatial scales.

Consideration of broader spatial scales in LTER research is fostered by: emergence of critical, large-scale questions concerning ecological effects of global climate change and land use at landscape and regional scales; opportunity to compare ecosystem properties across the continent-spanning LTER system of sites; and development of regional-scale databases and modeling efforts using LTER sites as focal points (Gosz and Sharpe 1989). These factors have resulted in studies within the LTER network at a series of spatial scales. The intent of such multiscale research is to use knowledge of coarser scales of resolution to provide context for interpretation of fine-scale system behavior and to use knowledge of finer-scale processes to explain mechanistically the patterns observed at coarser scales (O'Neill et al. 1986).

In this article, we describe examples of LTER research activities at a series of spatial scales; present an example of multiscale, intersite comparison of ecosystem behavior; and describe multiscale research at one LTER site. The LTER program has substantially encouraged comparative analysis across diverse ecosystems. Such intersite research is facilitated through mechanisms such as workshops, scientist exchanges during sabbaticals, and LTER funds used for pilot projects leading to larger intersite studies with separate funding. However, we emphasize that LTER sites were not selected and LTER science was not designed around testing major hypotheses at the intersite level. Further, many of the studies described here are not unique to LTER.

Scales of LTER Research

Research in the LTER Program occurs at a series of spatial scales: plot/patch, landscape, region, continent, and globe, but the research focuses primarily on the plot/patch and landscape scales (Figure 1). Past ecological research has tended to focus on the spatial scales at the finer end of the spectrum, whereas global-change programs emphasize very-large-scale phenomena. LTER can help bridge this disparity of scales of study.
Plot/patch scale. Distinctions among plots, patches, and landscapes as identified here are not necessarily based on absolute size, but, instead, represent the degree of contrast and extent of interaction with neighboring areas. A plot or patch is an ecological or geomorphic unit that can be treated as homogeneous for a particular purpose. Plots generally reside within larger areas of similar makeup—a patch. Patches have edges that border adjacent areas with differing system properties, and patches can be defined within or between systems as diverse as forests, streams, and lakes. Questions concerning edge effects may be included in the study of patches, but plots are usually designed to avoid edge effects.

Much LTER research is designed and implemented at the plot scale, employing experimental manipulations and long-term observations of change in natural systems. The size of these plots ranges from less than one square meter to experimental watersheds of more than 100 ha. Manipulations of plots and patches have targeted nutrient and water availability (e.g., at the Cedar Creek [Minnesota] site) and disturbance, including fire (Konza [Kansas] and Cedar Creek sites), erosion/deposition (Andrews [Oregon] site), clearcut logging (Hubbard Brook [New Hampshire], Coweeta [North Carolina], and Andrews sites), grazing by large mammals (Konza site), cattle fecal pats (Central Plains Experimental Range [Colorado] site), and invasion by exotic organisms (North Temperate Lakes [Wisconsin] and Central Plains Experimental Range sites) (Franklin et al. page 509 this issue).

Landscape scale. Landscapes contain multiple patches, and landscape research concerns interactions among patches (Forman and Godron 1986, Turner 1989, Urban et al. 1987). A small watershed may be treated as a plot in terms of overall water and nutrient balances, but it is a landscape in terms of movement of materials among areas within it.

Many aspects of the landscape scale of LTER work focus on flows of materials (water, nutrients, soil, and sediment organisms) and distributions of plants, animals, soil types, and hydrologic conditions along flow paths crossing landscapes. At the North Temperate Lakes and Niwot (Colorado) sites, the hydrological flow paths of interest pass through chains of lakes and interspersed areas of subsurface flow (Swanson et al. 1988). The flow paths of materials considered in landscape research at North Inlet (South Carolina) pass from forest through freshwater stream to salt marsh, estuary, and eventually to the ocean. A landscape perspective is also applied in analysis of flows of sediment through experimental drainage basins at the Niwot and Andrews sites (Caine and Swanson 1989).

A common approach to analysis of landscapes is to scale up to landscapes from plot-level observations sampled along gravitationally determined flow paths crossing landscapes.
paths passing downslope from ridge top to valley floor through a sequence of soil and vegetation patches. This approach is employed in nutrient cycling, vegetation, and soil catena studies, for example, at Central Plains Experimental Range, Jornada (New Mexico), and Arctic Tundra (Alaska) (Figure 2). The types and degrees of control of landscape position on ecosystem properties varies significantly among these sites.

In the arid Jornada environment, for example, productivity of a site is controlled in part by water availability; therefore, patterns of surface water runoff/runoff in response to downslope variation in soil hydromorotic logic properties are critical (Whitford et al. 1987, Wondzell et al. 1987). The brief, infrequent runoff events characteristic of the Jornada landscape create patterns of net removal or accumulation of organic matter and nutrients along a topographic sequence—patterns controlled by physical processes.

In contrast, at the Arctic Tundra site, subsurface water flow in a thin (20–100-centimeters-thick) layer above the permafrost transports nutrients downslope during a three-month thaw period each year. Because this flow is subsurface and persists through the growing season, biotic processes—for example, nutrient uptake by plants—control net nutrient retention or loss in sites distributed along the slope (Shaver et al. in press). In both the desert and tundra examples, the interactions of topography, soil properties, and downslope transport processes control patterns of vegetation and rates of biogeochemical processes, although the primary controlling factors differ substantially between systems.

In addition to this approach of viewing landscapes as linear sequences of system elements, it is important to recognize the three-dimensional structure of landscapes. This structure is conspicuous in analysis of landscape effects on disturbances. Retrospective studies of the pattern of disturbance across landscapes at LTER sites and elsewhere consider the roles of landforms in constraining disturbance by hurricanes (Harvard Forest [Massachusetts] and Luquillo [Puerto Rico] sites), landslides (Luquillo and Andrews sites), wildfire (Andrews site), and river channel change (Andrews and Bonanza Creek [Alaska] sites). A common theme in many of these studies is that spatial patterns of disturbances are strongly controlled by landforms; for example, particular topographic settings experience highest hurricane damage (Foster 1988), slopes of 32–38° steepness experience greatest landslide occurrence (Guariguata 1989, Swanson and Dyrness 1975), and areas of wide valley floor have most extensive channel change.

Regional scale. LTER programs are synthesizing regional data sets collected by remote sensing or by field observation at plots. These programs are hubs of region and biome scale studies, including work on the effects of broad-scale disturbances, such as drought and air pollution.

The regional modeling effort of the Central Plains Experimental Range LTER, for example, draws on data from more than 6000 field plots scattered across the central US grasslands from Canada to Mexico, including two other LTER sites (Parton et al. 1987). In another regional study, the Andrews LTER and allied programs have established a network of 0.25- to one-hectare reference stands and other permanent sample plots in the coniferous forests of western North America. Some plots date from as early as 1915, although most began in the 1970s. Long-term records from these plots, which are located within forests ranging from 10 to 1000 years since originating disturbance, are used in studies of forest succession and demography and in modeling effects of land use and climate change on forests of the region (e.g., Franklin and DeBell 1988, Harmon et al. 1990).

Dynamics of boundaries (ecotones) between biomes are another aspect of region-scale ecosystem research. The Sevilleta (New Mexico) LTER site, for example, contains transitions among four biomes, providing an opportunity to examine the effects of climate change on the spatial distribution of ecosystems at various temporal scales, for example, El Niño and potential climate change during the next century (Gosz and Sharpe 1989). Scientists at other sites are studying the dynamics of snow-tundra (Arctic Tundra and Niwot sites), forest-tundra (Niwot site), and marine-terrestrial (North Inlet site) interfaces. Sampling approaches include long-term observations of the physical environment (e.g., seasonal snow duration), soil properties, vegetation, and small-mammal populations along belt transects and series of plots crossing ecotones. It is hypothesized that these ecotonal sites will be among the first to display biogeographic aspects of ecosystem response to climate and land-use change.

Continental scale. Continent-spanning comparative research is greatly facilitated by the network of LTER sites extending over 11 biomes—from the north slope of Alaska south to Puerto Rico and from Oregon east to New England. Currently, subsets of LTER sites are undertaking comparative and cooperative field studies on subjects such as effects of resource limitations on productivity and plant succession, processes and rates of wood decomposition, and invading species and their ecological effects. Sites for some studies, such as the resource limitations project, are selected to represent points along a physiognomic gradient from shortgrass to forests.

The most extensive example of inter-site research is a 21-site (all the LTER sites plus four additional sites) study of leaf litter decomposition. This study offers a first-of-its-kind opportunity to distinguish effects of litter chemistry and climate on decomposition rates across such a broad range of environments. Also at the continental scale, some LTER sites and research groups are contributing to research programs addressing the effects of climate change and broad-scale air pollution on forest and freshwater ecosystems of North America (e.g., Hubbard Brook, North Temperate Lakes, and Harvard Forest).

Global scale. LTER involvement in global-scale issues is in its initial stages. LTER is providing field observations and experimentation for verification of remote sensing and model-
ing efforts that are part of the National Science Foundation's Global Geo-science Programs. To global change research programs, LTER brings experienced, interdisciplinary teams of ecosystem scientists, long-term data sets on environmental and biological conditions, and facilities and logistical support for field studies. The First ISLSCP (International Landsurface Climatology Project) Field Experiment (FIFE) project at the Konza LTER site is a prime example of an effort to couple ground-based and remotely sensed information sampled at a series of spatial scales of resolution (see Franklin et al. 1990 for a brief description).

Current interactions with scientific groups in other countries, notably in Europe, China, and South America, are an early step in expanding large-scale research to an international network of cooperating research sites and programs. This research has been facilitated in part by links among biosphere reserves in UNESCO's Man and the Biosphere Program, of which several LTER sites are a part.

Intersite comparison

Intersite comparisons reveal the importance of conducting multiscale analysis of ecosystems and of distinguishing system features controlled by absolute and relative (within-site) scales. The variable size and the network structure of riverine systems provide useful examples for multiscale comparison. Unfortunately, no LTER site contains the full continuum from small streams to large rivers, so we cannot deal comprehensively with the issue of scaling up through a drainage network. However, we can compare two study areas in different locations within their respective river networks and identify similarities and differences in system properties. The role of LTER within this analysis is to foster such comparisons; LTER sites were not selected originally to test these ideas.

Considering interactions of rivers and their riparian forests, we see important effects of scale in comparing large, floodplain rivers (LTER-funded research from 1982 to 1989 in the Illinois-Mississippi Rivers [Illinois] site) and small, mountain streams (Andrews site) (Figures 3 and 4). These systems have striking similarities in ecosystem function. In both systems, streamside forests regulate riverine habitat structure (downed logs at any flow stage and standing trees during floods) and nutrient availability (input of litter and nutrients dissolved in groundwater to the aquatic system; Grubaugh and Anderson 1989, Sedell et al. 1989). These two systems differ greatly in absolute scales of channel and floodplain width, channel gradient, average annual and peak flows, and duration, predictability, and areal extent of inundation of floodplain forest (Table 1). The physical differences between sites result in substantial differences in the location and timing of river-forest interactions and in the resulting conceptual models of system behavior.

A key to contrasting these two fluvial systems is the flood regime. Floods in large, temperate, lowland rivers tend to be predictable in seasonality. Large drainage areas, low gradient of the main channel, and low water velocity through forest vegetation and complex channels result in slow passage of large-volume floods, so that extensive areas of floodplain forests may be inundated many months each year where landforms permit. Consequently, many organisms, including fish, have adapted to using the flooded floodplain environment in various life-history stages. Overall, aquatic productivity is high where river systems exchange nutrients with highly productive terrestrial systems on periodically flooded floodplain areas.

In steep, mountain channels, on the other hand, flooding by rainfall and rain-on-snow events is likely to be less
predictable in timing and inundates much less floodplain area for shorter periods of time (Table 1). Basins with a seasonal snowmelt hydrograph, however, may be quite predictable in timing of high flows (Resh et al. 1988). The steep channels and small drainage area facilitate rapid passage of flood flows, which have smaller total volumes than floods produced in much larger basins. Furthermore, in nonglaciated areas, valley floors and their floodplains tend to be narrow, because of constraints such as bedrock outcrops and landslides from adjacent hillslopes. Aquatic organisms in these systems possess few, if any, adaptations to the flooded floodplain environment. Aquatic productivity appears to be regulated by upstream processes that control the quantity and quality of nutrients and water delivered to a downstream site and by effects of adjacent forest on the channel environment (e.g., shading and input of coarse, woody debris).

A major difference between the two systems, therefore, is that river-forest interactions are played out predominantly in the flooded floodplain environment of the large, lowland river and in the channel of the steep, mountain river. Actually, both of these study sites and much of the world’s river systems contain a mixture of these two contrasting cases. Geologic and human-constructed constraints on water flow and valley floor geomorphology cause great along-stream variation in the degree and type of river-forest interaction.

We recognize this variation as we shift our view from the valley floor cross-section (plot scale) up to a scale that includes longitudinal variation in valley floor structure and its role in regulating river-forest interaction (landscape scale). In the case of the small mountain river at the Andrews site, landslides, bedrock, and alluvial fans locally constrain floodplain width and, therefore, the opportunity for river-forest interaction. Areas upstream of constrained valley-floor segments tend to have extensive river-forest interaction (Figure 5).

In the Illinois-Mississippi River systems, navigation dams and levees for flood protection (Figure 5) are major constraints on valley floor processes. Areas upstream of the dams are inundated on a continuous basis, and levee districts are isolated from all but the major floods (occurring every 25–50 years), thereby eliminating the flood pulse effect (periodic inundation of floodplain areas). After many decades, the impounded areas will accumulate sufficient sediment that emergent bars and islands form and become forested (Bhowmik et al. 1986), so the flood pulse phenomenon is reestablished.

A common theme at these two sites is that aquatic productivity is highest where river-forest interaction is greatest. Aquatic productivity at a site reflects a tangled web of interactions among physical and biological processes at several absolute and relative spatial scales and at several time scales. Processes related to differences in absolute spatial scales between the two sites contribute to longer-duration flooding in the larger basin. Both systems provide examples of landform (including dams) constraints on the extent of river-forest interaction. Important temporal dimensions of river-forest interactions include seasonal patterns of hydrology and production/decomposition of organic detritus and the decades-to-millennia scale of geomorphic change.

The types of contrasts represented by these mountain stream and big, lowland river examples have resulted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrologic and average characteristics</th>
<th>Mississippi River near Burlington, IA</th>
<th>Lookout Creek, OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel width (m)</td>
<td>600*</td>
<td>10–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain width (m)</td>
<td>3400*</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel gradient (m/m)</td>
<td>0.0007†</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge (m³/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual flow</td>
<td>1815*</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak flow</td>
<td>5044†</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood (inundation of floodplain forest) duration (days/yr)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Fremling et al. (1989).
in a pair of theories of riverine ecosystems behavior. These theories differ in the scale and processes emphasized. The flood pulse concept of river-forest interaction (Junk et al. 1989, Welcomme 1979) states that seasonal flood-flows onto floodplains strongly and positively influence the productivity of the fluvial system. This conceptual model derives from analysis of large, lowland rivers at the scale of a valley floor cross-section or individual reach of river. The river continuum concept of river ecosystems (Minshall et al. 1985, Vannote et al. 1980) emphasizes controls of upstream factors, rather than lateral floodplain systems, on properties of stream ecosystems. This concept considers the full length of the drainage system, which typically encompasses a landscape or even an entire region.

Attention to landscape and full-drainage basin scales of variation in system structure and function reveals that a marriage of flood pulse and river continuum concepts is probably required to explain river-forest interactions in most river systems (Sedell et al. 1989). The flood pulse concept accounts for lateral influences within a reach, and the river continuum concept offers a framework for interpretation of links among successive reaches along the stream.

Multi-scale LTER studies—future work

Research programs at several LTER sites are tackling the important, but difficult, task of working across multiple spatial scales. The Sevilleta LTER group, for example, is using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to sample trace gas concentrations over terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems at scales ranging from meters to a kilometer (Gosz et al. 1988). This new technology is being used to measure spatial heterogeneity of biogeochemical processes at a range of scales in both homogeneous vegetation and across ecotones. At fine scales, the spatial patterns of biogeochemical processes are known to be extremely variable (Robertson et al. 1988). The purpose of the multiscale work with FTIR is to better characterize the spatial patterns of variation so that future measurements can be scaled appropriately: observations at finer scales may be directed to interpretation of controls on process rates, whereas coarser-scale observations can average out fine-scale variation to yield useful measures of flux between landscapes and the atmosphere.

Another multiscale project in the desert environment of Sevilleta measures streamflow in a nested set of 20- to 350,000-hectare drainage basins to sense climatic processes at a variety of temporal and spatial scales. Hypotheses concerning scale dependence of the streamflow regime include:

- Small basins with dimensions smaller than single convective storm cells experience several flow events per year.
- Intermediate-sized basins (200 ha) that are larger than the typical precipitation cell diameter experience less frequent, but more destructive, flow events, because unusually large cells or closely spaced cells are needed to produce a discharge event.
- Large basins exhibit spatially intermittent flow; some reaches have perennial flow, and others carry surface flow periodically.
- Long-term gauging records on the Gila (484,000-hectare basin area sampled) and Pecos (49,000-hectare area sampled) rivers indicate that the magnitude of annual flows differs by a factor of 6.0 to 7.4 between El Niño and La Niña.
years (Molles and Dahm in press).

As these spatial and temporal patterns of streamflow become better known, they will form an important framework for analysis of geomorphic and ecological change of stream and riparian systems of the Sevilleta.

These analytical approaches are mainly descriptive and exploratory at present. But they set the stage to test scale theory relating to ecosystem processes (O'Neill et al. 1986), to detect effects of climate and other environmental change, and to design better field measurement systems for sampling at appropriate scales.

Conclusions

The importance of tackling ecological questions at their appropriate scales with appropriate tools is widely recognized (Delcourt et al. 1983, Gosz and Sharpe 1989, O'Neill et al. 1986). In many cases, it is important to examine ecosystems at different spatial scales. By extending the breadth of temporal scales considered in a sustained research program, LTER has also made it possible, indeed essential, to examine ecosystem behavior on multiple spatial scales.
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