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The Faculty Senate meeting for February 28, 2006 was called to order at 3:10 p.m. in the Lobo Room, Student Union Building. Senate President Chris Smith presided.

1. ATTENDANCE

Guests Present: Dean Camila Alire (University Libraries), Regent Don Chalmers, Interim Provost Reed Dasenbrock, Professor John Geissman (Earth and Planetary Sciences), and Associate Dean Fran Wilkinson (University Libraries).

2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was approved with two modifications. An agenda item pertaining to a resolution proposed by Professor John Geissman (Earth and Planetary Sciences) was inserted before item number five, the Form D New Certificate in Systems Engineering. Agenda item number six, Proposed Amendments to Procedure for Appointing Distinguished Professors, was deferred to the March 28, 2006 Faculty Senate meeting and was replaced by a report given by Professor Jeanne Logsdon, chair of the Budget Committee.

3. APPROVAL OF SUMMARIZED MINUTES FOR JANUARY 24, 2006 MEETING

The minutes for the January 24, 2006 meeting were approved as written.

4. FACULTY SENATE PRESIDENT’S REPORT

The Faculty Senate President reported on the following:

- Candidates for both Provost and Athletic Director are visiting campus. President Smith asks senators to please participate by attending discussions and filling out review forms.
- The Budget Summit will be March 31, 2006. There will be prior training and preparation work in the Budget Committee and the Operations Committee for areas of concentration in the faculty proposal. This year, the faculty will have a voice in forming what the proposals are.
- There has not been much faculty participation in the University Counsel search. University Counsel is the head lawyer for the University of New Mexico (UNM). President Smith asks faculty to participate in the process if given the chance.
- The Board of Regents contact for all faculty is the Faculty Senate.

CONSENT AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF FORMS C FROM THE CURRICULA COMMITTEE
The following Forms C were approved by unanimous voice vote of the Faculty Senate:

- Revision of Health Careers, *Gallup Campus*
- Revision of Major in Early Childhood Multicultural Education, *Education*
- Revision of Major in Family Studies, *Education*
- Revision of Major in Nutrition, *Education*
- Revision of Doctor of Pharmacy, *Pharmacy*
- Revision of M.A. in Political Science, *Political Science*
- Deletion of Concentration of Hospital Pharmacy, *Pharmacy*
- Name Change of Major, Minor, Department and Subject Code in African American Studies, *African American Studies*
- New Concentration of Cognitive Neuroimaging in Psychology, *Psychology*
- New Concentration of Optics in Physics, *Physics and Astronomy*
- Deletion of Minor in Latin American Studies, *Latin American Studies*
- Revision of B.A., Major, and Minor in Asian Studies, *Asian Studies*
- Revision of Concentration and Certificate in Management Technology, *Anderson Schools of Management*
- Revision of B.A. and Major in Biology, *Biology*
- New A.A.S. in Natural Resources Conservation Program, Gallup Campus
- Revision of B.S. in Emergency Medical Services, *EMS Academy*
- Revision of Minor in Japanese, *Foreign Languages and Literatures*
- Revision of Major in Major in Counseling, *Education*
- Deletion of Major in Latin American Studies, *Latin American Studies*
- Revision of Minor in Music, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, Instrumental Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, Vocal Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, Jazz Studies Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, String Pedagogy Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, Theory and Composition Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of Concentration in B.A. of Music, Performance Concentration, *Music*
- Revision of B.S. in Medical Laboratory Sciences, *Pathology*
- Revision of Minor in Peace Studies, *Law*
- Revision of Major and Minor in Asian Studies, *Asian Studies*
- New Languages Minor, *Foreign Languages and Literatures*
- Deletion of Period Minor, *Foreign Languages and Literatures*
- Revision of Concentration in Finance, *Anderson Schools of Management*
- Revision of Minor in Biology, *Biology*
AGENDA TOPICS

6. RESOLUTION FOR THE METHOD FOR CHOOSING FACULTY REPRESENTATION ON THE PRESIDENT’S SEARCH COMMITTEE
Professor John Geissman (Earth and Planetary Sciences) presented a resolution on the method for choosing faculty representation on the President’s Search Committee. After discussion and amendments, the resolution was passed with 25 votes for, six votes against, and three abstentions. The amended resolution passed as follows:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the University of New Mexico on occasion must initiate open searches for its President, Provost, and Vice President of Health Sciences;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the UNM faculty should have the responsibility of selecting their own representatives on the search committees for President, Provost, and Vice President of Health Sciences through a process to be enacted by the Operations Committee of the Faculty Senate.

7. APPROVAL OF FORM D NEW GRADUATE CERTIFICATE IN SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
After reviewing the Executive Summary and discussion, the Form D, New Graduate Certificate in Systems Engineering, was tabled. The form was tabled until someone from the Engineering Department could attend in order to present the item and answer possible questions. The motion to table was passed unanimously.

8. REPORT FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE
Budget Committee Chair Jeanne Logsdon (Anderson Schools of Management) asked senators to attend a Budget Committee meeting Tuesday, March 7, 2006, from 2-3 p.m. in the Faculty Club. Budget Committee Chairwoman Logsdon has not been able to attain quorum at previous Budget Committee meetings. Professor Logsdon is seeking input for the formulation of the options for the faculty position at the Budget Summit. If faculty are unable to attend the meeting she asks that senators email her with suggestions. Her email address is logsdon@mgt.unm.edu.

9. LIBRARY CHALLENGES
University Libraries Dean Camila Alire presented a report on the status of the library system at UNM. The university is lowest among its peers in journal subscriptions. University Libraries at UNM are considered research libraries.

University Libraries Budget Challenges

- The main problems facing University Libraries are: the lack of sustainability for materials budget; the cost of books, journals and e-resources have continuously increased for the last ten years; the UL budget has received no major increase to its base budget; and the purchasing of fewer books and cutting journal and e-resources subscriptions.
- **Book and Journal Inflation vs. Acquisitions Budget**

![Graph showing inflation and acquisition budget over years.]

- **Cost of Acquisitions.**

  **Books:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Average Cost per US Academic Book</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-96</td>
<td>$1,245,880</td>
<td>$53.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>$758,000</td>
<td>$66.11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• *This represents 8,337 fewer books purchased.

Journals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Average cost Per UL journal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-96</td>
<td>$1,972,222</td>
<td>$262.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>$2,069,000</td>
<td>$304.26**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **This represents 699 fewer journal subscriptions.

• Growth in spending on eResources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>eResources Spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>$44,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$107,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$176,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$488,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$695,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$802,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$894,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2003 | $740,600.00
2004 | $1,217,500.00
2005 | $1,229,000.00
2006 | $975,400.00

- Anticipated Journal Cuts. $750,000 worth of journals will have to be cut unless we receive additional funding. Cuts would largely be in the sciences. Cuts would take effect for the 2006-07 academic year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UNM*</th>
<th>Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Materials</td>
<td>$5,869,932**</td>
<td>$ 9,034,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Expenditures</td>
<td>$3,648,973</td>
<td>$5,991,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Expenditures</td>
<td>$1,695,403</td>
<td>$2,127,021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*UNM materials expenditures include University Libraries, Law Library, and Health Sciences Library and Informatics
**Total expenditures include serials, books, other and miscellaneous
- UNM's ARL Ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-1995</td>
<td>47th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>49th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1998</td>
<td>54th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td>55th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2000</td>
<td>57th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2001</td>
<td>58th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2002</td>
<td>66th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>62nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>69th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1 of 114 is the highest rank.
University Library Information Technology Expenditures vs. Allocation
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University Libraries Server Applications.
University Libraries Managed PCs.
• Conclusions: Between 1986-2000 journal prices grew four times faster than the CPI, averaging 8.8% annual inflation. In 2003-2004 UNM's acquisitions budget was less than its CHE/ARL peer libraries by more than $3.1 million. Each year University Libraries buys fewer books and print journals than the year before. To keep pace with journal inflation from 2000-01 to 2003-04 the University Libraries would need over $1 million but received only $60,000.
• Options for adding recurring funds to the UL budget: 1% tuition increase designated for UL (approximately $940K); Starbucks profit sharing; a formula increase; F&A research funds; and fixed cost funding.
• Outcomes for students if successful: ability to support teaching/learning/research mission of UNM, support student success, and help prepare students for the workplace.
• Outcomes for faculty if successful: ability to support teaching/learning/research mission of UNM, and meet faculty research and teaching needs.
• Outcomes for deans if successful: ability to support teaching/learning/research mission of UNM, support student success, help prepare students for the workplace, and recruit and retain excellent faculty and students.

Dean Alire thanked the Faculty Senate for their time. She asked the Faculty Senate to keep the University Libraries' needs in mind when formulating the faculty position for the Budget Summit.

10. DISCUSSION WITH BOARD OF REGENTS MEMBER DON CHALMERS
University of New Mexico Board of Regents (BOR) member Don Chalmers addressed the Faculty Senate. Regent Chalmers wants to learn how the senate functions and would like the senate to learn how the regents function. Regent Chalmers was born in, and lived in Tulsa, Oklahoma. Regent Chalmers and his family moved to Albuquerque about 10 years ago. He moved here to run a Ford dealership.

Regent Chalmers is involved with the United Way and the Quality New Mexico movement. He is also very involved with the Chamber of Commerce and the Economic Forum. Mr. Chalmers has always been involved in education and encourages people at his company to become involved in education in some way too. He was on the Commission on Higher Education (CHE) and the task force to reform higher education and chaired the Governance Committee that recommended the CHE be replaced. He feels the system will be better under the Department of Higher Education than under the CHE. He supports athletics with his largest cash contributions going to the women's golf team. He believes there are some glass-ceiling issues.

Regent Chalmers feels that UNM is the only game in the state. He said the legislature needs to treat UNM as such. First class facilities are required to deliver a first class experience in education and research. Mr. Chalmers has been appointed to the Development Committee, Advancement Committee, Audit Committee, and the Academic Affairs Committee. UNM is currently ahead in fund raising over previous years. There will be a capital campaign soon that will significantly increase what needs to be raised.

Regent Don Chalmers took questions from the Faculty Senate.
• Senator Fred Hashimoto (Internal Medicine) asked where the presidential search currently sits. Regent Chalmers replied that it is not going quickly. The regents realize that they need to appoint an interim president first. The selection process for the interim president will come first, and that has not yet begun. Currently, there is not a policy on search committees.
A senator asked Regent Chalmers to comment on the hiring of Billy Sparks. Mr. Chalmers responded that it is not a regent decision, and he does not feel the BOR should get involved and micro manage. If it turns out to be a bad hire, Mr. Chalmers would indicate it as such when it is time to review upper administrators.

Operations Committee member Constantine Hadjilambrinos (Public Administration) asked how the situation with the president has effected the capital campaign. Regent Chalmers answered that he does not think it effects it very much. Since the average large capital campaign goes five to seven years and the average tenure of a university president is three and a half to four years, nobody lasts the entire time.