7-5-2012

Staff Council Compensation Committee Meeting, 7/5/2012

UNM Staff Council
**Staff Council Standing Committee Minutes**

**Committee Name:** Compensation Committee  
**Regular Meeting time:** 1st Thursday of each month, noon to 1:00 p.m.  
**Meeting Date and Place:** Thursday, July 5, 2012, Faculty Staff Club Meeting Room  
**Members Present:** Tom Rolland, Ann Rickard, Daniel Weems, Amie Ortiz, Suzanne McConaghy  
**Members Excused:** Marie St Clair, Carol Bernard, Danelle Callan  
**Members Absent:** Theresa Everling, Danielle Gilliam  
**Guests Present:** none  
**Minutes submitted by:** Suzanne McConaghy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Meeting called to order @ 12:12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Constituent Comments &amp; Discussion Thereof</td>
<td>The first 2 comments refer to this week’s mailing from USUNM (union), found at the end of these minutes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1) Staff are and have been doing far more than they should for far longer than 20 days....some of us have been years at it. Departments do not utilize the desk audit system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) I am not part of the union though I am held in check salary wise by union rules/regulations. Perf Review is a toothless piece of paper. As union keeps the herd together and we all are rewarded or chastised by the group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3) Faculty in my area got raises which will figure into their retirement percentages. We are getting $1100 in August...this does NOT calculate into retirement as the holiday bonus last year did not add to retirement calculations. UNM has make it clear that long/time knowledgeable staff is not in their top priority brackets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4) A post-doc with our dep’t for several years could not move into an actual staff position until she was able to fund herself on a grant. When this happened she lost all her annual leave that she had accrued. I don’t know the HR rules but it seems if someone is staff (even post doc) and moves into a position within the same dep’t their leave should transfer. She tried to donate her leave (sick and annual) to other staff or even the cat leave fund and it would not work. The final resolution was she was paid out for the annual leave but highly taxed on it and she lost all her sick leave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5) Re: new hires being brought on at the same grade and title but at a higher salary rate than current people in the position within the dep’t. It seems that the dep’t can come back and say the new person has more experience than the current employee at that grade even though they have been doing that specific job for several years. Is this true?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Meeting adjourned at 1:05 p.m.</td>
<td>Next meeting: Thursday, August 2, 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
United Staff UNM, Local 6155

UNITED STAFF UNM UNION, Local 6155
American Federation of Teachers and the AFL-CIO
530 Jefferson NE
Albuquerque, NM 87108
Email: unitedstaffunm@gmail.com
July 2, 2012

Dear USUNM Bargaining Unit Member,

The purpose of this correspondence is to give you an update on the 2012 USUNM Negotiations Session. Last week we gave you and the bargaining unit the news of an update as well. During the last session, both teams declared they were at impasse and submitted their last best and final offer (LBFO). Neither side accepted the other team’s offer. Impasse occurs when after engaging in a good faith negotiation, the parties are unable to reach an agreement in certain areas. Per our Contract, mediation ensues with a neutral mediator – Federal Mediation Services Mediator David Martinez. A mediation impasse session was just scheduled late last Friday afternoon between UNM, USUNM Union and David Martinez for last Monday, July 2, 2012 from 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM and a session for Monday, July 6, 2012, from 10:00 AM to 5:00 PM. The team will continue to negotiate in good faith throughout the impasse session.

Which Areas are causing the Impasse?

As far as USUNM is concerned, there are a few key areas that we will are going to impasse on – wages, the performance review and the grievance process. Specifically, USUNM is proposing a more objective standard be applied to the newly created and performance review article won by the Union last year. Moreover, USUNM is proposing that employees being asked to take on significant duties of a higher grade for ten consecutive days or 20 days within a month (i.e. the interim and in-range appointment) should receive an increase in their base pay of not less than 5% for the additional duties. UNM is saying no. UNM states they have a system with desk audits, HR Consultants and Compensation. The Union argues that the current system is subjective takes months, and the end results can be the employees received nothing for the extra duties and UNM receives free upgraded labor. It is worth noting that the City and State workers have a similar automatic adjustment in their contracts. UNM wants to keep this very subjective HR system in place that rarely pays employees for their interim or in-range appointments.

The Union also asked for a raise in addition to the one-time pay equity supplement. USUNM proposed that ALL employees regardless of their performance review ratings should receive the $1100.00 payment. UNM proposed to tie the one-time payment to the performance review ratings. The Union argued several points in regular negotiations that UNM is currently in litigation over the performance review’s 2 subjective ratings, employees who received a “Needs Improvement” rating can no longer grieve the rating because the time has passed and they were not informed at the beginning that this rating would cost them $1100.00. UNM agreed to not tie the $1100.00 one-time pay equity payment to the performance reviews. Thus, only the raise and the interim and in-range appointments will be key issues in the impasse sessions. There are other issues that will be brought up during the impasse session as well.

Thank you for your time,
Doris Williams