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Abstract

Between 1483 and 1526, one-hundred and twenty-four trials were conducted by the Spanish Inquisition against *conversos* in Ciudad Real. The notarial records from these trials contain a multitude of references to material culture, including sculpted artworks, candles, and effigies. This essay analyzes the references to materiality utilized in arraignments, witness testimonies, and verdicts, and then correlates them to broader constructions of cultural identity. These references reveal a pre-modern animistic world view in which objects both shape and are shaped by their participation as active agents in religious ritual.
In March of 1473, a religious confraternity in Córdoba held a procession in honor of the Virgin Mary during which a sculpture of the Virgin was carried through the streets of the city.\textsuperscript{1} Suddenly, the procession skidded to a halt as the contents of a chamber pot splashed over the statue.\textsuperscript{2} This insult was believed to have been perpetuated by a young \emph{converso} girl emptying the pot from a second story window. The members of the confraternity became immediately outraged and vowed to avenge this horrendous act against the local \emph{converso} community. The city became embroiled in riots for three days. These events were cited five years later as evidence of the horrendous actions committed by Jews and crypto Jews on the Iberian Peninsula when Isabella and Ferdinand applied to the Holy See for the establishment of an Inquisition in Castile.

The inclusion of this narrative during the establishment of the Inquisition exemplifies the indispensable role played by material culture in Early Modern Castilian society. As the investigation of heretical actions of \emph{conversos} gained momentum, physical objects continued through their material qualities and manipulation to provide a vital avenue for demonstrating in concrete terms conceptions of orthodoxy, heresy, and deviance. Reference to materiality became incorporated into the surviving notary records through references made in witness testimonies as well as the institutional actions of the Inquisition itself. The weight given to such testimony within the written record implicates material culture as essential for the very functionality of the Inquisition. And yet, these references have remained largely unmentioned within the current

\textsuperscript{1} This paper originated in a seminar conducted by Dr. Julie Hardwick in the spring semester of 2009 entitled “Making the Early Modern World” in the department of History at the University of Texas, Austin. My research benefited greatly from the guidance of Dr. Hardwick as well as the queries posed by my fellow classmates. I am also grateful to Dr. Pamela Patton of Southern Methodist University, Dallas, whose comments on an early draft of this project were extremely insightful. Thanks are also due to Rachel Spaulding for her in-depth review.
\textsuperscript{2} Diego de Valera, \textit{Epistolas}, (Madrid: Sociedad de Bibliofilos Españoles, 1878) 78.
Historiographical conversation. However, an analysis of these textual references to physical objects reveals a world view in which material culture is closely associated with active agency. Physical objects shaped and were shaped by their participation in ritual actions, and material things acted as statements of personhood and group identity. As such these descriptions of material culture located within the Inquisitorial record reveal a world view in which physicality is closely allied with spirituality, one that is shifting from pre-modern animism to modern cartesianism.

Since its inception, Christianity has struggled with the place of images in religious life. Various positions were debated by the sharpest of minds, including St. Gregory the Great, Theodulf of Orleans, and Bernard Clairvaux. Much of this discourse focused on the role of images as “books of the illiterate” and inciters of emotion. In each case, material culture was viewed as separate from the agency of being. However, popular religious practices did not always reflect the complex theological discourse and subtle argumentation of Pseudo-Dionysus and St. Augustine. Miraculous material objects, such as the Madonna of Rocamadour, move,
The theory of images presented by objects is not congruent with the proper use of images articulated by theologians. The difficulty in accommodating both world views is articulated by a novice monk speaking on the miraculous image at Floreffe stating: “I am overcome by amazement when I hear a voice speaking in the wood, a hand raised to strike, the body bending over, raising itself, sitting down, with the other vital movements. I am more astonished by this than the ass’s speech to Balaam- for that was a living and moving being, but in wood, stone, and metal there is not a living spirit.”

Medieval images not only function as mnemonic aids and pedagogical tools, but as powerful forces, outside theological discourse. Images are called upon to intercede on the sinner’s behalf, to prove the strength of one’s belief, and to assist in times of extreme need. Images reveal themselves as active agents in moments where life, either worldly or eternal, hangs in the balance. As Jeffrey Hamburger describes in his discussion of the Liber Miraculorum of Unterlinden, legends of miracle working images were able to “confirm the ‘reality’ that the viewer wished to find in the image.”

Medieval material culture suggests that some objects were understood as equivalent beings that interacted with humans as complete and independent entities. In his 1913 work Totem and Taboo, Sigmund Freud attempted to explain the tendency of those, whom he understood as primitive and neurotic, to attribute life to inanimate objects. He described what he observed as the predisposition of humanity to envision the physical world as an extension of

---

6 Freedbird, 283-316.
8 A corpus of images, the Cantigas de Santa Maria reference images that function in a multitude of contexts. For a discussion of the imagery, see Deirdre Jackson, Saint and simulacra: Images of the Virgin in the Cantigas de Santa Maria of Alfonso X of Castile. Ph.D.diss,University of London, 2002.
itself, which in more advanced societies is overruled first by religion, and then by science.11 Nurit Bird-David has argued that this traditional derogatory view of animism should be revised.12 Instead, animism should be understood as a system of relatedness between both human and non-human entities. When a situation allows for interaction between a human person and an active object, the joint experience forms a relational bond between the two entities, akin to shared experiences between humans. Bird-David describes this system as a network of individuals, defined as entities constituted of relationships and the opposite of enclosed and separate individuals. In his response to Bird-David, anthropologist Tim Ingold suggests that the transition from medieval animistic society to modern Cartesian society is a definitive moment for Western conceptions of reality.13 In contrast to non-moderns such as medievals, moderns define personhood as a construction of individualistic encapsulation only possible for human beings.14 Modern reliance on the symbolic over the participatory reveals an adherence to the Cartesianistic conceptualization of “I think, therefore I am” and the inversed “if I do not think, then I am not.” The early modern period, then, stands at a moment of translation between these two constructs. The use of inquisition documents to articulate the functions of images is particularly poignant in that it institutionalizes the theory of images more often associated with popular belief.

A microcosm of attitudes toward materiality as demonstrated through the filter of the Inquisitional process is provided by the trials conducted in Ciudad Real at the turn of the sixteenth century. Between 1483 and 1526, one-hundred twenty-four trials were conducted.

11 Ibid., 90.
13 Ibid, 87.
against suspected *conversos*. The notarial records for these trials are similar to others from across Castile, reflecting the consistency of Castilian attitudes toward materiality. A close analysis of these trial records provides a corpus of specific references to physical objects that can be correlated to broader cultural phenomena. In this way, the trials of the Ciudad Real *conversos* provide a lens through which to understand the function of material culture in Early Modern Western Europe.

**Materiality and the Trials of Juan Díaz, María González, and Juan de Teva**

References to physical objects and states of materiality are extremely common within the notarial records of the Inquisition. They appear in almost every portion of the case files, including the specific accusations of the arraignments, the recorded witness testimonies, the confessions of the accused, and the sentencing for the guilty. For example, on September 6, 1484, Fernán Rodríguez de Barco presented before the Inquisition court of Ciudad Real a posthumous arraignment against Juan Díaz, the draper. In the arraignment, Juan Díaz was accused of being a “judaizer, heretic, and apostate, who guards the Laws of Moses and its rites and ceremonies.” The specifics of these rituals included “honoring the Sabbath and guarding the Law of Moses with the lighting of candles on Friday and the wearing of clean festival

---

15 These documents consist of fifty-seven files transferred from the cathedral of Toledo to the Archivo Histórico Nacional, Madrid, in 1914. These records were then published by Haim Beinart in *Records of the Trials of Spanish Inquisition in Ciudad Real, 1483-1527*, 4 vols. Jerusalem: Israel National Academy of Science and Humanities, 1974. Beinart organized, transcribed, and annotated the documents to identify one-hundred twenty-four individual cases. The discrepancy between the number of files and the number of cases is due to the inclusion of several cases within a single file, such as those against a husband and wife or other related kinship groups. Sixty-seven trials are reconstructed based upon references within the existing files, even though the original material is no longer existent.


clothes,” and “celebrating Passover with the eating of unleavened bread.”

In addition to these charges, de Barco also described how Juan Díaz ritualistically attacked a sculpted image of Christ every Friday afternoon. These accusations were corroborated by Juan Díaz’s close familial ties to other known conversos, specifically his sister Constanza Díaz, her husband Juan Falcón the Elder, and his brothers Fernando Díaz, and Rey Díaz. The evidence against Juan Díaz resulted in a guilty verdict; his bones were exhumed and burned publicly on March 15, 1485.

In many ways, the trial of Juan Díaz was typical of late fifteenth and early sixteenth-century Inquisition cases against Castilian conversos. María González, wife of Pedro de Villarreal, was brought before the Inquisition on June 2, 1511. In her arraignment, María González was accused of celebrating the Sabbath with the lighting of candles and the wearing of clean clothes, eating Kosher meat, and receiving the Jewish blessing.

The evidence against Juan Díaz resulted in a guilty verdict; his bones were exhumed and burned publicly on March 15, 1485. Moreover, the arraignment stated that María González threw an image of the Virgin Mary into a sewage ditch. As part of her lengthy confession, María González admitted to all charges. She was found guilty and sentenced to life imprisonment until her defense attorney, Alonso de Baena, had her case reopened eleven months later. The second appearance before the Inquisition court resulted in her being burned at the stake on September 7, 1512.

---

18 “ençendiendo e consyntiendo ençender candiles los viernes en la noche por honra del sabado e guarda de la dicha Ley. . . e guardo los sabados e uystio en ellos ropas inpias e de fiesta. . . Guardaua las pascuas de la dicha ley, e comia el pan çençeño” Ibid.
19 Juan Falcón the Elder was also indicted on September 6, 1484 and burned posthumously on March 15, 1485. See Beinart, vol. 1, 551-567. The case for Fernando Díaz is no longer extant and does not appear in Beinart’s compendium. However, his nephew Fernán Falcón witnessed on behalf of the prosecution stating that the charges against his father and uncles, including Fernán were true in all aspects. See Beinart vol. 1, 566 and 568. Rey Díaz was burned in effigy on February 24, 1484. See Beinart, vol. 1, 355.
20 “II Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonsales, los viernes en las noches. . . hazia encender y encendia ciertos candiles linios. . . III Iten,que la dicha Mari Gonzales, por honrar el dicho dia del sabado, los dichos viernes en las noches aparejaua la camisa linipa. . . IIII Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonzales purgaua e purgo la carne a la manera quo los judios la purgauan por guarda e obserucançia de su Ley. . . VI Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonzales daua e dio muchas vezes la benediction a la manera judayca a ciertas personas” Ibid, 247-248.
21 “V Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonzales. . . dandole vna table en que estaua pintada la ymagen de Nuestra Señora. . . la arrojo en un albañar muy suzio” Ibid.
In her lengthy confession María González named many other Ciudad Real New Christians as crypto-Judaizers, including Juan de Teva, a draper from Ciudad Real who had recently fled to Portugal. His trial, conducted in absentia, was almost completely composed of testimony given in other converso trials. In the arraignment, the prosecutor Martín Jiménez enumerated the Judaizing activities of Juan de Teva, such as keeping the Sabbath, associating and even teaching practices to other conversos, and speaking out against Christian tenants of the faith. Juan de Teva was found guilty, and he was burned in effigy on September 7, 1513.

These three cases, typical of the one hundred twenty-four trials, mention material culture in several ways. First, references are made to the misuse of specifically Christian images by malicious Jews. Both Juan Díaz and María González were accused of abusing Christian religious art objects within their arraignments. While this type of accusation is the least prevalent, it was extremely distressing for the Christian community. Witness testimony within the trial of Juan Díaz reveals that the Christians were outraged by the very rumor that a Jewish man accosted an image of Christ. The accusation against María González is similar to that of the converso girl of Córdoba, whose actions led to three days of violent and bloody rioting. A more common type of reference to physical objects within the notarial records occurs in regards to identifying heretical Jewish ritual. Juan Díaz, María González, and Juan de Teva were all accused of celebrating the Jewish Sabbath through interactions with the physical world.

---

22 See Beinart, vol. 2, 466-538.
23 “guarda, y guarda los días de los sabados . . . inponia e impuso a otras personas a que guardasen la Ley de Moysen e hiziesen sus ceremonias, e platicava con otras personas de como aquella era la buena ley . . . con el odio e enemistad que tenia a la Religion Christiana e a nuestra Santa Fe Catholica e burlando alla e de lo que la Santa Madre Iglesia crehe e predica e manda.” Beinart, vol. 3, 319-320.
24 While popular devotional practices varied from region to region in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, Spanish society had a theologically consistent Christian dogma. I have chosen to use the term “Christian Community” within this context. For a recent discussion on religious nomenclature, see Jerrihodod, Maria Menocal, and Abigail Balbale, The Arts of Intimacy: Christians, Jews, and Muslims in the Making of Castilian Culture, New Haven: Yale University Press, 2008.
25 This inclusion within the testimonial record is particularly striking due to the sterile and regularized nature of most legal discourse. Nearly all of the testimony included in the Ciudad Real trials is presented as unemotional recollection in the third person, stripped of all but the most important details.
specifically the lighting of candles and the donning of clean clothing. This type of accusation differs from the first in that it emphasizes the interconnectivity of material culture and ritual behavior. The third type of reference to materiality is in the distribution of punishment. These cases exemplify the ability of the Inquisition to perpetuate retribution against both persons and material objects linked to personhood, corpses and effigies. When compiled together, these three types of references to material culture within the Ciudad Real inquisitional documents create a complex interdependent web of activeness and agency between living persons and nonliving things.

**Accusations of Abuse toward Material Objects**

The actions which seem to garner the greatest emotional response in the notarial record are the accusations of abuse against specifically Christian objects. The arraignment of Juan Díaz stated he “kept a crucifix in his house and accosted it every Friday afternoon.” Item V of the arraignment against María Gonzalez stated: “it is said that María González, as an infidel and non-believer who shames our Sacred Catholic Faith, one time, harmed a picture on which was painted an image of Our Mother the Virgin Mary, she seized it, and like a great wind, with joking and scoffing, threw it into a sewer-ditch that ran alongside the kitchen.” While it is certainly possible that Juan Díaz and Maria González performed these actions, care should be taken in assuming that the accusations are “true.” Instead, the inclusion of these statements

---

26 It is worth noting that a number of conversos were also accused of participating in deviant speech acts and behaviors, such as the recitation of prayers in Hebrew. However, because of its tactility, material objects were more often referenced as indicators of heresy.

27 “Tenia un crucifix en su casa e lo a cotaua los viernes en las tardes” Ibid.

28 “Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonzales, como infiel e non creyendo nuestra Santa Fe Catholica e en vituperio della, vna vez, dandole vna tabla en que estaua pintada la ymagen de Nuestra Señora la Virgen Maria, ella la tomo, e como la ovo tornado, con burla y escarnio que della hizo, la arrajo en vn albañar muy suzio que estaua cabe la cozina, e despues de asi echada la dicha ymagen la escopio.” Beinart, vol. 2, 248.
within the written record reveals Christian anxieties over mistreatment of sacred objects by non-believers. 29

The accusations are also extremely interesting in that they coincide with popular stories found across Europe throughout the middle ages. The inclusion of similar stories within the late-thirteenth century Cantigas de Santa Maria suggests the availability and popularity of comparable stories within medieval Castile. 30 The Cantigas de Santa Maria is a collection of songs celebrating the miracles of the Virgin compiled by Alfonso X, King of Castile y Léon. The text and coordinating illustrations provides a compendium of High Medieval religious legends from across Europe. 31 As such, the stories contained within the Cantigas de Santa Maria reveal ways in which medieval persons understood and interacted with material culture as a component of their religious beliefs. Stories including non-Christians are extremely prevalent and reveal Christian perceptions of Jewish otherness in relation to Christian religious practices. 32

In cantiga thirty-four, entitled “How Holy Mary got even with the Jew for the dishonor he did to Her image,” a Jew in Constantinople stole an image of the Virgin. After taking it home “he threw it into the privy, then he sat down there and desecrated it shamefully.” 33 The image is then rescued by a good Christian who washed it and “put it in a proper place and made offerings


30 The Cantigas de Santa Maria survives in four thirteenth century manuscripts, all of which are associated with the patronage of Alfonso X. The most richly decorated is the Escorial Manuscript T.1.1, which includes 195 cantigas, each followed by either single folio or double-folio multi-paneled illuminations.


to it for his salvation.” 34 The Virgin rewarded the Christian for properly attending to her in
depicted form by “performing a great miracle there. A substance like oil issued from that image
in great abundance.” 35 Both María González and the unnamed Jew of Constantinople mistreated
with the most base of materials, objects that depict the Mother of God, and both received severe
punishment for their actions. Similarly, the accusation against Juan Díaz also correlates to a
miracle story within the Cantigas de Santa Maria. In cantiga twelve the Christians of Toledo
discovered in the Jewish quarter “an image of Jesus Christ, which the Jews were striking and
spitting upon. . . the Jews had made a cross upon which they intended to hang the image.” 36
Unlike the accusation against Juan Díaz, it is the entire Jewish ghetto which has come together to
do harm to an image of Christ. Again, punishment of the offender holds a prominent position
within the narrative.

The similarities between the accusations against fifteenth and sixteenth-century
conversos in Ciudad Real and miracle stories recorded in the thirteenth-century Cantigas de
Santa Maria suggest that anxieties over the abuse of religious objects by non-Christians was an
ongoing concern for the Christian community. The accusations also converge with traditional
understandings of the Jews as explicit enemies of Christ. Since the earliest days of Christianity,
scholars and theologians have understood the very existence of Jews as validating the New
Testament narrative. 37 By the twelfth century, writers such as Bernard of Clarveaux, recast the
Jews as complicit in the murder of Christ. 38 The threat posed to images of Mary and Christ was

34 “en bon logar a pos e fez-lle comprimento, / de quant’ ouve de fazer por ayer salvamento” trans: ibid., 46.
35 “mui gran demostrança / fez y a Madre de Deus, que d' oyo semellança / correu daquela omage grand' avondamento, / que ficasse deste feito por renenbramento” Trans: Ibid.
38 Jeremy Cohan, Living Letters of the Law: Ideas of the Jew in Medieval Christianity, University of California
particularly vexing due to medieval and early modern conceptions of the relationship between likeness and presence. Painted and sculpted images were believed to provide location for divine interaction in the material world.39 This equation of spirit and substance is predicated on complex theological discourse of the incarnation and transubstantiation. Because Christ encapsulated himself in a material form reflective of God’s image, depictions of Jesus reveal both his physical as well as godly nature.40 Images of Christ were also closely associated with the Eucharist, the material object which most directly reveals his spiritual presence within pre-reformation Christianity.41 The relationship between material and spiritual is then conflated visually in images of the Mystical Mass of St. Gregory. According to legend, during a mass held by Pope Gregory the Great, Christ miraculously appeared during the raising of the host, revealing himself as truly present in the Eucharist via visual display. The ability of images of Christ, Mary, and the Saints to serve as potential loci for interaction between human and divine indicated by shifts in materiality is further suggested by cantiga 34. The exuding of oil by the painted image, a substance closely associated with the relics of saints, reveals the physical object of the painted image as bodily matter through which the Virgin is spiritually present.

Therefore, accusations of image abuse are particularly poignant as they suggest attacks upon Christ and Mary themselves through their material figuration. For medieval and early modern Christians, Jews were the direct enemies of Christ and would therefore always make attempts to harm him, ever since their primary role in the crucifixion narrative.42 The

39 Freedberg, especially pages 283-316.
appearance of accusations of Jewish abuse against images of Christ and Mary within the Ciudad Real Inquisition trials reveals the continuation of such pejorative conceptions of Jews as well as the Christian understanding of images as loci for divine presence. These accusations are also theologically related to the most popular of all accusations against Jews, attacks by Jews on the consecrated host.⁴³

The inclusion of such references within the Ciudad Real Inquisition trials reveals the continuation of these anxieties for Early Modern Christians. Central was the belief that Jews are the malicious enemies of Christ. Such stories then reaffirm stereotypes by reinserting the narrative into the lived present. In the same way, the accusations reassert Christian attitudes toward their own religious objects. The perceived desires of Juan Díaz and María González to desecrate material objects conversely provides evidence of the power of these objects themselves as capable of inciting such desire.

**Ritualized Objects and Objectified Ritual**

In the arraignments of Juan Díaz and María González, an accusation of abuse to Christian images is only one of many enumerated heretical activities. Both were also accused of observing the Sabbath with the lighting of candles and the donning of clean festival clothes as well as observing dietary restrictions. These actions and interactions with materiality serve to prove heretical Jewish practices through their direct association with Jewish ritual.

---

While the evidence presented against Ciudad Real conversos existed in the form of witness testimonies, the proof of crypto-Judaism was firmly planted in the material world.\(^{44}\) For example, the lighting of candles indicated observance of the Jewish Sabbath in fifty-two of the on-hundred twenty-four existent case files. In contrast, only fourteen include accusations of celebrating Passover. As candles were the predominate form of interior lighting, it is not clear based specifically on the wording of many of the arrangements how witnesses were able to differentiate between candles lit for illumination from candles lit for ritual. Therefore, it must be assumed that other contextual clues alerted the witness to the specific intent of an almost universal activity.

In the arraignment of María Gonzalez, the lighting of candles is described in explicit detail providing an example of the ways in which context clues concerning both the material form of ritualized objects and the specifics of use indicated judaizing behavior. The full description reads:

```
II Item, that the said María González, on Friday nights, with prayers, did light and would light certain candles and oil lamps with new wicks earlier than the other nights of the week, and that she put these candles in a certain part of her house and placed them on a false pagan altar, and placed one grain of salt in the candle for the duration of the ritual, and on Friday nights, María González did not nor does not have other [lights], except for in front of this idolatrous statue, and as soon as it burned out they eat a meal unlike those had on the other nights of the week.\(^{45}\)
```


\(^{45}\) “II Iten, que la dicha Mari Gonsales, los viernes en las noches, de Buena ora, hazia ençender y ençendia çiertos candiles limpios con mechas nuevas mas tenprano que las otras noches de entre semana, e que aquelloscandiles la dicha noche los ponia en cierta parte de su casa e no los amatava fasta que ellos mismos se amatauan e apagauan de suyo; e hazia echar vn grano de sal en el candil por que durase mas el azeyte; e que los dichos dias de veirnes en la noche la dicha Mari Gonsales no hazia hazienda ninguna, anges se estaua holgando, e desque avia çendo se yva luego a <a>costar, lo que otras noches de entre semana no hazia.” Beinart, vol. 2, 247.
This case reveals how it is not the lighting of candles or lamps per se that was indicative of heretical practice, but the lighting of specific candles (newly wicked with salt added) at a specific time (earlier than other days of the week) on a specific day (Fridays) in a specific place (in a special room before a non-Christian object).

The preparation of the candles occasionally caused difficulties for converso women. In her confession, María González stated that “on the said Friday nights, soon after sunset, this confessant lit two clean lamps with new cotton wicks, and at other times the said slave Catalina lit them as commanded by this confessant. . . most often this confessant cleaned them and lit them, putting in new wicks so that the said maidservants and slave would not notice.”46 This statement implies that not only was María González aware of her actions as contrary to the Edict of Faith, but also that she recognized the danger in having her actions observed by those in her household.47 If the servant was responsible for replacing the wicks, but only when they burned out naturally, the material form of the candle would not adhere to the requirements of the ritual. If María González requested that the wicks be changed every Friday, Catalina would notice that the wicks were not always completely burned out. If María Gozález took over the care of the lamps entirely, Catalina might wonder why the mistress was performing a servant’s tasks. Therefore, María Gonzalez continuously altered her behavior in order to balance the material needs of her Judaic ritual and the possible suspicions of her servant. In her confession, María González does not address the placing of salt within the oil, nor the utilization of a special ritualistic space.

46 “E que los dichos viernes en las noches, tenprano despues de puseto el sol, ençendia este confesante dos candiles linpios con mechas nuevas de algoden, e otras vezes los ençendia la dicha Catalina, esclaua, por mandado deste confessant . . . e que los mas vezes los alinpiaua este confesante e los ençendia poniendoles sus mechas nuevas, porque las dichas moças y esclaua non los syntiensen.” Beinart, vol. 2, 250. Trans, Renée Melammed, Heretics or Daughters of Israel? The Crypto-Jewish Women of Castile (New York: Oxford University Press, 1999): 78.
The clarification of these context clues within the specific example of María González indicates the ways in which Christian accusers understood object use within Judaic faith. The aspects of the accused activity which do not correspond with historical understandings of Judaic ritual, such as the placement of lights before an idolatrous image, indicate the early modern Christians translated Jewish practices into a Christian vocabulary. As has already been suggested, objects with figurations of Christ and Mary were important components in Christian lived religion. Therefore, it is assumed that Jewish ritual also includes a figurative image placed upon an altar, but by necessity this image is assumed to be idolatrous.

Candles and lamps also played an important role in Christian ritual. Donations of wax to be burnt before holy images, often made in the weight of the person making the donation, was an important form of votive reciprocity between human and divine agents through material objects.\textsuperscript{48} Candles and candle sticks are an essential component of the Christian altar.\textsuperscript{49} The Christian conception of Judaic ritual, exemplified by the arraignment of María González, assumes that Jews utilize candles and lights in a similar manner to Christian practices. The supposed witnessing of these context clues, such as the trimming of the wicks or the adding of salt, allowed the prosecution to implicate María González in heretical practice. It is probable that similar context clues were used but not recorded in the trial of Juan Díaz, who is accused of “honoring the Sabbath and guarding the Law of Moses with the lighting of candles on Friday.”\textsuperscript{50}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{48} Hugo van der Velden, \textit{The Donar’s Image: Gerard Loyet and the Votive Portraits of Charles the Bold}, (Turnhout: Brepols, 2000): 253-254.
\item \textsuperscript{50} “encendiendo e consyntiendo ençender candiles los viernes en la noche por honra del sabado e guarda de la dicha Ley” Beinart, vol. 1, 570.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
practice. The assumption becomes, anything that deviates from standard Christian worship is by necessity heretical. Therefore, like the accusations of abuse toward Christian objects, accusations of Judaic ritual serve to re-affirm Christian practices by clearly articulating an acceptable norm from which all other actions can be assessed based upon their assimilation to conventional practice.

Another possibility is that the material form of the candles or requisite candle holders immediately revealed their ritualistic purpose. Such ritual-specific objects were produced in a variety of materials for differing socio-economic levels, ranging from complex golden forms to simple earthenware objects such as the fragment in the Museo de Teruel.\(^{51}\) Close connections between ritualized use and material form led to the specialization of other objects, such as illuminated Haggadot and Passover Plates used in the celebration of Passover.\(^{52}\) The development of ritualized forms also developed with regard to Christian objects. This is clearly exemplified by the standardization of the implements of the mass, such as the monstrance and chalice. This connection between the object, the material form, and the ritual use of objects was often underscored by accompanying visual decoration of inscriptions.\(^{53}\) The conceptual inversion of this phenomenon of ritualized material objects is that the form of these objects reveals enacted ritual. Thus the witnessing of the existence and use of such ritual-specific objects would clearly and immediately reveal the beliefs and practices of one’s neighbors. This

---

\(^{51}\) This object is discussed in *Convivencia: Jews, Muslims, and Christians in Medieval Spain*, edited by Vivian Mann, Thomas Glick, and Jerrilynn Dodds, (New York: George Braziller, 2007): fig. 65.


provides a second possibility in interpreting these accusations within the Ciudad Real Inquisitorial record, such as those made against Juan Diaz of simply “lighting candles.” The Christian understanding of Jewish objectified ritual as a recognition of perceived “sameness” within the distinction of “otherness” furthers the suggestion that the Inquisitional court records reveal Christian perspectives via Christianized perception. The close association between material objects and ritualized practice in Early Modern Christianity also explains the iconoclastic attacks by Northern European Protestants against catholic material culture in addition to figural devotional images.

**Punishment and Material Presence**

Once the accused had been granted a verdict, usually guilty, the sentence was carried out either independently or in a large public spectacle of mass punishment known as the *Auto de Fé*. The accused processed through the streets, were each individually addressed by the Inquisitors, and released to their punishments. Overtime, the *Auto de Fé* became a grandiose event held in the main plaza that drew large crowds from the surrounding countryside. The spectacle of the *Auto de Fé* required the production of material objects, including the scaffolding for the seating of Inquisitors and other important persons, the particular garments of the San

---

54 This interpretation is in contrast to the studies by Haim Beinart and Renée Malammed who attempt to reconstruct Spanish Judaic practices on the basis of Inquisition accusations and testimony. See Beinart, 1981 and Malammed, 1999.


56 It should be noted that recent scholarship has emphasized the Inquisition’s use of other forms of punishment, particularly for cases of minor heresy. For example, see Kamen, 1992, 198-213. However, as the population discussed here were all tried for major heresy during the first fifty years of Inquisitional activity, the proportion of crime and punishment should be understood as reflective of this specific moment rather than to a more general conception of Inquisitional activity.

57 Kamen, 207-208.
Benito, a specific garment composed of a tunic emblazoned with the symbols of the Inquisition and a large conical head piece, this ensemble was worn during the procession of the accused, and candles to be carried in procession. The pinnacle of this ritualistic spectacle was capital punishment by fire for those condemned to burn at the stake.

Between 1483 and 1527, one-hundred twenty-seven verdicts were read against Ciudad Real conversos. Of these, seven were absolved of their charges, twenty received reconciliation, that is harsh punishment followed by cultural isolation but still able to participate in the Catholic Faith, and one-hundred were condemned to burn at the stake. For those sentenced to capital punishment by fire, the material composition of the physical body varied between three possible states.

The majority of convicted Ciudad Real conversos were burned at the stake in bodily form. The case of María González records that “the prosecuting attorney has fully proven his intention, and the said María González is found to be a heretic. . . it is declared that the said María González for impenitent false and erroneous beliefs is relaxed and will be relaxed to the secular arm.” On September 4, 1513 in the Plaza de Zocodovér of Toledo “the tribunal was above on the scaffold, and the said María González on another wooden platform, was read and announced the said sentence.” The description of the proceedings, though brief, indicates that María González was burned alive. She presumably participated in the procession, listened to the

---

58 The difference in number between number of cases and the number of verdicts is due to two factors. Firstly, some cases reference two separate individuals. For example, the Trial of Beatriz González, Wife of Juan de la Sierra, also includes her daughter Leonor and her sister-in-law Isabel González, Wife of Rodrigo (Beinart, vol. 2, 156-188). These women were tried as a group by the Inquisition but they were each subjected to punishment in the Auto de Fé. Other cases, such as the trial of María González, were conducted in multiple phases with multiple sentences passed.

59 “Fallamos el dicho promoter fiscal aver provado enteramente su intencion, e la dicha Maria Gonsales aver seydo y ser hereja. . . que devemos declarer e declaramos a la dicha Maria Gonçales por ficta e symulada confitente e inpenitente, y que la devemos relaxer e relaxamos a la justiçia e braço seglar.” Ibid, vol. 2, 316.

60 “ençima de vn cadahalso, pro tribunal sedendo, e estando la dicha Mari Gonçales en outro tabado de Madera, fue leyda e publicada esta dicha sentençia a alta bos yntelegible” Ibid, vol. 2, 317.
sermons, and was observed by the witnesses in bodily form. Forty-three other convicted

*conversos* were burned alive at the stake.

*Conversos* whose trials were conducted post mortem or who died during the trial process were not excused from punishment in the *Auto de Fé*. Isabel de los Olivos y López, wife of Diego Sánchez de Madrid, died while in custody.\(^{61}\) The trial process, however, continued for several weeks while additional testimony was collected. On July 13, 1513, the *Consulta de Fe* handed out a guilty verdict and sentenced Isabel de los Olivos y López “to major excommunication and the other penalties and censures against apostate heretics. . . and instead of her person her statue will be relaxed to the secular arm.”\(^{62}\) Much like María González, a statue of Isabel de los Olivos y López was placed on a wooden structure in the plaza of Toledo before the Inquisitional tribunal.\(^{63}\) While Isabel de los Olivos y López was not physically present as a living corporal being, her presence was made possible through the transportation of her remains. The sentencing document describes how “because of the serious crime, her body and bones will be unearthed from where they are and the said things taken to the secular arm, so that justice can be made of them.”\(^{64}\) That is to say, the bodily remains of Isabel de los Olivos y López were exhumed and sent to Toledo to be burned. Thus the condemned participated corporally in the *Auto de Fé* via both bodily matter and sculpted likeness. Seventeen other Ciudad Real *conversos* were burned post mortem through their body and bones.

Even when the bodily remains are not available, as for persons who were living in exile, the Inquisition tribunal continued to dispense punishment. Almost a quarter of those condemned

---


\(^{62}\) “en setençia dexcommunion mayor e en las otras penas e censuras contra los tales hereges apostates. . e en lugar de su persona relaxamos su estatua a la justiçia e braço seglar.” Ibid, vol. 2, 589.

\(^{63}\) Ibid., vol. 2, 590.

\(^{64}\) “Y en detsestacion de tan grave crimen, mandamus que su cuerpo y huesos sean desenterrados de cualquier lugar donde estoviesen enterrados, y que aquellos sean entregados a la dicha justice seglar, para que haga dellos lo que sea justiçia” Ibid., vol. 2, 298.
to the flames, thirty-seven of all accounts of corporal punishment, were conducted through
effigies. It is difficult to assess the visual form of these effigies, referred to in the notarial
records as *estatua*, due to their imminent destruction. The effigies were most likely stuffed cloth
in a humanoid shape.65 Painting may have been used to create a representational likeness of the
individual visage. It is unlikely, however, that the effigies were completely individualized in
accordance with modern conceptions of portraiture. The effigies would also be dressed in the
San Benito just as the living persons who participated in the *Auto de Fé*. The effigies were then
carried by surrogates within the procession who assisted in manipulating the effigy through the
individualized ceremony, such as the reading of the sentence. Juan de Teva, a draper from
Ciudad Real, fled to Portugal when his family began to be questioned by the Inquisition.66 The
language of the sentencing and documentation of punishment is remarkably similar to that of
María González and Isabel de los Olivos y López. Juan de Teva was sentenced to be “relaxed to
the justice of the secular arm, and it is commanded that a statue of the said Juan de Teva be sent
in place of his person.”67 However, unlike Isabel de los Olivos y López, Juan de Teva is not
bodily present at the *Auto de Fé* in any manner besides the sculpted likeness. It is the visual and
material object which constitutes his participation in the ritualized action of the spectacle.

The similarity in language and vocabulary between the three examples indicates on the
one hand the formulaic nature of the court record. At the same time, no distinction is made
between the three forms of physicality. All three are sentenced to being “relaxed by the secular
arm.” This suggests that all three forms of punishment were regarded as more or less equivalent
with regards to efficacy.

65 This analysis is based upon images of the *Auto de Fé*, such as the panel painting by Pedro Berrugete from 1475,
located in the Prado Museum.
67 “que le deueos relaxer e relaxamos a la justiçia e braço seglar, e mandamus que su estatua del dicho Juan de
Teba sea entregada en lugar de su persona.” Ibid.
The creation and burning of these effigies, material stand-ins for corporal existence, complicates the presentation of the body and spirit within the *Auto de Fé* and by extension Early Modern Spanish society. The ability of the institution of the Inquisition to inflict punishment across these states of physicality indicates an independent ability to define personhood. This power, however, is only available if the supporting community utilizes a world view in which spiritual essence and physical container are transient. Therefore, the translation of punishment across living tissues, dead tissues, and objectified tissues, suggests fluidity between person and body not dependent on specific material form. Instead, personhood is connected to physicality via likeness or relatedness.68 A witness to the *Auto de Fé* in Cordoba on May 3, 1655, states that the effigies to be burned “bore such a resemblance to the flesh and blood sinner that no one in the crowd had doubts as to who was being condemned.”69 Unfortunately, it is not clear how this individualization was accomplished. It is possible that the creators of the effigies utilized visual representation or by some other means such as equivocal weight or the inscribing of a name. No matter the type of individualization, the documents clearly state that a specific object was created as a substitution for the presence of a specific individual. This language creates a singular relationship between material form and spiritual person. The relationship can then be reversed, with the punishment inflicted upon the physical container directly effecting and altering the spiritual.70

**The Functions of Material Culture**

The combined references to material culture within the one-hundred twenty-four Inquisition records from Ciudad Real, abuses to Christian objects, references to Jewish ritual

---

70 Flynn, 290.
objects, and the burning of effigies, reveal a world in which materiality is closely associated with active agency. These references demonstrate that the flexible attitudes toward physical substance within Early Modern Spain were essential to the very functionality of the Inquisition. The analysis of references to the fluidity between objective states at the Auto de Fé allowed the institution of the Inquisition to construct a communal identity even as large numbers of conversos fled to other locations, be it Portugal or the afterlife. The reference to ritualized Judaic practices, such as the use of candles, further reveals the ability of the Inquisition to regulate systems of behavior by clearly delineating the line between acceptable and unacceptable practice. Testimony, such as that against María González, clarifies the specific ways in which actions deviate from the communal norm. One’s heresy is highlighted through implicit comparison with conventional modes of behavior, filtered through a Christianized conception of ritual. In the same way, the references to attacks on Christian religious objects also strengthen the identity of the Christian majority. By presenting the crypto-Jew as an attacker of Christ, contemporary Christians re-experienced the historical passion narrative within the present, validating their own belief system as a current shared experience, including the persons of Christ and Mary within the active members of the lived community.

The analysis of references to material culture within the Inquisition trial records from Ciudad Real suggests a world in which the material object and constructions of personhood were still fluid. However, the situations in which material objects assert themselves as entities were becoming encapsulated. The accusation of abuse directed toward Christian visual culture reflect

---


medieval conceptions of likeness, identity, and agency predicated upon legend and miracle.  

The utilization of objects within Jewish ritual, the most prevalent reference to materiality within the court records, supposes a symbolic relationship which then becomes dominant in the modern world view. The lighting of candles functions as a sign of ritualistic activity due to its close association with the ritual, but the candle itself is not an active participant. In between these two concepts lies the use of effigies in the *Auto de Fé*. While this function of material culture utilized conceptions of likeness and spiritual essence similar to medieval animism, these connections were controlled by the governing institution itself. The Inquisitional body, through the articulation of its tribunal members, dictated which objects were active agents in which place and at what time. Perhaps this institutional appropriation of the power to define the power of material culture reveals one vehicle through which early modern society negotiated the complex socio-cultural shift from non-modern to modern.

---

73 Ernst Kitzinger, in his foundational article on pre-iconoclastic byzantine image theory, argues that theologians perceived material objects as dangerous precisely because they operated outside ecclesiastic control. Ernst Kitzinger, “The Cult of Images in the Age before Iconoclasm,” *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, vol. 8 (1954): 83-150.
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