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This ICU Bedside Scene illustrates the complexity of clinical data acquisition

1. Bedside Monitor with ECG rate and rhythm data, pulse oximeter and direct blood pressure measures.
2. IV Pumps with physiologically active medications and changing drip rates.
3. Ventilators with 2 PC screen displays requiring 50 to 80 keystrokes to enter data for each change in “ventilator settings”.

It is silly to have nurses or therapists read data from a computer screen and manually enter data into an Electronic Health Record (EHR) – Errors & Delays.
The ultimate quality of the Electronic Health Record (EHR) depends on the quality of data acquired during clinician-patient encounters. There is a "golden moment" for capturing accurate, structured information – when the clinicians enter the data into the EHR. This moment never comes back and EHR systems must make it as easy as possible for clinicians to record high quality information.

Medical Information Bus – What is it?

In the early 1980’s professionals from leading medical institutions with strong Medical Informatics programs came together with industrial experts to work on the requirements for acute care data communications. Results of the effort was the development of IEEE 1073 – Medical Information Bus.

Medical Information Bus – Designed to Solve Data Acquisition Problems

“...Absence of interface standards for bedside devices precluded the connection of most bedside devices to patient monitoring computer systems and alarm networks.”

HELP System at LDS Hospital
During a Quality Improvement Study at LDS Hospital, we found that there were Medication Errors occurring which should have been prevented by the HELP System. On closer analysis, we found that only 59% of the Medications given were charted in “real-time” – within 1 minute of when they were given. As a consequence the features built into the HELP system to prevent these errors became “non-functional.”

Medication Errors
Prevented by “real-time” Bedside Charting

1. Early dose
2. Late dose
3. Missed dose
4. Wrong medication
5. Med given after discontinued
6. Wrong route
7. Wrong patient

Technology Today – Compared to MIB IEEE 1073 of the late 1990’s

We have the Universal Serial Bus (USB) which makes it easy to interface data acquisition devices, printers, Flash Drives…quickly and easily to computers. Also Bluetooth Wireless Interfaces.

However, IEEE 1073 patient ID and data transmission standards are needed to acquire the data.
Point-of-Care Technology Recommendations

1. Learn from the MIB experience.
2. Automate data acquisition.
3. Integrate data from Point-of-Care to optimize accurate and timely patient data acquisition.
4. Integrate the Point-of-Care Technologies data into an Electronic Health Record (EHR).
5. Optimize Computerized Decision Support to provide optimal patient care, be it in the ICU, clinic or in the patient’s home.
The image illustrates the components of a bedside monitor. Physiological signals from a patient (PATIENT) are collected by a transducer and passed through a power source. The signals are then amplified and conditioned by an amplifier & signal conditioning module. The processed signals are converted to digital format by an analog to digital converter. The digital signals are further processed by a microprocessor for physiological signal feature extraction. The extracted features are displayed on a display screen. The processed data is then sent to an ICU computer system.
Current “Manual” Method

1. Heart Rate = 180 beats per min
2. How do you select the rate from 10,800 beats/hr or 21,600 beats for 2hrs?
3. How do you decide?
4. Would you do it differently if it were:
   - An Adult?
   - A Child?
   - A Newborn?
SIGNIFICANT DECREASE IN SpO2

% SpO2 Decrease

Duration (Minutes)

(10 RN, 5 RT, 5 MD)

AHM 11 MAR 94
Monitor 13%
Laboratory 33%
Drugs I/O IV 22%
Blood Gas 9%
Observation 21%
Other 2%
(A) MIB

TIME (MINUTES)

Median = 82%
(calculated from 17:00 - 18:00)

(B) Nurse-charted Value = 77%
(appearing in chart at 18:00)

(C) Nurse-charted Value = 98%
(appearing in chart at 20:00)

Median = 94%
(calculated from 19:00 - 20:00)
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An Example of an Oximetry Recording System
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15-minute Median

5-minute Median (Event Detection)

Time (HH:MM)
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IV Pump Drip Rate Assessment
Comparison of Computerized “Manual Charting” with MIB Data Collection

Site – Cottonwood Hospital Medical ICU

Time & Duration – Spring 2002 - 2 Months

Analysis – Nathan Hulse – MI Student

Data Collection – Kyle Johnson & Reed
Autonomic Drugs: Charting Lag

Minutes (n = 285)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>53.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>79.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>86.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Autonomic Drugs: Charting Accuracy

Drugs included: Dopamine, Isoproterenol, Norepinephrine, Vecuronium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>90.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fusing Multiple Monitor Signals to Reduce Excessive Alarms in the Intensive Care Unit
2004
Kasey B. Poon, M.D.
MS Project in Medical Informatics
Problems

Excessive Alarms
Multiple, Redundant Monitor Signals

The Studies
– Raison et al.

- "The occurrence of false alarms from automated patient monitor systems is a common clinical problem."
- 1968
Problems (cont’d.)

Studies (cont’d.)


• “. . . the level of monitoring in ICUs generates a great number of false-positive alarms.”
• 5.9% of all alarms resulted in call to a physician
• PPV=27%
Problem (cont’d.)

Studies (cont’d.)
  • 86% false-positive alarms
  • 6% clinically irrelevant true alarms
  • 8% clinically relevant true alarms
Problems (cont’d.)

Studies (cont’d.)

– Deactivating Alarms

• Too many false alarms
• Confusion
• Peace and quiet
• Unpleasant alarm tone
A Solution?


- “Combined” signal: ECG + arterial pressure
- Reduction of false alarms
Solution (cont’d.)


- RSF: Robust Sensor Fusion
- Fused HR as good or better
- Redundancy can improve HR estimation
- Reduced incidence of false alarms
Kasey Poon’s Study

Collaboration with GE/Marquette
Fusion vs. Standard Algorithms
Data Collection

LDS Hospital
GE/Marquette Solar 8000 Models
QI/Patient Care Improvement Project
April – September, 2001
## Data

109 ICU Patients from LDS Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Thoracic Surgery</th>
<th>Shock Trauma</th>
<th>Hyperbaric</th>
<th>Medical/Surgical</th>
<th>Cardiac</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data (cont’d.)

1902.25 Patient-hours
Continuous Physiologic Waveform Recording
Two-second Sampling Frequency for Data Analysis
–3,424,050 Data Points
Data (cont’d.)

ECG
  –Heart rate
  –Heart rhythm

Intra-arterial Catheter
  –Heart rate
  –Blood pressure

Pulse Oximeter
  –Heart rate
  –Blood oxygenation
Data (cont’d.)

Alarm Recordings
- Start and end time
  - alarm duration
  - resolution → ¼-second
- Type
Data (cont’d.)

Alarm Types
- Ventricular tachycardia (VT)
- Ventricular fibrillation (VF)
- Asystole
- Low heart rate (LHR)
- High heart rate (HHR)

Physician Confirmation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VT</th>
<th>VF</th>
<th>Asystole</th>
<th>Low HR</th>
<th>High HR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fusion Alarms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kasey’s Conclusions

Fusion Algorithm Superior to Standard

- More true positive alarms
- Fewer false positives
- Higher positive predictive value
- Greater sensitivity
- Greater specificity

STILL NOT PERFECT – Reed Note!!!
So what are the advantages of Computerized Data Collection?

1. More complete documentation.
2. Data recorded in digital format.
3. Computerized decision support.
4. Data repository for research use.
4700XXXX PUBLIC, JOHN Q. E799 58yr M Dx: CAD

Max 24hr WBC = 9.4 ↓ (14.3) Admit: 07/15/03 01:30 Max 24hr Temp = 38.1 ↓ (38.2)

RENAL FUNCTION: Impaired, CrCl = 35, Max 24hr Cr = 1.7 ↑ (1.6) IB Weight: 70kg

ANTIBIOTIC ALLERGIES: Penicillins,

CURRENT ANTIBIOTICS:
1. 07/30/03 13days FLUCONAZOLE IN NS (DIFLUCAN), IVPB 200. Q 24 hrs
2. 08/02/03 13days IMIPENEM/CILASTATIN (PRIMAXIN), VIAL 500. Q 12 hrs
3. 08/08/03 4days LEVOFLOXACIN/D5W(LEVAQUIN), PIGGYBACK 250. Q 24 hrs

IDENTIFIED PATHOGENS SITE COLLECTED
p Enteric bacilli Sputum 08/07/03 11:13

ANTIBIOTIC SUGGESTION DOSAGE ROUTE INTERVAL
Imipenem 500mg IV *q12h (infuse over 1hr)
Suggested Antibiotic Duration: 10 days
* Adjusted based on patient’s renal function.

p= Susceptibilities based on antibiogram or same pathogen w/ susceptibilities.
NOTE: Cephalosporins, imipenem and penicillins can cross react if allergy includes urticaria or bronchial spasms or laryngeal spasms. Alternate choice:
Levofloxacin *250mg IV q24h (500mg initial dose)

Micro, Organism Suscept, Drug Info, Explain Logic, Empiric Abx, Abx Hx, ID Rnds, Lab/Abx Levels, Xray, Data Input Screen, EXIT, Help, User Input, Outpatient Models, Change Patient, ORDER: Suggested Abx, Other Abx, D/C Abx, Modify Abx,
Conclusions

1. There are still unlimited opportunities for improvement and automation of data medical data collection.
2. Nurses, Pharmacists, Physicians and other care givers must all work together to gather the needed data.
3. A change in “culture” must occur for us to develop the best methods.
4. Teamwork of care providers is the best way to make progress.