

**University of New Mexico
UNM Digital Repository**

New Mexico Bar Exams (2000-2012)

School of Law

7-1-2007

July 2007 New Mexico Bar Exam

New Mexico Board of Bar Examiners

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/law_nmbarexams

Recommended Citation

Board of Bar Examiners, New Mexico. "July 2007 New Mexico Bar Exam." (2007). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/law_nmbarexams/24

This Exam is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in New Mexico Bar Exams (2000-2012) by an authorized administrator of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.

7/07 MPT I

- ① Because Indian Tribes Retain Inherent Sovereign Power to Exercise Some Forms of Civil Jurisdiction over Non-Indians on Non-Indian Fee Lands
There is no genuine issue of material fact that the TRIBE has jurisdiction over Plaintiff Acme ~~and therefore Company~~
- ② Because Indian Tribes Retain Inherent Sovereign Power to Exercise Some Forms of Civil Jurisdiction over Non-Indians on Non-Indian Fee Lands, there is no genuine issue of material fact that the TRIBE has jurisdiction over Plaintiff Acme ~~and therefore Company~~
- As stated in AO Architects v. Red Fox et al. (hereinafter "AO")
- Analysis of Indian tribal Court Civil jurisdiction begins with Montana v. United States (hereinafter "Montana"). In Montana, the U.S. Supreme Court ("SC") held that absent express authority or authorization by federal statute or treaty, the inherent sovereign powers of an Indian tribe do not, as a general proposition, extend to the activities of non-members of the tribe.

This being the case, the SC did acknowledge that there were two instances where the ~~the~~ Indian Tribes do "retain inherent Sovereign power to exercise some forms of civil jurisdiction over non-Indian"

On their reservations, even on non-Indian fee lands. These two instances are :

① The tribe could exercise such sovereignty when a "tribe may regulate, through taxation, licensing, or other means, the activities of nonmembers who enter consensual relationships with the tribe or its members, through commercial dealings, contracts, leases, or other arrangements"; and

② The tribe could exercise such sovereignty when a "tribe may also retain inherent power to exercise civil authority over the conduct of non-Indians on fee lands within its reservation when

that conduct threats or has some direct effect on
the political integrity, the economic security, or the
health and welfare of the tribe": (Montana).

Here, the land in question is non-Indian fee land owned by
Patrick Mulroney. Both prongs of Montana's exceptions apply to
this case. ~~(Acme has entered into)~~

Acme has entered into a consensual relationship with the tribe
through commercial dealings. ~~As part of Acme's~~
contract to extract methane gas, it is providing royalties to the
tribe. The tribe has leased the minerals under Mulroney's land to Acme,
and as such, Acme is developing the coal bed methane. Clearly Acme,
as a nonmember of the tribe, has entered ~~into a~~ consensual relationship.

with the tribe. Hence, the first exception to Montana
Applies.

Secondly, the tribe retains ~~for~~ inherent power to exercise civil authority over the ~~or~~ conduct of Acme because the second exception to Montana has been ~~not~~ met. Acme's actions in extracting the methane gas does have an ~~appreciable~~ effect on "the

"economic security" and "the health and welfare of the tribe." (Montana)

As stated in the affidavit by ~~the~~ Jesse Bellinger, PhD, a geologist from the University of Franklin, it is his professional opinion

"that coal bed methane development activity by Acme Resources Inc., is

causing the defendant's ("Tribe") wells to run dry. Additionally,

it is his opinion that the ^{all the} ~~A~~ reservoirs wells will run dry

over the next five years if Acme's coal bed methane develop-

continues. Furthermore, Robert Black Hawk, has indicated

that he cannot economically use his land to grow crops and feed

cattle without water, and there is no other source of water

available to him. Clearly with the wells running dry,

~~because both pose~~ the economic security (no beef to sell)

and the health and welfare of the tribe (no water to drink)

are ~~at~~ at stake. Furthermore, the consequences of Acme's actions to
drilling ~~on the site~~ would certainly be felt on the tribe's land.

Because both exceptions to Montana have been satisfied,

the tribe most certainly has jurisdiction over plaintiff Acme in

tribal court, hence Summary judgment must be granted in favor

of the Tribe.



(2) Under the Tribal Exhaustive Doctrine requiring that a

Party exhaust its Remedies in TRIBAL Court Before seeking

Relief in Federal Court, the United States District Court

For the District of Franklin must stay or Dismiss Acme's

Complaint on the Ground that the BLACK EAGLE TRIBE

MUST BE permitted to Consider its Jurisdiction Over THE MATTER.

~~Order~~ The Tribe should be given the first opportunity to address

its jurisdiction and explain its basis for jurisdiction to both

Acme and the Tribe. Under National Farmers Union Inv. Co. v. Crow TR

the United States Supreme Court applied a tribal exhaustive doctrine

requiring that a party exhaust its remedies in tribal court before seeking

relief in federal court. This doctrine is based on a

"policy of supporting tribal self-government and self-determination."

(National Farmers). The Supreme Court decided that

a federal court should ordinarily stay its hand "Until after the tribal court has had a full opportunity to determine its own

jurisdiction." (National Farmers. See also, "AO"). As stated

in "AO", the Supreme Court has emphasized that the exhaustion doctrine is based on "comity": ~~(AO)~~ ("AO"). The "comity doctrine reflects

a practice of deference to another court and is not a jurisdictional prerequisite. Thus, where it is clear that a tribal court lacks jurisdiction,

the exhaustion doctrine gives way for it would serve no purpose other than delay. (See State v. A-1 Contractors (U.S. 1997))

Here the tribe is clearly ~~alleging~~ alleging that there has been

no exhaustion of tribal remedies. In fact, Acme ~~has~~ has alleged

that the Black Eagle Tribal Court lacks jurisdiction over ~~Azne~~^{Azne} in the tribal court action (because Azne is not a member of the ~~tribe~~) and is praying the Court enter a judgment enjoining the Tribe from prosecuting the tribal court action. The Federal Court must stay or dismiss Azne's complaint so that the Tribal Court may be permitted to consider its jurisdiction over the matter.

~~Because of the decision in Montana, which~~

In Strate, the Court held that a tribal court had no jurisdiction to hear a personal injury lawsuit between non-tribal members arising from a car accident that occurred on a state highway running through a reservation. Unlike Strate, where the Court ~~decided~~^{found} that that case was not closely related to any consensual relationship between non-member and the tribe or a tribe member, and as such it was

distinctly non-tribal in nature" (Strate, citing Cf. Franklin Motor Credit

Co. v. Tschmalter, 15th Cir. 2005), here there is a consensual

relationship between Acme and the Tribe. Here there is a "direct

nexus" between Acme and the Tribe because they entered into an

~~(@otting)~~

agreement. ~~not~~ The Tribal Council voted on an agreement with

Acme whereby the Tribe is getting a 20% royalty on all

methane production. Unlike Strate, there is a consensual relationship

between a non-member ("Acme") and the tribe.

Since the Tribe should be permitted to consider its jurisdiction

over this matter, the United States District Court for the

District of Franklin must stay or dismiss Acme's federal action on

the ground that the ~~otting~~ Black Eagle Tribal Court

must be permitted to consider its jurisdiction over the matter. Acme

must exhaust its tribal court remedies before seeking relief

in Federal Court. Although Acme is not a member of the

Tribe (Montana), the Tribe still retains inherent sovereign

power to exercise some form of civil jurisdiction over non-Indian

(Acme) on ~~state land~~ non-Indian free land. Hence, the Acme

~~must~~ must exhaust under the Tribal Exhaustion Doctrine must exhaust its

tribal court remedies before seeking relief in Federal Court. Acme's

Complaint must be dismissed, or in the alternative, the Federal

Court should stay its hand. ~~and allow Acme to~~