

University of New Mexico

UNM Digital Repository

Theory and Practice Seminars 2001-2009

MPH

2006

MPH Theory & Practice Seminar Questions for Peer-Reviewing the Methods Section

Jonathan Eldredge

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/hsc_inst_coph_mph_tps

Questions for Peer-Reviewing the Methods Section

1. **Clarity.** Are the methods clear? Can you replicate the literature search on the basis of this written explanation?

2. **Completeness:** Is it complete? Do the methods adequately explain everything you did? Is there anything important that is left out?
 - a. On the other hand, are there parts where there is too much information—information that a reader does not need to know?

3. **Introduction:** Does the section begin with a brief one to three sentences that adequately forecast the section, providing an overall conception (bird's-eye-view) of the process?

4. **Headings:** Are headings used appropriately and helpfully?
 - a. Does each heading describe what's in its subsection so a reader would understand what's there?