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EBSC Conference Post-Conference Survey

This is the second and final part of a two part survey to complete the evaluation of the Evidence-Based Scholarly Communications Conference held in Albuquerque, NM in March of 2010.

1. Please rate each of the following topics covered at the conference as follows: The content presented at the conference will be helpful with my efforts to promote the use of open-access publication at my institution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change Management</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright management</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional repositories</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research topics in open-access publication/promotion</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveraging opportunities with a CTSA program to promote</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH policy promotion</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing the 3-minute elevator speech advocating open-access publication support</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy strategies for advancing the promotion of open-access publication in translational research environments (CTSA)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. As a librarian or other knowledge management professional, please indicate how confident you are in your ability to effect change to successfully promote an increase in the use of open-access publication at your institution:

- Very little confidence to effect change
- Neutral
- Some confidence to effect change
- High confidence to effect change

3. There is a need to continue this type of conference (i.e., focused on promotion of open-access publication at CTSA-minded institutions) on a recurring basis in some form, e.g. as part of an MLA or similar national organization’s annual conference.

   1  2  3  4  N/A
   Agree ○ ○ ○ ○ Disagree ○

4. There is a need to advocate for the inclusion of a formal agenda in the NIH’s CTSA Program that promotes greater use of open-access publication by its investigators.

   1  2  3  4  N/A
   Agree ○ ○ ○ ○ Disagree ○
5. A new organization needs to be created to take on the advocacy mission to convince the NIH to include a formal agenda in the CTSA Program that promotes greater use of open-access publication by its investigators

   |   |   |   | N/A
   | Agree | | | Disagree

6. What existing organization could best take on this advocacy mission?

   

7. As a result of this conference I have planned a "next step" for further involvement in open access activities at my institution.
   ○ No, not yet
   ○ Yes (please specify below)

   

8. As a result of this conference I have taken a "next step" for further involvement in open access activities at my institution.
   ○ No, not yet
   ○ Yes (please specify below)

   

9. My institution:
   ○ Has a funded CTSA
   ○ Has applied for a CTSA
   ○ Does not have a CTSA

10. What part of this conference was most helpful?

    

11. What part of this conference was least helpful?

    

12. Overall, I would give this conference a grade of:

   ○ A
13. Additional Comments
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