

University of New Mexico

UNM Digital Repository

Mathematics & Statistics ETDs

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

1-1-1936

A Method of Changing Certain Infinite Series To New But Equivalent Series

Moneta Gunilla Johnson

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/math_etds



Part of the [Applied Mathematics Commons](#), [Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Statistics and Probability Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Johnson, Moneta Gunilla. "A Method of Changing Certain Infinite Series To New But Equivalent Series." (1936). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/math_etds/97

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Electronic Theses and Dissertations at UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics ETDs by an authorized administrator of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.



THE
SERIES
THE
SERIES
1931
JUN 2
1931
600

LIBRARY
of
THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEW MEXICO



CLASS
378.789

BOOK
Un30j
1936 j
cop. 2

A14406 334141

DATE DUE

<i>affinity</i>			
RECEIVED MAR-3'06			

COAST WISCONSIN

1888

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO LIBRARY

MANUSCRIPT THESES

Unpublished theses submitted for the Master's and Doctor's degrees and deposited in the University of New Mexico Library are open for inspection, but are to be used only with due regard to the rights of the authors. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may be copied only with the permission of the authors, and proper credit must be given in subsequent written or published work. Extensive copying or publication of the thesis in whole or in part requires also the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of the University of New Mexico.

This thesis by Moneta Guilla Johnson.....
has been used by the following persons, whose signatures attest their acceptance of the above restrictions.

A Library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

NAME AND ADDRESS

DATE

MANUSCRIPT THESIS

Unpublished thesis submitted for the Master's and Doctor's degrees and deposited in the University of New Mexico Library are open for inspection, but are to be read only with due regard to the rights of the author. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages may be copied only with the permission of the author and proper credit must be given in subsequent written or published work. Extensive copying or publication of the thesis in whole or in part requires also the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of the University of New Mexico.

This thesis by _____, known as _____, has been used by the following persons, whose signatures attest their acceptance of the above restrictions:

A library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

DATE

NAME AND ADDRESS

A METHOD OF CHANGING CERTAIN INFINITE SERIES
TO NEW BUT EQUIVALENT SERIES

By

Moneta Gunilla Johnson

UNIVERSITY OF
NEW MEXICO LIBRARY
ALBUQUERQUE, N.M.

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Master of Arts in Mathematics

The University of New Mexico

1936

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

1914

378.789
Un 30j
1936
cop. 2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Acknowledgement is due Dr. Newsom for his suggestion of the subject of this thesis and for his encouragement during its preparation, to Marian Pierce for her co-operation and assistance in investigating certain phases of the subject, (to Professor C. A. Barnhart and to Dr. E. J. Workman for their critical reading of the manuscript.)

8/1/36 Miller 100

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this investigation is to prove that the sum of a series of variable terms of a certain type is equal to a constant plus the sum of another series of variable terms. As a result of this proof it may be hoped that certain series, which so far have not been summed, may be shown equal to a constant plus another series for which the sum is known.

The purpose of this investigation is to determine
that the sum of the angles of a triangle is equal to
two right angles. This is a well-known theorem in
geometry. Another series of experiments has been
conducted to verify this fact. The results of these
experiments have shown that the sum of the angles
of a triangle is indeed equal to two right angles.
This fact is known as the angle sum property of a triangle.

15
16

SECTION I

Thesis: If $g(n)$ has certain particular properties, then $g'(n)$ has them, also.

In this section we shall show that if the coefficient $g(n)$ of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) z^n$

has the properties assigned to it in the following theorem, the coefficient $g'(n)$ of the series

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n) z^n$ has the same properties, $g'(n)$ being the

first derivative of $g(n)$.

Theorem: Suppose that the coefficient $g(n)$ occurring in the general term of the power series,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) z^n, \text{ radius of convergence} = \infty,$$

may be regarded as a function, $g(w)$, of the complex variable $w=x+iy$ and as such satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) is single valued and analytic throughout the

Let $f(x)$ be a function of x ...

Let $f(x)$ be a function of x ...

In this case we have...

coefficient (n) of the...

has the properties...

theorem, the coefficient (n) of the...

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(n) x^k$$

first derivative of $f(x)$...

Theorem: Suppose that the coefficient (n) of...

occurring in the general term of the series...

(1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(n) x^k$$

may be regarded as a function $f(x)$ of the power...

variable x and as such a function of x ...

two conditions:

(a) is a finite value...

finite w-plane,

(b) is such that for all values of x and y one may write

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x)} \right| < K e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y},$$

where K is a constant independent of x and y , and k is any given positive integer ≥ 2 , and where the upper or lower of the signs \pm appearing therein is to be taken according as y is positive or negative.

Then the integral function $f(z)$ defined by the series (1) when considered for all values of z satisfying the condition,

$$-\pi < \arg [(-1)^k z] < \pi,$$

may be expressed in the form,

$$f(z) = \int_{-L-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx$$

$$- \sum_{m=-L}^{-1} g(m) z^m - \zeta_k(L, z);$$

wherein L is an arbitrary positive integer and, whatever the value chosen for it, the expression $\zeta_k(L, z)$ is such that

Finite ω -plane

(b) In order to obtain the result...

may write

$$\left| \frac{g(x+1)}{g(x)} \right|$$

where N is a constant... is any given positive integer... or less of the order... taken according to... Then the later...

series (1) can be expressed... Using the condition...

$$f(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{ix} - 1}{2\pi i} g(x) dx$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) x^n$$

series (1) is an arbitrary... over the whole domain... is such that

$$\lim_{\text{mod } z \rightarrow 0} z^{L} \int_k^{\infty} (1, z) = 0. \quad 1$$

The coefficient $g'(n)$ satisfies the condition (a) of this theorem for it is well known that "if $f(z)$ is analytic throughout an open region T , then its derivatives of all orders exist at each point of T , and each of them is thus also analytic throughout T ."²

If $g'(n)$ is to fulfill condition (b) of the theorem, it is necessary to show that

$$\left| \frac{g'(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g'(x)} \right| < K e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y},$$

wherein K and k have the conditions imposed by the theorem.

By definition

$$(2) \quad g'(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy) = \lim_{\substack{\Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta y \rightarrow 0}} \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+1\Delta y)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{(x+1\Delta y)}$$

and

¹Newsom, C. V., On the Behavior of Entire Functions in Distant Portions of the Plane, p. 10-11.
²Curtiss, David Raymond, Analytic Functions of a Complex Variable, p. 98.

SECRET

Line 1 (1.1) - 1.1
Page 2

MEMORANDUM

The attached report (1) was prepared by the

(a) of this organization. It is a copy of the

(b) is a copy of the report prepared by the

The derivatives of all orders of the

and each of these factors are included in

If (a) is to be used in the

thereon, it is necessary to use the

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{4}$$

whereas 1 and 2 have the same value of

thereon

by definition

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{4}$$

and

Thereon, the report prepared by the
is a copy of the report prepared by the
Complex Variable, 1.1

$$(3) \quad g'(x) = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+\Delta x) - g(x)}{\Delta x} .$$

The absolute value of the ratio of the limits given in (2) and (3) is

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{g'(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g'(x)} \right| \\ = \lim_{\substack{\Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta y \rightarrow 0}} \left| \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y) - g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x) - g(x)} \right| .$$

If in the fraction,

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y) - g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x) - g(x)} \right| ,$$

the function $g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)$ is replaced by C, the function $g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)$ by D, the function $g(x+\Delta x)$ by A, and the function $g(x)$ by B, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y) - g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x) - g(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{C-D}{A-B} \right| = \left| \frac{C}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A-B} \right| .$$

By a simple algebraic manipulation it may be observed that

$$\left| \frac{C}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A-B} \right| = \left| \frac{C}{A} + \frac{C}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A} - \frac{D}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} \right| .$$

Since the absolute value of the difference of two quantities is less than, or equal to, the sum of the

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

$$(3) \quad \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -\frac{g}{L}x$$

The general solution of (3) is

$$(4) \quad x(t) = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t)$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}$$

If in the fraction

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \omega^2 x = 0$$

the function $x(t)$ is a solution, then the function $x(t) + C$ is also a solution, and the function $x(t) + D \cos(\omega t)$ is also a solution.

$$x(t) = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t) + C$$

By a similar argument, it can be shown that the function $x(t) + D \sin(\omega t)$ is also a solution.

ROCKS

Since the total energy is constant, the function $x(t) + D \cos(\omega t)$ is also a solution.

absolute value of the first quantity and the absolute value of the second, the expression

$$\left| \frac{G}{A} + \frac{C}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A} - \frac{D}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} \right|$$

will be less than, or equal to,

$$\left| \frac{C}{A} \right| + \left| \frac{D}{A} \right| + \left| \frac{C}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} \right|.$$

Now let us consider the first two parts of this sum separately.

If one recalls the values designated by C and A, it is seen that

$$\left| \frac{C}{A} \right| \text{ becomes } \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \lim_{\substack{\Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta y \rightarrow 0}} \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x)} \right| \text{ which is postulated less than } Ke^{\pm(k-1)\pi y} \end{aligned}$$

in condition (b) of the theorem.

Likewise if the values designated by D and A are employed,

$$\left| \frac{D}{A} \right| \text{ becomes } \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right|.$$

absolute value of the first term is less than the

value of the second term

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{4} < \dots$$

will be less than the sum of the

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} < \dots$$

How far we travel in the direction of a point of the

axis depends on

the magnitude of the terms

it is seen that

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} < \dots$$

But in the case of a series with terms of the form $\frac{1}{n^p}$ where $p > 1$, the series converges to a finite sum.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

is condition (b) of the theorem.

It is also true that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$ converges for all $p > 1$.

explosion

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} < \dots$$

But $\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right| = \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x)} \right|$ which again is

less than $Ke^{\pm(k-1)\pi y}$.

Thus it has been shown that

$$(5) \quad \left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right| \\ < 2Ke^{\pm(k-1)\pi y} + \left| \frac{C}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} \right|.$$

If the original values for C, D and A are substituted in the fractions $\frac{C}{A}$ and $\frac{D}{A}$, then

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{C}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} - \frac{D}{A} \cdot \frac{B}{A-B} \right|$$

becomes equal to

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] - \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] \right|.$$

Since the function, $f(z)$, is analytic over any region of our interest as stated in the theorem, it is also continuous.¹

By the definition of continuity,

$\left| g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y) - g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy) \right| < \epsilon$, where ϵ is any arbitrarily small positive quantity if $|\Delta x|$ and $|\Delta y|$ are taken sufficiently small.

¹Townsend, E. J., Functions of a Complex Variable, p. 79.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

(1) THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF

(2) THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

(3) THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

That the said decedent was a resident of the State of Illinois at the time of his death and that the principal assets of his estate are situated in the State of Illinois.

Witness my hand and seal this 1st day of January, 1954.

In any inequality involving positive members, a fraction numerically less than unity may be so chosen that when the larger of the two quantities is multiplied by that fraction, the result is equivalent to the small quantity. So there exists a θ , $|\theta| < 1$, such that the following statement is true,

$$(7) \quad g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)=\theta\epsilon .$$

It is to be observed that

$$g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)+\theta\epsilon ,$$

and

$$\frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)} = \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} + \frac{\theta\epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)}$$

if $g(x+\Delta x)$ is assumed to be not equal to zero for sufficiently small values of Δx .

If the value for $\frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)}$ that has

just been found is substituted for it in statement (6),

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] + \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] \right| \text{ becomes}$$

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] + \frac{\theta\epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] - \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] \right| \text{ which}$$

$$\text{is equal to } \left| \frac{\theta\epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] \right| .$$

In an irregularly shaped area, the fraction of the total area that is occupied by the larger of the two shapes is determined by the ratio of the area of the larger shape to the total area. The small quantity of the total area is such that the total area is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape.

$$(7) \quad \frac{A(x)}{A(x) + B(x)} \approx \frac{A(x)}{A(x)}$$

COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

It is noted that the fraction of the total area that is occupied by the larger of the two shapes is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape. This is true because the small quantity of the total area is such that the total area is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape.

Just as the fraction of the total area that is occupied by the larger of the two shapes is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape, so the fraction of the total area that is occupied by the smaller of the two shapes is approximately equal to the area of the smaller shape.

$$\frac{A(x)}{A(x) + B(x)} \approx \frac{A(x)}{A(x)}$$
$$\frac{B(x)}{A(x) + B(x)} \approx \frac{B(x)}{B(x)}$$

As usual, the fraction of the total area that is occupied by the larger of the two shapes is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape. This is true because the small quantity of the total area is such that the total area is approximately equal to the area of the larger shape.

Now if a final substitution is made for A and B, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)} \left[\frac{B}{A-B} \right] \right| = \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)} \cdot \frac{g(x)}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right|.$$

Since the absolute value of a product is equal to the product of the absolute values of the factors,

$$\left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)} \cdot \frac{g(x)}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{g(x)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right|.$$

$$\text{But } \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{g(x)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right| = \left| \frac{g(x)}{g(x)} \right| = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{g(x)}{g(x+\Delta x)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right| = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right|.$$

By an application of the Law of the Mean, $g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)$ is equal to $g'(x_1)\Delta x$ where $x < x_1 < x+\Delta x$; and since it may be assumed that $g'(x_1) \neq 0$, it follows readily that

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{g'(x_1)} \right| \cdot \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{\Delta x} \right|.$$

Inasmuch as

$$g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta y)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy) = \theta \epsilon$$

is analytic and "the existence of the derivative of a function at a point requires that the difference

The following table shows the results of the experiment.

The results are as follows:

$$\frac{1}{x^2} = x^{-2} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} x^{-2} = -2x^{-3} = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$

Therefore, the derivative of $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is $-\frac{2}{x^3}$.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} \right) = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$

For $x=1$, the derivative is -2 .

$$\left. \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} \right) \right|_{x=1} = -2$$

It is concluded that the derivative of $\frac{1}{x^2}$ at $x=1$ is -2 .

The results of the experiment are as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} \right) = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$

Therefore, the derivative of $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is $-\frac{2}{x^3}$.

The results of the experiment are as follows:

The results of the experiment are as follows:

quotient have the same limit no matter how Δx and Δy approach zero,¹ a mode of approach may be selected of the type $\Delta y = m \Delta x$.

Thus the expression

$$g(x + \frac{1}{2} + iy + \Delta x + i \Delta y) - g(x + \frac{1}{2} + iy)$$

becomes a function of Δx for any fixed value of x and y and the function will approach zero as Δx approaches zero.

Therefore θ_ϵ approaches zero in the limit as Δx approaches zero.

In (7), θ_ϵ is seen to be equal to an analytic expression and so it can be expressed by a Taylor's series in terms of Δx when x and y are fixed since an analytic function can be expressed in a Taylor's series convergent in some region.²

Such a series will have no constant term because θ_ϵ becomes zero as Δx approaches zero.

If θ_ϵ is expressed as the series,

$$a_1 \Delta x + a_2 (\Delta x)^2 + a_3 (\Delta x)^3 + a_4 (\Delta x)^4 + \dots,$$

which is the form of its Taylor series development,

then the fraction $\left| \frac{\theta_\epsilon}{\Delta x} \right|$ becomes the series,

¹Curtiss, David Raymond, *Analytic Functions of a Complex Variable*, p. 47.

²*Ibid.*, p. 121.

BOUND

question mark
research
of the
Thus the

(2) (1) (1) (1)

because a
and I
Therefore
epitaph

In (2)
expectation
series in
analytic
convergent

On
It
2x + 1

which is
then the

General
1911

$$\left| a_1 + a_2 \Delta x + a_3 (\Delta x)^2 + a_4 (\Delta x)^3 + \dots \right|.$$

This series converges and will equal zero as Δx approaches zero if a_1 is equal to zero. If a_1 is not equal to zero, the series will equal a constant in the limit.

When the series, and consequently $\left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{\Delta x} \right|$ is equal to zero,

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{g(x+\Delta x)g(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{g'(x)} \right| \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\theta \epsilon}{\Delta x} \right| = 0.$$

And so

$$\left| \frac{g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy+\Delta x+i\Delta x)-g(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g(x+\Delta x)-g(x)} \right| < 2 K e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y}.$$

When a_1 is not equal to zero, and the series becomes equal to a constant when Δx approaches zero this constant can be made less than $2 K e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y}$, for no matter what values are chosen for y , K may be chosen large enough to make this condition true.

Therefore the left hand member of (4),

$$\left| \frac{g'(x+\frac{1}{2}+iy)}{g'(x)} \right|, \text{ is less than}$$

Let $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$ and $g(x) = x^2 + 1$.

This series converges for all x and is equal to $f(x)$.
Substituting $x=0$ in this series we get $f(0) = 1$.
Again, the series for $g(x)$ is equal to $g(x)$.

Thus the series for $f(x) - g(x)$ is equal to $f(x) - g(x)$.

to zero.

$$\frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)} = \frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)}$$

and so

$$\frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)} = \frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)}$$

$\frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)}$

Thus if $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$ and $g(x) = x^2 + 1$,
then $f(x) - g(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1 - (x^2 + 1) = 2x$.
This constant can be written as $2x$.

For no matter what value of x we choose, $f(x) - g(x)$
is equal to $2x$.
Therefore the series for $f(x) - g(x)$

$$\frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)} = \frac{f(x) - g(x)}{f(x) - g(x)}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{\Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta y \rightarrow 0}} \left| \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y} \right| \leq K e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y}.$$

But if, as before, Δy is chosen equal to $m \Delta x$,

$$\lim_{\substack{\Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta y \rightarrow 0}} \left| \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x + i \Delta y} \right| = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x(1+im)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{1+im} \right|,$$

or

$$\left| \frac{g'(x + \frac{1}{2} + iy)}{g'(x)} \right| < K_1 e^{\pm(k-1)\pi y}.$$

Thus condition (b) of the Theorem is satisfied for the coefficient $g'(n)$.

FORN MOUNTAIN

12
12-22
12-23

12-24

12
12-24
12-25

12-26

12-27
12-28

12-29

SECTION II

Thesis: A particularization of the integral expression in the theorem given in Section I.

We shall now consider briefly the function, $f(z)$, defined by the integral expression,

$$(8) \quad f(z) = \int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1) \pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx$$

$$- \sum_{m=-L}^{-1} g(m) z^m - \zeta_k(L, z),$$

wherein L is any arbitrary positive integer, and, whatever the value chosen for it, the expression $\zeta_k(L, z)$ is such that

$$\lim_{\text{mod } z \rightarrow \infty} z^L \zeta_k(L, z) = 0$$

If L is fixed as unity, the integral,

$$\int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1) \pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx,$$

Theorem 1. Let $f(x)$ be a function defined on the interval $[a, b]$. Then the function $F(x)$ defined by the expression

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$$

is a primitive of $f(x)$ on the interval $[a, b]$.

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

where $F(x)$ is any primitive of $f(x)$ on the interval $[a, b]$.

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k f(\xi_k)$$

equals $\int_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx.$

This integral may be expressed as the sum of two integrals; namely,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx$$

$$+ \int_{-\frac{3}{2}}^0 \left\{ g(x) [(1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx.$$

The second of these two integrals exists and may be denoted by a constant, C_1 , for any particular value of k . This statement is apparent when one observes that the limits upon the integral are finite and that the integrand exists at all points of the closed interval represented by the range of integration.

Even at $x=0$ and at $x=-1$ where $\sin \pi x$ in the denominator of the integrand vanishes, the numerator vanishes likewise to an equal order; thus the integrand exists. Moreover, $[(-1)^k z]^x$ exists for all negative values of x in view of the fact that the absolute value of z is to be taken large. Also $g(w)$, $w=x+iy$, is postulated to be analytic in the finite part of the plane.

$$\frac{1}{x^2} = x^{-2} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} x^{-2} = -2x^{-3} = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$

This integral is the same as the integral of x^{-2} .

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \int x^{-2} dx = -x^{-1} + C = -\frac{1}{x} + C$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(-\frac{1}{x} + C \right) = \frac{1}{x^2}$$

The integral of $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is $-\frac{1}{x} + C$. This is because the derivative of $-\frac{1}{x}$ is $\frac{1}{x^2}$. The constant C is added because the derivative of a constant is zero.

For example, if we have the function $f(x) = -\frac{1}{x} + 5$, then $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$. This shows that the integral of $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is $-\frac{1}{x} + C$.

The summation $\sum_{m=-l}^{-1} g(m)z^m$ becomes zero and dis-

appears if $l=1$.

The third part, $\mathcal{G}_k(l, z)$, will become zero if z is allowed to approach infinity, so it may be ignored if z becomes sufficiently large. This, then, will be the assumption imposed upon z in the remainder of this discussion.

WORLD WAR II

The war was fought between the Axis powers and the Allied forces.

1941-1945

The war was fought between the Axis powers and the Allied forces. The Axis powers included Germany, Italy, and Japan. The Allied forces included the United States, Great Britain, and the Soviet Union. The war was fought in Europe, the Pacific, and North Africa. The war ended in 1945 with the defeat of the Axis powers.

SECTION III

Thesis: The sum of the series, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underline{g(n) z^n}$, is

equal to the sum of the series, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underline{g'(n) z^n}$, plus some

constant quantity.

It has been proved that if k is a positive integer greater than, or equal to 2, and the restrictions on $g(n) z^n$ are the same as those postulated in Section I, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) z^n &= \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx \\ &+ \int_{-L-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx \\ &- \sum_{m=-L}^{-1} g(m) z^m - \int_k^{\infty} (L, z). \end{aligned}$$

of which the last three terms either disappear or become constants if L is equal to unity and if z is taken of large modulus.

FRAGMENTS

CHAPTER III

Section: The sum of the series

Let S_n be the sum of the first n terms of the series

If we have $S_n = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$, then $S_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$

$$S_{n+1} - S_n = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2) - \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2-n) = \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \cdot 2 = n+1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$$

of which the first term is 1 and the last term is n .
Some operations are performed on the sum of the series
of 1 to n .

If, in the above integral, k is made equal to 2, the representation of the series simply becomes

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n = \int_0^{\infty} g(x)z^x dx + C_1.$$

When the formula for integration by parts; namely,

$$\int u dv = uv - \int v du,$$

is applied to the term in the second member of (9) involving the integral wherein u is made equal to $g(x)$ and dv to $z^x dx$, it follows that

$$(10) \quad \int_0^{\infty} g(x)z^x dx = \left[\frac{g(x)z^x}{\log z} \right]_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{\log z} \int_0^{\infty} g'(x)z^x dx.$$

In the fraction, $\frac{g(x)z^x}{\log z}$, if x be permitted to approach infinity, the numerator will approach zero. This follows from the fact that the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n$$

has been assumed at the start to be convergent inside of a circle of infinite radius, and a necessary condition for convergence is that the general term will approach zero as the number of the terms approaches infinity.

It is known that the function $f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then the function $f(x)$ has a local maximum or minimum in the interval (a, b) .

$$(8) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$$

When the function $f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and $f(a) = f(b)$, then the function $f(x)$ has a local maximum or minimum in the interval (a, b) .

It is known that the function $f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then the function $f(x)$ has a local maximum or minimum in the interval (a, b) .

$$(10) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$$

This follows from the fact that the function $f(x)$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and $f(a) = f(b)$.

It has been shown that the function $f(x)$ has a local maximum or minimum in the interval (a, b) if $f(a) = f(b)$ and $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$.

If the lower limit, zero, is substituted in the same fraction, it may be seen that

$$(11) \quad g(0)z^0 = A,$$

where A is merely the constant term of the series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n.$$

Since $g'(n)$ has the same properties as $g(n)$, the theorem stated in Section I may be applied to the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n,$$

thus giving

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n = \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ g'(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx$$

$$+ \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \left\{ g'(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx$$

$$- \sum_{m=-1}^{-1} g(m)z^m - \mathcal{J}_k(L, z).$$

If the lower limit is zero, the integral is

some fraction of the whole.

(11) $\int_0^1 x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}$

where x is the variable.

INTEGRATION

Since $y = f(x)$ is the curve of the function

the area under the curve is the integral of $f(x)$ from a to b .

write

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

this gives

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

The same statements as those made in regard to expression (8) are applicable here.

Therefore the series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n,$$

is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left\{ g'(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} dx + C_2 \right\}.$$

If k is assumed to be equal to 2 as before, it is apparent that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n = \int_0^{\infty} g'(x)z^x dx + C_2.$$

When the values from statements (11) and (12) are substituted in (10), it is observed that

$$\int_0^{\infty} g(x)z^x dx = -\frac{1}{\log z} \left\{ A + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n - C_2 \right\}.$$

When this value is substituted in equation (9), the original series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n,$$

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

THEORY OF FUNCTIONS

is equivalent to

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

It is assumed that the series converges in some neighborhood of the origin.

converges.

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = f(z)$$

That the value of the function $f(z)$ at $z=0$ is a_0 .

substituted in (1), it is seen that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$$

When this series is differentiated term by term,

the original series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

is seen to be equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{\log z} \left\{ A + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^{n-C_2} \right\} + C_1 .$$

In conclusion, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n = a - \frac{1}{\log z} \left\{ \beta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g'(n)z^n \right\} ,$$

(a and β are constants) provided that the conditions postulated upon the series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)z^n ,$$

are satisfied.


ROCKY MOUNT BOND

← PRE-CONTENTS →

As seen in the diagram of the



The diagram shows the



(D) The diagram shows the

structure of the



The diagram shows

Diagram of the structure

Diagram of the structure

SECTION IV

Thesis: Additional Observations.

It may now be observed that in the previous discussion, the limitation placed upon the value of k in the integral,

$$\int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) [(-1)^k z]^x \frac{\sin(k-1)\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx,$$

has been unduly restrictive. Since k may be any integer greater than or equal to 2, we may, for example, let it be 3.

If k is allowed to be 3, the integral above becomes


$$\int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left\{ g(x) (-z)^x \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{\sin \pi x} \right\} dx.$$

Since

$$\sin 2\pi x = 2 \sin \pi x \cos \pi x,$$

this substitution changes the integral under consideration to

$$2 \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x dx.$$



When this integral is integrated by parts, the following equation results.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[g(x) (-z)^x \sin \pi x \right]_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} \\
 &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \log(-z) \int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \sin \pi x \, dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} g'(x) (-z)^x \sin \pi x \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Another integration by parts will be necessary (if it is desired that k be consistently taken equal to 3) in order that the expressions under the integral signs shall be the same as that in the original integral except for the coefficient, $g(x)$.

By such an integration, it develops that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[g(x) (-z) \sin \pi x \right]_{-L^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty}
 \end{aligned}$$

BOOK REVIEW

When the integral is improper, the following results apply:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

Another important property will be necessary (it is stated that the conditions under the integral to (3) in order that the operations under the integral sign shall be the same as in the original integral except for the coefficient, $g(x)$.)

By such an integration, it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \delta(x-a) dx = g(a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log(-z) \left[g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \right]_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \\
& + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left[g'(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \right]_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \\
& - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log^2(-z) \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
& - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(-z) \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g'(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
& - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g''(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By an algebraic manipulation one will observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
& = \frac{2\pi}{\pi^2 + \log^2(-z)} \left[g(x) (-z)^x \sin \pi x \right]_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \\
& + \frac{2\log(-z)}{\pi^2 + \log^2(-z)} \left[g(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \right]_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} = x^{-2}$$

$$f'(x) = -2x^{-3} = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{6}{x^4}$$

$$f'''(x) = -\frac{24}{x^5}$$

$$f^{(4)}(x) = \frac{240}{x^6}$$

$$f^{(5)}(x) = -\frac{2880}{x^7}$$

$$f^{(6)}(x) = \frac{207360}{x^8}$$

$$f^{(7)}(x) = -\frac{1658880}{x^9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{\pi^2 + \log^2(-z)} \left[g'(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \right]_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \\
& - \frac{4 \log(-z)}{\pi^2 + \log^2(-z)} \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g'(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx \\
& - \frac{2}{\pi^2 + \log^2(-z)} \int_{-1-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} g''(x) (-z)^x \cos \pi x \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By the argument of Section I, it can be shown that $g''(x)$, the second derivative of $g(x)$, has the same properties as $g'(x)$, and therefore of $g(x)$. Moreover, the entire analysis of the first three sections readily follows, thus permitting the change of the original series under consideration into another series.

Likewise k may be given the value 4, 5, or any other positive integer and essentially the same analysis follows. Of course, that which is theoretically possible may not be practically expedient. The case where k is 2 appears to be very desirable from the practical standpoint and thus has been stressed.

MEMORANDUM

TO : [Illegible]

FROM : [Illegible]

SUBJECT : [Illegible]

[Illegible text block containing the main body of the memorandum]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Curtiss, David Raymond
Analytic Functions of a Complex Variable.
Chicago, Open Court, 1926. 173p.
- Newsom, C. V.
On the Behavior of Entire Functions in Distant
Portions of the Plane. (Doctor's dissertation -
University of Michigan, 1931. 67p.)
- Townsend, E. J.
Functions of a Complex Variable. New York,
Henry Holt and Company, 1915. 384p.

THESIS ACCEPTED:

C. V. Newsom
Major Professor

C. A. Barnhart

E. J. Workman.

