FRAMES BEHIND THE THRONE: CONTEXTUALIZING THE CALOTYPE ALBUMS OF PRINCE ALBERT 1850-1859

Sherri Sorensen-Clem

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalrepository.unm.edu/arth_etds

Recommended Citation
Sherri Sorensen-Clem
Candidate

Art and Art History
Department

This thesis is approved, and it is acceptable in quality and form for publication:

Approved by the Thesis Committee:

Kirsten Pai Buick, Chairperson

Susanne Anderson-Riedel

Allison Moore
FRAMES BEHIND THE THRONE:

CONTEXTUALIZING THE CALOTYPE ALBUMS OF PRINCE ALBERT 1850-1859

By

Sherri Sorensen-Clem

B.F.A., Printmaking and Photography, School of the Art Institute of Chicago, 1980
B.A., Art History, University of New Mexico, 2002

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts
Art History

The University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico

May 2012
©2012, Sherri Sorensen-Clem
Dedication

To Prince Albert and the inspirational loves of my life:

My husband Jim and my two beautiful children Kara and Duggan
Acknowledgements

This thesis would have remained unwritten if Siegfried Halus and Steve Yates had not encouraged me to go back to graduate school and study the history of photography. To Geoffrey Batchen, I owe a debt of gratitude for challenging my vision within the history of photography and for loaning me his copy of *Crown & Camera* in 2000, which spurred my initial interest in Prince Albert and Queen Victoria’s early association with photography. I am especially grateful to Kirsten Pai Buick, my patient and steadfast mentor, who impressed upon me that my voice was important. I could not have finished this thesis without her careful reading, continued support and belief in me throughout this long process. I am also grateful to Susanne Anderson-Riedel for her encouragement with my writing and for her expertise in the history of graphic arts and to Allison Moore who graciously agreed to be on my committee, and gave me an abundance of thoughtful guidance on my writing when I hit the wall. I also wish to acknowledge my friend and colleague, Michele Penhall for all her support and gentle prodding, as well as Joyce Szabo, Kathleen Stewart Howe, and Laura Andre.

I gratefully acknowledge the permission of Her Majesty the Queen to use the photographs from Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums from the Royal Collection at Windsor Castle. I also would like to thank Francis Dimond, former Curator of Photographs at the Royal Collection, for her many insights on Prince Albert and for allowing me the opportunity to examine Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums.

Most important, I acknowledge the love and support of my husband Jim Clem, my daughter Kara Clem, and my son Duggan Clem, whose endless patience and infinite...
forgiveness (when Prince Albert took precedence over all things) enabled me to finish this project. In addition, I gratefully acknowledge my parents for all their love, emotional support, and encouraging my artistic endeavors. I especially thank my mother, Donna Sorensen, who had the foresight to enroll me, when I was in second grade, in the children’s art classes at The Art Institute of Chicago, on Saturdays; and I am forever grateful to my father, Roy Sorensen, who enthusiastically stayed at home to watch my brothers and sisters so that I could pursue my dreams.
FRAMES BEHIND THE THRONE:

CONTEXTUALIZING THE CALOTYPE ALBUMS OF PRINCE ALBERT 1850-1859

By

SHERRI SORENSEN-CLEM

B.F.A., Printmaking and Photography, School of the Art Institute of Chicago, 1980
B.A., Art History, The University of New Mexico, 2002
M.A., Art History, The University of New Mexico, 2012

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines four extant calotype albums from the collection of Prince Albert and, contains approximately 383 images ranging in date from 1850-1859. I propose that the photographs in these albums, which belong to Queen Victoria’s husband, operate as fragments of the visual world and form a pictorial autobiography of the Prince Consort, offering frames behind the throne. It is my contention that Prince Albert uses the mimetic faculty of the photograph and its placement within the album to become an object of narrative, autobiography, and identity. This thesis will also attempt to contextualize the historical impact of being a foreigner within the politically charged marriage to Queen Victoria and how Prince Albert used his active engagement in the collection of art and his burgeoning interest in promoting new technologies to elevate his social status.

In reading Prince Albert’s albums as historical texts we discover that, while Prince Albert’s albums contribute to Victorian culture, provide an insight into his royal public and
private life, they also archive photography as a new form of representation. As formal albums, they enshrine the passion and desire to collect and possess in a manner similar to the engraved folio collections of antiquities put together by such scholars and connoisseurs as William Hamilton and the Comte de Caylus from the previous century. The albums, as paper galleries, showcase the miniaturized subject—artifacts, collectibles, people, places, and events—and turn these subjects into objects of collection, possessions to be archived for posterity in photographic form.

For Prince Albert, these albums as collections become, in part, conversations to the self that illustrate the broader scope of this visual autobiography during his twenty year marriage to Queen Victoria. As a suspended conversation, the albums are a collection of sentiments, a series of individual utterances, a private monologue formulated by Prince Albert to visually validate and evoke the many pleasures and accomplishments in his life. In contrast to the historical focus on Queen Victoria’s life, Prince Albert’s albums allows us to see a different view—his world as he organized and created it.
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Introduction: Frames behind the Throne

Susan Sontag wrote: “To collect photographs is to collect the world.”¹ But what did it mean to collect photographs from, and in, the Victorian World? More specifically, what do the Calotype Albums compiled by Prince Albert of Saxe-Coburg, Queen Victoria’s husband from 1840 until his untimely death in 1861, reveal about photography as a new form of representation, its early histories and the nature of collection? How do we define and interpret the photographic imagery contained within these albums in relation to collecting as one means of establishing identity? The images contained within Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums do not only form a microcosm of photography’s early histories, but the albums’ organization and thematics are also predicated on the history of collecting. Additionally, they form a visual autobiography of the Prince Consort, offering frames behind the throne.

The study of photographic albums is relatively new within the history of photography. There has been much scholarship and debate over the past two decades regarding photography and the idea of picturing place in reference to travel albums, but little has been published on the subject of personal vernacular albums, and in particular, those of historical dignitaries. In her book, Suspended Conversations: The Afterlife of Memory in Photographic Albums (2001), art historian and curator Martha Langford argues that albums are like suspended conversations that require an oral performance of memory to reinvigorate their meanings. Langford proposes that “the album is an instrument of collective show and tell. It engenders a text that is not a text but a conversation.”² Along the same lines, art historian and curator Maria Anontella Pelizzari in her essay, “Retracing
the Outlines of Rome: Intertextuality and Imaginative Geographies in Nineteenth-Century Photographs (2003),” proposes that nineteenth-century travel photographs of Rome could be read as “a series of individual utterances within the mass-produced description of Italy by the tourist industry.” Photographs as “utterances” emphasize the personal expression of such albums and often incorporate handwritten descriptions and sentiments, also a component in Prince Albert’s Albums. Likewise, photographer and theorist Allan Sekula in his essay, “On the Invention of Photographic Meaning (1982),” observes that photographic meaning is tied to the discourse of the spoken word. Sekula defines the photograph as “an utterance of some sort,” a message which is context-determined and therefore subject to cultural definition. Art historian and photographic scholar Italo Zannier in his book, Le Grand Tour, In The Photographs Of Travelers Of The 19th Century (1997) contends that photography, as an instrument for study and measurement, offered the traveler an excellent “diaristic” potential (as utterances to the self) by providing pre-selected choices of places to visit and instructions on how to look at them. The fact that these theorists read photographic imagery as a type of “utterance,” especially in reference to the album, gives credence to my interpretation of Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums as forming a type of visual autobiography.

The idea of the photographic album as a suspended conversation assembled through a series of pre-selected images to become individual utterances is the premise of this thesis. The wide-ranging, intimate, rambling nature of conversation speaks to the eclectic nature of the rich imagery found in Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums. As a
contemporary viewer entering Albert’s private world, the problem becomes how to understand and re-animate these “suspended conversations.”

Photographic albums are objects that become, in and of themselves, typologies of visual histories. They are what Gaston Bachelard would call “an object that may be opened” and as a consequence they become unique receptacles that house a particular vision of the past. As mutually exclusive objects, photographs often operate as autobiographic tools for narratives. Author and literary critic Paul John Eakin states in the preface of his book, Living Autobiographically: How We Create Identity in Narrative (2008), “that narrative is not merely something we tell, listen to, read, or invent, it is an essential part of our sense of who we are” and that ‘narrative identity’ is based on “the idea that what we are could be said to be a story of some kind.” Eakin contends that autobiographies (like albums) become “convenient containers for our life stories” and as narratives, they are based to a degree on biographical and historical fact which allows for the construction of identity.

By reading Prince Albert’s albums as cultural historical texts and, as Griselda Pollock advises, “re-reading...symptomatically as much for what is not said as for what is,” we discover that, while Prince Albert’s Albums contribute to Victorian visual culture and provide an insight into his royal public and private life, they also archive photography as a new form of representation. As formal albums, they enshrine the passion and desire to collect and possess in a manner similar to the engraved folio collections of antiquities put together by such scholars and connoisseurs as William Hamilton and the Comte de Caylus from the previous century.
Frank Herrmann, author of *The English as Collector* (1999), defines the terms “connoisseur” and “collector” as “synonymous with ownership,” which, as he observes, “ultimately stems from a form of self-indulgence... a collector must be an enthusiast; he can and should take risks.” As we shall see in Chapter 1, *Collecting Photographs in a Victorian World*, with subheadings: *Usurping the Print: Paper Galleries*, and *Photography and the Cartes-de-visite*, this form of self-indulgence is directly linked to the “Victorian concern for rational leisure,” a term which Grace Seiberling describes in her book, *Amateurs, Photography and the Mid-Victorian Imagination* (1986), as a leisure predicated on the pleasurable activities of exploring scholarly, scientific, artistic pursuits. Rational leisure bestowed on photography and photographic collecting a type of Victorian virtue or expectation associated with the leisured classes and provided acceptable forms of meaningful pastimes for those with the luxury of time and money to pursue them. Thus it was a socially acceptable form of self-indulgence.

In *Usurping the Print: Paper Galleries*, I explore through Prince Albert’s Albums how the photograph as a new form of representation contributed to the systematic replacement of the reproductive (engraved) print over the second half of the century. With the photographs forming a paper gallery, Prince Albert’s Albums reduce the world to a miniaturized series of collectible objects and images. See, for example, the photograph of a pair of Louis XIV pistols (Figure 1.1), taken by photographer Francis Bedford in 1854, which appears on the last page of Volume III. The historical pistols are positioned on a cloth background facing in opposite directions. The image reads as a straightforward photograph of singular similar objects, objects which represent centuries of conflict between the
monarchies of England and France. From a formal standpoint, what Bedford captures in this sepia photograph is not only the rich saturated tonal range so often seen in early photography, but also the delineated elegance of the pistols’ sculptural form, their elongated slender barrels and the intricate floral filigree on the silver handles, all of which make the pistols objects worthy of desire and collection. Among other uses, the albums serve as portable contemporary versions of the eighteenth century cabinets of curiosities, and thus, allow Albert or the viewer to linger over or flip through images. As paper galleries, they showcase the miniaturized subject—artifacts, collectibles, people, places, and events—and turn these subjects into objects of collection, possessions to be archived for posterity in photographic form. For Prince Albert, his albums as collections become, in part, conversations to the self within the broader scope of this visual autobiography during his twenty year marriage to the Queen.

For the purpose of my investigation, I have chosen to examine the only four extant albums of calotypes belonging to Prince Albert, Volumes II-VI, containing approximately 383 images dating from 1850-1859. Of the original six albums, Volumes I and V are missing from the collection. According to Frances Dimond, former Curator of Photographs at the Royal Collection, they have never been seen in the archives at Windsor Castle. Three of the extant albums were actually assembled by Prince Albert and Volume VI has been attributed to him. It is important to note that Queen Victoria referred to all photographs during this period as calotypes. As indicated by Dimond, very few prints in Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums are actual calotypes (prints made from paper negatives). She states that “Most of them are either albumen prints or copies made with the carbon process. The copies were
made and affixed to the originals which were fading. Queen Victoria used the word ‘calotype’ as a generic term for early photographs and therefore the original title of the albums has been retained.”\(^{13}\)

\[\text{Figure 1.1} \quad \text{A pair of Louis XIV pistols, 1854. By Francis Bedford.} \]
\[\text{The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.} \]

Chapter 2, *Albert the Collector / Albert the Foreigner* attempts to contextualize the historical impact of being a foreigner within this politically charged marriage to Queen Victoria and how Prince Albert used his active engagement in the collection of art and his burgeoning interest in promoting new technologies to elevate his social status. To interpret Prince Albert’s personal albums and his method of collection, I am adopting French philosopher Roland Barthes’s position that the photograph becomes intelligible through our learned (conventional, cultural and historical) ability to recognize signs.\(^{14}\) By privileging
context over content, I am assigning meaning to Albert’s selected photographs through the contiguous placement of images within individual albums, as well as through his creation of collective volumes of royal photographs.

In Chapter 3, *Album as Autobiography*, I propose that the photographs in these albums operate as snippets of the visual world and use photography as a means of assembling a princely profile. It is my contention that Prince Albert uses the mimetic faculty of the photograph and its placement within the album to become an object of narrative, autobiography, and identity. The idea of “collecting as metaphor” begins to resonate throughout the albums and more specifically through the images of dead stags, where the actual trophy is photographed. The “album” in general, and in particular, the personal albums of Prince Albert, function as self-referential repositories of signs that create a narrative autobiography of the album complier, in this case Prince Albert and his historical circumstance, especially as a foreigner married to the Queen. These albums allow the viewer to enter the private world of Prince Albert which incorporates his public persona. Eakin too, describes autobiography as “a referential art,” one that, “self-consciously and usually explicitly, positions itself with reference to the world...where memory and imagination conspire to reconstruct the truth of the past.”15

These albums offer a charming glimpse of the visual inventory of the Prince’s personal belongings, his passions, his interests, and his love of family during his twenty-one year marriage to Queen Victoria. Each volume displays a vast array of intermingled private and public images that document a full spectrum of photography, from art and architecture to new technologies and international sites of interest. The portraits range from depictions
of family and “exotic others” to domestic princely hunting scenes. The architectural images record in expansive detail the numerous royal lodgings as well as depictions of romantic ruins. There are commissioned photographs of palaces: Windsor Palace, Buckingham Palace, Balmoral Palace, Lambeth Palace, and Osborne—endless brickwork, leaded glass windows, turrets, chimneys, archways, and doors which collectively emphasize the magnitude and the monumental scope of the royal structures, mimicking Britain’s expanding empire. There are interior views of collections from the Louvre Palace in Paris, and photographs of paintings of the British royal family and antique sculpture from the Vatican Museum. There are roof top scenes from Rome and a photograph of the Leaning Tower of Pisa, as well as studio portraits of African Zulus posed with spears and dressed in animal skins. There are candid and posed views of the children coupled with the pomp and circumstance of royal state events, such as the opening of the Crystal Palace at Sydenham (June 10th, 1854), and the Queen in her “Drawing Room” Dress.

While these albums initially offer a glimpse into the world of the man who was married to Queen Victoria, they are a contrived form of personal expression. As a suspended conversation, the albums are a collection of sentiments, a series of individual utterances, a private monologue formulated by Prince Albert to visually validate and evoke the many pleasures and accomplishments in his life. In contrast to the historical focus on Queen Victoria’s life, Prince Albert’s albums allow us to see a different view—his world as he organized and created it; thus, a visual autobiography.

The Appendix that I have created here serves as an important research tool, to aid in future scholarship, by providing category locations for the specific photographic imagery
contained within the four extant Calotype Albums, Vol. II, Vol. III, Vol. IV, and Vol. VI, belonging to Prince Albert. I have listed all 383 photographs, from 1850-1859, in numerical order under specific category headings based on their exact positioning within the individual albums. This Appendix now provides an index of “types” with the original captions and photographers, which were (presumably) handwritten by Prince Albert in brown ink under each photograph. Since it is impossible to include descriptions and formulate assumptions about every image in Prince Albert’s Albums for this thesis, I have organized the collection of photographs in each volume into twelve separate category types: Objects; Statuary / Canon of Art; Reproduction of Paintings / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings, Canon of Art; Hunting Scenes / Highlanders; Landscape; Palaces / Royal Estates; Architecture; Family Portraits / Other Royalty and Friends; Portraits / Exotic Others; Travel Photographs; Ships; and Miscellaneous. For example, *Calotypes, Volume II* contains the complete numerical index listing of all 99 photographs as they appear in that volume followed by *Calotypes, Volume II, Image Breakdown Into Types*; all subsequent volumes: Vol. III, Vol. IV, and Vol. VI are compiled only under their corresponding *Image Breakdown Into Types*. It should be noted that the primary research on Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums was conducted at the Royal Archives at Windsor Castle in 2005. Since these albums are not digitized, the photographic illustrations in this thesis are based, in part, on the copy negatives that were available from the Royal Archives at that time. For example, in Figure 2.3, I have replaced the photograph which I discuss entitled *The Princess Royal’s wedding Cake, 25 January 1858* with an engraved image of the Princess Royal’s Wedding Cake from *The Illustrated London Illustrated News*, from Saturday, February 6, 1858.
Upon the final phases of this thesis, two recent publications have come out on vernacular photographic albums: *Art and the Early Photographic Album* (2011), edited by Stephen Bann, a product of the symposium that I attended entitled “Art and the Early Photographic Album” organized by the Center for Advance Study in the Visual Arts, National Gallery of Art, in March of 2007, in Washington; and *Photographic Memory: The Album in the Age of Photography* by author Verna Posever Curtis (2011). Curtis’ book, *Photographic Memory*, chronicles twenty-five albums produced in the twentieth century prior to digital photography from the collection of the Library of Congress’s photographic archives. As an album itself, *Photographic Memory*, contains a collection of album pages and album covers from each of the twenty-five albums, and supplemented with an explanatory page of text by Curtis. What *Photographic Memory* provides, unlike Prince Albert’s albums where Albert is the sole compiler, is an overview of the album’s unique utility as a one-of-a-kind photographic object through the vision and hands of twenty-five different compilers and/or photographers over the span of approximately 90 years.

*Photographic Memory* is a compilation of episodic albums which range from focusing on the life of the naturalist writer and poet John Burroughs during 1915-1922 by his friend, photographer and compiler Clyde Fisher, who was also the curator at the American Museum of Natural History in New York, to a quirky ‘flip-book’ on diving by complier and photographer F. Holland Day entitled *The Seventh Thousandth And Eighth Hundredth And Sixty Third Performance At The Diving Board L.G.H.* (1911), an album on the Hitler Youth (1935), another compiled by Frank Sinatra and Hollywood photographer Phil Stern on the inauguration of John F. Kennedy, and a family album of Danny Lyon (2008-
2009) assembled by Lyon specifically for the Library of Congress’s photographic album collection which spans thirty years of Lyon’s family life through various Polaroids, instamatic snap shots, Ektacolor RC, and gelatin silver printed-out prints. According to Curtis, the twenty-five albums featured in Photographic Memory provide the viewer with “an opportunity to discover personal photographic narratives before the digital age.”

With Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums my purpose is not solely to provide a compendium of personal photographic narratives but rather, to investigate how we as modern viewers can read the images that create this specific narrative not only as historical, and contextually driven, but also as a direct byproduct of photography’s early histories and the history of collecting. I will argue that Prince Albert uses these private albums as a visual autobiographic tool to photographically (through collection and organization) establish a form of identity.
Chapter 1

Collecting Photographs in a Victorian World

In *Suspended Conversations: The Afterlife of Memory in Photographic Albums*, Martha Langford reiterates the idea that the invention and subsequent development of photography “transformed the nature of pictorial information and revolutionized society.”

This is particularly true in relation to how photography in the nineteenth century enabled collectors, institutions, and the populace to systematically compress the world and their families into albums. The sheer potency of the photographic image as an agent of memory and as an instrument of knowledge is that it visualizes moments. An album fixes select ephemeral moments and proposes constructed histories from the arrangement of these moments. Photography, unlike the interpretive hand of painting or printmaking, transformed the nature of pictorial information by seemingly to objectively capturing that which appears (or is placed) in front of the camera at a specific time and place.

Photography, more than any other media, instantly commemorates moments by the camera’s mechanical ability to “stop time.” And it is through this mechanical procurement of sentiment (or remembrance) that photographic images as objects become dear. It is the notion of preservation, as invariably linked with the nature of photography, along with the need to possess, that inspires its collection.

In his book, *Forget Me Not: Photography and Remembrance* (2004), Geoffrey Batchen attempts to reconcile memory and photography and questions whether “photography is a good way to remember things.” He includes arguments by German critic Sigfried Kracauer who, according to Batchen, sees photography as “too coherent and
too linear in its articulation of time and space...capturing too much information to function as memory”¹⁹ and Barthes, who believes memory is not attached to an image but a sensation (of personal significance).²⁰ Batchen reminds us that “Memory, in contrast (to photography), is selective, fuzzy in outline, intensively subjective, often incoherent, and invariably changes over time—a conveniently malleable form of fiction.”²¹ Seemingly, with Prince Albert, it was not the photograph itself that became dear but what it represented; thus the images in his albums photographically ‘obey the rules of nonfiction’ while expressing Batchen’s notion of ‘an extended act of remembrance’, or ‘state of reverie’.²²

The Victorian world culminated in the modern age of discovery which, as Stanley Weintraub, author of Uncrowned King: The Life of Prince Albert (1997) observed, “gave birth to the steamship, the railway, the telegraph, and the photograph.”²³ Charles Irby proposes in the 1968 exhibition catalog, Victoria’s World: A Photographic Portrait drawn from The Gernsheim Collection (1968), “that the achievement of the permanent photographic image during the nineteenth century was the culmination of man’s efforts to record an exact representation of himself and his surroundings.”²⁴ Oscar Maurer, in the exhibition catalog, Victoria’s World (1968), also suggests that “these pictures give us the actualities (or more accurately, the visual impressions) which the novelists transcribe and bring us a step closer to their world...within these photographs; one finds the richest aspects of Victorian literature, the genre of Ruskin, Mathew Arnold and Dickens.”²⁵ And it is within the 110 surviving personal photographic albums of Queen Victoria and the Prince Consort, spanning 100,000 images dating from 1850-1901, that one finds the richest representation of empire, family, Victorian culture, and progress. The Age of Victoria saw moral and social reform in
England through the regulation of child labor laws, improvements in sanitation, establishing housing for the poor, an emerging emphasis placed on education and cultural enrichment, and the establishment of societies to abolish slavery. At the same time, outside of England the imperial landscape expanded at a rate of 100,000 square miles per year.

Collecting in the Victorian world was initially a pastime afforded to the cultured elite and the connoisseur. The noble desire to collect (classical) objects culminated not only from tenets of the Scottish Enlightenment regarding ‘aesthetic and moral orders’ but also from the eighteenth century’s obsession with archeological excavations in the ancient world, which provided a growing interest in the classification of natural objects, and the sweeping desire of the nation-state / Royal Throne to collect classical sculpture, ceramics, metals, gems, drawings, paintings, and prints related to colonialism and empire. Master drawings and prints were preserved in portfolios whereas the photographic album became a receptacle for objects of a more vernacular status. Langford indicates that the album debuted in the seventeenth century as a repository for autographs.

In contrast to the connoisseur’s portfolio, the album evolved into a personal and intimate vehicle for collecting watercolors, prints, sketches, mementoes, poems, and eventually photographs. One such an example are the albums of Lady Mary Georgiana Caroline Filmer, produced in the 1860s. The Lady Filmer albums display what Diane Block in her thesis, *Books and Company: Mid-Victorian Photocollage Albums and the Feminine Imagination* (1995), refers to as “a compelling and delightful example of the little known, mid-Victorian artistic genre of photocollage,” stemming from the “feminine tradition of scrapbooks and sentiment albums” from the late eighteenth and early nineteenth-century.
which combine the amateur watercolorist with the imagination of the assembler. Lady Filmer’s album pages reflect the leisured class in a sphere of domesticity. In this space she constructs her lively hybrid compositions of watercolor drawings and pen and ink with collaged and cut *cartes-de-visite* images of friends and relatives. Her album page *Trompe l’oeil letters*, c. 1866 (Figure 2.1), is of particular interest as it features the face of Prince Albert’s son, the Prince of Wales, which was pasted on an actual stamp glued to a trompe l’oeil letter in the center of the page. The album page is covered in a pile of delicately drawn envelopes, scattered as a heap of correspondence on a writing desk. Each individual envelope is outlined in a colored wash; some have simulated cancellation marks and others spill forth collaged *cartes-de-visite* portraits like a collection of theatre tickets. The envelope bearing the portrait of the Consort’s son is addressed to: *His Royal Highness, The Prince of Wales, Abergeldie Castle, Aberdeenshire N.B.* According to Block, there are four album pages by Lady Filmer in U.S. collections that feature collaged images of His Royal Highness, The Prince of Wales. Two of these album pages that Block identified entitled *Prince of Wales Shooting Party, 1864* and *Prince of Wales and Party at Sandringham, 1865* clearly indicate Lady Filmer’s social connections to the Royal family.

Photographic albums, though often incomplete, with missing photographs or blank pages, create a sense of narrative through the selection and arrangement of their images. Albums tell stories, or versions of them. Even the most arbitrary positioning or sequencing of a picture can be considered a deliberate decision on the part of the compiler. When we view photographic albums what we initially encounter are pages of discontinuous photographs that become the visual evidence of social practices and censored history.
Supporting this notion, the photograph by Leonida Caldesi entitled *The Royal Family at Osborne, 26th May, 1857*, from Volume VI (Figure 2.2) displays the normative conventions of the family portrait, a seated mother surrounded by her children and the standing father surveying the scene. Here the entire Royal Family is documented in front of an alcove housing a female Greco-Roman sculpture on one of the expansive terraces of Osborne, the royal seaside estate on the Isle of Wight. Queen Victoria is seated holding the newest addition to the Royal Family, the Princess Beatrice, and the mother Queen looks down in the baby’s direction, surrounded by her other children. The five younger girls all wear dresses with capes and fancy bonnets while little Prince Leopold wears a Scottish kilt. Bertie, the Prince of Wales and future king, wears a boy’s military ensemble. Prince Alfred,
dressed in a young man’s suit, causally leans on the balustrade with his hands in his pockets. Next to him is his father, Prince Albert, who also leans against the structure dressed in formal waistcoat attire and riding boots with his hand on his hip. The posed photograph captures a candid moment of boredom for several of the children, who have turned their faces in different directions, creating some blurring of movement.

Figure 2.2 The Royal Family at Osborne, 26th May, 1857. Left to right: Prince Alfred; Prince Albert; Princess Helena; Prince Arthur; Princess Alice; Queen Victoria with Princess Beatrice; Victoria, Princess Royal; Princess Louise; Prince Leopold, A.E. Prince of Wales. By Caldesi. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.

The reality of this domestic scene was that Queen Victoria, whose maternal instinct was to love her children, resented the fact that her tenure as a young Queen was consumed
for 17 years in a revolving door of pregnancies and postpartum depressions. In the wake of the excessive debaucheries of the previous Monarch George IV, a tenet of the Crown promoted by Queen Victoria and Prince Albert was to lead by example and exhibit high moral codes, especially in relation to the nuclear family. This photograph demonstrates not only the camera’s power to convey a social (didactic) convention as performed by the Royal Family, but it also promotes a new social practice—documenting the family through photography—or what Sontag would argue, almost one hundred and thirty years later, as “a rite of family life.”

**History of Albums**

With the invention of photography, selective visual histories were created and preserved in albums. Many were bound in leather or velvet, while others were elaborately hand-tooled or embellished with lavish trappings and metal clasps. These became the portable, precious shrines of history where images of dignitaries, family, place and national and world events culminate as ritualistic practices and commemorative displays. Rituals are the reenactment of symbolic gestures. In “Ritual, Politics, and Power,” David I. Kertzer defines ritual “as action wrapped in a web of symbolism” through which standardized sequences and repetition “helps to give meaning to our world in part by linking the past to the present and the present to the future.” Photographs record the performance of ritual and symbolic behavior by capturing the act which links the individual to society. And they become their own ritual performances.

As a commemorative display of ritualistic practice, the photograph of Princess Victoria Adelaide Mary Louise’s wedding cake entitled, *The Princess Royal’s Wedding Cake,*
January 25\textsuperscript{th} 1858, by William Bambridge (Figure 2.3, engraving of the Wedding Cake from 
\textit{The London Illustrated News, February 6, 1858}), from Volume VI, serves as an interesting 
example because the photograph is about the materiality of the cake as a singular object—a 
magnificent six-foot tall monument of royal white confection. It indexes the ceremony of 
mariage. Princess Vicky’s wedding cake is composed of three ornate architectural tiers and 
is a breathtaking work of art. The bottom layer is decorated with Empire style panels which 
serve as elaborate frames for Wedgwood-like cameos and royal crests while the center tier 
is modeled on a Greek rotunda festooned with flowers draped above alcoves that hold 
classic statuary. Topping the cake is a spectacular crowned baldachin which houses the 
bride and groom. Surprisingly, this image provides the only reference to Princess Vicky’s 
marriage in Prince Albert’s albums, an interesting fact given that he and his daughter 
Princess Vicky were particularly close. There are no other wedding photographs of the 
union between the Princess Royal and her husband Prince Frederick William of Prussia in 
these albums—and all the other participants are absent. The photograph of the Princess 
Royal’s wedding cake, which symbolizes the ritual of matrimony and the idea of pageantry 
as spectacle, depicts a confection of art designed by Italian artist, M. Conté, with serpentine 
Bernini style columns surrounded by a series of busts on pedestals and a dome flanked with 
naked putti.

Emily Allen, in her essay “Culinary Exhibition: Victorian Wedding Cakes and Royal 
Spectacle,” \textit{Victorian Studies} (2003), contends that “the Royal nuptials of Queen Victoria’s 
reign represented the very apogee of state power as ceremonial performance, and the cake 
made to celebrate them were central props in these dramas of national affiliation.”\textsuperscript{39}
Figure 2.3  The Princess Royal’s wedding Cake, 25 January 1858. By Bambridge. Photograph not available. Marriage of the Princess Royal and Prince Frederick William of Prussia: The Wedding Cake, an engraving from The Illustrated London News, No. 902—VOL. XXXII.1, Saturday, February 6, 1858.
Allen goes on to state that Princess Vicky’s wedding cake “was based on an extremely vertical, ornamental cake design that first debuted at the Crystal Palace, a design that (like the Palace itself) represented the triumph of technology and ingenuity over matter.” The Crystal Palace was the spectacular exhibition hall built entirely of metal and glass to commemorate the opening of the Great Exhibition of 1851 in London. In light of this fact, the significance of this photograph in relation to Prince Albert coupled with his involvement in the Great Exhibition of 1851, has a double meaning.

As a casket of photographic memory, revived through the viewer’s sight, the album framed not only the continuum of time but also could become a pictorial space like a painting where an assumed or even counterfeit identity was permissible. In the photograph taken by Dr. Ernest Becker, *Prince Arthur and Prince Alfred in the Costume of Sikh Princes, Osborne, 6th September 1854* (Figure 2.4), from Volume IV, we see the two young English Princes lounging on a bed upholstered in taffeta and masquerading as Sikh Princes. Dressed in turbans and extravagant embroidered silk tunics and striped pants, they adopt an orientalist pose framed by sumptuous drapery and a paisley Indian carpet. Prince Alfred, positioned like an odalisque, stares directly at the camera with a sly smirk. In an air of casual defiance he places his hand on his hip and crosses his legs which emphasize his bare feet. Prince Arthur, who is adorned in beads, is seated in profile and looks sullenly at his brother. As a delightful and humorous photograph of Albert’s sons, it captures the act of role playing and the art of make-believe, and thus establishes an imperial connection between the royal children as Sikhs to the Jewel in the Crown. Here the playful photograph
becomes a metaphor for British sovereignty even though Queen Victoria and Prince Albert never traveled to India.

*Figure 2.4*  *Prince Arthur and Prince Alfred in the Costume of Sikh Princes, Osborne, 6th September 1854.* By Dr. E. Becker. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.

**Usurping the Print: Paper Galleries**

Art historian and photographic critic Abigail Solomon-Godeau claims “that the history of photography is not the history of remarkable men, much less a succession of remarkable pictures, but the history of photographic uses.”

From its very conception in 1839, photography established itself as a new way of seeing and knowing the world, a vision that had been previously represented by prints. With photography, as the new model for
representation, commercial and private albums emerged as portable forms of “paper
galleries” which showcased a variety of subjects, places, and themes through a collection of
arranged (or curated) photographs.

In 1841, French painter Horace Vernet published a pamphlet first written in 1839,
coinciding with the year of Daguerre’s announcement of the daguerreotype, entitled Du
Droit des peintres et des sculptures sur leurs ouvrages. In his pamphlet on painters’ rights,
Vernet argues that the painter produces two distinct dual commodities: an “intellectual
object” which allows for the reproduction of the painting through engraving and a “material
object” that consists of the canvas created by the artist’s brush. Foreshadowing the
advent of photographic reproduction, Vernet passionately argues for the right to engrave as
being the passport to the painter’s immortality:

> Engraving is the means of propagating and giving eternity to the painter’s work. Is it
not engraving, in fact, that is for most of the time the most active agent in the
painter’s reputation...Engraving is, so to speak, to the picture, what printing is to the
manuscript: it is the thing that multiplies it; it is the thing that propagates and
popularizes it; it is the thing that gives eternity to the work; it is the thing that
immortalizes the genius of the painter.

Within ten years of Vernet’s treaty promoting the necessity of “the engraved
painting,” the photograph replaced the reproductive print as the “intellectual object.” This
is evident in Prince Albert’s albums. Also, The Great Exhibition was recorded through
photography, and later Henry Cole, as the Director of the South Kensington Museum used
photographs to record the museum’s art collections. Thus, the mechanical ability of the
camera to produce exact replicas of nature generated a widely held belief in the West that
photographs were the faithful and accurate renderings of the world around them, a notion
that contributed to the systematic replacement of the reproductive print over the second half of the century.

While prints had been the primary means to disseminate knowledge and artistic reputation from the Renaissance through the mid-nineteenth century, reactions to the new photographic discovery of 1839 were often couched in terms of engraving. The London Spectator described Daguerre’s invention after the January 7th announcement in Paris at the Academie des Sciences, as a kind of marvel that amounted “to nothing less than making light produce permanent pictures, by engraving them at the same time, in the course of a few minutes... To think of Nature herself reflecting her own face, though but as ‘in a glass, darkly,’ and engraving it too, that we may have copies of it!”46 Likewise, the Paris correspondent for the London Athenaeum, having interviewed Daguerre while examining some of his images, reported that some of the daguerreotypes “have the force of Rembrandt’s etchings.”47

Specific types of photographic images in Prince Albert’s albums conceptually function as the new technological replacement for the reproductive print. Peppered throughout the four volumes are numerous photographs of commissioned paintings by Edwin Landseer and Franz Xavier Winterhalter, as well as photographs of antique objects, sword hilts, the pair of Louis XIV pistols, original statuary and ancient casts, cameos, and the interior views of the Louvre (Figures 2.5 through 2.9 and Figure 1.1). These photographic images of individual objects can be linked to the reproductive print in terms of how eighteenth century connoisseurs and antiquarian collectors archived collections, whereby
the illustrated object functioned as a stand-in for the original within the context of the folio, or in this case the album.

Figure 2.5    A Sword Hilt, 1854. By Francis Bedford. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Figure 2.6  Silver Vase by Vechté, 1853. By Francis Bedford. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Prince Albert had access to Sir William Hamilton’s folio volumes in his Print Room—especially the *Collection of Etruscan, Greek and Roman Antiquities* (1766-1767) since the first volume carries on the frontispiece a grandiose dedication to George III on a stele surrounded by overgrown lush vegetation, an exquisite Greek vase, and vestiges of Roman ruins. (Here Hamilton, as the esteemed collector and connoisseur, cleverly links his taste with that of the king.) Hamilton, the “Envoy Extraordinary of His Britannic Majesty at Naples,” was a “social historian, a natural scientist specializing in volcanology, and an authority on Greek and Roman antiquity.” Albert Boime proposes that Hamilton’s great collection of Greek and Roman vases made him the model for aristocratic collectors in the eighteenth century. He goes on to state, however, that Hamilton’s emphasis on producing
sumptuous folios of engraved illustrations was not only for display but also to “revive an Ancient Art” for the higher purpose of scholarship and research.51

Figure 2.8 The Apollo Belvedere in the Vatican, 1853. By Robert Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Following the model of Hamilton and Caylus, the Prince participated in the intellectual exercise of collection and patronage. His objective interest in the medium of photography was to aid future scholars while promoting a new aesthetic through a new mechanical technology. Taylor indicates that it was Albert’s “recognition that photography was also a technical tool, capable of accurately reproducing the minutest details of engravings, drawings and text,” which enabled the Prince to form a study collection of Raphael’s work based on photographic facsimiles of the originals. (See Figure 2.10, A Woman and Cupid, after an engraving by Marco Antonio Raimondi, by Mr. C.T. Thompson,
from Volume III, Figure 2.11, *The Last Judgment by Michelangelo*, from a drawing in the possession of Dr. Braun, 1853, by Robert Macpherson, from Volume II.)
Figure 2.11  The Last Judgement, by Michelangelo, from a drawing in the possession of Dr. Braun, 1853. By Robert Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
The Print Room at Windsor Castle housed a collection of over 15,000 Old Master drawings and engravings, which had been primarily neglected until Albert began reorganizing the collection by artists and subjects. The most comprehensive collection represented contained the original drawings of Raphael and subsequent engravings after his work. What interested Albert was the variance between Raphael’s drawings and the engraver’s hand. While reproductive engraving produces a subjective attempt at replication, it is not a photographic copy of the original; it is an interpretation of line and form produced by the dexterity of the engraver.

Accordingly, the Prince had all the Raphael drawings in the Royal Collection photographed, as well as all the related engravings. He contacted private collectors across the continent seeking permission to have their Raphael collections of paintings, drawings, and engravings photographed for this monumental compendium. The Raphael Collection, as separate from his private albums, consisted of forty-nine portfolios. It was finally completed in 1876, fifteen years after Albert’s death, through the continued support of Queen Victoria. The importance of the Raphael project, as assisted by photography, designates Prince Albert not only as a dedicated patron of photography but also places him in the service of art history.

As paper galleries, Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums participate in usurping the print through photography by reproducing numerous works of art and objects in the Royal collection. The breakdown of the Calotype Volumes into categories of types such as objects, statuary, and the reproduction of paintings, drawings, watercolors, and engravings, as related to the canon of art, totals 79 images out 383 images or approximately 40 percent
of the total photographs in the four extant albums (see Appendix II). Surprisingly, there were no photographs in Volume VI that fell into these categories. What these albums demonstrate in terms of usurping the print is photography’s role as the modern visual document and its subsequent contribution to the formation of an archive.

In placing Prince Albert in the service of art history, he is not only seen a collector of art and photography but also as a practicing collections manager who continually archived and cataloged specific work which provided for future scholarship by preserving it for perpetuity. While Hamilton used culture as a springboard to make his fortune and thus establish taste, Albert too, used English culture as a springboard for his patronage in the arts and sciences to secure his royal status as a progressive thinker and as a connoisseur of art.

**Photography and the Cartes-de-Visite**

From the 1860s onwards, photographic albums became an integral component of the Victorian home for the simple reason that photography was affordable to a wider audience. In 1841, the London census had no listing of photographers by profession, in 1850 there were 51 photographers registered, and by 1861 there were 2,879 commercial photographers listed on the official ledgers.\(^{56}\) This new addition to the Victorian Home was further accelerated by the popularity of the ubiquitous *carte-de-visite*—the small calling-card-size type of photograph that featured a variety of subjects, people, places, and things, taken from popular culture—with portraits typically photographed in studio settings. The mania for collecting the *carte-de-visite*, which became a national and international trend, centered on images of celebrities and European royalty followed by heads of state,
depictions of architectural wonders, topographical views, exotic places, images of “others” and occupational “types,” and the portraits of family and friends—which could be economically collected, and traded and placed into precut perforated specialty albums. *Cartes-de-visite* of European royalty proposed the visual and stylistic conventions of the aristocracy, illustrating a typology of power, literacy and fashion, to be emulated by viewers of lower class status. With the invention of the *carte-de-visite* the world became truly photographic on a commercial level.

The *carte-de-visite* image of *Prince Albert*, c.1860 (Figure 2.12) and the *carte-de-visite* of *An Anonymous Couple*, n.d. (Figure 2.13), which were both photographed in a studio serve as a comparative example of how viewers of lower class status emulated the stylistic conventions of the aristocracy. Prince Albert is photographed in a frontal three quarter-length pose and is seated on a spindled high back chair. His eyes gaze at the camera. He wears a formal dress coat with dark pants, a white collared dress shirt with studs, and a stylish cravat tied in a bowtie. From his silk vest hangs the chain of his pocket watch. Prince Albert’s right forearm and hand rests on his knee while his other arm sits on a book that is placed on an adjacent table. Because of the unusual cropping of this carte-de-visite (a type of spontaneity achieved with hand held cameras of the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries) part of his body is cut off and we see only a small portion of his arm, the book, and an ornately carved table leg. To the right, behind the Prince, stands an iconic fluted column.
Figure 2.12  Carte-de-visite of Prince Albert, c. 1860. By Poulton. Collection of the author.

Verso; Carte-de-visite of Prince Albert, c. 1860.

Figure 2.13  Carte-de-visite of an Anonymous Couple, n.d. Unknown photographer. Collection of the author.
On the verso side of the carte is a stamp with the seal of the photographer Poulton, who apparently was one of the studio franchises authorized to photograph the Royal Family. Above the studio’s address are the Royal Crest and a semicircle of type that reads: UNDER THE PATRONAGE OF H.M. THE QUEEN. There is a handwritten inscription in faded ink that reads Prince Albert of England, presumably by the purchaser or collector. Here the Prince becomes a symbol not only of the Queen’s royal husband, but also embodies the visible signs of elegance, prestige, and knowledge as indicated by his attire, the presence of the book, the furniture, and the Greek column which encompasses the gamut of history, art, philosophy, and democracy.

The carte-de-visite of the anonymous couple attempts to mimic the general stylistic conventions of the studio portraiture established in the carte-de-visite of Prince Albert. In this full length portrait the woman is seated and the man, presumably her husband, is standing behind her, which establishes a patriarchal hierarchy. They stare expressionlessly in different directions she looks down to the right, and he towards the camera. She is dressed in a dark, long sleeved silk polka-dot dress that is edged in lace at the neck and the cuffs and is fitted at the waist with a full skirt. On her head she wears a beaded crown with hanging white beads and white flowers and two wide ribbons of embroidered white cloth. It is possible that this photograph could be her wedding picture. Her left arm rests on a small, modest, wooden table that displays a few paltry flowers in a woven basket. In the right hand corner of the image, behind the table, hangs a section of a draped curtain, the wall is blank and the floor has patterned carpeting.
The man, who is somewhat older, wears an ill-fitting long dress coat with a velvet collar, the sleeves are too long and the shoulders are too wide. Paired with this, he wears a dark pattern vest and light colored trousers, a trouser color traditionally worn with a fitted waist coat and riding boots (see Figure 2.2). He clumsily clutches a book at his side, gripping the outside covers between his thumb and middle finger while wedging his index finger into the center of the text. On closer inspection, this gentleman’s oversized silk bowtie seems out of fashion and overpowers his face in relation to the necktie worn by Prince Albert. All of this would indicate that either some of the garments he wore were a hand-me-down or that the man borrowed his assembled wardrobe from the costume selections available at the photographic studio which ultimately allowed the client to choose an identity to portray in their portrait.

Geoffrey Batchen, in his essay, “Dreams of ordinary life; Cartes-de-visite and the bourgeois imagination (2009),” cites that the carte’s inventor, Disdéri, declared that “one must be able to deduce who the subject is, to deduce spontaneously his character, his intimate life, his habits; the photographer must do more than photograph, he must ‘biographe’” whereby claiming for cartes-de-visite the traditional function of painted portraiture as does the “wedding photograph” discussed on the previous page. Arguably, both photographic studios have captured “the language of the physiognomy, and the expression of the look” in the cartes of Prince Albert and the Anonymous Couple but one could hardly consider these static and wooden examples to be truly biographic. While cartes-de-visite albums can indeed be spectacular entities—as eclectic collections of celebrity and family, to be collected and desired by all—they are literally structured to read
as a stamp collection of faces. Unlike the *cartes-de-visite* albums, autobiography develops in Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums not through the conventions of multiple studio poses but through his organization and placement of relevant photographs that were individually produced by amateurs.

It was at this juncture that Queen Victoria and Prince Albert’s royal image officially became a photographic commodity.\(^{59}\) Author John Plunkett, in his book *Queen Victoria: First Media Monarch* (2003), states “in August of 1860, Regent Street photographer John Mayall was permitted to publish his *Royal Album* which consisted of fourteen *carte-de-visite* portraits of Victoria, Albert and their children, a venture that became a phenomenal success.”\(^{60}\) Realizing the power of photography, the Queen’s decision to allow *cartes* of the royal family to be disseminated throughout England and abroad was an intentional tactic to ingratiate the monarchy with the public. Prior to 1860, photographs of the royal family were private, although authorized and illicit royal images proliferated through the distribution of prints and the illustrated press. According to Plunkett, “*cartes* had a notable collective agency because, through their circulation, they went beyond the scope of engraving and lithography.”\(^{61}\) Occasionally, photographic portraits of Queen Victoria and Prince Albert or pictures of family life were exhibited at different venues, as was the case with the Manchester Exhibition of Art Treasures in 1857 when “photographs of the royal family were first put on public display.”\(^{62}\) The images were not available, however, for public purchase and thus collection.

The critical difference between Albert’s private Calotype Albums of 1850s and the Royal Family’s numerous *cartes-de-visite* albums collected by Queen Victoria from 1860
onward is that the photographs collected and commissioned by Prince Albert were
produced by amateurs and entrepreneurs pursuing the new art of photography, while the
cartes-de-visite images were produced by studio photographers selling a commodity. In
fact, Queen Victoria was so enamored with the novelty of cartes-de-visite that one of her
Ladies-in-waiting, the Honorable Eleanor Stanley, wrote in 1860: “I have been writing to all
the fine ladies in London for their and their husband’s photographs for the Queen. I believe
the Queen could be bought and sold, for a photograph.”
Queen Victoria’s collections of
cartes-de-visite portrait photographs from 1860-1861, most of which were physically
arranged and categorized by Prince Albert, “were placed in a series of albums comprising
Royal Portraits, Royal Household Portraits, English Portraits, Austrian, Belgian, Coburg
(family of Prince Albert), French, Prussian, Spanish, and Portuguese Portraits, and portraits
of artists, actors, and musicians.” With the exception of the ‘mid-Victorian artistic genre
of photo collage’ as seen in Lady Filmer’s albums of collaged cartes-de-visite portraits,
assembling cartes-de-visite into albums ultimately became more a cataloguing project than
a creation of personal expression.

In contrast, Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums reflect the early aesthetics of amateur
photographic imagery, as opposed to the uniform utility of a commercial likeness. A distinct
comparison can be drawn between the photograph taken by Roger Fenton, The Queen in
her Drawing Room Dress, May 11th 1854, a carbon print reproduced by Hughes and Mullins,
1893, after the original salted paper print (Figure 2.14), from Volume III, and the carte-de-
visite of Queen Victoria, c.1863, by the Wothlytype Photography Studio (Figure 2.15). As
Roger Taylor points out, Fenton was not only a competent artist and a founding member of
the Photographic Society, but he also had a unique relationship to the Crown which provided access to the Royal Family, and he was invited to take pictures of them on numerous occasions. In Fenton’s image of the Queen, we see her in a post official capacity at Buckingham Palace wearing her beautiful Drawing Room Dress after a “Drawing Room” held in the throne room of Saint James Place, a stately affair where individuals were formally presented to the Queen.

This is a particularly sensitive and striking portrait of the Queen seated in what Taylor describes as a “cloud of tulle and lace,” and it is also one of few actual photographic images in Prince Albert’s albums that portray Queen Victoria as a sovereign dressed in ceremonious attire—as she often preferred to be represented photographically as a wife and a mother. See the photograph by Roger Fenton entitled The Queen and The Prince, 30th June 1854, also from Volume III (Figure 2.16), which substantiates Frances Dimond’s claim that often times portraits taken of Prince Albert and Queen Victoria “give no indication of their rank.” It is in this aftermath of ritual, with Victoria wearing her lovely Drawing Room Dress amidst a cloud of clustered flowers, white silk, pearls, and lace, that Fenton photographs an angelic Queen with folded hands. He captures a contemplative moment as Her Royal Highness gently tilts her head with her eyes cast slightly down. Noticeably, she has removed one of her white gloves to reveal her favorite piece of jewelry, a gold bracelet bearing the enameled photographic portrait of her beloved husband Prince Albert. Here the aesthetic nature of the salt print, which diffuses articulate detail into the toothy fibers of the paper unlike the smooth surface of the albumen print, not only softens
the angularity of the Queens facial features through its velvety tonal range but also further aestheticizes her dress to create an idyllic sense of regal demure.

Figure 2.14 The Queen in her Drawing Room Dress, May 11th 1854. By Roger Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
In contrast, the carte-de-visite of Queen Victoria by the Wothlytype Studio, taken almost a decade later, offers an appropriately unromanticized image of the recently widowed queen. In this full-length albumen portrait Her Royal Highness is also seated, she looks slightly to the right without expression with her hands folded in her lap. She wears a black mourning dress with a white veil, what James Ryan calls “her characteristic black ‘widow’s weeds,’” and is accompanied by a dog that looks directly at the viewer. Regardless of the portrait’s conventional lackluster appeal, the image is a likeness of the Queen and it confirms the utility of the camera as a recording device. As an index it identifies who she is and photographically inscribes her as a frumpy widow with a dog. Batchen discusses the issue of the Queen and her performance in cartes—as an ordinary
wife and mother—as intentionally “collapsing the distinction between ruler and ruled.”

Here the Queen can be seen an ordinary woman in mourning, and therefore, her photograph contributes to a communal experience among widows.

Figure 2.16  The Queen and The Prince, 30th June 1854. By Roger Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
The images in Prince Albert’s albums not only differentiate the art of the amateur from the rise of the professional studio photographer, but they also illustrate the nature of photographic collection as the private art of connoisseurs. Connoisseurship implies not only a distinction in taste but also assigns collection value as based on the criteria of aesthetics and artisanship, as well as scholarly appreciation. In 1885, the journal *Amateur Photographer* described the early amateur as “presumably, a man of more cultivated education and greater leisure than the professional photographer, and [he] may reasonably be expected to have a keener sense of the aesthetic principles, and a more educated knowledge of the history and science of art than his professional brother—better skilled through the latter may be in the technique of his art.” For early photographic connoisseurs, collecting photographs revolved around the phenomena of practicing photography as opposed to the mania for acquiring it.

It was at this time, in around 1853, that photographs began to enter museums. The South Kensington Museum and its Founding Director Henry Cole became engaged in championing the tradition of collecting photographs, which at this time were primarily photographs of works of art or images of monuments. Cole not only collected photographs for the museum but also used photography to record their exhibitions. In *Museum & The Photograph: Collecting Photography at the Victoria and Albert Museum 1853-1900* (1998), Mark Haworth-Booth describes an exhibition in 1858 that was staged and ceremoniously opened by Queen Victoria and Prince Albert at the South Kensington Museum which combined the Photographic Society of London and the *Société française de Photographie*. The importance of this exhibition, according to Haworth-Booth, points to
the fact that “photography gained fashionably year by year during the 1850s,” and that “photographs were not only exhibited in important art contexts but acquired by collectors.”

With the popularity of *cartes-de-visite*, photographic collection passed into the public realm by democratizing the image making it available and affordable to participate in shared collective experience. *Cartes* not only provided the public with an equal opportunity to mimic portraits of the elites (framed by a swag of sumptuous drapery and leaning on a balustrade or classical column while holding a book) but they also provided consumers an economic opportunity to purchase popular mass-produced photographic images as objects of collection, and thus initiates the ongoing cult of the photographic souvenir. The week following the Prince Consort’s death on December 14, 1862, 70,000 *cartes* of Prince Albert were issued for sale. In a demonstration of solidarity, the public flocked to purchase his royal image as a testament of their sympathy and support for the grieving Queen Victoria.

Initially, photography was an elitist hobby for the well-to-do (or genteel) amateur. It encompassed the idea of experimentation which led to chemical and technological advancements in processing and producing artistic images. The early amateurs formed photographic clubs which fuelled a passion, later shared by Prince Albert and Queen Victoria, for collecting photographs. Prior to Frenchman André Adolphe-Eugène Disdéri’s patent of the *carte-de-visite* in 1854, photography in England was primarily experienced through amateurs’ work, as *cartes* had virtually no impact on the British consumer market.
until the late 1850s when Disdéri’s cartes of Napoleon III and Empress Eugenie became popular in Britain.\footnote{79}

Grace Seiberling describes the early English amateur photographers as a cultivated class who had the means, the education, and the leisure not only to pursue this new art-science with ‘ardent seriousness’ but who also used photography as a pleasurable activity to record their existence.\footnote{80} She claims that amateur aesthetic values were influenced by pictorial tradition and cultural convention (which later were codified in the stilted studio conventions of the cartes-de-visite that ultimately democratized portraits into a middle-class ideal).\footnote{81} When Seiberling comments on the imagery of amateur photographs—the peaceful landscapes, trees, monuments, ruins, and still life compositions—as reflecting the shared values of the groups as well as their interest in art, history, literature, and travel,\footnote{82} she speaks directly to the types of amateur images that can be found in Prince Albert’s Albums.

One such example, The Baptistery of Canterbury Cathedral, 1857, by Francis Bedford (Figure 2.17), from Volume VI, was purchased by Prince Albert after it was displayed at the Manchester Exhibition of Art Treasures.\footnote{83} Framed like a Gothic fairy tale, this photograph glorifies the English perception of the romantic ruin and reflects contemporary literary tastes as in the writings of Sir Walter Scott and others. Similar to a painting, the viewer’s eye travels along a dirt path to the Baptistery through a field of overgrown weeds and wildflowers. The circular stone tower of the Canterbury Cathedral and the tracery of stained glass windows are swallowed in a thick entanglement of climbing ivy that consumes most of the Baptistery and its conical roof. As an indicator of scale, a working class man
with a receding hairline, mutton-chop whiskers, and crumpled pants causally leans with folded arms against a column of one of the Baptistery’s archways. Sitting next to him, in front of the stone buttress, is a young boy in a collared jacket wearing a brimmed cap.

Figure 2.17  The Baptistery of Canterbury Cathedral, 1857. By Francis Bedford. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
His hands are on his knees and he glances awkwardly in the direction of the camera. As a photograph rich in sepia tones, it not only frames the idea of romanticism but also presents nature as an untamed entity colliding with the architecture of faith. The framing of the Cathedral allows for multiple readings of the figures that function as the metaphorical custodians of the landscape, as village people, or as witnesses to the overgrowth of time.

Amateurs who traded photographs during Prince Albert’s period of active collection hailed from a variety of prominent and educated professions; they were doctors, writers, scientists, artists, antiquarians, and academics. They became united through their passion for photography and vigorously pursued this medium as a means to combine and explore their interests. For Albert, the act of collecting photographs, coupled with his association with The Photographic Society (later The Royal Photographic Society), became an extension of what Seiberling termed “the Victorian concern for rational leisure”—a leisure that encompassed all levels of collecting as well as the pleasurable pursuit of musical performance and antiquarian and scientific studies. This is what Langford describes as “Victorian intellectual pastimes,” a stimulating recreation or hobby that “could be transposed onto photography; sketching, collecting, and preserving specimens; mounting theatrical productions; storytelling and conversation,” by the leisured class.

The Prince’s photographic albums became the new portable cabinets of curiosity in the form of a palpable paper gallery. Cabinets of curiosities were literally cabinets of curios containing objects, specimens, and fragments peculiar to the natural world. Organizing the cabinets became a way of systemizing knowledge through the classification, possession, and display of collected objects. For the owner, they became privatized little museums of
natural history, or as Langford suggests, “A theatre of the world.”\textsuperscript{86} In The Cultures of Collecting (1994), Anthony Alan Shelton describes cabinets of curiosities as a type of allegorical mirror that offered a complete and idealized representation of the world in this case, Albert’s world. Activities such as “cultivating gardens, gathering antiquity’s remnants, and completing the full metaphorical extension of collection were undertaken by influential groups to demonstrate personal worth and to legitimize their social positions.”\textsuperscript{87} The same activities associated with establishing cabinets of curiosities were absorbed into the Victorian concern for rational leisure and rational recreation. It was through his collection practices and his involvement as a patron of the arts that would play a vital role to elevate Albert’s public persona as I will show.
Chapter 2
Albert the Collector / Albert the Foreigner

The English people, as heirs of a Teutonic heritage, saw themselves as the byproduct of a superior Anglo-Saxon race. The problem facing Prince Albert was that he was German and a foreigner. Even Lord Melbourne, the English Prime Minister, pointed out to Queen Victoria prior to her marriage that “Albert was, in character and upbringing, alien to English ways.”

Reginald Horseman, author of Race and Manifest Destiny: The Origins of American Racial Anglo-Saxonism (1981) argues that “A major obstacle in England to the linking of Germans, Saxons, Danes, and Normans as one great race was that the English thought of themselves as the supremely successful group within the Germanic tradition.” Racial theories proposing that “the affinity of language proved the affinity of race” were fostered (ironically) by “the great precursor of German Romanticism, Johann Gottfried von Herder,” who stressed “language as the basis of nationality” and emphasized “the common past of a people” by “replacing the idea of the nation as a political unit with that of a nation as a cultural community.”

American author Ralph Waldo Emerson in his book, English Traits (1856), asserts that in England “exists the best stock in the world,” and “it is in the deep traits of race that the fortunes of nations are written.” Horseman maintains that “a mystical faith was placed in the English language ... and when a community began to speak the English language it was ‘half Saxonized’ even if there were no Anglo-Saxons there.”

The adoption of an Anglo-Saxon racial theory with “language as the basis of nationality” allowed particularism to become a convenient prejudice against the German born Prince
Albert, coupled with the fact that the Coburgs had a history of mounting the thrones of Europe by either “marriage or election.”

To be a foreigner within the context of this royal marriage carried the connoted message of marginal political power along with a marginal annuity which signified the marginal acceptance of Prince Albert (from the English people) within the sovereign state. Prince Otto von Bismarck, in summarizing the general national discontent, which was partially due to the fact that Albert was Queen Victoria’s Coburg cousin and nephew of her mother, disparagingly dubbed Prince Albert’s German dukedom of Saxe-Coburg ‘the stud farm of Europe’ because the Prince brought virtually no inheritance to his union with Queen Victoria. Albert, who never lost his German accent, became the perpetual sign of foreignness within the royal household, the Government, and the populace at large, an attribute which plagued him until his death.

Deprived of the constitutional authority “to participate in the political life of the country,” Prince Albert would “invest his energy in progressive committees and institutions” for the betterment of the English people. Connoisseurship enabled Prince Albert to elevate his social status with select members of Parliament through his active engagement in the collection of art and his burgeoning interest in promoting new technologies. An avid collector since his youth, Albert and his brother Ernest had amassed their own cabinets of curiosities based on the Renaissance model of collection. These cabinets were a means of pursuing scholarly entertainment while traveling with their tutor during their father’s extended absences. Albert and Ernest’s collection of specimens became the foundation of the Naturmuseum in Coburg, Germany, and the Prince, with his interest in natural history.
continued to support and contribute to the collection throughout his life.99 To engage his children in collection, Prince Albert set up a small natural history museum at Osborne, their seaside estate on the Isle of Wight, to house the objects that the young Royals collected.100

One of the philosophical debates of the eighteenth century centered on virtue and the outcome of action with the subsequent questioning of “what is a good action, and how is it known to be good.”101 In Crowded with Genius, The Scottish Enlightenment: Edinburgh’s Moment of the Mind (2003), author James Buchan describes the liberal sentiments of Francis Hutchenson, who was the chair of Moral Philosophy (and professor of Adam Smith) at Glasgow University in 1729: “For Hutchenson, the mind was imprinted not just by objects of sense—by what could be seen or heard or tasted or felt—but by objects in the aesthetic and moral orders. Man possessed an internal sense that derived an involuntary pleasure from beauty, consisting (for him) of such values as ‘Uniformity, Order, Arrangement, Imitation;’”102 principles which were clearly embraced by Prince Albert.

For Albert, the process of selection and the systemizing and cataloging of objects was a passion he would share with fellow colleague Henry Cole, who as the new Director of the South Kensington Museum (later renamed the Victoria and Albert Museum) began “the world’s earliest collection of art photography” in 1856.103 Albert also organized the Queen’s inherited collections by sorting old prints, censoring the improper or indecent engravings acquired by George IV, and establishing a catalog of the Royal Paintings and Drawings.104 He subsequently arranged portfolios and albums and created the Print Room at Windsor Castle, under George IV’s library.105
In *Crown and Camera* (1987), Roger Taylor states, “it had basically been the Prince’s ambition to become a patron of ‘artists...men of learning and science.” Thus it was fortuitous in 1841 that the newly elected Prime Minister, Sir Robert Peel, initiated Prince Albert into public life by his appointment of the Prince as President of the Royal Commission of the Fine Arts, a position that Albert viewed as an opportunity for state patronage to stimulate government interest in the arts. Two years later, in 1843, Albert became the President of the Society of Arts, an institution that was founded in 1754 “for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufacturers and Commerce of the Country.” As its President, Albert was able to foster the idea for the Great Exhibition of 1851 with help from Henry Cole. Prince Albert and the Queen became the Patrons of the Photographic Society of London in 1853, which established a primary source of photographic exchange for Albert as well as technological discussions with Britain’s leading amateur photographers. In 1855, when the problem of fading photographs was brought to the attention of Prince Albert by the Photographic Society, he donated the sum of fifty pounds to help fund the research to discover the causes and the solution to fading. And, as the Consort of the Sovereign, artistic patronage was certainly expected of the Prince.

The proclamation of the invention of photography in January of 1839 coincided in the year of Victoria’s engagement to Albert. On October 15, 1839, the day the Queen proposed marriage, they viewed their first daguerreotype together. Subsequently, the Queen and Prince Albert made their first Royal photographic purchase of daguerreotypes from Claudet & Houghton in the spring of 1840. Both the Queen and Prince Albert were rather visionary in their perception that the new science of photography had the potential
to emerge as an art form, as well as being an instrument to disseminate knowledge. In August of 1842, the Queen had the Chinese portion of the Treaty of Nanking photographed, so that she could have an official copy for her Royal signature with the Great Seal of England as well as a duplicate copy for display at Buckingham Palace. The signing of the Treaty of Nanking, which took place on August 29, 1842 between China and Britain, ended the ‘Opium Wars,’ facilitated trade relations with the East, and ceded the Island of Hong Kong to Britain.

One might consider Prince Albert’s passion for photographs as an extension of his lifelong interest in new technologies. Both the Queen and the Prince, who were skilled in the art of etching, learned photography in the early 1850s through Albert’s secretary, Dr. Ernest Becker, an amateur photographer and a founding member of the Photographic Society. Becker supplied the Royal Family “with cameras, lenses, chemicals, and all the necessary paraphernalia. Under his tutelage, the young Prince Alfred became a proficient and talented photographer.” Unfortunately, none of the photographs taken by Albert or Victoria have survived.

The photographs collected and commissioned by the Prince Consort range from those taken by his personal secretary Dr. E. Becker (ranging from numerous candid and posed family portraits, photographs from the Royal Art Collection and the Royal Residences) to those of renowned photographers. A partial list of the prominent photographers found throughout the collection reads as a who’s who of early photography including Roger Fenton, who produced the first images of war in his photographs of the Crimean War, with the patronage of Queen Victoria and Prince Albert; Francis Bedford, a lithographer-turned-
photographer; Charles Thurston Thompson, an engraver-turned-photographer; Frederick Scott-Archer, the inventor of the wet plate collodion process; Louis Désiré Blanquart-Evrard, the inventor of the albumen process; Joseph Cundall, Philip Henry Delamotte, Oscar G. Rejlander, a producer of composite photographs from separate negatives; William Bambridge, Samuel Buckle, and Antonio Perini. What these albums clearly demonstrate is Prince Albert’s involvement in collecting photographs in the early years of British amateur photography and the diverse representation of photographic approaches through the selection of known and unknown photographers working in the 1850s.

The arrangement of the photographs by prominent amateurs in the Calotype Albums is not consistently sequential, in terms of images or themes. The lack of pictorial sequence can be attributed to factors related to the nature of photographic collection (which at that time was primarily through exchange clubs, exhibitions, and dealers) and to photographic technology in general the mid-nineteenth century. With the exception of cartes-de-visite, which allowed for eight different exposures on a wet collodion single glass plate negative, all photographs during this period were produced individually from single exposures on glass plates or paper negatives. The negatives were then contact printed in the sun on albumen paper or paper soaked in silver salt solutions (salt prints), followed by chemical development in a photographic darkroom. Since modern film, which allowed for multiple exposures, was not available until the invention of the Kodak (snapshot) camera in 1888, my assumption is that Prince Albert probably assembled the photographs for his albums as he received them, either through collection or commission.
Taylor explains that “from 1854, prints were regularly purchased for the Royal Collections either directly from photographic exhibitions, or through established London print dealers, who were then adding the work of British and Continental photographers to their inventory.” In his most recent book, *Impressed By Light: British Photographs from Paper Negatives, 1840-1860* (2007), Taylor lists the Photographic Institution, founded in 1853, by Joseph Cundall and Philip Delamotte, as an entrepreneurial establishment that not only offered the art of photographic instruction but was also a comprehensive retail business selling photography equipment, chemicals, and instruction booklets, along with a gallery featuring photographic studies of landscape and architecture. Cundall and Delamotte sold their inventory of photographs at prices comparable to fine engravings and lithographs to position their British and European photographers, such as Roger Fenton, Samuel Buckle, Gustave Le Gray and Henri Le Secq, alongside established artists represented by the leading London print sellers. As Taylor points out, “the Photographic Institution quickly became the place to buy photographs in London, and when reports of Prince Albert’s visits there began to appear in court circulars, the success of the enterprise was ensured.”

The composite drawn from these albums not only implicates photography as a means of positing a definition or a profile in relation to Prince Albert, but also underscores the idea of photography as a history of photographic uses rooted in the early practices of British amateur photographers, the connoisseurship of collection, and the reproductive print. “Connoisseurship,” as Lady Eastlake insisted, “is neither just a knack nor an instinct with which some individuals are born; it requires unwearied diligence, sound sense and true
humility." With Prince Albert’s Calotype albums, what we are left with, borrowing from Lady Eastlake, are a series of photographs, a series of individual utterances, and four extant volumes of suspended conversations.

For Prince Albert, connoisseurship and the collection of amateur photography as linked to the “Victorian concern for rational leisure” becomes a vehicle which allows him to negotiate the perception of his foreignness by engaging in socially acceptable cultivated (English) forms of self-indulgence—exploring the pleasurable Victorian virtues of scholarly, scientific, and artistic pursuits. It is through his participation in the intellectual exercise of collection, as modeled after aristocratic collectors in the eighteenth century, that Albert, the connoisseur and the collector, successfully solicits state patronage to stimulate government interest in the arts. Following the model of Hamilton and Caylus, Prince Albert uses English culture as a springboard for his patronage in the arts and sciences to secure his royal status as a progressive thinker and as a connoisseur of art.
Chapter 3

Album as Autobiography

Prince Albert’s participation in the Victorian ideal of ‘rational leisure’ was tempered by the equally Victorian virtue of prudence and constraint. This point was made literal by Parliament through an unprecedented reduction, initiated by the Tory member Colonel Sibthorp who was later to voice opposition against the Prince regarding the Crystal Palace, of what was to be his annual annuity upon marriage to the Queen—from the traditional sum of 50,000 to 30,000 pound sterling. While Lord John Russell had proposed the higher annuity, other radicals and Whigs supported Sibthorp’s motion due to the fledgling state of the economy. Prince Albert was granted naturalization but received no title and thus remained the uncrowned king; it was not until four years before his death that Albert was officially granted in 1857, by Letters of Patent, the title of Prince Consort, his universal but unofficial name. According to biographer Robert Rhodes James, “Prince Albert had been reasonably philosophical about the cutting of his annuity, remarking sadly that it would tend to limit his opportunities of assisting artists and men of learning and science, which he had already marked down as a personal priority, but the uproar over his title angered and upset him intensely, while the Queen was incensed.”

In Mimesis and Alterity: A Particular History of the Senses (1993), author Michael Taussig describes Susan Buck-Morss’s interpretation of the idea of “the objectness of the object” in Walter Benjamin’s writings as “its unremitting attempt to create ‘exact fantasies,’ translating objects into words, maintaining the objectness of the object in language such that here translation is equivalent to more than translation, to more than
explanation—to a sizzling revelation exercising the peculiar powers of mimetic faculty.”

The so called sensuousness of “mimetic faculty,” according to Taussig, “lies in the copy drawing on the character and power of the original, to the point whereby the representation may even assume that character and that power.” It is my contention that Prince Albert uses the mimetic faculty of the photograph and its contiguous placement within the album to become an object of narrative, autobiography, and identity.

As tangible objects, the albums can be seen a self-conscious effort to intellectually and aesthetically counter Prince Albert’s diminished position as the Queen’s foreign husband. They become a visual autobiographic archive of Albert’s accomplishments not only as patron, collector, architect, and connoisseur, but also as the Consort to the Queen, and father of the Royal Children.

The royal albums, which measure 30 x 24 cm or 11.8 x 9.4 inches, are bound in red leather with gold tipped pages. The photographs are approximately 23.4 x 28.6 cm or 9.2 x 11.25 inches and meticulously framed by hand drawn ink borders. While the Prince’s albums operate as a testament to his organization skills, they also promote the fallacy of a possible surplus of leisure time—however; nothing could be further from the truth as exhaustion and overwork were considered to have been major contributors to Prince Albert’s death from pneumonia. For example, before one can even begin to marvel at the collection of these early amateur photographs, the viewer is struck by the clarity and the extraordinarily beautiful, fluid handwriting of the Prince Consort (in brown ink), illustrating the Victorian pride of English penmanship. He has a hand that never falters throughout his descriptive and loving labeling of 383 photographs.
These are personal albums that were not intended for public consumption, yet they are extremely formal in their elegant and uniform presentation. As the assemblage of the albums was not spontaneous or haphazard, the selection of particular images and their subsequence placement within the albums often appears eclectic, as opposed to thematic groupings. As previously discussed, this could be the result of early photographic collection and commission practices. As stated in the Introduction, in the Appendix, I have organized the collection of photographs in each volume into twelve separate category types: Objects; Statuary / Canon of Art; Reproduction of Paintings / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings, Canon of Art; Hunting Scenes / Highlanders; Landscape; Palaces / Royal Estates; Architecture; Family Portraits / Other Royalty and Friends; Portraits; Travel Photographs; Ships; and Miscellaneous. The missing Volume I, being the premier album of the collective set, would have been of particular interest in terms of what types of images were initially chosen to be archived, and whether or not a precedent was established.

Langford and other scholars have pointed to the fact that “objects were photography’s earliest subject.” Unsurprisingly, the first album page of Volume II is a photograph of a circular collection of objects—the cameos of the Royal Children (Figure 3.1). The inscription reads *Medals of the Royal Children, by Mr. Leonard Wyon, 1850, Reproduced and Printed in Carbon by Mullins, 1892*. The image contains seven beautifully rendered cameo medallions of the profiled faces of Albert and Victoria’s children, floating against a flat space. This photograph is reminiscent of the early calotypes of objects from Henry Fox Talbot’s *The Pencil of Nature*, specifically *The Milliner’s Window*, January 1844, a salt print from a calotype negative (Figure 3.2).
Figure 3.1  Medals of the Royal Children, by Mr. Leonard Wyon, 1850. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Placed strategically in the center of the Medals photograph is the medallion of “Bertie,” the young Prince of Wales and future King of England, Edward VII, surrounded by the rotating medallions of his current brothers and sisters. Queen Victoria and Prince Albert’s last two children, Prince Leopold, the Duke of Albany and Princess Beatrice had not yet been born. Clockwise from the top of the image is the royal couple’s eldest child, Victoria, the Princess Royal. She is followed by Princess Alice, Princess Louise, the infant Prince Arthur (Duke of Connaught), Princess Helena, and Prince Alfred (Duke of Edinburgh).

Each click of the camera shutter (or in this case the removal of the lens cap) results in a photograph that captures the past, an action which empowers the image with a sense of legacy. Sontag argues that while “all photographs are *momento mori*... the photograph is never neutral.” Accordingly, this seemly benign image of the royal children immortalized}

---

*Figure 3.2  *The Milliner’s Window, January 1844, salt print from a calotype negative.  
By Henry Fox Talbot
in silver metal disks can be read as a subversive political commentary by Prince Albert. It diplomatically proclaims through its restrained aesthetic that Albert—the foreigner—succeeded where the most famous of English monarchs, Henry VIII had failed. Through a collection of commissioned medals and the technology of photography, Albert has claimed superiority over the incompetence of Henry VIII—the notorious English king and father of Queen Elizabeth I, who renounced Pope Clement VII and the Roman Catholic Church in order to pursue a cavalcade of women to become his wives in his quest to sire a son. As a representation, the Medals photograph literally traces the genetic portrait of the Prince through his children and thereby stands as evidence and bears witness to the fact that Albert has sired the future king. As a photograph it records the past but as a testament to the future it records an image of potency and virility forecasting the genealogical legacy in which Queen Victoria ultimately became “The Grandmother of Europe.” Thus, Medals of the Royal Children as the first photograph of Volume II puts a counter spin on Bismark’s disparaging remarks regarding Prince Albert as merely hailing from the stud farm of Europe.

The second photograph in this volume is a statue of Richard the Lion Hearted seated on a horse (reminiscent of a statue of Marcus Aurelius) with the handwritten inscription: Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion, by Marochetti, outside the Great Exhibition in Hyde Park, 1851. This may seem like a random image to follow the photograph of the Medals of the Royal Children as the third photograph taken by Dr. Becker was from a picture drawn by Queen Victoria of six of her children performing in The Tragedy of Athalie, February 10th 1852. This is followed by six consecutive photographs, presumably also by Dr. Becker, of paintings featuring members of the royal family by the Queen’s favorite contemporary
painters—Franz Winterhalter (Figure 3.3) and Sir Edwin Landseer—as well as an ink drawing from a painting by Paul Delaroche of his late wife and two children (Figure 3.4), and the portrait of the *Madonna, after Raphael, in Lady Cowper’s possession at Panshanger* (Figure 3.5). With the exception of *Richard Coeur de Lion*, all of these images place photography in (what Walter Benjamin would consider) the service of mechanical reproduction as a technological replacement for the engraved reproductive print. These particular photographs speak not to photography’s formal qualities but rather to its celebrated function as a recording device as a new way of archiving an existing inventory, and its ability to reproduce a collection from a collection.\(^{132,134}\)

Aesthetically, *Medals of the Royal Children* and *Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion* are among the least visually captivating photographs in the entire album series. Compositionally, they are not particularly compelling images and they almost lack what Barthes calls “punctum,” that little thing or detail that pricks the viewer’s interest. Consequently, at first glance, the photographs read as analogs: cameo heads of children as a circular collection of objects and a statue of a historical male figure on a horse in front of a building, followed by several reproductions of paintings from the Royal Collection. The first two photographs operate on the level of metaphor and autobiography. In *Medals of the Royal Children*, Prince Albert has positioned himself as the progenitor of future crowns while the *Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion, outside the Great Exhibition in Hyde Park, 1851* signifies Prince Albert as “the Great Crusader” uniting international manufacturers with arts and new technologies under one roof—in the state-of-the-art Crystal Palace, a prefabricated glass and steel structure designed by Joseph Paxton that showcased over
100,000 exhibits of which half the 13,937 exhibitors were foreign. As a predecessor to the world’s fair, it was an international exhibition organized by Prince Albert to showcase the ever-growing market of new products (including photographic advancements), novel inventions, and international technologies.

*Figure 3.3* The Duchess of Nemours and Queen Victoria, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Figure 3.4  The Portrait of the late Madame Delaroche and her two children, from a drawing in Indian Ink, taken from the original picture by P. Delaroche, 1853. By Dr. Becker.
The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
The Great Exhibition of 1851 was considered an enormous success with an attendance of over six million people, coming from all walks of life, during the six months that the exhibition was open. Prior to its opening, the London *Times* deemed the Crystal
Palace, “the largest building ever made by human hands, without mortar, brick, or stone.” In its review of The Great Exhibition of 1851, the North British Review, a conservation nineteenth-century periodical wrote:

The Exposition of 1851—the great experiment of modern times, at first an idea, at last a reality—now stands before us, gigantic and sublime, commanding the admiration, and challenging the criticism of the civilized world. Commingling its crystal canopy with the azure vault which surrounds it, and stretching its magic corridors beyond our visual range, we are at once startled by its colossal magnitude, and enchanted with its fairy trellis work. In its moral and political, more than in its physical aspect, it is instinct with deep instruction...Thus has the Palace of the Arts become a cosmopolitan gymnasium for the instruction of the world.

The specific context and metaphoric significance of these two photographs Medals of the Royal Children and Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion cannot be underestimated. In reiterating the subtext of Albert’s foreignness, Marina Warner, author of Queen Victoria’s Sketchbook (1979), stipulates that Prince Albert was, “in the double sense of the word, étranger—a foreigner, an outsider. He spoke excellent English, but German was his mother tongue. His combination of industry and sentiment, his persevering quest for moral improvement through art, reflected the highest philosophical ideals of German Romanticism.” According to Hermione Hobhouse, the Prince’s greatest contribution to his adopted country would be “the creation of ‘South Kensington’, that un-English complex of museums, scientific institutions, colleges of music and art, which was part university and part polytechnic,” a multi-acreage center of learning that was conceived by Albert and subsidized through the revenues generated from The Great Exhibition. As a man dedicated to expanding public education and cultural enrichment through visual and
performing arts, Prince Albert was acutely aware of the negative effects of educational and cultural depravity on society.

The first two images counter the prevailing national sentiment not only by self-aligning the foreign born Prince with two of England’s most legendary kings, Henry the VIII and Richard the Lionhearted, but also by framing two of Albert’s most celebrated achievements during his first eleven years of marriage to Queen Victoria, fathering the future King of England and championing The Great Exhibition of 1851.

The third photograph in the album taken by Dr. Becker is of Queen Victoria’s drawing depicting six of her children performing in *The Tragedy of Athalie*, February 10th 1852. This is a charming but revealing image to follow the previous two photographs because it is a photograph of a drawing by the Queen that shows an intimate moment in the domestic life of the royal couple as their children perform a play for them. It also shows Queen Victoria’s talent as an artist. And it demonstrates her gift for quick, gestural drawings that captured the likeness of her children as she would often sketch her family, Prince Albert, their pets, and friends.¹⁴⁰

When we look at the sequencing of the first three photographs an intriguing narrative begins to emerge. Even with the first volume missing, Volume II makes a declarative statement that the Calotype Albums are first and foremost about Prince Albert, the compiler and the owner. The first and second photograph describe and position Prince Albert as the progenitor, followed by Albert the crusader, uniting art and technology, and the third photograph is about his wife Victoria, the amateur watercolorist and mother of his children, *not* Victoria the Queen, nor Victoria as the symbolic Mother of England. The
subsequent photographs of paintings demonstrate Prince Albert’s interest in using photographs to archive and document selective images from the holdings of the Royal Art Collection. Among the other 97 images in this volume, following the already discussed reproductions of paintings and historical objects, we see five views of classical statues from Osborne (Figure 3.6), the royal seaside estate on the Isle of Wight, along with multiple views of the estate itself (Figure 3.7). These are followed by a series of photographs of dead stags shot by the Prince during a family stay at Balmoral, Victoria’s and Albert’s beloved Scottish Estate and royal residence.

![Figure 3.6 Statue of Andromeda on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1854. Dr. E. Becker. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.](image)

Figure 3.6 Statue of Andromeda on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1854. Dr. E. Becker. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
James Ryan proposes, in his book, *Picturing Empire: Photography and Visualization of the British Empire*, “The very idea of Empire depended in part on an idea of landscape, as both a controlled space and the means of representing such control, on a global scale.”¹⁴¹

One can begin to contextualize these photographs, in terms of British imperialism, when we apply the idea of landscape as a mechanism of representation and control to the numerous affixed photographs of royal architecture (the royal estates and castles and the unending views of the royal expansive grounds). As beautifully composed images these photographs represent the British sovereignty’s ownership of land, becoming visual evidence that the
idea of Empire is conquered at home. Along with the multi-faceted views of Balmoral and Windsor Castle, which feature photographs of architectural sections, close-ups of statuary, and overall scenic views (including lavish exterior and interior space, such as The Drawing Room, Osborne House, c.1858, Figure 3.8), were numerous photographs recording the extensive building expansions underway at the different royal locations. Pictorial expansion depicting royal structures can be viewed in correlation to the British imperial expansion in India, Africa and the Orient (for examples see Figures 3.9, 3.10, and 3.11).
Figure 3.9  The new Castle at Balmoral, June 1854. By Wilson and Hay. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.

Figure 3.10  Balmoral Castle from the opposite side of the Dee, 1857. By George Washington Wilson. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
According to Ryan, Englishmen in the nineteenth century loved the country and they considered themselves a nation of excursionists, embarking on the Grand Tour, enlisting in her Majesty’s army to support the expansion of Empire, and they transversed the English countryside. In this spirit of excursionism, we find numerous photographs of the beautiful lush, ivy-covered English countryside, country churches and cathedrals, romantic dilapidated ruins and the princely hunting scenes, interspersed throughout all four extant volumes.
It is from the issue of the Prince Consort’s foreignness that the fourteen photographs of domestic princely hunting scenes are of interest as they become symbolic of Albert’s effort to become all things English. When we read the signs of iconicity—the images of dead stags shot by the Prince—Barthes would propose a reading linked between the signified and signifier as “cultural,” and historically based. Let us assume that the connotative meaning of the images of dead stags is by association with Prince Albert and the context of his cultural and historical predicament within the Royal English Court. In theorizing Prince Albert’s effort to assimilate him within this spirited nation of excursionists, one could begin to read the royal hunting scenes as a metonymic substitute for Englishness.

The portraits of dead stags, shot by Prince Albert, are compositionally compelling as the deer are posed like angelic sleeping trophies. The animal itself consumes almost the entire picture frame, photographed either in profile or full frontal view, flaunting its massive or delicate horns while nestled in the picturesque setting of its death, in some royal glen. And while the images of the dead stags seem to project a type of sentimentality belonging to that of a prized cow (Figure 3.12) or horse, these scenes can be aptly interpreted as images of virility, indicating the power of the Prince as conqueror, an Englishman, an excursionist.
In examining one of the many photographs of stag hunting taken by Dr. Becker, from Volume II, *A Stag Shot on Craig Daiegn by The Prince, September 30th, 1852* (Figure 3.13), we are confronted with an aesthetic image of a fallen stag laying in the grass whose death has been softened by chiaroscuro details. The hunter is conspicuously absent. Within the frame, lies a regal stag whose dead propped-up body appears to gaze upward as if called into a metaphoric state of attention. While the photograph does not supply a specific pictorial sense of place in correlation to the handwritten caption on the album page, historical and cultural hunting practices would suggest that the soil onto which the stag fell
was royal ground, as commoners were not allowed to hunt in the royal woods or forests. Here the photograph of the dead stag is the trophy, and as shot by the Prince it indexes Albert’s absolute power of subjugation and monarchical control as procured within the frame. Counter to the Prince Consort’s *alien birthright*, which inhibits his elevation of status to King, the pictorial trophies of dead stags frame the Prince as victorious, he has conquered and he has slain and through his contiguous relationship to the regal stag he has metaphorically subjugated a nation, an English nation, and an empire.

*Figure 3.13*  
*A Stag shot on Craig Daiegn by the Prince, September 30th, 1852.* By Dr. Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892. The Royal Collection © 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.

We can begin to contextualize these photographs of dead stags in terms of British imperialism when we apply the idea of landscape as synonymous with ownership and
possession. Through this seemingly simplistic yet complex photographic sign encoded with
diverse metonymic and metaphoric meanings (stag as trophy, trophy for evidence of
Englishness, Foreigner becoming English conqueror, the Prince Consort as dominator), we
can visually and metaphorically (again) perceive the idea of Empire as being conquered at home.

The image of this particular stag can also been seen as a stand in for Queen Victoria.
It is well known that Queen Victoria was totally enamored and devoted (even at the
expense of her children) to her husband Prince Albert. Victoria’s favorite royal residence
where she often sought solace throughout her life was Balmoral, the Scottish estate that
Albert as the burgeoning architect had redesigned and rebuilt (see Figures 3.9 and 3.10).
Following Prince Albert’s premature death in 1861 from pneumonia at the age of forty-one,
Queen Victoria remained in mourning until her death in 1901, having dedicated most of her
private life to memorializing the man she loved through statuary, photographs, sentiments,
and public architecture. Thus, the viewer could substitute Victoria for the stag in the
photograph as related to the scenario of Cupid piercing the heart of Venus. However,
without a context the stag simply becomes a photograph of a dead horned-ungulate in an
unspecified grassy area.

Some of the more interesting photographs in the albums, in terms of illustrating the
advancement of construction and technology during the 1850’s, are a series of images
documenting the demolition phases of the Crystal Palace in Hyde Park in 1852. The Crystal
Palace, as a prefabricated structure, was designed with the versatility to be disassembled
and moved to another location. Thus the photographs in Volume II of Albert’s albums
indicate the removal phase of the original building for the future reconstruction of an enlarged Crystal Palace in Sydenham Hill, an area south of London. The actual design of the Crystal Palace is indebted to the water lily, which was brought to England from the Amazon. Paxton succeeded in bringing the plant to bloom and presented the first flower to Queen Victoria whereby the Amazonian water lily was renamed the *Victoria regia*. Paxton built a glass and iron chamber, similar to a miniature rounded greenhouse, for the protection of the lily—which ultimately became the structural prototype for the Crystal Palace.

Another alluring component of photography during the Victorian era was the visual depiction of “the other,” exotic and ethnographic portraits representing cultures from around the world. These too were represented in Prince Albert’s albums see *A Zulu Kaffre*, *June 14th 1853* by Nicholaas Henneman from Volume II (Figure 3.14), *Earthmen from the South of Africa, exhibited in England, August 8th 1853* by Nicholaas Henneman from Volume II (Figure 3.15), and *A Chinese Family, 1854*, Reproduced by William Bambridge, 1868 from Volume III (Figure 3.16). Henneman’s photograph, *A Zulu Kaffre* is a full length studio portrait of the African Noble Savage. Posed as a Zulu warrior dressed in animal skins, the subject adopts a regal stance with his spear firmly planted like the flag of a British Colonizer on the patterned carpet floor. As a Zulu Kaffre, he embodies the Zulu skill at warfare, bravery, and honor. The image itself bears an uncanny resemblance in stature and pose to *The Apollo Belvedere in the Vatican, 1853* by Robert Macpherson (Figure 2.8), an odd fact given that the pseudo-science of phrenology in the nineteenth century unfairly differentiated the facial features of blacks from classical Greek sculptures.
Figure 3.14  A Zulu Kaffre, June 14th 1853. By Nicholaas Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1982. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Figure 2.8  The Apollo Belvedere in the Vatican, 1853. By Robert Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Figure 3.15  Earthmen from the South of Africa, exhibited in England, August 8th 1853. By Nicholaas Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1982. The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Interestingly, Ryan points out that, “Visitors to the first exhibition of the Photographic Society in London in 1854 were, according to one reviewer in the Art Journal, greatly impressed by a range of human portraits, including ‘the Zulu Kaffirs’ by a Mr. Henneman and ‘the insane’ by Dr. Hugh Diamond.” What is curious from a modern perspective is that the reviewer of the Art Journal has semantically linked the spectacle of ‘Zulu Kaffirs’ and ‘the insane’ (for Victorian viewers) as being the same. Subsequently, this is where we see the emerging power of the photograph to create stereotypes. However,
Prince Albert’s purchase and collection of Zulus Kaffirs photographs from the exhibition does not imply that he adopted this visual bias but it does reveal that photographing specimens of race and photographing natives in the Victoria era (and at the turn of the century) did “constitute an important part of the colonial encounter.”

Throughout the collective volumes, Prince Albert, the progenitor of future crowns and a crusader of arts and technology, proceeds to use photography to illustrate his passion for collection, architecture, and hunting, and as a means of visual autobiography. While these albums offer a glimpse into the world of the man behind the throne, they tend to present all of the pictorial contents as objects of personal possessions, whether they actually belong to Prince Albert or not.
Conclusion: Conversations with the Self and with the Nation

Roger Taylor concludes in his essay, “Royal patronage and photography 1839-1901” that Prince Albert’s initial photographic collection appeared tentative and limited in scope.148

The earliest known album is entitled Calotypes Vol. II 1850-1854; and its contents, chronologically ordered illustrate the changing pattern of the Prince’s collecting over this period. The images from 1850 and 1851 are chiefly representations of paintings, engravings, drawings, statuary, medallions and architecture. There are few photographs taken from life. The latter part of the album, which covers the years 1853-54, is much more lively. It contains portraits by Roger Fenton, ethnographic studies of ‘Zulu Kaffirs’ by Nicholaas Henneman, panoramas of Florence by E. Kater, and studies of prize livestock by Joseph Cundall.149

In contrast to Taylor, my contention is that Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums form a visual autobiography that offers frames behind the throne, frames that extends beyond the scope of illustrating Prince Albert’s collection patterns. While Taylor considers the initial photographic collection in Vol. II 1850-1854 to be “tentative and limited in scope,” specifically in relation to the images from 1850-1851, I argue that the selection of paintings, engravings, drawings, statuary, medallions, and architecture can be seen as an early model of the photographic collection practices championed by Henry Cole at the South Kensington Museum in 1853—which according to Haworth-Booth were primarily images of art or images of monuments (prior to 1856 when the museum began their collection of art photography).

Prince Albert’s Calotype Albums are a privatized form of the miniaturized museum; they become not only paper galleries but portable cabinets of curiosities that resemble a travel guide of utterances to the self. The Calotype Albums themselves do not provide a
cohesive narrative but rather guide us photographically, like a slide show, through a series of important moments punctuated by the imagery of photography. How these moments are chosen and organized reveal the workings of Prince Albert’s mind—they illustrate his methodologies, his relation to the Victorian world, his interests, his passions, and his knowledge, his love of family, his achievements, and his struggles. Prince Albert used these paper galleries as a vehicle to construct his multifaceted identity.

The critical difference between Albert’s private Calotype Albums of 1850s and the Royal Family’s numerous cartes-de-visite albums collected by Queen Victoria from 1860 onward is that the photographs collected and commissioned by Prince Albert were produced by amateurs and entrepreneurs pursuing the new art of photography, while the cartes-de-visite images were produced by studio photographers selling a commodity. The images in Prince Albert’s albums not only differentiate the art of the amateur from the rise of the professional studio photographer, but they also illustrate the nature of photographic collection as the private art of connoisseurs. As Prince Albert was deprived of the constitutional authority “to participate in the political life of the country,” connoisseurship enabled him to elevate his social status with select members of Parliament through his active engagement in the collection of art and his burgeoning interest in promoting new technologies. In placing Prince Albert in the service of art history, he is not only seen a collector of art and photography but also a practicing collections manager who continually archived and cataloged specific work which provided for future scholarship by preserving it for perpetuity.
Using the agency of photography, Prince Albert—the foreigner and the “uncrowned king”—is able to assert his identity, as the ultimate progenitor of future crowns (as history as shown), and claim superiority over the incompetence of Henry VIII to sire a male heir for the throne of England, through the seemingly benign image of Medals of the Royal Children.

As a representation, this photograph literally traces the genetic portrait of the Prince through his children and thereby stands as evidence and bears witness to the fact that Albert has sired the future (English) king. In an autobiographic attempt to photographically reconcile his foreignness, the fourteen photographs of dead stags as trophies, which are peppered throughout three of the four extant albums, become symbolic of Albert’s effort to become all things English. In theorizing Prince Albert’s efforts to assimilate him within this spirited nation of excursionists, we could read the photographs of royal hunting scenes as a metonymic substitute for Englishness.

If we return to the premise that Charles Irby proposes in Victoria’s World, “that the achievement of the photographic image during the nineteenth century was the culmination of man’s effort to record an exact representation of himself and his surroundings,” then these albums do indeed function, in reference to Prince Albert, as a form of profile and autobiography. They imply a visual description of this would-be king through his own commissioned and collected photographs by metaphorically conquering the domestic British domain. “It is through the cameras of these photographers that we see the Victorians, both as they were and as they wanted to see themselves.”150
Group, April 1857. Left to right: Colonel Phipps; Mr, F.W. Gibbs; Albert Edward, Prince of Wales; Prince Albert; Baron Stockmar; Dr. E. Becker; Baron Ernst Stockmar. By Caldesi.
The Royal Collection© 2008 HM Queen Elizabeth II.
Appendix I

List of Photographers

1. William Bambridge
2. Dr. Ernest Becker
3. Francis Bedford
4. Louis Désiré Blanquart-Evrard
5. Alfred Brothers
6. Samuel Buckle
7. Leonida Caldesi
8. Thomas Carr
9. Wenceslas Cifka
10. Charles Clifford
11. G. Coen
12. Thomas Craddock
13. Joseph Cundall
14. Philip Henry Delamontte
15. Dolamore & Bullock
16. George Downes
17. Roger Fenton
18. Ferrier
19. L. Haase
20. John Havers
21. Nicolaas Henneman
22. Captain Inglefield
23. Edward Kater
24. John Dillwyn Llewellyn
25. Maxwell Lyte
26. Robert Macpherson
27. Moulins Atélier Photographique
28. Henry Mullins
29. Antonio Perini
30. Samuel E. Poulton
31. Lake Price
32. Oscar G. Rejlander
33. Alfred Rosling
34. James Ross
35. William Russell Sedgfield
36. Frederick Scott-Archer
37. Charles Thurston Thompson
38. William John Thoms
39. Henry White
40. George Washington Wilson
41. Wilson & Hay
Appendix II

Calotypes

Calotypes, Volume II

1. Medals of the Royal Children by Mr. Leonard Wyon, 1850. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

2. Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion, by Marcochetti, outside the Great Exhibition in Hyde Park, 1851. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

3. From a Picture by Queen Victoria of the Princess Royal, Princess Helena, the Prince of Wales, Princess Alice, Prince Alfred and Princess Louise, in the tragedy of Athalie, February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

4. Signor Lablache, from the painting by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

5. The tableau in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} Act of “The Corscian Brothers”, 1852, from the picture in watercolours by Mr. Corbould. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

6. The Prince of Wales, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

7. Prince Alfred, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

8. The Duchess of Nemours and Queen Victoria, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

9. a) Dandie Dinmont, from a picture by Sir E. Landseer, 1853
   b) Deckle, from a picture by Sir E. Landseer, 1847. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


12. Portrait of the late Madame Delaroche and her two children, from a drawing in Indian Ink, taken from the original picture by Paul Delaroche. 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

13. One of the Lions on the steps leading from the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


23. A Stag shot by the Prince at Craig na Gael, weighed 15 Stone, October 4th 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


27. Part of the The Queen’s lace flounce, 1852. By C. T. Thompson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


33. Gate at Peña, Portugal, 1852. By Wenceslas Cifka. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

34. From a Picture by Queen Victoria of the Princess Royal, Princess Helena, the Prince of Wales, Princess Alice, Prince Alfred and Princess Louise, in the tragedy of Athalie, February 10th 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


36. a) Zulu Caffir.  
     b) Zulu Chief and woman, and one the Zulus called “the doctor”, June 14th 1853. By T. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

37. a) Zulu Caffir and woman.  
     b) Zulu woman and child, June 14th 1853. By T. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


42. The Apollo Belvedere in the Vatican, 1853. By Machperson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

43. Two views of a bust of The Queen by Gibson, 1853. By Machperson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

44. La Pieta (sculpture), 1853. By Machperson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

46. Two panoramic views of Florence, September 2\textsuperscript{nd} 1850. By Mr. Edward Kater. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

47. Antique colossal bust of Ocean, in a niche on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

48. Earthmen from the South of Africa, exhibited in England, August 8\textsuperscript{th} 1853. By T. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

49. a) Earthmen from the South of Africa.
   b) Earthmen from the South of Africa, with the daughter of the merchant who brought them to England, 1853. By T. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


52. Prince Arthur, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1851. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


54. Two photographs of statues of Muses, on the lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

55. The Princess Royal, Prince of Wales, Princess Alice and Prince Alfred on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

56. The Steam Squadron which attend The Queen from Holyhead to Kingstown harbour, lying off Osborne, August 20\textsuperscript{th} 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

57. The Prince of Wales and Prince Alfred, August 20\textsuperscript{th} 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

58. The Fleet at Spithead, August 20\textsuperscript{th} 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

59. The Reading of the Bible at the Reformation, from the line engraving after Wilkie’s picture. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

60. Balmoral, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


64. Prince Alfred and Macdonald, Balmoral, September 30th 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

65. The Prince’s Highland pony and Campbell, Balmoral, October 3rd 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

66. Mr. Carl Haag and the Prince’s dogs, October 3rd 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

67. South-east view of the new building at Balmoral, October 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


69. Bull belonging to the late Lord Ducie, 1857. By Joseph Cundall. (Odd Date 1857)

70. Lanercost Priory. By Philip Henry Delamotte.


72. Meeting at the Chateau d’Eu, September 1845, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

73. Windsor Castle, December 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

74. Queen Victoria in her Bridal Dress, February 10th from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

75. Intérieur du Musée des Antiques au Louvre. By Blanquart-Evrard.

76. Mother and Child, after Van Dyck. By Blanquart-Evrard.

77. Holy Family and other after Correggio (engraving). By Blanquart-Evrard.
78. Reception of the King of the French at Windsor by Queen Victoria and the Royal Children, October 1844, from a picture by F. Winterhalter in the Corridor at Windsor Castle, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.


80. Old woman making lace, from a picture by Dykmans. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1853.

81. Neptune giving the crown to Britannia, from a sketch in oil colours by Dyce for the fresco at Osborne, 1847. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854.

82. The Queen, Prince and Royal Family ascending Loch Nagar, from a watercolour picture by Carl Haag, 1852. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854.


84. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA.

85. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA.

86. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


88. The Queen’s toilet in Staffordshire Porcelain (Minton) at Osborne, 1854. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

89. Statue of Venus from the Berlin Museum, on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


91. a) Prince Alfred, February 8th 1854
   b) The Prince of Wales, February 8th 1854. By Roger Fenton.


96. The Prince of Wales, February 8th 1854. By Roger Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


98. The Prince of Wales and Prince Alfred with their tutor, Mr. Gibbs, February 8th 1854. By Roger Fenton.


Calotypes, Volume II: Image Breakdown into Types

OBJECTS:

1. Medals of the Royal Children by Mr. Leonard Wyon, 1850. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

27. Part of the The Queen’s lace flounce, 1852. By C. T. Thompson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


88. The Queen’s toilet in Staffordshire Porcelain (Minton) at Osborne, 1854. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

STATUARY / Canon of Art:

2. Statue of Richard Coeur de Lion, by Marcochetti, outside the Great Exhibition in Hyde Park, 1851. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.
13. One of the Lions on the steps leading from the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


41. Molière's Monument at Paris. By Ferrier

42. The Apollo Belvedere in the Vatican, 1853. By Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

43. Two views of a bust of The Queen by Gibson, 1853. By Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

44. La Pieta (sculpture), 1853. By Macpherson. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

47. Antique colossal bust of Ocean, in a niche on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


54. Two photographs of statues of Muses, on the lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

75. Intérieur du Musée des Antiques au Louvre. By Blanquart-Evrard.

89. Statue of Venus from the Berlin Museum, on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker

REPRODUCTION OF PAINTINGS / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings / Canon of Art:

3. From a Picture by Queen Victoria of the Princess Royal, Princess Helena, the Prince of Wales, Princess Alice, Prince Alfred and Princess Louise, in the tragedy of Athalie, February 10th 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

4. Signor Lablache, from the painting by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

5. The tableau in the 2nd Act of “The Corscian Brothers”, 1852, from the picture in watercolours by Mr. Corbould. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

6. The Prince of Wales, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.
7. Prince Alfred, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

8. The Duchess of Nemours and Queen Victoria, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1852.

9. a) Dandie Dinmont, from a picture by Sir E. Landseer, 1853  
b) Deckle, from a picture by Sir E. Landseer, 1847. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


12. Portrait of the late Madame Delaroche and her two children, from a drawing in Indian Ink, taken from the original picture by Paul Delaroche. 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

34. From a Picture by Queen Victoria of the Princess Royal, Princess Helena, the Prince of Wales, Princess Alice, Prince Alfred and Princess Louise, in the tragedy of Athalie, February 10th 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


52. Prince Arthur, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1851. By Dr. Ernest Becker.


59. The Reading of the Bible at the Reformation, from the line engraving after Wilkie’s picture. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

72. Meeting at the Chateau d’Eu, September 1845, from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

74. Queen Victoria in her Bridal Dress, February 10th from a picture by F. Winterhalter, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

76. Mother and Child, after Van Dyck. By Blanquart-Evrard.

77. Holy Family and other after Correggio (engraving). By Blanquart-Evrard.
78. Reception of the King of the French at Windsor by Queen Victoria and the Royal Children, October 1844, from a picture by F. Winterhalter in the Corridor at Windsor Castle, 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.


80. Old woman making lace, from a picture by Dykmans. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1853.

81. Neptune giving the crown to Britannia, from a sketch in oil colours by Dyce for the fresco at Osborne, 1847. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854.

82. The Queen, Prince and Royal Family ascending Loch Nagar, from a watercolour picture by Carl Haag, 1852. By Philip Henry Delamotte, 1854.


**HUNTING SCENES / HIGHLANDERS:**


23. A Stag shot by the Prince at Craig na Gael, weighed 15 Stone, October 4th 1852. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


**PALACES/ ROYAL ESTATES:**

17. Balmoral, from Craig Gowan, 1852.


60. Balmoral, 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

67. South-east view of the new building at Balmoral, October 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

73. Windsor Castle, December 1853. By Philip Henry Delamotte.

84. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA.

85. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

86. Hampton Court. Side of Wolsey’s Hall, September 21st 1853. By William John Thoms, FSA. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

**ARCHITECTURE:**


33. Gate at Peña, Portugal, 1852. By Wenceslas Cifka. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

70. Lanercost Priory. By Philip Henry Delamotte.


FAMILY PORTRAITS / FRIENDS: (image 55 first actual family portrait photograph)

55. The Princess Royal, Prince of Wales, Princess Alice and Prince Alfred on the Lower Terrace at Osborne, August 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


64. Prince Alfred and Macdonald, Balmoral, September 30th 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

65. The Prince’s Highland pony and Campbell, Balmoral, October 3rd 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

66. Mr. Carl Haag and the Prince’s dogs, October 3rd 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker.

91. a) Prince Alfred, February 8th 1854
    b) The Prince of Wales, February 8th 1854. By Roger Fenton.

93. Master Albert Van de Weyer as Un Garde i
   By Roger Fenton.

94. Princess Helena and Princess Louise as Clermont and Lousion in “Les Duex Petits


96. The Prince of Wales, February 8th 1854. By Roger Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


98. The Prince of Wales and Prince Alfred with their tutor, Mr. Gibbs, February 8th 1854. By
   Roger Fenton.

**ZULU AND SOUTH AFRICA:**


36. a) Zulu Caffir.
   b) Zulu Chief and woman, and one the Zulus called “the doctor”, June 14th 1853. By N.
      Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

37. a) Zulu Caffir and woman
   b) Zulu woman and child, June 14th 1853. By N. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.


48. Earthmen from the South of Africa, exhibited in England, August 8th 1853. By N.
    Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

49. a) Earthmen from the South of Africa.
    b) Earthmen from the South of Africa, with the daughter of the merchant who brought
       them to England, 1853. By N. Henneman. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

**TRAVEL PHOTOGRAPHS:**

47. Two panoramic views of Florence, September 2nd 1850. By Mr. Edward Kater.
    Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.
**SHIPS:**

56. The Steam Squadron which attend The Queen from Holyhead to Kingstown harbour, lying off Osborne, August 20th 1853. By Dr. Ernest Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1892.

58. The Fleet at Spithead, August 20th 1853.

**BULLS:**


69. Bull belonging to the late Lord Ducie, 1857. By Joseph Cundall. (Odd Date 1857)
Calotypes, Volume III: Image Breakdown into Types

OBJECTS:


38. Agate Cup by Morel, 1853. By Francis Bedford.


40. Alto Telievo in Pottery of the Madonna and Child with cherubs, by Lucca della Robbia. By Mr. Thompson, 1853.

42. An Ivory Card Rack, 1853. By Francis Bedford.

43. Terra Cotta Bacchanale group by Clodion, 1853. By Francis Bedford.

45. The Cross of Cong (Irish Antiquities), 1853. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

46. The “Fiacail Phatraie” (“Patrick’s Tooth”) (Irish Antiquities), 1853. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.


STATUARY / Canon of Art:


10. A bust of a woman, by Müller.

REPRODUCTION OF PAINTINGS / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings / Canon of Art:

2. Nelson on the quarter deck of the San Josef, receiving the swords of the vanquished Spaniards, February 14th 1797, from a picture by Barker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

7. Isabella II, Queen of Spain, after a picture by Franz Winterhalter, December 1852.

8. Marie Isabelle, Princess of the Asturias, at the age of 1 year, after a picture by Franz Winterhalter, December 1852.

21. a) Dona Maria II, Queen of Portugal, from a picture by Corden, 1850.
   b) Ferdinand, King of Portugal, from a picture by Corden, 1850. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

22. Ferdinand, King of Portugal, from a picture by Corden, 1850. By Mr. Delamotte.


99. A Centaur teaching Apollo, after a pencil engraving.

HUNTING SCENES / HIGHLANDERS:

NONE

LANDSCAPE:


59. East Side, 3 Cliffs Bay at Gower, Glamorganshire, 1853. (collodion) By J.D. Llewellyn. Reproduced by Bambridge, 1869

60. East Side, 3 Cliffs Bay at Gower, Glamorganshire, 1853. (Talbotype) By J.D. Llewellyn. Reproduced by Bambridge, 1869.

PALACES/ ROYAL ESTATES:

1. Royal Mews at Windsor, from the South Terrace, 1853. By Dr. Becker.


80. Lambeth Palace, 1853.


ARCHITECTURE:


52. The Princess Mary of Cambridge, April 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

FAMILY PORTRAITS / FRIENDS:

3. The Prince of Wales and Prince Alfred with their tutor, Mr. Gibbs, February 8th 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.
4. Prince Alfred as Autumn, February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

5. The Prince of Wales as Winter, February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.


60. The Prince, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.


65. The Princess Alice as “Spring” in the Tableau, represented February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.


68. The Princess Royal and Prince Arthur as “Summer” in the Tableau, represented February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

69. The Princess Royal and Prince Arthur as “Summer”, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

70. Prince Alfred as “Autumn”, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

71. Prince Alfred as “Autumn” in the Tableau, represented February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

72. The Prince of Wales and Princess Louise as “Winter” in the Tableau as represented February on 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

73. The Prince of Wales as ‘Winter”, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

74. The Prince of Wales as ‘Winter”, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

75. The concluding Tableau. Princess Alice as Spring, Princess Royal and Prince Arthur as Summer, Prince Alfred as Autumn, Princess Louise and Prince of Wales as Winter, Princess Helena as “A spirit Empress”, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

76. The concluding Tableau represented, February 10\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.
87. The Queen in her Drawing Room Dress, May 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

88. The Queen in her Drawing Room Dress, May 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

89. The Queen and The Prince, May 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

90. The Queen, The Prince and seven Royal Children, Buckingham Palace, May 22nd 1854. Instantaneous Photograph by Mr. Fenton.

91. The Queen, The Prince and eight Royal Children, Buckingham Palace Garden, May 22nd 1854. Instantaneous Photograph by Mr. Fenton.

95. Lady Harriet Hamilton as Mary, Queen of Scots, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

96. Lady Harriet Hamilton as Mary, Queen of Scots, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

**PORTRAITS / EXOTICS OTHERS:**


TRAVEL PHOTOGRAPHS:


64. Study of Trees, Avenue in the Parc, Pau, 1853. By Maxwell Lyte.

98. Porte de la Bibliothèque du Louvre, 1853. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

100. Doorway of St. Lawrence Church at Nuremberg, 1853. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

SHIPS:


16. Spithead after the departure of the Fleet, March 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

17. Spithead after the departure of the Fleet, March 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

18. The “Neptune” coming out of harbour, March 11th 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

MISCELLANEOUS:


82. Stonehenge, 1853. By R. Sedgfield.

83. Salisbury Cathedral, 1853 By R. Sedgfield. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

84. High Street, Salisbury, 1853. By R. Sedgfield.

85. In Beddington Park, 1853. By R. Sedgfield

86. Beddington Church, Surrey, 1853. By R. Sedgfield

92. The Opening of the Crystal Palace at Sydenham, June 10th 1854. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

93. The Opening of the Crystal Palace at Sydenham, June 10th 1854. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

94. The Egyptian Court at the Crystal Palace, July 8th 1854. Madame Rollande, Princess Alice, Miss Hildyard, Princess Royal, Mr. Digby Wyatt and Sir Joseph Paxton. By Mr. Delamotte. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.
Calotypes, Volume IV: Image Breakdown into Types

OBJECTS:


STATUARY / Canon of Art:


22. From Gibson’s statue of Cupid, 1854. By Macpherson.

REPRODUCTION OF PAINTINGS / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings / Canon of Art:


HUNTING SCENES / HIGHLANDERS:

71. A Stag shot by The Prince, 4th October, on Corrie na Poitch, and Donald Stewart, one of the Foresters, Balmoral, October 5th 1854. By Wilson & Hay.


73. Group of Foresters and Gillies with the Stag shot by The Prince, October 6th 1854. By Wilson & Hay.


LANDSCAPE:

5. St. Briavels, Wales, 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

76. View from Ballater, up the Muick, 1854.


95. Mr. Rosling’s Garden at Peckham, 1854. By Mr. A. Rosling.

103. Isleworth, with natural clouds, 1854. By Scott Archer, the inventor of the Collodion process.

**PALACES/ ROYAL ESTATES:**


31. A view from the Alcove of the Upper Terrace at Osborne, September 1854. By Dr. Becker.


68. Balmoral Castle, October 1854. By Wilson and Hay.

69. Balmoral, showing the new and old Houses, October 1854. By Wilson & Hay.

70. Balmoral, showing the new and old Houses, from the top of the Craig Gowan, October 1854. By Wilson & Hay.
75. View from the Moss House on Craig Gowan, looking down on the Dee towards Abergeldie, October 1854. By Wilson & Hay.

79. Windsor from the Brocas, 1854. By Mr. Poulton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

80. The Library, Lambeth Palace, 1854.

ARCHITECTURE:

6. A small Chapel in Tintern Abbey, 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

10. The last Window of Tintern Abbey, 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

11. The South Aisle of Tintern Abbey, 1854. By Mr. Fenton.


47. Scott’s Monument, Edinburgh, 1854. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.


51. South West View of Melrose Abbey, 1854.


93. Southwark Bridge, 1854. By Mr. A. Rosling.


100. Fountains Abbey, from the South East, 1854. By F. Bedford.

FAMILY PORTRAITS / OTHER ROYALTY AND FRIENDS:

1. The Queen and the Prince, June 30\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

2. The Queen, June 30\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

3. The Queen and the Prince, June 30\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Fenton. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

8. Lady Harriet Hamilton as Mary, Queen of Scots, May 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

14. Mr. F. Winterhalter, June 27\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Dr. Becker.

20. The Comte d’Eu and Duc d’Alençon, Osborne, July 26\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Becker.

45. The Queen and Prince Arthur with the Marchioness of Ely and the Hon. Flora Macdonald, Osborne, August 19\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Becker. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.

46. The Maharajah Duleep Singh, Osborne, August 23\textsuperscript{rd} 1854. By Mr. Becker.

66. Prince Arthur and Prince Alfred in the costume of Sikh Princes, Osborne, September 6\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Becker.

67. The Queen, Duke of Oporto and King of Portugal, Osborne, September 8\textsuperscript{th} 1854. By Mr. Becker.

77. Prince Nicholas of Nassau, November 3\textsuperscript{rd} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

78. Prince Nicholas of Nassau, November 3\textsuperscript{rd} 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

82. Princess Victoria Gouramma, Daughter of the Ex Rajah of Coorg, November 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

PORTRAITS:


27. Study from Life, 1854. (2 young women). By Moulins Atélier Photographique.


42. Study from Life, 1854. (older and younger women). By Moulins Atélier Photographique.

54. Greenwich Hospital, 1854. By John Havers.


**TRAVEL PHOTOGRAPHS:**


43. The Baptistery of Pisa, 1854. By T. Carr.

83. Entrance to St. Mark’s, Venice, 1854. By A. Perini.

84. The Giant’s Staircase, Venice, 1854. By A. Perini.


86. The Doge’s Palace, Venice, 1854. By A. Perini.


89. The Doge’s Palace, Venice, 1854. By A. Perini.

MISCELLANEOUS:

7. A quiet moment, 1854. By Mr. Thurston Thompson.

32. A Study taken at Hastings, 1854. (group). By Mr. Cundall.

33. A Study taken at Hastings, 1854. (cliff). By Mr. Cundall.

34. A Study taken at Hastings, 1854. (ruined arch). By Mr. Cundall.

35. A Study taken at Hastings, 1854. (net shops). By Mr. Cundall.

52. The “gardener’s basket”, 1854. By Mr. Fenton.

60. A Romance, 1854. By Mr. Fenton. No. 1) Strong flirtation, of which one Spectator highly disapproves.

61. A Romance, 1854. By Mr. Fenton. No. 2) “Popping the question”.

62. A Romance, 1854. By Mr. Fenton. No. 3) “Sealing the covenant”.

63. A Romance, 1854. By Mr. Fenton. No. 4) “The Honeymoon”.

64. Minka, Olga, Venus and her puppies, and Pedro. Pugs belonging to The Queen, at the Kennel, Home Park, 1954. By Mr. Bambridge. Reproduced by Mullins, 1893.


Calotypes, Volume VI: Image Breakdown into Types

There are 74 images in Volume VI. There is no page 71. Photographs up to this page (1-70) were arranged by the Prince Consort between 1855-1857.

OBJECTS:
NONE

STATUARY / Canon of Art:

53. Statue of The Queen in Salford Park, Manchester. By A. Brothers.

59. Theseus and the Amazones: Marble group by Engel, at Osborne. No date, no photographer listed.

REPRODUCTION OF PAINTINGS / Drawings, Watercolors, Engravings / Canon of Art:
NONE

HUNTING SCENES / HIGHLANDERS:

10. Deer, with 14 points, shot by The Prince n Windsor Great Park, October 22, 1856. By W. Bambridge.

LANDSCAPE / CITY SCENES:


23. [Landscape with trees, river, and large house in far distance] No date, no photographer listed.


54. Fleet Street and Ludgate Hill. No date, no photographer listed.

72. The Wolf of Badenoch’s Castle, in loch in Derb. No date, no photographer listed. Not arranged by the Prince Consort.
73. Loch Avon, Banffshire. No date, no photographer listed.
   Not arranged by the Prince Consort.

74. [Untitled: view of lake or loch.] No date, no photographer listed.
   Not arranged by the Prince Consort.

PALACES/ ROYAL ESTATES:


42. The Drawing Room, Osborne. No date, no photographer listed.

43. The Drawing Room, Osborne. No date, no photographer listed.

45. Osborne. No date, no photographer listed.

46. The Corridor, Osborne. No date, no photographer listed.

ARCHITECTURE:


47. Raglan Castle, across the Moat. No date, no photographer listed.

48. Tong, Shropshire. No date, no photographer listed.

49. Tong Church, Shropshire. No date, no photographer listed.

50. Tong Castle, Shropshire. (Lord Bradford’s). No date, no photographer listed.

51. Hall Porch, Cobham Hall. No date, no photographer listed.

52. Porch, Charlton, Kent. (seat of Sir Thos. Maryan Wilson, Bart.) No date, no photographer listed.

58. St. Beat. No date, no photographer listed.

60. Carew Castle, S.W. No date, no photographer listed.
FAMILY PORTRAITS / OTHER ROYALTY AND FRIENDS:


13. Signor Lablache, 1856. By Caldesi

17. The Family Brousil of Prague, March 1857:
   Mlle. Bertha, violin solo, 14 yrs.; M. Albin, violoncello, 13 yrs.
   Mlle. Antonia, piano, 17 yrs.; M. Adolphus, viola gamba, 11 yrs.
   Mlle. Cecilie, 2nd violin, 6 yrs; M. Aloys, 1st violin, 7 yrs.

27. Hon. Col. C. Phipps, Mr. Gibbs, the Prince of Wales, the Prince Consort, Barron Stockmar, Mr. Becker, and Baron E. Stockmar, April 1857. By Caldesi.

33. The Royal Family at Osborne, May 27th 1857. Prince Alfred, the Prince Consort, Princess Helena, Prince Arthur, Princess Alice, Queen Victoria with Princess Beatrice, the Princess Royal, Princess Louise, Prince Leopold, the Prince of Wales. By Caldesi.


44. Prince Alfred. By Lake Price

56. Princess Frederick William (Princess Royal) and Princess Alexandria of Prussia. By L. Haase.

PETS/ DOGS, CATS, PONIES:

11. Snowdrop, [cat] belonging to Prince Leopold, to whom it was sent, July 1856. By W. Bambridge.

25. Alma, one of the Queen’s own saddle horses, March 26th, 1857. By Caldesi.


PORTRAITS / EXOTICS OTHERS:


24. [Poor boy with musical instrument] By William Gourdy.

62. [Untitled: portrait of a lady]. No date, no photographer listed.

TRAVEL PHOTOGRAPHS:
NONE

MISCELLANEOUS:

14. [Untitled: young girl riding pony, with young boy holding bridle]. No date, no photographer listed.

15. [Untitled: lady in light carriage with groom at horse’s head]. No date, no photographer listed.

16. The Emperor Napoleon leaving the Tuileries on the morning of the Te Deum, 1855. No photographer listed.


39. Study of a Horse. No date, no photographer listed.

40. The daft Fiddler. No date, no photographer listed.


61. [Untitled: man in livery with horse] No date, no photographer listed.

69. [Untitled: group of three men]. No date, no photographer listed.

PHOTOGRAPHS BY OSCAR G. REJLANDER:

1. Dear Brother’s tired: (2 little boys). No date. By O.G. Rejlander

3. The day’s work over. (3 men). 1857. By O.G. Rejlander


31. The fly is caught, 1857. (young boy) By O.G. Rejlander.

63. Study of a head of John the Baptist. No date. By O.G. Rejlander.

64. Study of a head (old man). No date. By O.G. Rejlander.

65. Study (old man). No date. By O.G. Rejlander.

68. [Untitled: portrait of a man- O.G. Rejlander as Garibaldi]. No Date.

70. Study (old man looking out of window at little boy sweep). No date. By O.G. Rejlander.
Appendix III

Additional Photographs

Prince Alfred as Autumn, 10th February, 1854. By Roger Fenton
The Prince of Wales and Prince Alfred with their tutor, Mr. Gibbs, February 8th 1854.
By Roger Fenton
The Queen’s Toilet in Staffordshire Porcelain (Minton) at Osborne, 1854. By Delamotte.
Table of Presents. The Queen’s Birthday, May 24th 1859, Osborne. By William Bambridge.
The painter Franz Xavier Winterhalter, June 27th 1854. By Dr. E. Becker
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